Video Article

A Simple Hanging Drop Cell Culture Protocol for Generation of 3D Spheroids

Ramsey Foty

Department of Surgery, UMDNJ-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School

Correspondence to: Ramsey Foty at fotyra@umdnj.edu

URL: <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=2720>

DOI: 10.3791/2720

Citation: Foty R. (2011). A Simple Hanging Drop Cell Culture Protocol for Generation of 3D Spheroids. JoVE. 51. <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=2720>, doi: 10.3791/2720

Abstract

Studies of cell-cell cohesion and cell-substratum adhesion have historically been performed on monolayer cultures adherent to rigid substrates. Cells within a tissue, however, are typically encased within a closely packed tissue mass in which cells establish intimate connections with many near-neighbors and with extracellular matrix components. Accordingly, the chemical milieu and physical forces experienced by cells within a 3D tissue are fundamentally different than those experienced by cells grown in monolayer culture. This has been shown to markedly impact cellular morphology and signaling. Several methods have been devised to generate 3D cell cultures including encapsulation of cells in collagen gels¹ or in biomaterial scaffolds². Such methods, while useful, do not recapitulate the intimate direct cell-cell adhesion architecture found in normal tissues. Rather, they more closely approximate culture systems in which single cells are loosely dispersed within a 3D meshwork of ECM products. Here, we describe a simple method in which cells are placed in hanging drop culture and incubated under physiological conditions until they form true 3D spheroids in which cells are in direct contact with each other and with extracellular matrix components. The method requires no specialized equipment and can be adapted to include addition of any biological agent in very small quantities that may be of interest in elucidating effects on cell-cell or cell-ECM interaction. The method can also be used to co-culture two (or more) different cell populations so as to elucidate the role of cell-cell or cell-ECM interactions in specifying spatial relationships between cells. Cell-cell cohesion and cell-ECM adhesion are the cornerstones of studies of embryonic development, tumor-stromal cell interaction in malignant invasion, wound healing, and for applications to tissue engineering. This simple method will provide a means of generating tissue-like cellular aggregates for measurement of biomechanical properties or for molecular and biochemical analysis in a physiologically relevant model.

Protocol

1. Preparation of a Single Cell Suspension

1. Adherent cell cultures should be grown to 90% confluence, whereupon monolayers should be rinsed twice with PBS. After draining well, add 2 mls (for 100 mm plates) of 0.05% trypsin-1 mM EDTA, and incubate at 37°C until cells detach. Stop trypsinization by adding 2 mls of complete medium and gently use a 5 ml pipette to triturate the mixture until cells are in suspension. Transfer cells to a 15 ml conical tube.
2. Add 40 µl of a 10 mg/ml DNase stock and incubate for 5 minutes at RT. Vortex briefly and centrifuge at 200 XG for 5 minutes.
3. Discard supernatant and wash pellet with 1 ml complete tissue culture medium. Repeat, then resuspend cells in 2 mls of complete tissue culture medium.
4. Count the cells using a hemacytometer, or automated cell counter and adjust concentration to 2.5×10^6 cells/ml. For this demonstration a BioRad TC10 automated cell counter was used.

2. Formation of Hanging Drops.

1. Remove the lid from a 60 mm tissue culture dish and place 5 mls of PBS in the bottom of the dish. This will act as a hydration chamber.
2. Invert the lid and use a 20 µl pipettor to deposit 10 µl drops onto the bottom of the lid. Make sure that drops are placed sufficiently apart so as to not touch. It is possible to place at least 20 drops per dish.
3. Invert the lid onto the PBS-filled bottom chamber and incubate at 37°C/5% CO₂/95% humidity, monitor the drops daily and incubate until either cell sheets or aggregates have formed. A stereo microscope can be used to assess aggregate formation.
4. Once sheets form, they can be transferred to round-bottom glass shaker flasks containing 3 mls of complete medium and incubated in a shaking water bath at 37°C and 5% CO₂ until spheroids form.

3. Notes

1. Depending on cell size, concentration may need to be adjusted up- or down.
2. Cells can be stained with membrane intercalating fluorescent dyes prior to hanging drop formation.
3. Two different cell types can be differentially stained and mixed in a 1:1 (or other) ratio prior to formation of hanging drops. These can be cancer and stromal cells, embryonic tissues, or cells genetically engineered to have specific adhesive signatures.
4. Cells can be detached from tissue culture dishes using 0.05% trypsin/2 mM calcium to preserve cadherin function.
5. Depending on the experiment, sheet sizes can either be measured, or aggregates can be used for mechanical testing or for biochemical or molecular analysis.

