Published in final edited form as:

J Med Chem. 2011 November 10; 54(21): 7433–7440. doi:10.1021/jm101572n.

Regulators of G protein signaling (RGS) Proteins as Drug Targets: Modulating GPCR Signal Transduction

David L. Roman¹ and John R. Traynor^{2,3,*}

¹Division of Medicinal & Natural Products Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, The University of Iowa 115 S. Grand Ave., Iowa City, IA 52242

²Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

³Substance Abuse Research Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Keywords

RGS; G protein; serotonin; dopamine; academic drug discovery; novel target; flow cytometry

Introduction

Regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) proteins are emerging as important negative modulators of G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling. Multiple lines of evidence ranging from biochemical characterizations to genetic studies using engineered mice are converging to demonstrate an important role of RGS proteins in the physiology of many organ systems and therefore as potential drug targets in pathologies including CNS diseases,¹⁻³ cardiovascular disease⁴⁻⁶ and diabetes⁷. The discovery of these roles together with the knowledge that RGS proteins function to modulate GPCR-mediated signal transduction, provides an opportunity to target GPCR signaling pathways in a unique way. Agonist or antagonist drugs acting at GPCRs represent well over 50% of all drugs currently on the market. Targeting the protein-protein interactions that govern intracellular signaling processes downstream of GPCRs is challenging,⁸ but expands both the number of potential targets as well as exploiting the discrete roles that specific interactions may play within cellular signaling cascades.

GPCRs couple to heterotrimeric G proteins that consist of a α subunit and a $\beta\gamma$ heterodimer. In the resting state, the α of the heterotrimer G protein binds the guanine nucleotide GDP. Activation of a GPCR by agonist causes the exchange of GDP for GTP on the α subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein and separation from the $\beta\gamma$ heterodimer.⁹ Both the α and $\beta\gamma$ subunits interact with downstream effector proteins. Hydrolysis of the bound GTP by the inherent GTPase activity of the α subunit provides inactive α -GDP that re-associates with the $\beta\gamma$ heterodimers thus terminating the signaling of both α -GTP and $\beta\gamma$ (Figure 1). RGS proteins by acting as GTPase accelerating proteins or GAPs, increase this rate of hydrolysis and so serve to terminate signaling more rapidly. α proteins can be divided into several families. The α_{i1} family of proteins that inhibit adenylate cyclase and the α_q family that activates the enzyme phospholipase C leading to the release of calcium from intracellular stores, bind RGS proteins and are susceptible to their GAP activity. However, the GTPase activity of α_s that activates adenylate cyclase already hydrolyses GTP rapidly and is insensitive to GAP activity of RGS proteins. The associated

*Corresponding Author John Traynor Department of Pharmacology University of Michigan West Medical Center Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5632 Tel: 734-647-7479 jtraynor@umich.edu.

$\beta\gamma$ subunits also interact with a variety of intracellular effectors including inwardly rectifying potassium channels, calcium channels, phospholipase C and the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. Since $\beta\gamma$ signaling is terminated by re-association with $G\alpha$ -GDP subunits, these pathways are also negatively regulated by RGS proteins.

GTPase accelerating activity is a hallmark of RGS proteins, and is functionally conserved within a RGS homology (RH) domain (“RGS box”) comprised of approximately 120 amino acids and containing the structural determinants necessary for interaction with $G\alpha$ subunits. However, RGS proteins number more than 30 members, defined by the presence of the canonical RH domain, and have been divided into several families, based upon structural similarity and the presence of accessory domains outside the RH domain (Table 1). In addition to acting as GAPs for G_{ai} , G_{ao} and G_{aq} , some RGS family members have more specialized roles. For example, RGS9-1 is a retinal specific protein that acts as a GAP for the function-specific G protein transducin (G_{at}) in retina and is necessary to ensure the visual system rapidly responds to new incoming signals. The proteins p115-RhoGEF, PDZ-RhoGEF, and Leukemia Associated RhoGEF, contain domains that function as guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) but also have RH domains that interact with the G_{a12} family of G proteins to link GPCRs to Rho-mediated signaling pathways for the control of cellular processes such as cell growth, proliferation and differentiation.¹⁰ The RH domains of the G protein receptor kinases (GRK) 2 and 3 bind G_{aq} and reduce the activation of phospholipase C, inhibiting formation of inositol triphosphate (IP_3) and diacylglycerol and limiting increases in intracellular Ca^{2+} and activation of protein kinase C.¹¹

RGS protein physiology

Studies using cellular models of RGS protein function as well as biochemical experiments using purified RGS proteins revealed the GAP activity of RGS proteins *in vitro*.^{12,13} However, it is a greater challenge to study RGS protein action *in vivo*, even in a model system. A significant problem to overcome is the large number of RGS proteins, many of which have non-selective GAP activity and therefore redundant functions. Several RGS proteins have been targeted in knockout strategies, with the most characterized being mice lacking RGS2,^{6,14,15} RGS4¹⁶ and RGS9.¹⁷ RGS2 knockout mice have a profound hypertensive phenotype,⁶ some neurobehavioral effects including anxiety-like behavior,¹⁴ and altered NO-mediated vasodilatation responses,¹⁵ whereas RGS9 knockout mice exhibit markedly enhanced sensitivity to drugs of abuse due to the loss of the striatal specific RGS9-2 variant.¹⁸ An RGS4 knockout mouse exhibits only subtle sensorimotor deficits,¹⁶ although a second strain of mice was seen to show altered behaviors following chronic morphine,¹⁹ and an inducible RGS4 knockout mouse allowed identification of effects of RGS4 on certain aspects of morphine pharmacology.¹⁹ Mice null for several other RGS proteins are commercially available (Mutant Mouse Resource Center, www.mmrc.org, supported by NCRR-NIH) and as additional genetically modified mice are studied, the near future should see the revelation of even more roles of RGS proteins in physiology.

