Published in final edited form as:

Psychol Med. 2008 July ; 38(7): 933–940. doi:10.1017/S0033291707001900.

The relationship between prior psychiatric disorder and chronic fatigue: evidence from a national birth cohort study

S. B. Harvey¹, M. Wadsworth², S. Wessely¹, and M. Hotopf^{1,*}

¹Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London, London, UK

²MRC National Survey of Health and Development, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Royal Free and UCL Medical School, London, UK

Abstract

Background—Increased rates of psychiatric disorder have previously been reported in those diagnosed with chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) or myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME), although the direction of causation in this relationship has not been established. We aimed to test the hypothesis that individuals with self-reported CFS/ME have increased levels of psychiatric disorder prior to the onset of their fatigue symptoms.

Method—A total of 5362 participants were prospectively followed with various measures of personality, psychiatric disorder and fatigue levels collected over the first 43 years of their life. CFS/ME was identified through self-report during a semi-structured interview at age 53 years.

Results—Thirty-four (1.1%) of the 3035 subjects assessed at age 53 years reported a diagnosis of CFS/ME. CFS/ME was more common among females, but there was no association between CFS/ME and either social class, social mobility or educational level. Those with psychiatric illness between the ages of 15 and 36 years were more likely to report CFS/ME later in life with an odds ratio (OR, adjusted for sex) of 2.65 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.26–5.57, $p=0.01$]. Increased levels of psychiatric illness, in particular depression and anxiety, were present prior to the occurrence of fatigue symptoms. There was a dose–response relationship between the severity of psychiatric symptoms and the likelihood of later CFS/ME. Personality factors were not associated with a self-reported diagnosis of CFS/ME.

Conclusions—This temporal, dose–response relationship suggests that psychiatric disorders, or shared risk factors for psychiatric disorders, are likely to have an aetiological role in some cases of CFS/ME.

Keywords

Anxiety; chronic fatigue syndrome; depression; fatigue; myalgic encephalomyelitis

Introduction

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS), or myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME), is characterized by severe persistent or relapsing fatigue, lasting 6 or more consecutive months, that is not relieved by rest and is accompanied by a number of other somatic and cognitive symptoms

(Fukuda *et al.* 1994). Despite significant research interest, the aetiology of CFS/ME remains unclear (Afari & Buchwald, 2003; Prins *et al.* 2006). There has, however, been a consistent finding of a strong association between CFS/ME and psychiatric disorder found in numerous case-control and cross-sectional studies (David, 1991). Possible explanations for this relationship include psychiatric disorders occurring as a consequence of CFS/ME, psychiatric disorders having a causal role in the aetiology of CFS/ME or misdiagnosis and/or bias occurring within these studies (Wessely *et al.* 1998). Differentiating between these possible explanations has not been possible because of the retrospective or cross-sectional nature of most previous research. Attempts have been made to investigate the temporal relationship between CFS/ME and psychiatric symptoms using prospective studies of fatigue following viral illness; however, the results in such studies have been inconclusive (Hotopf *et al.* 1996; White *et al.* 2001; Petersen *et al.* 2006). Larger, population-based, prospective studies examining CFS/ME have been difficult because of the relatively low prevalence of this outcome. Analysis of prospectively studied birth cohorts has shown that neither maternal nor childhood psychological disorders were associated with increased risk of CFS/ME as an adult (Viner & Hotopf, 2004). Similar analysis of adult psychiatric disorder has not previously been possible.

In this study we used the Medical Research Council National Survey of Health and Development, a British national birth cohort, to examine prospectively the relationship between prior psychiatric disorder and self-reported CFS/ME. We aimed to test the hypothesis that individuals with CFS/ME would have increased levels of psychiatric disorder prior to the onset of their fatigue symptoms compared to those without CFS/ME.

Method

Sample

The Medical Research Council National Survey of Health and Development was established in 1946. A random social class-stratified sample of 5362 participants was selected from all single, legitimate births occurring in England, Wales and Scotland in one week of March 1946. This sample has been prospectively followed with over 20 separate data collections up to the age of 53 years. The sampling procedure and follow-up have been described in detail elsewhere (Wadsworth *et al.* 2006).

