Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Microbiol. 2010 February ; 13(1): 4–10. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2009.11.002.

Acquisition of nutrients by *Chlamydiae*: unique challenges of living in an intracellular compartment

Hector Alex Saka and Raphael H. Valdivia

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, and Center for Microbial Pathogenesis, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC27710 Box 3580

Summary

The *Chlamydiae* are obligate intracellular pathogen that replicate within a membrane-bound vacuole, termed the “inclusion”. From this compartment, bacteria acquire essential nutrients by selectively redirecting transport vesicles and hijacking intracellular organelles. Re-routing is achieved by several mechanisms including proteolysis-mediated fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus, recruitment of Rab GTPases and SNAREs, and translocation of cytoplasmic organelles into the inclusion lumen. Given *Chlamydiae*’s extended co-evolution with eukaryotic cells, it is likely that co-option of multiple cellular pathways is a strategy to provide redundancy in the acquisition of essential nutrients from the host and has contributed to the success of these highly adapted pathogens.

Introduction

The *Chlamydiaceae* comprise a distinct family of closely related, obligate intracellular bacteria that diverged early in evolution and parasitize a wide range of hosts [1]. The human pathogens are widely distributed and include *Chlamydia trachomatis* (leading cause of genital infections and infectious blindness), *C. pneumoniae* (common cause of respiratory tract infections and community-acquired pneumonia), and *C. psittaci* (a zoonotic pathogen that can cause severe pneumonia in humans) [2–6].

All *Chlamydiaceae* undergo a biphasic developmental cycle involving the infectious and environmentally resistant form or elementary body (EB), and the replicative, non-infectious form or reticulate body (RB). After entering their target eukaryotic cells, EBs differentiate into RBs, and replicate within a membrane-bound parasitophorous vacuole, termed the “inclusion”. Finally, RBs differentiate back into EBs, which are released to the extracellular medium to infect neighboring cells [7].

Chlamydia species have undergone massive genome condensation and lack in several biosynthetic pathways [8–12], suggesting that they have acquired compensatory mechanisms to allow the import and incorporation of nucleotides, amino acids, lipids and other nutrients from the host cell [13–18]. Though it is a crucial step in their pathogenesis, the molecular mechanisms used by *Chlamydia* species to acquire nutrients are poorly

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

For correspondence: valdi001@mc.duke.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

characterized largely due to the lack of a system for mutational analysis and cell-free cultivation methods.

In this review, we discuss our understanding of *Chlamydia* nutrient acquisition pathways, based on information from genome sequencing and new cell biological observations detailing the extensive interactions between the inclusion and host organelles.

Nutrient uptake systems

The current estimation of *Chlamydia*'s metabolic capacity is founded on hints from genomic sequencing. As for any living organism, *Chlamydia* needs pyrimidine and purine nucleotides for energy transduction and nucleic acid biosynthesis [19,20], but is unable to synthesize them *de novo*. *Chlamydia* encodes enzymes that can generate ATP via substrate level phosphorylation, and CTP from UTP through a CTP synthetase; however, these organisms still require host cell-derived ATP, GTP and UTP [10,12,14,15,21,22]. The bacteria import these nucleotides by an unusual transport system that is found only in a small number of obligate intracellular bacteria and plant plastids [23–25]. *C. trachomatis* has at least two nucleotide transport proteins (Npt): Npt1 and Npt2 [26]. Npt1 mediates the import of ATP from the host cell into the bacteria coupled with the export of ADP. Npt2 catalyzes the uptake of GTP, UTP, CTP and ATP, in a proton-dependent manner [26]. These transport systems are found in several *Chlamydia* species, *Chlamydia*-related amoeba symbionts, and *Rickettsia* [8,12,26–29]. *Chlamydia* species differ in their ability to metabolize nucleotides. For instance, *C. muridarum* harbors *guaAB-add* and *upp* genes whose predicted products enable ATP to GTP conversion and the uracil phosphoribosyl transferase-mediated biosynthesis of UTP from uracil, respectively. *C. pneumoniae* encodes *udk*, which may mediate uridine kinase-dependent synthesis of UTP from uracil, a UMP synthetase (PyrE), and a non-functional *guaAB-add* operon [11,12]. *C. caviae* encodes an intact *guaAB-add* operon and *pyrE* [11]. *Chlamydia* also lacks the components for NAD⁺ synthesis, indicating that this essential molecule must be scavenged from the host as has been demonstrated in the amoeba symbiont *Parachlamydia* UWE25 [23].