Although genetically manipulated mice with targeted knockouts of various RGS proteins have been engineered a caveat, as with any knockout model, is the concern of compensatory expression of other RGS transcripts. Due to the redundancy of G protein interactions for many RGS proteins, the functional consequences of compensatory expression could obscure interesting phenotypes, as may be the case with the RGS4 knockout mouse.¹⁶ In order to address the *overall* role of RGS proteins in physiological processes, our laboratory has utilized a “knock-in” approach to neutralize the effects of all RGS protein GAP activity.²⁰ This process involves knock-in of an RGS-insensitive mutation (G184S) into the *GNAI2* (G_{ai2}) allele. The mutation of glycine to serine, discovered through a yeast genetic screen, disrupts RGS binding to $G\alpha$.²¹ G184 is located in the critical switch I region of the G protein where the $G\alpha$ interacts with RGS,²² and studies indicate that the steric effect of the

hydroxymethyl side chain of the serine or disruption of the local conformation of the Switch I region prevents RGS from binding $G\alpha$ (Figure 2).²¹ A mouse model with the G184S mutation knocked-in to the *GNAI2* allele (*Gai2*^{GSGS}) exhibits a pleiotropic phenotype with several interesting alterations, such as short bones, low body weight, altered adipose tissue distribution and splenomegaly.²⁰ However, the heterozygote (*Gai2*^{GSGS/+}) is much less affected yet is resistant to diet-induced obesity⁷ and shows antidepressant-like activity due to increased serotonin signaling via 5HT_{1A} receptors.³

Benefits of targeting RGS proteins

Since RGS protein function is at the initial steps of signal transduction immediately after receptor activation, one questions the benefit of targeting an RGS protein over the receptor itself. On the other hand, there are several reasons why targeting the RGS may prove feasible and also advantageous from a therapeutic standpoint. Many RGS proteins have discrete expression profiles, particularly in the central nervous system that could provide for a selective target. This is most clearly exemplified by RGS9-2 which is discretely expressed in dopaminergic regions such as the basal ganglia and nucleus accumbens²³ where it has a similar expression to other striatal specific proteins.²⁴⁻²⁶ However, even for RGS proteins that are more widely expressed, selectivity can be impacted by the cognate GPCR itself since there is evidence that GPCRs recruit specific RGS proteins to modulate signaling. For example, it has been shown in a heterologous cell system that RGS2 is recruited to the plasma membrane by adrenergic β_2 receptors and AT_{1A} receptors, whereas RGS4 is recruited by muscarinic M2 receptors.²⁷ Additionally, several studies have identified receptor-specific effects of RGS protein action. Thus, RGS3 negatively modulates ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase) activation by muscarinic M3 receptors but RGS5 modulates AT_{1A} receptor-mediated activation of ERK;²⁸ RGS3, but not RGS1, 2 or 4, suppresses gonadotropin-releasing hormone-induced IP₃ responses;²⁹ RGS4 selectively inhibits muscarinic but not cholecystokinin-mediated calcium signaling.³⁰ Moreover, we have shown RGS4 to act as a GAP at mu- but not delta-opioid receptors in cells expressing endogenous RGS proteins.³¹ As a consequence, it should be possible to exploit additional selectivity occurring as a result of structural determinants that may be specific to particular $G\alpha$ -RGS pairs.³²

It is feasible that inhibitors of RGS proteins selectively expressed in particular tissues and/or specific for GPCR- $G\alpha$ pairs could modulate the beneficial effects of GPCR agonist drugs, thus allowing lower doses to be used therapeutically, leading to fewer side-effects, while also enhancing specificity. The potential widening of the therapeutic window would introduce additional safety for existing drugs, as well as possibly allowing for drugs previously abandoned for having too narrow a therapeutic window to be used in conjunction with an RGS inhibitor. For example, genetic knockout of all RGS activity at *Gai2* provides a mouse with antidepressant-like phenotype behavior and promotes the beneficial antidepressant actions of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs) by enhancing signaling of the 5HT_{1A} receptor; there is no alteration at other serotonin receptors and no effects on other antidepressant drugs.³ SSRIs exert their antidepressant action by increasing serotonin levels. This increased serotonin acts at all 5HT receptor subtypes. It is likely then, that by promoting only the beneficial 5HT_{1A}-mediated antidepressant effects the therapeutic window of these drugs could be increased. Another example would be opioid partial agonists. Such compounds have a lower incidence of side-effects (e.g. respiratory depression, constipation) but also a lower therapeutic analgesic efficacy than more robust agonists such as morphine. It is possible, given a variety of brain circuits are involved in the diverse activities of opioids, that different RGS proteins are involved in the antinociceptive responses to opiates compared to the unwanted actions. Accordingly, inhibition of those RGS protein(s) that are associated only with the antinociceptive pathways would selectively

improve analgesic efficacy. In relation to this, it has recently been demonstrated that knockout of RGS9-2 has opposite effects on morphine-mediated spinal versus supraspinal antinociception³³ and even promotes the antinociceptive action of some opioids, while inhibiting others³⁴, suggesting that parsing out the selectivity of RGS action is feasible.

An alternative potential benefit of compounds that affect RGS protein function is to alleviate conditions that may have an RGS component to their etiology. This is highlighted by RGS4 and RGS9. RGS4 mRNA is up-regulated in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord in response to nerve injury³⁵ but, together with RGS3, is down-regulated in small diameter primary sensory neurons.³⁶ These changes may contribute to the etiology of neuropathic pain and to the lack of effectiveness of opioids in these pain states. An association between the RGS4 gene and schizophrenia has been reported in several studies, including one that showed decreases in RGS4 mRNA and protein product in the cortices of schizophrenic patients³⁷ and another in a rat model of schizophrenia.³⁸

The general function of RGS4 makes it an intriguing target, even if the linkage data to schizophrenia is not fully established. On the other hand, the idea of RGS proteins as a target for schizophrenia is far from simple because of the highly complex nature of this disease and the number of receptors with which current antipsychotic drugs interact.³⁹ Dopamine D₂ receptor antagonism has long been the basis for the treatment of schizophrenia. Such drugs improve the positive symptoms of the disease (e.g. delusions, hallucinations, disordered thoughts) but not the negative (e.g. lack of motivation, anhedonia) or cognitive defects, and have extrapyramidal side-effects. A drug that acts to increase RGS (e.g. RGS4) protein activity and so reduce D₂ receptor signaling will presumably have similar effects. Alternatively, atypical antipsychotic agents have 5HT_{2A} antagonist actions that help to alleviate negative and cognitive symptoms and 5HT_{1A} agonists may also be useful in controlling cognition,⁴⁰ thus pharmacological manipulation of RGS protein activity at these receptors (to decrease activity at 5HT_{2A} receptors and increase activity 5HT_{1A} receptors) may be helpful. However, in addition to these bi-direction effects on serotonin signaling specificity of the RGS protein target is vital since actions at muscarinic receptors, adrenergic α ₂ receptors and histamine H₁ receptors could promote side-effects similar to those of currently available antipsychotic agents.