4. Representative Results:

Sheet or spheroid formation typically occurs within 24 hours but may take longer depending on cell type. Figure 1 represents images captured after 18 hours of incubation of untreated prostate cancer MAT-LyLu (MLL) cells in hanging drop culture (Figure 1A) or cells treated with 25 µM MEK inhibitor PD98059. Note that MEKi treatment results in a marked compaction of the cell sheet. Compaction can be quantified by measuring the size of 10-20 (or more) hanging drops and comparing average size between treatment groups. We typically analyze images using ImageJ

software by thresholding each image then converting images to Binary Mode, whereupon we apply particle analysis to reveal the total image area in pixels. This can then be easily converted to square microns. Figure 2 represents a size comparison for untreated and MEKi-treated MLL cells. As noted, MEKi treatment significantly reduces sheet size as compared by Student's t-test ($P < 0.0001$). For this comparison, 10 aggregates for each of untreated and treated cells were measured. Figure 3 represents a chick embryonic liver spheroid formed after 24 hours in hanging drop culture and 2 days in a shaker bath.

The hanging drop assay can also be modified to include more than one cell type to reveal the spatial positioning adopted. For example, chick embryonic liver cells can be isolated, stained with a fluorescent membrane-intercalating dye and mixed with chick embryonic heart cells that have been stained with a different fluorescent marker. Figure 4A shows that rather than remaining intermixed, liver and heart cells tend to "sort-out" from one-another and adopt a sphere-within-a-sphere configuration in which heart cells are enveloped by liver cells. This configuration can be explained by differences in intercellular adhesion between liver and heart cells. This concept is codified in the Differential Adhesion Hypothesis which has been used to explain cell sorting behavior between embryonic germ layer tissue for amphibian³ and teleost⁴ embryos, for pancreatic islet cell organization⁵ and for cell sorting between two cell populations identical in every respect other than for the expression levels of the same type of cadherin⁶ (and Figure 4B). This result is particularly important for demonstrating how engineering a simple difference in adhesion between cell populations may result in the generation of organ structure, for example, a pancreatic islet⁵.

Figure 1. Images of cells incubated in hanging drop culture for 18 hours either in the absence (A) or presence (B) of 25 μ m of the MEK inhibitor PD98059. Images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse TE 300 epifluorescence microscope and a Photometrics CoolSnap ES digital camera.

Figure 2. Quantification of MEKi-induced compaction of rat prostate cancer MLL cells. Hanging drop cultures of untreated and MEKi-treated MLL cells were incubated for 18 hours whereupon aggregate size was determined as described above. Statistical analysis of aggregate size was by Student's t-test. The asterisk represents a statistically significant difference in aggregate size ($P < 0.0001$) between untreated and MEKi-treated cells and suggests that MEKi-treatment results in significantly smaller and more compact aggregates.

Figure 3. A spheroid formed by incubating chick embryonic liver cells in hanging drop culture for 18 hours then in an incubator/shaking bath for 48 hours. This image was captured using a Nikon SMZ800 stereo microscope and a Sony Black CCD camera.

Figure 4. Hanging drop culture reveals sorting-out behavior between different cell types. In panel A, chick embryonic liver cells were stained with PKH-2 membrane intercalating dye and mixed in equal proportions with PKH-26 stained chick embryonic heart cells. In panel B, two N-cadherin-transfected L cell clones (LN4 and LN2a), expressing N-cad at their surfaces in the ratio of 2.4:1, were also stained with the fluorescent membrane intercalating dyes PKH-2 and PKH-26, (Sigma-Aldrich), mixed in equal proportions and cultured as hanging drops⁷. After 18 hours in culture, cell sheets were transferred to shaking flasks and incubated for another 48 hours. Aggregates were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline and viewed with a BioRad MRC600 scanning laser confocal microscope system attached to a Nikon Optiphot-2 microscope. Optical sections from both green and red channels were collected and a Silicon Graphix Crimson VGX workstation equipped with Vital Images Voxel View Ultra imaging software was used to assign false color to both channels and to merge the images, revealing the anatomical configurations generated. (A) Confocal optical section through an aggregate demonstrating envelopment of heart cells (here in a pseudo-color yellow) by liver cells in pseudocolor blue (from ⁸). (B) Confocal optical section through an aggregate demonstrating envelopment of high N-cadherin expressing cells (green) by those expressing lower levels of N-cadherin (red) (from ⁶).

Discussion

Studies have shown that culturing cells in a three-dimensional context (3D) produces distinct cellular morphology and signaling when compared to a rigid two-dimensional (2D) culture system⁹. For example, fibroblast-populated collagen gels demonstrate that fibroblast morphology in 3D is quite distinct from that observed in 2D ^{10,11}. Similarly, 3D culture can induce tissue-specific differentiation of mammary epithelial cells. 3D culture systems have been used to distinguish between normal and malignant cells and have been shown to support the reversion of transformed cells to normal phenotype, given the appropriate stimulus^{12,13}. Correct tissue architecture has also been shown to be vital for long-range homeostasis, suppression of apoptosis, and maintenance of the differentiated phenotype of CID-9 mammary epithelial cells ¹⁴. Multicellular drug resistance in mouse EMT-6 mammary carcinoma cells has also been shown to be manifested *in vitro* only if cells were grown in 3-D configurations and not in conventional monolayer cultures¹⁵. These and other data have led to the proposal that "the unit of function in higher organisms is neither the genome nor the cell alone, but the tissue itself" ¹⁶. Many other methods of generating 3D spheroids exist, including the use of simulated microgravity incubators ¹⁷, and micro-molded non-adhesive hydrogels ^{18,19}. Unlike these methods however, the method described here requires no specialized equipment or reagents and is therefore highly cost-effective. The simplicity of the method minimizes potential pitfalls. Consequently, the learning curve is relatively shallow and the method can be mastered easily within a relatively short period of time.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interest declared.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Dongxuan Jia for technical assistance. Some of the images appearing in this article were in collaboration with Dr. Malcolm S. Steinberg, Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University. The author would also like to thank the Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program (grants PC-030482 and PC-991552) and the NCI/NIH (grant R01CA118755) for their generous support.