Genomic comparisons reveal that *Chlamydia* has several incomplete amino acid biosynthesis pathways [8,10–12,30]. Not surprisingly, *Chlamydia* also contains several amino acid transporters including *aat* (neutral amino acid transporter), *xasA* (amino acid antiporter), *brnQ*-like (branched amino acid transporter), and a substantial number of ABC transporters (at least 13 in *C. trachomatis*) that are likely associated with amino acid and oligopeptide transport [8,10–12,31]. The regulation of tryptophan biosynthesis is of particular interest for *Chlamydia* infections. Interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) secreted by immune cells activates indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which inhibits chlamydial replication by depleting intracellular pools of tryptophan [32]. The ability of different *Chlamydia* species to synthesize or to acquire tryptophan precursors correlates with their susceptibility to IFN- γ -mediated killing and is linked to tissue tropism [33–35]. IFN- γ inhibits the replication of *C. pneumoniae*, *C. muridarum*, and ocular strains of *C. trachomatis*, but has limited effect on genital strains that contain genes for converting scavenged indole tryptophan [33]. Indeed, the anti-chlamydial activity of IFN- γ in human cells can be abrogated *in vitro* by addition of tryptophan to the culture media [35]. Although *Chlamydia* has several efficient and specialized uptake systems, these systems are confined to bacterial membranes. How nutrients cross from the host cytoplasm through the inclusion membrane, which is not permissive to the diffusion of molecules > 520 Da [36], is largely unknown.

Co-option of host organelles and trafficking pathways promote delivery of nutrients to the inclusion

One potential mechanism for nutrient acquisition may involve the interception of vesicular transport intermediates. Even though the chlamydial inclusion is predominantly segregated from classical endo/lysosomal transport pathways, it can exploit membrane trafficking events [37–44] and host lipases [45] to acquire lipids. The molecular basis for these events is unclear, but a subset of Rab GTPases and SNARE proteins, which regulate membrane trafficking, are recruited to the inclusion membrane [46–50]. Indeed, recent findings indicate that interaction with multivesicular bodies, the Golgi-apparatus, mitochondria, and lipid droplets, are required for optimal chlamydial replication [51–57] and suggests that membrane fusion events between host-derived vesicles and the inclusion may deliver nutrients to *Chlamydia*.

Interception of exocytic vesicles

Chlamydia acquires eukaryote-specific lipids [58] from the host cell. Sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide and cholesterol are delivered to the inclusion, and subsequently incorporated into chlamydial membranes [43–45,59,60]. Cholesterol and sphingolipid transport to *C. trachomatis* are brefeldin A-sensitive and microtubule-dependent. Since brefeldin A inhibits anterograde vesicular traffic from the Golgi, Golgi-derived exocytic vesicles are likely involved in the delivery of these lipids to the bacteria. Furthermore, chlamydial protein synthesis is required for sphingomyelin uptake, suggesting that co-option of these vesicles is a bacteria-driven process [59]. The utilization of exocytic pathways is more pronounced when analyzing *Chlamydia* infection of polarized epithelial cells [40]. In these cells sphingomyelin, but not glucosylceramide, is retained by the *Chlamydia* inclusion and EBs due to preferential interception of basolaterally trafficked exocytic vesicles. However, the bulk protein cargo normally present within Golgi-derived secretory vesicles does not appear to accumulate in the inclusion, suggesting that a subset of vesicles may be preferentially targeted. The requirement of Golgi-derived vesicles for chlamydial replication is controversial because brefeldin A does not inhibit chlamydial replication [43]. However, RNAi screens identified COPI coat proteins as important for chlamydial growth [57,61]. The exact role of COPI in bacterial survival, remains to be determined. It is possible that a subpopulation of brefeldin A-insensitive COPI vesicles deliver nutrients to the inclusion, or that other COPI-dependent membrane trafficking events (endosomal sorting, lipid droplet biogenesis) are required by *Chlamydia*. Recently, the GPI-anchored plasma membrane protein CD59 was found to traffic to the inclusion in a brefeldin A-insensitive manner, which suggests that *Chlamydia* also exploits Golgi-independent pathways to acquire nutrients [62].

Chlamydia-mediated reprogramming of the Golgi apparatus

The Golgi-apparatus processes and sorts newly synthesized proteins and lipids to their subcellular destinations [63]. Recent findings by Heuer and coworkers detail the importance of Golgi architecture in *C. trachomatis* replication [53]. At early stages of infection, Golgi ribbon-like structures of normal morphology are found closely associated with the chlamydial inclusion. These structures progressively fragment into ministacks during the course of infection and fragmentation correlates with the cleavage of the Golgi protein golgin-84. The processing of golgin-84 is sensitive to caspase and calpain inhibitors, but it is unclear if secreted bacterial proteases also contribute to this process. Nonetheless, disruption of golgin-84 processing with the caspase inhibitor, Z-WEHD-FMK impaired both bacterial replication and sphingolipid transport into the inclusion. Moreover, when Golgi-fragmentation was induced by knockdown of different Golgi matrix proteins, bacterial replication was increased. These results demonstrate that Golgi-fragmentation enhances

chlamydial replication and is required for the efficient transport of sphingolipids into the inclusion.