The splice variant RGS9-2 represents another potentially important target.¹ This RGS protein has been identified as being overexpressed in the striatum of Parkinson's disease patients⁴¹ and in rats after dopamine depletion.⁴² Dopamine D₂ receptors appear to couple specifically to G α ⁴³ and RGS9-2 is a specific GAP for G α -mediated signaling. A study by Gold and colleagues⁴⁴ using a non-human primate model of Parkinson's disease demonstrated that overexpression of RGS9-2 in the striatum reduced the degree of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia compared to control animals, without affecting the anti-parkinsonian effects of the drug; although with the D₂/D₃ agonist ropinirole both responses were reduced. These results further support the idea that interventions in receptor subtype specific signalling events might be used to limit the undesired effects of a drug.

Whereas these cases are representative of the benefits that might come from modulating RGS activity, additional targets will, no doubt, emerge as new physiological roles for RGS proteins are discovered. For example, both RGS9-2 and RGS4 have been implicated as possible targets for the treatment of addiction to opiates, cocaine and amphetamine² and, as mentioned earlier, RGS2 knockout mice exhibit a hypertensive phenotype⁶ and so increasing RGS2 activity might provide an approach for the management of hypertension.

Strategies for development of RGS ligands

RGS proteins as a family, present a variety of intriguing structural properties that could be exploited with small molecules or peptides to modify their function. Interest in modulating RGS activity has lead several groups on discovery pathways in search of RGS ligands. Targeting the RGS-G α interaction surface in the RH domain of the RGS proteins (the so called A site)⁴⁵ is an obvious and direct way of modifying RGS function and has been the subject of several approaches as described below. However, there are other potential “drugable” sites on RGS molecules. The R4 family of RGS proteins consists mainly of the RGS domain and an amphipathic helix and so lack any of the accessory domains found in other RGS families (Table 1 and Figure 3). On the other hand, RGS4 does contain a modulating domain within the RH domain but distal from the G α interaction (A) site termed the “B” site. The phospholipid phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP₃) can bind to this site and inhibit GAP activity, a phenomenon that is blocked by the binding of calmodulin.⁴⁶ The binding of Ca²⁺/Calmodulin to the same B site relieves the inhibition by phospholipids, thereby forming a regulator pair.⁴⁶ Exploiting the B site could provide an avenue for the rational design of small molecules that modulate the function of even the most structurally simple RGS proteins of the R4 family. In spite of this, compounds designed to directly act at this site have not yet been reported.

An alternative approach to developing molecules that alter the GAP activity of RGS proteins is to increase or decrease RGS protein expression levels, and therefore activity. This can potentially be achieved with compounds that modify the metabolism of RGS proteins or their targeting to correct cellular sites. Several of the smaller RGS proteins (RGS2, 4 and 5) are unstable and metabolized by N- end rule degradation.^{47,48} Hence, levels of RGS4 can be markedly increased by inhibition of proteasomal degradation with such compounds as MG132 (N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)leucinylleucinylleucinal) and lactacystin; this results in increased negative modulation of GPCR signaling.⁴⁹ The larger RGS proteins exhibit a greater degree of structural diversity outside the RGS domain with several protein-binding sites necessary for proper targeting and stability that provide alternative ideas for drug design (Figure 3). The R7 family is hallmarked by the presence of G protein Gamma-like (GGL) domain that binds the G protein β 5subunit (G β 5) and a DEP (Dishevelled, Egl-10 and Pleckstrin) domain with a helical extension domain , that binds an R7 binding protein (R7BP) or, in the retina and specifically for RGS9- 1, binds RGS9 anchor protein (R9AP).⁵⁰ Binding of the G β 5 subunit promotes protein stability^{51,52} and binding of R7BP (or R9AP) promotes membrane association and also protects against protein degradation.^{53,54} In the absence of these two binding partners RGS7 family members’ expression levels, localization and therefore function are severely attenuated, highlighting the critical nature of these protein-protein interactions. Targeting such protein-protein binding domains to stabilize or inhibit the interaction of R7 family members with their protein partners provides another potential approach to pharmacological manipulation of RGS protein levels.

To date the common approach toward modulating RGS protein function has focused on disrupting the protein-protein interaction between the A site on RGS proteins and their G α subunit partners, mainly using high-throughput screening methods to identify lead compounds. Such methods include the synthesis of peptide libraries,⁵⁵ yeast-based screening methods for RGS4 and RGSZ1 (RGS20) inhibitors⁵⁶ and flow cytometry protein interaction assays (FCPIA) and/or time-resolved fluorescent resonance energy transfer assays (TR-FRET) focused on RGS4 inhibitors.^{57,59} These efforts have resulted in the identification of both peptide and non-peptide compounds that disrupt RGS activity at G α proteins.

Peptides—The first steps towards the identification of inhibitors were pioneered using rational design of peptides modeled on the Switch 1 region of Gai, one of the three key

regions on inhibitory $G\alpha$ subunits that interact with RGS proteins (Figure 2).²² These peptides mimic a sequence in the switch region (Table 2) so as to prevent RGS- $G\alpha$ interaction.⁶⁰ A constrained cyclic octapeptide YJ34 (Table 2), was found to inhibit the GAP activity of RGS4 with a potency of 26 μ M.⁶¹ When the Gly at position 5 was mutated to Ser to mimic the RGS-sensitive mutation in $G\alpha i1$ the resulting peptide was inactive indicating that YJ34 does indeed bind as designed. Development of the structure-activity relationship of peptides has resulted in compounds with varying potencies to inhibit RGS/ $G\alpha$ interactions.⁶² In furthering the identification of peptide inhibitors Roof et al⁵⁵ used a one-bead one-compound library approach to screen 2.5 million peptide sequences based on the essential features of YJ34, for interaction with an Alexa Fluor 532 labeled N-terminal truncated form of RGS4. Two peptides were identified, one (peptide 2; Table 2) that directly inhibited binding of RGS4 to $G\alpha i1$ and appeared selective for RGS4 being inactive against RGS7, 16 and 19⁵⁵ and one (peptide 5; Table 2) that acted by cysteine modification and was less selective.⁶³ None of the compounds however showed any marked improvement in potency over YJ34, although they did require the disulphide bridge for activity. Using RGS4 as bait in a yeast two-hybrid system to screen a random peptide library Wang and colleagues⁶⁴ identified a unique peptide sequence (P17, Table 2) that blocked the interaction between RGS4 and $G\alpha i1$, with specificity over RGS7, and functionally inhibited RGS4 action. The N-terminal Arg was seen to be essential for activity as was the carboxy Val-Gly.