References

1. Cukierman, E., Pankov, R. & Yamada, K. M. Cell interactions with three-dimensional matrices. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **14**, 633-639. (2002).
2. Nelson, C. M. & Bissell, M. J. Of extracellular matrix, scaffolds, and signaling: tissue architecture regulates development, homeostasis, and cancer. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **22**, 287-309 (2006).
3. Davis, G. S., Phillips, H. M. & Steinberg, M. S. Germ-layer surface tensions and "tissue affinities" in *Rana pipiens* gastrulae: quantitative measurements. *Dev Biol* **192**, 630-644 (1997).
4. Schotz, E. M. *et al.* Quantitative differences in tissue surface tension influence zebrafish germ layer positioning. *HFSP J* **2**, 42-56, doi:10.2976/1.2834817 (2008).
5. Jia, D., Dajusta, D. & Foty, R. A. Tissue surface tensions guide *in vitro* self-assembly of rodent pancreatic islet cells. *Dev Dyn* **236**, 2039-2049 (2007).
6. Foty, R. A. & Steinberg, M. S. The differential adhesion hypothesis: a direct evaluation. *Dev Biol* **278**, 255-263 (2005).
7. Li, D. *et al.* Expression of the casein kinase 2 subunits in Chinese hamster ovary and 3T3 L1 cells provides information on the role of the enzyme in cell proliferation and the cell cycle. *J Biol Chem* **274**, 32988-32996. (1999).
8. Foty, R. A., Pflieger, C. M., Forgacs, G. & Steinberg, M. S. Surface tensions of embryonic tissues predict their mutual envelopment behavior. *Development* **122**, 1611-1620 (1996).

9. Wendt, D., Riboldi, S. A., Cioffi, M. & Martin, I. Potential and bottlenecks of bioreactors in 3D cell culture and tissue manufacturing. *Adv Mater* **21**, 3352-3367, doi:10.1002/adma.200802748 (2009).
10. Berry, D. P., Harding, K. G., Stanton, M. R., Jasani, B. & Ehrlich, H. P. Human wound contraction: collagen organization, fibroblasts, and myofibroblasts. *Plast Reconstr Surg* **102**, 124-131; discussion 132-124 (1998).
11. Grinnell, F. Fibroblast biology in three-dimensional collagen matrices. *Trends Cell Biol* **13**, 264-269 (2003).
12. Wang, F. *et al.* Reciprocal interactions between beta1-integrin and epidermal growth factor receptor in three-dimensional basement membrane breast cultures: a different perspective in epithelial biology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**, 14821-14826 (1998).
13. Weaver, V. M. *et al.* Reversion of the malignant phenotype of human breast cells in three-dimensional culture and in vivo by integrin blocking antibodies. *J Cell Biol* **137**, 231-245. (1997).
14. Boudreau, N., Werb, Z. & Bissell, M. J. Suppression of apoptosis by basement membrane requires three- dimensional tissue organization and withdrawal from the cell cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**, 3509-3513 (1996).
15. St. Croix, B., Rak, J.W., Kapitan, S., Sheehan, C., Graham, C.H., and Kerbel, R.S. Reversal by hyaluronidase of adhesion-dependent multicellular drug resistance in mammary carcinoma cells. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* **88**, 1285-1296. (1996).
16. Bissell, M. J. *et al.* Tissue structure, nuclear organization, and gene expression in normal and malignant breast. *Cancer Res* **59**, 1757-1763s; discussion 1763s-1764s (1999).
17. Marrero, B., Messina, J. L. & Heller, R. Generation of a tumor spheroid in a microgravity environment as a 3D model of melanoma. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* **45**, 523-534, doi:10.1007/s11626-009-9217-2 (2009).
18. Napolitano, A. P. *et al.* Scaffold-free three-dimensional cell culture utilizing micromolded nonadhesive hydrogels. *Biotechniques* **43**, 494, 496-500, doi:000112591 [pii] (2007).
19. Tibbitt, M. W. & Anseth, K. S. Hydrogels as extracellular matrix mimics for 3D cell culture. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **103**, 655-663, doi:10.1002/bit.22361 (2009).