Recruitment of Rab GTPases and SNARE proteins: subversion of vesicular trafficking

Rab GTPases are pivotal regulators of membrane trafficking processes and organelle identity [64] and SNARE proteins are key components of the intracellular membrane fusion machinery [65]. Scidmore and colleagues were the first to show that a subset Rab GTPases are recruited to the inclusion [46]. Rab1, 4 and 11 are recruited to inclusions of all chlamydial species tested, while recruitment of Rab6 is species-specific for *C. trachomatis* and Rab10 associates with both *C. pneumoniae* and *C. muridarum*. In addition, in RNAi screens several Rabs have been identified as important factors required for *Chlamydia* replication and development [57,61]. Recent observations indicate that this is partially due to the role of Rab GTPases in remodeling the Golgi-apparatus [54]. Depletion of Rab6 or Rab11 by RNAi inhibited *Chlamydia*-induced Golgi-fragmentation despite processing of golgin-84, suggesting that these Rabs act downstream of golgin-84 cleavage. Interestingly, the impairment of chlamydial replication in Rab6 or Rab11 silenced cells is reversed when Golgi-fragmentation is induced through disruption of the Golgi-tethering protein p115. These findings provide additional evidence for a functional link between Golgi-fragmentation and chlamydial replication.

How *Chlamydia* mediates recruitment of Rab proteins is not fully understood. A family of integral inclusion membrane proteins (Incs) are attractive candidates for bacterial factors that may facilitate interaction between Rabs and the inclusion membrane [66]. The *C. trachomatis* Inc CT229 interacts with Rab4 [47], and the *C. pneumoniae* inclusion membrane protein Cpn0585 interacts with Rabs 1, 10, and 11 [48]. These interactions are important for bacterial development as ectopic overexpression of Cpn0585 in *C. pneumoniae*-infected cells [48] or the microinjection of anti-CT229 in *C. trachomatis*-infected cells [47] negatively impact bacterial replication.

Like Rab GTPases, a group of SNARE proteins is targeted to the inclusion. Subtil and coworkers determined that the R-SNAREs Vamp3, Vamp7, and Vamp8 preferentially localize around the inclusion [49]. Through bioinformatics and structural modeling, SNARE-like motifs were identified in at least three *C. trachomatis* Incs (IncA, CT813 and CT223) suggesting the potential for interaction with host SNAREs. IncA interacts with Vamp3, Vamp7 and Vamp8, and SNARE recruitment to the inclusion is reduced in *C. trachomatis* isolates lacking IncA. Furthermore, ectopically expressed CT813 interacts with Vamp-7 and Vamp-8 in co-immunoprecipitation assays. Importantly, the SNARE-like motif of these proteins was essential for these interactions. More recently, recombinant IncA was shown to block SNARE-mediated membrane fusion in an *in vitro* liposome fusion assay [50]. These findings lead to the speculation that *Chlamydia* subverts host SNARE-mediated fusion events by expressing inhibitory SNARE-like proteins [50].

Modulation of signaling pathways

Chlamydial membranes contain lipid species that are normally associated with eukaryotic membranes [67]. Using CHO cell lines deficient in various phospholipid components, the lipid composition of chlamydial membranes was found to closely mimic that of the host [67]. The bacteria modify host-derived glycerophospholipids by replacing the straight chain fatty acid at the *sn2* position with a bacteria-derived branched chain fatty acid [17]. The *sn2* deacylation of phospholipids requires phospholipase A2 (PLA2) activity. Because chlamydial genomes do not encode any obvious PLA2 homologues, it is speculated that *Chlamydia* relies on a host PLA2 [45]. In support of this, pharmacological inhibition of the Ca²⁺-dependent cytosolic PLA2 (cPLA2) prevents *Chlamydia* uptake of host-derived

phospholipids and severely limits replication [45]. Furthermore, cPLA2 is activated by the extracellular signal-regulated map kinase (ERK1/2) which is fully activated during chlamydial infections [45]. These results suggest that *Chlamydia* manipulates ERK/cPLA2 signaling pathways to facilitate the acquisition of glycerophospholipids. However, this story is likely more complex than originally proposed, as components of these signaling pathways have not been recognized as necessary for infection by RNAi-based screens. Indeed, we have found through genetic ablation experiments that cPLA2 has an innate immune signaling function in *Chlamydia*-infected cells, and that these processes vary between host cell species (unpublished observation).

Targeting of endosomal compartments

Multivesicular bodies (MVB) are a specialized subset of late endosomes involved in the sorting of cargo proteins and lipids to lysosomes for degradation [68,69]. By immunofluorescence and immunoelectron microscopy, two different MVB markers, CD63 and MLN64, and the lipid LBPA (lysobisphosphatidic acid, highly enriched in intra-luminal vesicles of MVBs) were found in the inclusion lumen. Inhibition of MVB biogenesis with pharmacological inhibitors or by exogenous addition of anti-CD63 antibodies impairs chlamydial replication and disrupts the traffic of sphingomyelin and cholesterol into the inclusion [51,52]. However, RNAi-mediated silencing of CD63 synthesis does not prevent MVB interactions with the inclusion [52], and MVB components have not been identified in the host genome RNAi screens [57,61]. It is likely that Golgi and MVBs partially overlap in their ability to deliver essential nutrients to replicating *Chlamydia*. This would explain why disruption of individual components of either pathway is not sufficient to inhibit chlamydial replication.