Because of their instability and poor membrane penetration, including access to CNS targets, peptides are unlikely to lead to marketable drugs. However, advances are being made to progress peptidic molecules to drugs. Such methods include development of glycopeptide analogs⁶⁵ and conjugation to cell penetrating peptides as delivery vehicles.⁶⁶ Nonetheless the major role for these peptide discovery efforts is to provide information on the structural and conformational requirements of the protein-protein interaction site and thereby pave the way for the design of small molecule peptidomimetics.

Small molecules—A yeast-based RGS4 screen utilizing the endogenous pheromone-responsive GPCR signal transduction pathway has been employed to detect modulators of the pathway, and an RGSZ1 screen using yeast two-hybrid technology has been used to detect compounds that disrupted the RGSZ1/ $G\alpha$ interaction.⁵⁶ These yeast-based screens identified a number of compounds with mid to low micromolar potency, some of which exhibited RGS selectivity in follow-up assays. Although structural information on the compounds was not published, these screens represent a unique methodology for high throughput yeast-based screening for RGS inhibitors.

Efforts by our group to identify small molecule RGS4 inhibitors, using FCPIA to determine the interaction between a bead-immobilized RGS protein and a fluorescently labeled $G\alpha o$ binding partner, resulted in the discovery of methyl-*N*-[(4-chlorophenyl)sulfonyl]-4-nitrobenzenesulfonimidoate, **1** (CCG-4986, Figure 4). Compounds that inhibited the RGS/ $G\alpha$ interactions were further validated through GTPase accelerating (GAP) assays. **1** exhibited some specificity for RGS4. Additional studies indicated that the compound functions through an irreversible mechanism by covalently modifying RGS4 at cysteine residues^{58,67} and indeed, activity is lost in the presence of free thiols. More recent work⁵⁹ using both FCPIA and TR-FRET assays has identified two related pyrido[1,2-*a*]pyrimidine derivatives, **2** (CCG-63802) and **3** (CCG-63808, Figure 4), that bind to RGS4 to prevent association with $G\alpha o$ and are 10-fold selective for RGS4 over other, related, RGS proteins. Both **2** and **3** require three of the four cysteines in the amino-acid sequence of RGS4 for full activity, although the action of the compounds is reversed by washing, which suggests that a proposed Michael reaction between the vinyl cyanide and sensitive cysteines on the protein must be reversible. Since two of these cysteines are in the allosteric B site that binds PIP₃ it is postulated that the compounds may, at least partially, act as allosteric modulators. The

1,2,4-thiadiazolidine-3,5,-dione (**4**, CCG-50014; Figure 4) has also been revealed as an inhibitor of RGS4.⁶⁸ The compound is an improvement on the earlier molecules in that it has about 100-fold selectivity for RGS4 over the other R4 family members RGS8 and RGS16, highlighting the fact that it is possible to exploit structural differences even in the RH homology domain of these proteins.³² Moreover, **4** inhibits RGS4 activity in a cell based system, indicating both membrane permeability and stability in a cellular environment. Unfortunately, the action of this compound also involves irreversible binding to cysteine residues in the RGS molecule, since it is inactive against the cysteine-less mutant protein and replacement of the thiadiazolidine-3,5-dione ring with an imidazolidine-2,4-dione ring as in **5** (Figure 4) destroys activity. In-silico docking studies suggest that **4** also binds to the B site, but at some distance from the sensitive cysteine residues. Moreover, RGS4 is not particularly sensitive to non-specific cysteine alkylating agents. Consequently the authors postulate that **4** binds reversibly at first, and this causes a conformational change in RGS4 that brings the thiadiazolidine-dione ring closer to the sensitive SH groups.

As with the peptides, these small molecules that target sulfhydryl groups are useful as tools to probe roles for RGS proteins in physiology and also may help inform on the design of reversible molecules. However, the irreversible mechanism of action probably precludes further development of these particular compounds. On the other hand it should be noted that the proton pump inhibitor omeprazole works, following conversion to a sulfenamide, by covalent cysteine modification of H⁺/K⁺-ATPase.⁶⁹

Fitzgerald and colleagues have discovered other structures that affect G protein signaling and RGS function.⁷⁰ Studies focused on the development of compounds to treat urinary incontinence identified a series of 1,3-diaryl 1,2,4-(4H)-triazol-5-ones that caused relaxation of rat isolated bladder smooth muscle strips by an unknown mechanism. Further investigation of two of these compounds, **6** (BMS-192364) and **7** (BMS-195270, Figure 4), through the use of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, yeast genetics, cell culture experiments and biochemistry, showed that the compounds function to limit Gαq signaling by an action involving RGS proteins downstream of muscarinic GPCRs, and so reduce muscle contraction. The data were most consistent with a mechanism whereby **6** and **7** interact with Gαq/RGS protein complexes and lead to increased binding affinity between the two proteins such that Gαq cannot re-associate with the Gβγ subunits and cannot recycle to renew heterotrimeric G protein substrate for the GPCR. Mechanistically therefore the compounds lead to a “dead-end” Gαq/RGS complex. Analogs with a methyl ether in place of the phenolic OH of the aryl ring in the 1- position were inactive. The discovery of this class of compounds is very promising, in that it provides evidence that targeting downstream (i.e. post-receptor) signaling can be effective for treating conditions that may be triggered by inappropriate receptor activation, as is the case in urinary incontinence. This example, while not the first example of a compound targeting and stabilizing a protein complex, showcases how the G protein/RGS complex dynamic association can be modulated for possible therapeutic benefit.

The peptides and small molecules that have so far been identified as modulating the function of either the RGS protein or the RGS/Gα complex are but initial steps toward developing RGS proteins as a drug target. These moieties represent the beginning stages of establishing RGS pharmacology.

Conclusions and outlook

Targeting RGS proteins presents a unique and challenging paradigm to modulate the intracellular signaling cascades initiated by an activated GPCR. The point of control can be as direct as physically blocking the RGS/Gα protein-protein interaction, or targeting an allosteric domain or indirect by altering the expression levels or localization of an RGS

protein within a cell. Whereas some RGS proteins are expressed almost ubiquitously, others, such as RGS9-2, have discrete expression patterns which can provide for selectivity that can be increased by the specificity of targeting receptor-G protein pairings. Thus targeting RGS proteins with small molecule modulators or inhibitors could provide specific control or treatment of pathophysiological states, such as Parkinson's disease, schizophrenia, addiction, urinary incontinence and hypertension.

As with many attempts to target protein-protein interactions the development of RGS ligands is still at an early stage, with compounds that interfere with these interactions being discovered only comparatively recently. As discovery efforts expand and we learn more about the pharmacology of compounds that alter RGS protein function, the next stages of developing structure-activity relationships and pharmacophore modeling will help guide us toward an understanding of how RGS function can be modulated. These early discovery efforts will serve as a step for the development of novel therapeutic agents.

Acknowledgments

DLR's research is supported by the American Cancer Society (Institutional Research Grant IRG-77-004-31 administered through the Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Iowa), and University of Iowa College of Pharmacy start-up funds. JRT's research on RGS proteins is supported by National Institutes of Health Grant DA04087.

Biography

David Roman is Assistant Professor of Medicinal and Natural Products Chemistry in the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Experimental Therapeutics at the University of Iowa. He is also a member of the Cancer Signaling and Experimental Therapeutics Group in the Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. His research interests include high throughput screening assay development and implementation, G protein coupled receptor signaling as modulated by Regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) proteins, and the response and modification of signaling proteins during oxidative stress.

John Traynor is Professor of Pharmacology and Director of the Substance Abuse Research Center at the University of Michigan. His research centers around G-protein coupled receptors in drug abuse. He is particularly interested in understanding factors that determine efficacy and potency of peptide ligands and small molecules. More recently, he has been studying the importance of accessory proteins, especially Regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) proteins, in modulating G-protein coupled receptor signaling.

Abbreviations

ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
FCPIA	flow cytometry protein interaction assay
GPCR	G protein-coupled receptor
GAP	GTPase Accelerating Activity
Gβ5	G protein β5subunit
GEF	guanine nucleotide exchange factor
GRK	G protein receptor kinase
IP₃	inositol triphosphate

PIP₃	phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate
R7BP	RGS7 binding protein
R9AP	RGS9 anchor protein
RH domain	RGS homology domain
RGS	Regulator of G protein signaling
TR-FRET	time-resolved fluorescent resonance energy transfer

References

1. Traynor JR, Terzi D, Caldarone BJ, Zachariou V. RGS9-2: probing an intracellular modulator of behavior as a drug target. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2009; 30:105–111. [PubMed: 19211160]
2. Traynor JR, Neubig RR. Regulators of G protein signaling & drugs of abuse. *Mol. Interv.* 2005; 5:30–41. [PubMed: 15734717]
3. Talbot JN, Jutkiewicz EM, Graves SM, Clemans CF, Nicol MR, Mortensen RM, Huang X, Neubig RR, Traynor JR. RGS inhibition at G(alpha)i2 selectively potentiates 5-HT1A-mediated antidepressant effects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2010; 107:11086–11091. [PubMed: 20534514]
4. Fu Y, Huang X, Piao L, Lopatin AN, Neubig RR. Endogenous RGS proteins modulate SA and AV nodal functions in isolated heart: implications for sick sinus syndrome and AV block. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2007; 292:H2532–H2539. [PubMed: 17277016]
5. Fu Y, Huang X, Zhong H, Mortensen RM, D'Alecy LG, Neubig RR. Endogenous RGS proteins and Galpha subtypes differentially control muscarinic and adenosine-mediated chronotropic effects. *Circulation Research.* 2006; 98:659–666. [PubMed: 16456099]
6. Heximer SP, Knutsen RH, Sun X, Kaltenbronn KM, Rhee MH, Peng N, Oliveira-dos-Santos A, Penninger JM, Muslin AJ, Steinberg TH, Wyss JM, Mecham RP, Blumer KJ. Hypertension and prolonged vasoconstrictor signaling in RGS2-deficient mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2003; 111:445–452. (correction 1259). [PubMed: 12588882]
7. Huang X, Charbeneau RA, Fu Y, Kaur K, Gerin I, MacDougald OA, Neubig RR. Resistance to diet-induced obesity and improved insulin sensitivity in mice with a regulator of G protein signaling-insensitive G184S Gnai2 allele. *Diabetes.* 2008; 57:77–85. [PubMed: 17928396]
8. Blazer LL, Neubig RR. Small molecule protein-protein interaction inhibitors as CNS therapeutic agents: current progress and future hurdles. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2009; 34:126–141.
9. Gilman AG. G proteins: transducers of receptor-generated signals. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* 1987; 56:615–649. [PubMed: 3113327]
10. Karlsson R, Pedersen ED, Wang Z, Brakebusch C. Rho GTPase function in tumorigenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2009; 1796:91–98. [PubMed: 19327386]
11. Ribas C, Penela P, Murga C, Salcedo A, Garcia-Hoz C, Jurado-Pueyo M, Aymerich I, Mayor F Jr. The G protein-coupled receptor kinase (GRK) interactome: role of GRKs in GPCR regulation and signaling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2007; 1768:913–922. [PubMed: 17084806]
12. Clark MJ, Harrison C, Zhong H, Neubig RR, Traynor JR. Endogenous RGS protein action modulates mu-opioid signaling through Galphao. Effects on adenylyl cyclase, extracellular signal-regulated kinases, and intracellular calcium pathways. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003; 278:9418–9425. [PubMed: 12524446]
13. Talbot JN, Roman DL, Clark MJ, Roof RA, Tesmer JJ, Neubig RR, Traynor JR. Differential modulation of mu-opioid receptor signaling to adenylyl cyclase by regulators of G protein signaling proteins 4 or 8 and 7 in permeabilised C6 cells is Galpha subtype dependent. *J. Neurochem.* 2010; 112:1024–1036.
14. Oliveira-Dos-Santos AJ, Matsumoto G, Snow BE, Bai D, Houston FP, Whishaw IQ, Mariathasan S, Sasaki T, Wakeham A, Ohashi PS, Roder JC, Barnes CA, Siderovski DP, Penninger JM. Regulation of T cell activation, anxiety, and male aggression by RGS2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2000; 97:12272–12277. [PubMed: 11027316]