Co-option of lipid droplets

Lipid droplets (LD) are endoplasmic reticulum-derived organelles composed of a neutral lipid core surrounded by a phospholipid monolayer [70]. These lipid storage compartments are being increasingly recognized as dynamic organelles involved in a multiple biological processes [71]. These organelles proliferate and are recruited to the periphery of the inclusion during *Chlamydia* infection [55,56]. Three chlamydial proteins (Lda1 to Lda3) were identified as potentially involved in targeting LDs based on their ability to localize to LDs when expressed exogenously in mammalian cells [55]. Strikingly, LDs enter into the inclusion lumen [56]. These observations are significant because they demonstrate that intact organelles can be translocated into the inclusion and that vesicle fusion is not the only means to deliver potential nutrients into the inclusion lumen. What role these organelles play in *Chlamydia* biology is less clear, although pharmacological inhibition of neutral lipid biosynthesis, and thus LD biogenesis, impairs chlamydial development, suggesting a function for these organelles in *Chlamydia* pathogenesis [55].

Conclusions

In contrast with the numerous trafficking pathways intercepted by *Chlamydiae* during infection contrasts, little is known about the bacterial factors which mediate these processes. The molecular underpinnings of these complex host-pathogen interactions may be difficult to decipher without the development of a robust system for mutational analysis in *Chlamydiae*. Here we reviewed how some of the most recent cell biological findings present new potential mechanisms for *Chlamydia* acquisition of nutrients while sequestered in an intracellular vacuole (Figure 1). Like many highly adapted and successful pathogens we predict that *Chlamydia* has evolved to tap several redundant mechanisms to obtain nutrients. However, it should also be noted that the host cell is not a passive partner in this interaction and actively seeks to destroy the microbial invader. Therefore, the rerouting of membrane

and protein transport events cannot be solely viewed as a “feeding” mechanism but also as bacterial countermeasure against innate immune functions.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. Cocchiario and J.D. Dunn for comments and feedback on this manuscript. Due to space limitations, not all contributions to this field could be included in this review and we apologize to those investigators whose work was not cited. Work in our laboratory is supported by grants from the NIH and the Burroughs Wellcome Trust Program in Infectious Diseases. H.A. Saka is supported by a fellowship from the Pew Latin American Fellows Program.

References and recommended reading

Papers of special (•) and outstanding (••) interest

1. Horn M, Collingro A, Schmitz-Esser S, Beier CL, Purkhold U, Fartmann B, Brandt P, Nyakatura GJ, Droege M, Frishman D, et al. Illuminating the evolutionary history of chlamydiae. *Science*. 2004; 304:728–730. [PubMed: 15073324]
2. Bebear C, de Barbeyrac B. Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2009; 15:4–10. [PubMed: 19220334]
3. Blasi F, Tarsia P, Aliberti S. Chlamydophila pneumoniae. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2009; 15:29–35. [PubMed: 19220337]
4. Beeckman DS, Vanrompay DC. Zoonotic Chlamydophila psittaci infections from a clinical perspective. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2009; 15:11–17. [PubMed: 19220335]
5. Stephens RS, Myers G, Eppinger M, Bavoil PM. Divergence without difference: phylogenetics and taxonomy of Chlamydia resolved. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 2009; 55:115–119. [PubMed: 19281563]
6. Schachter, J. Chlamydia: Intracellular Biology, Pathogenesis and Immunity. In: Stephens, RS., editor. *Infection and Disease Epidemiology*. A.S.M.; 1999. p. 139-169.
7. Valdivia RH. Chlamydia effector proteins and new insights into chlamydial cellular microbiology. *Curr Opin Microbiol*. 2008; 11:53–59. [PubMed: 18299248]
8. Kalman S, Mitchell W, Marathe R, Lammel C, Fan J, Hyman RW, Olinger L, Grimwood J, Davis RW, Stephens RS. Comparative genomes of Chlamydia pneumoniae and C. trachomatis. *Nat Genet*. 1999; 21:385–389. [PubMed: 10192388]
9. Moran NA. Microbial minimalism: genome reduction in bacterial pathogens. *Cell*. 2002; 108:583–586. [PubMed: 11893328]
10. Stephens RS, Kalman S, Lammel C, Fan J, Marathe R, Aravind L, Mitchell W, Olinger L, Tatusov RL, Zhao Q, et al. Genome sequence of an obligate intracellular pathogen of humans: Chlamydia trachomatis. *Science*. 1998; 282:754–759. [PubMed: 9784136]
11. Read TD, Myers GS, Brunham RC, Nelson WC, Paulsen IT, Heidelberg J, Holtzapple E, Khouri H, Federova NB, Carty HA, et al. Genome sequence of Chlamydophila caviae (Chlamydia psittaci GPIC): examining the role of niche-specific genes in the evolution of the Chlamydiaceae. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003; 31:2134–2147. [PubMed: 12682364]
12. Read TD, Brunham RC, Shen C, Gill SR, Heidelberg JF, White O, Hickey EK, Peterson J, Utterback T, Berry K, et al. Genome sequences of Chlamydia trachomatis MoPn and Chlamydia pneumoniae AR39. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2000; 28:1397–1406. [PubMed: 10684935]
13. Moulder JW. Interaction of chlamydiae and host cells in vitro. *Microbiol Rev*. 1991; 55:143–190. [PubMed: 2030670]
14. McClarty G, Fan H. Purine metabolism by intracellular Chlamydia psittaci. *J Bacteriol*. 1993; 175:4662–4669. [PubMed: 8335625]
15. McClarty G, Qin B. Pyrimidine metabolism by intracellular Chlamydia psittaci. *J Bacteriol*. 1993; 175:4652–4661. [PubMed: 8335624]
16. McClarty G. Chlamydiae and the biochemistry of intracellular parasitism. *Trends Microbiol*. 1994; 2:157–164. [PubMed: 8055179]