15. Tang KM, Wang GR, Lu P, Karas RH, Aronovitz M, Heximer SP, Kaltenbronn KM, Blumer KJ, Siderovski DP, Zhu Y, Mendelsohn ME. Regulator of G-protein signaling-2 mediates vascular smooth muscle relaxation and blood pressure. *Nature Medicine*. 2003; 9:1506–1512.
16. Grillet N, Pattyn A, Contet C, Kieffer BL, Goridis C, Brunet JF. Generation and characterization of RGS4 mutant mice. *Mol. Cell Biol*. 2005; 25:4221–4228. [PubMed: 15870291]
17. Chen CK, Burns ME, He W, Wensel TG, Baylor DA, Simon MI. Slowed recovery of rod photoresponse in mice lacking the GTPase accelerating protein RGS9-1. *Nature*. 2000; 403:557–560. [PubMed: 10676965]
18. Zachariou V, Georgescu D, Sanchez N, Rahman Z, DiLeone R, Berton O, Neve RL, Sim-Selley LJ, Selley DE, Gold SJ, Nestler EJ. Essential role for RGS9 in opiate action. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2003; 100:13656–13661. [PubMed: 14595021]
19. Han MH, Renthal W, Ring RH, Rahman Z, Psifogeorgou K, Howland D, Birnbaum S, Young K, Neve R, Nestler EJ, Zachariou V. Brain Region Specific Actions of Regulator of G Protein Signaling 4 Oppose Morphine Reward and Dependence but Promote Analgesia. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:761–769. [PubMed: 19914603]
20. Huang X, Fu Y, Charbeneau RA, Saunders TL, Taylor DK, Hankenson KD, Russell MW, D'Alecy LG, Neubig RR. Pleiotropic phenotype of a genomic knock-in of an RGS-insensitive G184S Gnai2 allele. *Mol. Cell Biol*. 2006; 26:6870–6879. [PubMed: 16943428]
21. Lan KL, Sarvazyan NA, Taussig R, Mackenzie RG, DiBello PR, Dohlman HG, Neubig RR. A point mutation in Galphao and Galphai1 blocks interaction with regulator of G protein signaling proteins. *J. Biol. Chem*. 1998; 273:12794–12797. [PubMed: 9582306]
22. Tesmer JJ, Berman DM, Gilman AG, Sprang SR. Structure of RGS4 bound to AIF4--activated G(i alpha1): stabilization of the transition state for GTP hydrolysis. *Cell*. 1997; 89:251–261. [PubMed: 9108480]
23. Gold SJ, Ni YG, Dohlman HG, Nestler EJ. Regulators of G-protein signaling (RGS) proteins: region-specific expression of nine subtypes in rat brain. *J. Neurosci*. 1997; 17:8024–8037. [PubMed: 9315921]
24. Rahman Z, Gold SJ, Potenza MN, Cowan CW, Ni YG, He W, Wensel TG, Nestler EJ. Cloning and characterization of RGS9-2: a striatal-enriched alternatively spliced product of the RGS9 gene. *J. Neurosci*. 1999; 19:2016–2026. [PubMed: 10066255]
25. Rahman Z, Schwarz J, Gold SJ, Zachariou V, Wein MN, Choi KH, Kovoov A, Chen CK, DiLeone RJ, Schwarz SC, Selley DE, Sim-Selley LJ, Barrot M, Luedtke RR, Self D, Neve RL, Lester HA, Simon MI, Nestler EJ. RGS9 modulates dopamine signaling in the basal ganglia. *Neuron*. 2003; 38:941–952. [PubMed: 12818179]
26. Zhang K, Howes KA, He W, Bronson JD, Pettenati MJ, Chen C, Palczewski K, Wensel TG, Baehr W. Structure, alternative splicing, and expression of the human RGS9 gene. *Gene*. 1999; 240:23–34. [PubMed: 10564809]
27. Roy AA, Lemberg KE, Chidiac P. Recruitment of RGS2 and RGS4 to the plasma membrane by G proteins and receptors reflects functional interactions. *Mol. Pharmacol*. 2003; 64:587–593. [PubMed: 12920194]
28. Wang Q, Liu M, Mullah B, Siderovski DP, Neubig RR. Receptor-selective effects of endogenous RGS3 and RGS5 to regulate mitogen-activated protein kinase activation in rat vascular smooth muscle cells. *J. Biol. Chem*. 2002; 277:24949–24958. [PubMed: 12006602]
29. Neill JD, Duck LW, Sellers JC, Musgrove LC, Scheschonka A, Druey KM, Kehrl JH. Potential role for a regulator of G protein signaling (RGS3) in gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) stimulated desensitization. *Endocrinology*. 1997; 138:843–846. [PubMed: 9003025]
30. Zeng W, Xu X, Popov S, Mukhopadhyay S, Chidiac P, Swistok J, Danho W, Yagaloff KA, Fisher SL, Ross EM, Muallem S, Wilkie TM. The N-terminal domain of RGS4 confers receptor-selective inhibition of G protein signaling. *J. Biol. Chem*. 1998; 273:34687–34690. [PubMed: 9856989]
31. Wang Q, Liu-Chen LY, Traynor JR. Differential modulation of mu and delta opioid receptor agonists by endogenous RGS4 protein in SH-SY5Y cells. *J. Biol. Chem*. 2009; 284:18357–18357. [PubMed: 19416973]
32. Soundararajan M, Willard FS, Kimple AJ, Turnbull AP, Ball LJ, Schoch GA, Gileadi C, Fedorov OY, Dowler EF, Higman VA, Hutsell SQ, Sundstrom M, Doyle DA, Siderovski DP. Structural