17. Wylie JL, Hatch GM, McClarty G. Host cell phospholipids are trafficked to and then modified by *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *J Bacteriol.* 1997; 179:7233–7242. [PubMed: 9393685]
18. Cocchiari JL, Valdivia RH. New insights into *Chlamydia* intracellular survival mechanisms. *Cell Microbiol.* 2009; 11:1571–1578. [PubMed: 19673891]
19. Zalkin, H.; Nygaard, P. Biosynthesis of purine nucleotides. In: Neidhardt, FCCR., III; Ingraham, JL.; Lin, ECC.; Low, KB.; Magasanik, B.; Reznikoff, WS.; Riley, M.; Schaechter, M.; Umbarger, HE., editors. *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology*. edn 2nd.. A. S. M.; 1996. p. 561-579.
20. Neuhaard, J.; Kelln, RA. Biosynthesis and conversion of pyrimidines. In: Neidhardt, FCCR., III; Ingraham, JL.; Lin, ECC.; Low, KB.; Magasanik, B.; Reznikoff, WS.; Riley, M.; Schaechter, M.; Umbarger, HE., editors. *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology*. edn 2nd.. A. S. M.; 1996. p. 580-599.vol.]
21. Tipples G, McClarty G. The obligate intracellular bacterium *Chlamydia trachomatis* is auxotrophic for three of the four ribonucleoside triphosphates. *Mol Microbiol.* 1993; 8:1105–1114. [PubMed: 8361355]
22. Wylie JL, Berry JD, McClarty G. *Chlamydia trachomatis* CTP synthetase: molecular characterization and developmental regulation of expression. *Mol Microbiol.* 1996; 22:631–642. [PubMed: 8951811]
23. Haferkamp I, Schmitz-Esser S, Linka N, Urbany C, Collingro A, Wagner M, Horn M, Neuhaus HE. A candidate NAD⁺ transporter in an intracellular bacterial symbiont related to *Chlamydiae*. *Nature.* 2004; 432:622–625. [PubMed: 15577910]
24. Linka N, Hurka H, Lang BF, Burger G, Winkler HH, Stamme C, Urbany C, Seil I, Kusch J, Neuhaus HE. Phylogenetic relationships of non-mitochondrial nucleotide transport proteins in bacteria and eukaryotes. *Gene.* 2003; 306:27–35. [PubMed: 12657464]
25. Winkler HH, Neuhaus HE. Non-mitochondrial ATP transport. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 1999; 24:64–68. [PubMed: 10098400]
26. Tjaden J, Winkler HH, Schwoppe C, Van der Laan M, Mohlmann T, Neuhaus HE. Two nucleotide transport proteins in *Chlamydia trachomatis*, one for net nucleoside triphosphate uptake and the other for transport of energy. *Journal of Bacteriology.* 1999; 181:1196–1202. [PubMed: 9973346]
27. Trentmann O, Horn M, van Scheltinga AC, Neuhaus HE, Haferkamp I. Enlightening energy parasitism by analysis of an ATP/ADP transporter from *chlamydiae*. *PLoS Biol.* 2007; 5:e231. [PubMed: 17760504] In this study, a detailed biochemical analysis of an ATP/ADP transporter (PamNTT1), from *Protochlamydia amoebophila* (UWE25) was performed. This paper contains the first successful purification and functional reconstitution of a bacterial nucleotide transporter and provides the functional basis of how an obligate intracellular parasite exploits the energy pool of its host cell.
28. Schmitz-Esser S, Linka N, Collingro A, Beier CL, Neuhaus HE, Wagner M, Horn M. ATP/ADP translocases: a common feature of obligate intracellular amoebal symbionts related to *Chlamydiae* and *Rickettsiae*. *J Bacteriol.* 2004; 186:683–691. [PubMed: 14729693]
29. Haferkamp I, Schmitz-Esser S, Wagner M, Neigel N, Horn M, Neuhaus HE. Tapping the nucleotide pool of the host: novel nucleotide carrier proteins of *Protochlamydia amoebophila*. *Mol Microbiol.* 2006; 60:1534–1545. [PubMed: 16796686]
30. Heizer EM Jr, Raiford DW, Raymer ML, Doom TE, Miller RV, Krane DE. Amino acid cost and codon-usage biases in 6 prokaryotic genomes: a whole-genome analysis. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2006; 23:1670–1680. [PubMed: 16754641]
31. Braun PR, Al-Younes H, Gussmann J, Klein J, Schneider E, Meyer TF. Competitive inhibition of amino acid uptake suppresses chlamydial growth: involvement of the chlamydial amino acid transporter BrnQ. *J Bacteriol.* 2008; 190:1822–1830. [PubMed: 18024516]
32. Rottenberg ME, Gigliotti-Rothfuchs A, Wigzell H. The role of IFN- γ in the outcome of chlamydial infection. *Curr Opin Immunol.* 2002; 14:444–451. [PubMed: 12088678]
33. Fehlner-Gardiner C, Roshick C, Carlson JH, Hughes S, Belland RJ, Caldwell HD, McClarty G. Molecular basis defining human *Chlamydia trachomatis* tissue tropism. A possible role for tryptophan synthase. *J Biol Chem.* 2002; 277:26893–26903. [PubMed: 12011099]