- diversity in the RGS domain and its interaction with heterotrimeric G protein α -subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 2008; 105:6457–6462. [PubMed: 18434541]
33. Papachatzaki MM, Antal Z, Terzi D, Szucs P, Zachariou V, Antal M. RGS9-2 modulates nociceptive behaviour and opioid-mediated synaptic transmission in the spinal dorsal horn. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2011; 501:31–34. [PubMed: 21741448]
34. Psifogeorgou K, Terzi D, Papachatzaki MM, Varidaki A, Ferguson D, Gold SJ, Zachariou V. A unique role of RGS9-2 in the striatum as a positive or negative regulator of opiate analgesia. *J Neurosci.* 2010; 31:5617–5624. [PubMed: 21490202]
35. Garnier M, Zaratini PF, Ficalora G, Valente M, Fontanella L, Rhee MH, Blumer KJ, Scheideler MA. Up-regulation of regulator of G protein signaling 4 expression in a model of neuropathic pain and insensitivity to morphine. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2003; 304:1299–1306. [PubMed: 12604710]
36. Costigan M, Samad TA, Allchorne A, Lanoue C, Tate S, Woolf CJ. High basal expression and injury-induced down regulation of two regulator of G-protein signaling transcripts, RGS3 and RGS4 in primary sensory neurons. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 2003; 24:106–116. [PubMed: 14550772]
37. Erdely HA, Tamminga CA, Roberts RC, Vogel MW. Regional alterations in RGS4 protein in schizophrenia. *Synapse.* 2006; 59:472–479. [PubMed: 16565965]
38. Gu Z, Jiang Q, Yan Z. RGS4 modulates serotonin signaling in prefrontal cortex and links to serotonin dysfunction in a rat model of schizophrenia. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2007; 71:1030–1039. [PubMed: 17220354]
39. Bantick RA, Deakin JF, Grasby PM. The 5-HT_{1A} receptor in schizophrenia: a promising target for novel atypical neuroleptics? *J. Psychopharm.* 2001; 15:37–46.
40. Newman-Tancredi A, Kleven MS. Comparative pharmacology of antipsychotics possessing combined dopamine D₂ and serotonin 5HT_{1A} receptor properties. *Psychopharmacol.* 2010; 216:451–473.
41. Tekumalla PK, Calon F, Rahman Z, Birdi S, Rajput AH, Hornykiewicz O, Di Paolo T, Bedard PJ, Nestler EJ. Elevated levels of DeltaFosB and RGS9 in striatum in Parkinson's disease. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2001; 50:813–816. [PubMed: 11720701]
42. Geurts M, Maloteaux JM, Hermans E. Altered expression of regulators of G-protein signaling (RGS) mRNAs in the striatum of rats undergoing dopamine depletion. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2003; 66:1163–1170. [PubMed: 14505795]
43. Jiang M, Spicher K, Boulay G, Wang Y, Birnbaumer L. Most central nervous system D₂ dopamine receptors are coupled to their effectors by Go. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2001; 98:3577–3582. [PubMed: 11248120]
44. Gold SJ, Hoang CV, Potts BW, Porras G, Pioli E, Kim KW, Nadjar A, Qin C, LaHoste GJ, Li Q, Bioulac BH, Waugh JL, Gurevich E, Neve RL, Bezard E. RGS9-2 Negatively Modulates 1-3,4-Dihydroxyphenylalanine-Induced Dyskinesia in Experimental Parkinson's Disease. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27:14338–14348. [PubMed: 18160641]
45. Zhong H, Neubig RR. Regulator of G protein signaling proteins: novel multifunctional drug targets. *J. Pharmacol. Expt. Ther.* 2001; 297:837–845.
46. Ishii M, Fujita S, Yamada M, Hosaka Y, Kurachi Y. Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate and Ca²⁺/calmodulin competitively bind to the regulators of G-protein-signalling (RGS) domain of RGS4 and reciprocally regulate its action. *J. Biochem.* 2005; 385:65–73.
47. Bodenstein J, Sunahara RK, Neubig RR. N-terminal residues control proteasomal degradation of RGS2, RGS4, and RGS5 in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2007; 71:1040–1050. [PubMed: 17220356]
48. Davydov IV, Varshavsky A. RGS4 is arginylated and degraded by the N-end rule pathway in vitro. *J. Biochem.* 2000; 275:22931–22941.
49. Wang Q, Traynor JR. Opioid-induced down-regulation of RGS4: role of ubiquitination and implications for receptor cross-talk. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011; 286:7854–7864. [PubMed: 21209077]
50. Jayaraman M, Zhou H, Jia L, Cain MD, Blumer KJ. RPAP and R7BP: traffic cops for the RGS7 family in phototransduction and neuronal GPCR signaling. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2008; 30:17–24. [PubMed: 19042037]

51. Witherow DS, Wang Q, Levay K, Cabrera JL, Chen J, Willars GB, Slepak VZ. Complexes of the G Protein Subunit Ga5 with the Regulators of G Protein Signaling RGS7 and RGS9. *J. Biochem.* 2000; 275:24872–24880.
52. Chen CK, Eversole-Cire P, Zhang H, Mancino V, Chen YJ, He W, Wensel TG, Simon MI. Instability of GGL domain-containing RGS proteins in mice lacking the G protein beta-subunit Gbeta5. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 2003; 100:6604–6609. [PubMed: 12738888]
53. Martemyanov KA, Yoo PJ, Skiba NP, Arshavsky VY. R7BP, a novel neuronal protein interacting with RGS proteins of the R7 family. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005; 280:5133–5136. [PubMed: 15632198]
54. Drenan RM, Doupnik CA, Boyle MP, Muglia LJ, Huettner JE, Linder ME, Blumer KJ. Palmitoylation regulates plasma membrane-nuclear shuttling of R7BP, a novel membrane anchor for the RGS7 family. *J. Cell Biol.* 2005; 169:623–633. [PubMed: 15897264]
55. Roof RA, Sobczyk-Kojiro K, Turbiak AJ, Roman DL, Pogozheva ID, Blazer LL, Neubig RR, Mosberg HI. Novel peptide ligands of RGS4 from a focused one-bead, one-compound library. *Chem. Biol. Drug Design.* 2008; 72:111–119.
56. Young KH, Wang Y, Bender C, Ajit S, Ramirez F, Gilbert A, Nieuwenhuijsen BW. Yeast-based screening for inhibitors of RGS proteins. *Methods in Enzymol.* 2004; 389:277–301. [PubMed: 15313572]
57. Roman DL, Talbot JN, Roof RA, Sunahara RK, Traynor JR, Neubig RR. Identification of small-molecule inhibitors of RGS4 using a high-throughput flow cytometry protein interaction assay. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2007; 71:169–175. [PubMed: 17012620]
58. Roman D, Blazer LL, Monroy CA, Neubig RR. Allosteric Inhibition of the RGS-Galpha Protein-Protein Interaction by CCG-4986. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2010; 78:360–365. [PubMed: 20530129]
59. Blazer LL, Roman DL, Chung A, Larsen M, Greedy B, Husbands S, Neubig RR. Reversible, allosteric, small-molecule inhibitors of RGS proteins. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2010; 78:524–533. [PubMed: 20571077]
60. Jin Y, Zhong H, Omnaas JR, Neubig RR, Mosberg HI. Structure-based design, synthesis, and activity of peptide inhibitors of RGS4 GAP activity. *Methods in Enzymol.* 2004; 389:266–277. [PubMed: 15313571]
61. Jin Y, Zhong H, Omnaas JR, Neubig RR, Mosberg HI. Structure-based design, synthesis, and pharmacologic evaluation of peptide RGS4 inhibitors. *J. Pept. Res.* 2004; 63:141–146. [PubMed: 15009535]
62. Roof RA, Jin Y, Roman DL, Sunahara RK, Ishii M, Mosberg HI, Neubig RR. Mechanism of action and structural requirements of constrained peptide inhibitors of RGS proteins. *Chem. Biol. Drug Design.* 2006; 67:266–274.
63. Roof RA, Roman DL, Clements ST, Sobczyk-Kojiro K, Blazer LL, Ota S, Mosberg HI, Neubig RR. A covalent peptide inhibitor of RGS4 identified in a focused one-bead, one compound library screen. *BMC Pharmacol.* 2009; 9:9. [PubMed: 19463173]
64. Wang Y, Lee Y, Zhang J, Young KH. Identification of peptides that inhibit regulator of G protein signaling 4 function. *Pharmacology.* 2008; 82:97–104. [PubMed: 18547979]
65. Dhanasekaran M, Keyari CM, Polt RL. Glycosylated neuropeptides: A new vista for neuropsychopharmacology? *Med. Res. Rev.* 2005; 25:557–585. [PubMed: 16075406]
66. Hansen, M.; Eriste, E.; Langel, U. The internalization mechanisms and bioactivity of the cell penetrating peptides.. In: Groner, B., editor. *Peptides as Drugs: Discovery and Development.* Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2009. p. 125-143.
67. Kimple AJ, Willard FS, Giguere PM, Johnston CA, Mocanu V, Siderovski DP. The RGS protein inhibitor CCG-4986 is a covalent modifier of the RGS4 Galpha-interaction face. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2007; 1774:1213–1220. [PubMed: 17660054]
68. Blazer LL, Zhang H, Casey EM, Husbands SM, Neubig RR. A nanomolar-potency small molecule inhibitor of regulator of G-protein signaling proteins. *Biochemistry.* 2011; 50:3181–3192. [PubMed: 21329361]
69. Hersey SJ, Sachs G. Gastric acid secretion. *Physiol. Rev.* 1995; 75(Suppl.1):155–189. [PubMed: 7831396]
70. Fitzgerald K, Tertyshnikova S, Moore L, Bjerke L, Burley B, Cao J, Carroll P, Choy R, Doberstein S, Dubaquié Y, Franke Y, Kopczynski J, Korswagen H, Krystek SR, Lodge NJ, Plasterk R,