34. McClarty G, Caldwell HD, Nelson DE. Chlamydial interferon gamma immune evasion influences infection tropism. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2007; 10:47–51. [PubMed: 17208039]
35. Caldwell HD, Wood H, Crane D, Bailey R, Jones RB, Mabey D, Maclean I, Mohammed Z, Peeling R, Roshick C, et al. Polymorphisms in *Chlamydia trachomatis* tryptophan synthase genes differentiate between genital and ocular isolates. *J Clin Invest.* 2003; 111:1757–1769. [PubMed: 12782678]
36. Heinzen RA, Hackstadt T. The *Chlamydia trachomatis* parasitophorous vacuolar membrane is not passively permeable to low-molecular-weight compounds. *Infect Immun.* 1997; 65:1088–1094. [PubMed: 9038320]
37. Taraska T, Ward DM, Ajioka RS, Wyrick PB, Davis-Kaplan SR, Davis CH, Kaplan J. The late chlamydial inclusion membrane is not derived from the endocytic pathway and is relatively deficient in host proteins. *Infect Immun.* 1996; 64:3713–3727. [PubMed: 8751921]
38. Heinzen RA, Scidmore MA, Rockey DD, Hackstadt T. Differential interaction with endocytic and exocytic pathways distinguish parasitophorous vacuoles of *Coxiella burnetii* and *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Infect Immun.* 1996; 64:796–809. [PubMed: 8641784]
39. Scidmore MA, Fischer ER, Hackstadt T. Restricted fusion of *Chlamydia trachomatis* vesicles with endocytic compartments during the initial stages of infection. *Infect Immun.* 2003; 71:973–984. [PubMed: 12540580]
40. Moore ER, Fischer ER, Mead DJ, Hackstadt T. The chlamydial inclusion preferentially intercepts basolaterally directed sphingomyelin-containing exocytic vacuoles. *Traffic.* 2008; 9:2130–2140. [PubMed: 18778406] In this paper, the authors developed a polarized epithelial cell model to study how *Chlamydia* affects vectoral trafficking of lipids and proteins to the inclusion. C2BBel polarized colonic cells were infected with *C. trachomatis* and the traffic of ceramide and its metabolic derivatives, glucosylceramide and sphingomyelin, was evaluated. Results support that, as apposed to glucosylceramide, sphingomyelin was retained in the chlamydial inclusion and incorporated into elementary bodies. This retention of sphingomyelin correlated with a disruption of basolateral trafficking.
41. van Ooij C, Apodaca G, Engel J. Characterization of the *Chlamydia trachomatis* vacuole and its interaction with the host endocytic pathway in HeLa cells. *Infect Immun.* 1997; 65:758–766. [PubMed: 9009339]
42. Wolf K, Hackstadt T. Sphingomyelin trafficking in *Chlamydia pneumoniae*-infected cells. *Cell Microbiol.* 2001; 3:145–152. [PubMed: 11260137]
43. Hackstadt T, Rockey DD, Heinzen RA, Scidmore MA. *Chlamydia trachomatis* interrupts an exocytic pathway to acquire endogenously synthesized sphingomyelin in transit from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane. *EMBO J.* 1996; 15:964–977. [PubMed: 8605892]
44. Rockey DD, Fischer ER, Hackstadt T. Temporal analysis of the developing *Chlamydia psittaci* inclusion by use of fluorescence and electron microscopy. *Infect Immun.* 1996; 64:4269–4278. [PubMed: 8926099]
45. Su H, McClarty G, Dong F, Hatch GM, Pan ZK, Zhong G. Activation of Raf/MEK/ERK/cPLA2 signaling pathway is essential for chlamydial acquisition of host glycerophospholipids. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:9409–9416. [PubMed: 14676189]
46. Rzomp KA, Scholtes LD, Briggs BJ, Whittaker GR, Scidmore MA. Rab GTPases are recruited to chlamydial inclusions in both a species-dependent and species-independent manner. *Infect Immun.* 2003; 71:5855–5870. [PubMed: 14500507]
47. Rzomp KA, Moorhead AR, Scidmore MA. The GTPase Rab4 interacts with *Chlamydia trachomatis* inclusion membrane protein CT229. *Infect Immun.* 2006; 74:5362–5373. [PubMed: 16926431]
48. Cortes C, Rzomp KA, Tvinnereim A, Scidmore MA, Wizel B. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* inclusion membrane protein Cpn0585 interacts with multiple Rab GTPases. *Infect Immun.* 2007; 75:5586–5596. [PubMed: 17908815]
49. Delevoye C, Nilges M, Dehoux P, Paumet F, Perrinet S, Dautry-Varsat A, Subtil A. SNARE protein mimicry by an intracellular bacterium. *PLoS Pathog.* 2008; 4:e1000022. The authors show that host SNARE proteins Vamp-3, Vamp-7 and Vamp-8 are recruited to the immediate vicinity of the inclusion membrane and that chlamydial inclusion membrane protein IncA has a dominant role in this recruitment. SNARE-like motifs were found in the inclusion membrane proteins incA and