Starrett J, Stouch T, Thalody G, Wayne H, van der Linden A, Zhang Y, Walker SG, Cockett M, Wardwell-Swanson J, Ross-Macdonald P, Kindt RM. Chemical genetics reveals an RGS/G-protein role in the action of a compound. PLoS Genetics. 2006; 2.4:425.

Figure 1.

The G Protein Cycle. After activation, GTP bound to the $G\alpha$ subunit is hydrolyzed to GDP by the intrinsic GTPase of $G\alpha$. This allows for recombination of the $G\alpha$ and $G\beta\gamma$ subunits and termination of signaling. RGS proteins bind to the activated $G\alpha$ -GTP subunit to accelerate the hydrolysis of bound GTP (GAP activity) and thereby act as negative modulators by increasing the rate of inactivation of $G\alpha$ -GTP and $G\beta\gamma$.

Figure 2. Structure of RGS4 (green) bound to Gαi1 (maroon)²² from the RCSB (Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics) PDB database (www.pdb.org) generated using PyMOL (www.pymol.org).

Figure 3.

Domain arrangement of three RGS protein families. The R4 family, to which RGS4 belongs, is the simplest with the RH domain and an N-terminal amphipathic helix. The R7 family, to which RGS9 belongs, contains a DEP (Dishevelled/EGL-10/Pleckstrin) domain with a helical extension domain (DHEX) that bind R7BP (R7 binding protein) or, for RGS9 specifically in the retina R9AP (RGS9 anchor protein), that direct cellular localization and increase stability, a GGL or G protein γ -like domain that binds G β subunits, as well as the conserved RH domain. RGS12 is a member of the R12 family, that possesses a PDZ domain, a phosphotyrosine binding domain (PTB) and dual Ras binding domains (RBDs); the GoLoco domain binds GDP-bound G α subunits, acting as a guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor or GDI.

Figure 4.
Small molecules that affect RGS protein function.

Table 1**RGS Protein Families**

Family	Members	Accessory Domains^a
Classical RGS Proteins		
RZ	17, 19, 20 ^b	N-terminal cysteine string
R4	1,2,3 ^c , 4,5, 8,13, 16, 18, 21	N-terminal amphipathic helix
R7	6, 7, 9, 11	GGL, DEP, DHEX
R12	10, 12, 14	GoLoco, RBD, PDZ
Proteins Containing RGS Homology (RH) Domains		
RA	Axin, Conduction	GSK, CAT, PP2A, DIX
RL	p63RhoGEF, p115 RhoGEF, GRK2, GRK3	DH, PH Ser/Thr Kinase, PH

^a CAT = β -catenin binding site; DEP = Disheveled, EGL-10, Pleckstrin homology domain; DH = Dbl-homology (RhoGEF) domain; DHEX, DEP helical extension domain; GEF, guanine-nucleotide-exchange factor; GGL= G protein γ -like domain; GoLoco = $G\alpha_{i/o}$ -GDP binding motif; GRK = G-protein receptor kinase; GSK = glycogen synthase kinase 3 β -binding domain; PDZ = PSD95/Dlg/ZO-1 domain; PH = Pleckstrin homology domain; PP2A = protein phosphatase 2A homology region; RBD = Rap1/2-binding domain; DIX = dimerization domain.

^b RGS 17 and 20 are also known as RGSZ2 and RGSZ1 respectively; RGS20 is also known as GAIP (G α -interacting protein).

^c RGS3 has splice variants some of which include a PDZ domain.

Table 2

Peptide inhibitors of RGS GAP Activity

Peptide	Sequence	Reference
Gai switch region	-Val-Lys-Thr-Thr-Gly-Ile-Val-Glu	
YJ34	Ac- Val-Lys-c[Cys-Thr-Gly-Ile-Cys]-Glu-NH ₂	60
Compound 2	Gly-Thr-c[Cys-Phe-Gly-Thr-Cys]-Trp-NH ₂	55
Compound 5	Tyr-Trp-c[Cys-Lys-Gly-Leu-Cys]-Lys-NH ₂	63
Compound P17	Val-Arg-His-Val-Ala-Val-Glu-Val-Gly-Gly-Val-Val-Val-Val-Val-Gly	64