CT813 from *Chlamydia trachomatis* and these two proteins were shown to bind SNAREs. Moreover, SNARE-like motif present in incA was shown to be required for incA-SNARE binding.

50. Paumet F, Wesolowski J, Garcia-Diaz A, Delevoye C, Aulner N, Shuman HA, Subtil A, Rothman JE. Intracellular bacteria encode inhibitory SNARE-like proteins. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e7375. [PubMed: 19823575] In this paper, the investigators assess how different bacteria can influence eukaryotic membrane fusion. The results show that both, IncA from *Chlamydia trachomatis* and IcmG/DotF from *Legionella pneumophila*, can inhibit the endocytic SNARE machinery. The inhibitory function was found to reside in the SNARE-like motifs present in these bacterial proteins.
51. Beatty WL. Trafficking from CD63-positive late endocytic multivesicular bodies is essential for intracellular development of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *J Cell Sci*. 2006; 119:350–359. [PubMed: 16410552]
52. Beatty WL. Late endocytic multivesicular bodies intersect the chlamydial inclusion in the absence of CD63. *Infect Immun*. 2008; 76:2872–2881. [PubMed: 18426873]
53. Heuer D, Lipinski AR, Machuy N, Karlas A, Wehrens A, Siedler F, Brinkmann V, Meyer TF. Chlamydia causes fragmentation of the Golgi compartment to ensure reproduction. *Nature*. 2009; 457:731–735. [PubMed: 19060882] This study shows that in *Chlamydia*-infected cells, the Golgi-apparatus is fragmented into ministacks and recruited to the parasitophorous vacuole. Golgi-fragmentation was associated with host caspases and calpains-mediated processing of the Golgi protein golgin-84. This phenomenon was required for lipid acquisition and replication of *C. trachomatis*.
54. Lipinski AR, Heymann J, Meissner C, Karlas A, Brinkmann V, Meyer TF, Heuer D. Rab6 and Rab11 regulate *Chlamydia trachomatis* development and golgin-84-dependent Golgi fragmentation. *PLoS Pathog*. 2009; 5:e1000615. By using RNA interference, these investigators show that depletion of Rab-6 or Rab-11, negatively impacted *Chlamydia trachomatis* replication. These Rab proteins were found to be required for *Chlamydia*-induced Golgi-fragmentation downstream of golgin-84 processing. Moreover, knocking down of Rab-6 and Rab-11 blocked sphingolipid transport to the chlamydial inclusion.
55. Kumar Y, Cocchiari J, Valdivia RH. The obligate intracellular pathogen *Chlamydia trachomatis* targets host lipid droplets. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:1646–1651. [PubMed: 16920627]
56. Cocchiari JL, Kumar Y, Fischer ER, Hackstadt T, Valdivia RH. Cytoplasmic lipid droplets are translocated into the lumen of the *Chlamydia trachomatis* parasitophorous vacuole. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:9379–9384. [PubMed: 18591669] By means of live cell, confocal and electron microscopy, this paper provides evidence showing that host cell lipid droplets are recruited around and translocated into the chlamydial inclusion. The fact that intact organelles can be translocated into the inclusion demonstrate that besides vesicle fusion, another means of nutrients delivery into the inclusion lumen can occur.
57. Derre I, Pypaert M, Dautry-Varsat A, Agaisse H. RNAi screen in *Drosophila* cells reveals the involvement of the Tom complex in *Chlamydia* infection. *PLoS Pathog*. 2007; 3:1446–1458. [PubMed: 17967059] This paper and [62] describe genome wide RNAi screens in *Drosophila* S2 cells for host factors required for chlamydial replication. This study reveals a role for mitochondria for efficient *C. caviae* infection.
58. van Ooij C, Kalman L, van I, Nishijima M, Hanada K, Mostov K, Engel JN. Host cell-derived sphingolipids are required for the intracellular growth of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Cell Microbiol*. 2000; 2:627–637. [PubMed: 11207614]
59. Carabeo RA, Mead DJ, Hackstadt T. Golgi-dependent transport of cholesterol to the *Chlamydia trachomatis* inclusion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003; 100:6771–6776. [PubMed: 12743366]
60. Hackstadt T, Scidmore MA, Rockey DD. Lipid metabolism in *Chlamydia trachomatis*-infected cells: directed trafficking of Golgi-derived sphingolipids to the chlamydial inclusion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1995; 92:4877–4881. [PubMed: 7761416]
61. Elwell CA, Ceesay A, Kim JH, Kalman D, Engel JN. RNA interference screen identifies Abl kinase and PDGFR signaling in *Chlamydia trachomatis* entry. *PLoS Pathog*. 2008; 4:e1000021. [PubMed: 18369471]
62. Hasegawa A, Sogo LF, Tan M, Sutterlin C. Host complement regulatory protein CD59 is transported to the chlamydial inclusion by a Golgi apparatus-independent pathway. *Infect Immun*.

- 2009; 77:1285–1292. [PubMed: 19168743] This paper and [57] describe genome wide RNAi screens in *Drosophila* S2 cells for host factors required for chlamydial replication. This study reveals a role for c-Abl in chlamydial entry.
63. De Matteis MA, Luini A. Exiting the Golgi complex. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2008; 9:273–284. [PubMed: 18354421]
64. Stenmark H. Rab GTPases as coordinators of vesicle traffic. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2009; 10:513–525. [PubMed: 19603039]
65. Sudhof TC, Rothman JE. Membrane fusion: grappling with SNARE and SM proteins. *Science.* 2009; 323:474–477. [PubMed: 19164740]
66. Li Z, Chen C, Chen D, Wu Y, Zhong Y, Zhong G. Characterization of fifty putative inclusion membrane proteins encoded in the *Chlamydia trachomatis* genome. *Infect Immun.* 2008; 76:2746–2757. [PubMed: 18391011]
67. Hatch GM, McClarty G. Phospholipid composition of purified *Chlamydia trachomatis* mimics that of the eucaryotic host cell. *Infect Immun.* 1998; 66:3727–3735. [PubMed: 9673255]
68. Fader CM, Colombo MI. Autophagy and multivesicular bodies: two closely related partners. *Cell Death Differ.* 2009; 16:70–78. [PubMed: 19008921]
69. Russell MR, Nickerson DP, Odorizzi G. Molecular mechanisms of late endosome morphology, identity and sorting. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2006; 18:422–428. [PubMed: 16781134]
70. Guo Y, Cordes KR, Farese RV Jr, Walther TC. Lipid droplets at a glance. *J Cell Sci.* 2009; 122:749–752. [PubMed: 19261844]
71. Walther TC, Farese RV Jr. The life of lipid droplets. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2009; 1791:459–466. [PubMed: 19041421]

Figure 1.

Schematic representation of host cell pathways exploited by *Chlamydiae* to acquire nutrients. (A) Golgin-84 is proteolytically processed during infection through activation of host caspases, calpains and potentially by bacterial protease(s). This leads to Golgi fragmentation into ribbon-like structures in a process mediated by Rab-6 and Rab-11. These Golgi ministacks are recruited around the inclusion. Formation of Golgi-ministacks is necessary for chlamydial uptake of host sphingolipids and replication. Golgi-derived exocytic vesicles containing sphingolipids and cholesterol fuse with the inclusion. (B) GPI-anchored plasma membrane protein CD59 is trafficked to the inner face of the inclusion in a Golgi-independent manner. (C) Infection leads to increased phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated map kinase (ERK) which, in turn, activates calcium-dependent cytosolic phospholipase A2 (cPLA2). cPLA2 removes straight chain fatty acids from the *sn*2 position of host glycerophospholipids to generate lyso-phospholipids (Lyso-PL). *Chlamydia*-derived branched fatty acids (b-FA) are incorporated into Lyso-PL to generate *Chlamydia*-modified phospholipids (Chl-PL). (D) *Chlamydia* targets multivesicular bodies (MVB) to sequester required nutrients like sphingolipids and cholesterol. (E) Lipid droplets, the main store of neutral lipids, are targeted by *Chlamydia* lipid droplet-associated proteins (Lda) and translocated into the inclusion. (F) Pan-species recruitment of Rab-1, Rab-4, and Rab-11, whereas Rab-6 and Rab-10 are recruited to *C. trachomatis* and *C. pneumoniae* inclusions, respectively. Candidate bacterial recruitment factors have been identified (Cpn0585 and CT229). (G) SNARE proteins Vamp-3, 7 and 8 are recruited to the inclusion, possibly by chlamydial proteins containing SNARE-like motifs (like incA and CT813) in the inclusion membrane.