Prior psychiatric disorder

Psychiatric disorder was ascertained at several points in the adult life of the sample. At each follow-up point participants were asked about any contacts with medical practitioners and about any hospital out-patient or in-patient treatments. If any hospitalizations were reported, the hospital was contacted and asked to provide details of the admission. This information was used to construct a summary of any psychiatric disorders that occurred between the ages of 15 and 32 years. Participants were assessed to either have no mental illness, mild to moderate mental illness or severe mental illness. Severe mental illness was defined as an illness with duration of more than one year; or an illness that recurred more than four times; or that required care from a specialist mental health team.

At age 36 years, participants were visited at home and the 40-item version of the Present State Examination (PSE; Wing *et al.* 1974) was administered by a trained nurse interviewer (Rodgers & Mann, 1986). Psychiatric disorder was defined at a threshold level of 5 on the Index of Definition score (Wing *et al.* 1978), and the total PSE score was used as a measure of the severity of psychiatric symptoms (Rodgers & Mann, 1986).

Participants at age 43 years were again visited at home, and interviewed by trained nurses using the Psychiatric Symptom Frequency scale (PSF; Lindelow *et al.* 1997). The PSF is an

18-item scale measuring psychiatric symptoms, particularly of depression and anxiety, over the past year. A cut-off score of 14 was used to define psychiatric disorder (Lindelow *et al.* 1997).

At ages 36 and 43 years, participants were also asked if they, or either of their parents, had ever had 'nervous or emotional trouble or depression'. Participants' mothers were also asked about a family history of 'nerves' in 1961. At age 13 years, participants completed a Pintner Personality Inventory (Pintner *et al.* 1937). This inventory requires the child to read 114 statements and then mark themselves as 'same' or 'different'. It provides a measure of the child's personality along the spectrums of neuroticism and introversion/extroversion. At ages 16 and 26 years, individuals completed the short form of the Maudsley Personality Inventory (MPI; Eysenck, 1959), which also provides a measure of personality along the neuroticism and extroversion spectrums.

Sociodemographics

Sociodemographic details including gender, father's social class (in 1961), participant's social class (at age 53 years) and educational level were also obtained. Social class was derived from the participant's occupation using the Registrar General's classification (ONS, 1990) and highest education level achieved was coded using the Burnham classification (Department of Education and Science, 1972). The direction of any social mobility throughout the adult life was assessed by examining whether the participant's social class had altered between the ages of 15 and 53.

Outcome

At age 53 years, participants were again interviewed at home by trained nurses. During this semi-structured interview they were asked if they had ever been diagnosed with CFS or ME. If so, they were asked at what age this problem had begun. Hospital records were reviewed for all participants who reported suffering from CFS/ME. If these indicated any psychotic or serious medical disorder that would invalidate the diagnosis of CFS then they were excluded from further analysis. Individuals with a psychotic mental disorder were also excluded from the control group. As we aimed to investigate predisposing factors, any participants who reported CFS/ME symptoms beginning prior to the age of 44 years were excluded from the analysis of measures collected at the age of 43 years.

To address the issue of early CFS being misdiagnosed as a psychiatric disorder, measures of participants' fatigue and fitness levels were taken at ages 15, 31, 36 and 43 years. Reports of energy levels at age 15 were given by the participants' teachers while at ages 31, 36 and 43 years they were self-reported.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata software (StataCorp, 2005). Differences between those with CFS and the remainder of the sample interviewed at age 53 were initially explored using univariate analysis. Logistic regression analysis was then used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) corrected for known sociodemographic confounders, such as gender.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was given by the London area multi-centre research ethics committee for the data collection at age 53 years. Cohort members gave informed consent for each assessment. Ethical approval and consent procedures at earlier ages conformed to contemporary best practice.

Results

At age 53 years, 3035 of the original participants were interviewed. After exclusion of those who had died, moved abroad or had been permanent refusers, this indicated a follow-up rate of 83%. Analysis reported elsewhere has shown the sample at age 53 years to remain representative of the national population (Wadsworth *et al.* 2006).

At age 53, 37 (1.2%) of the sample reported a diagnosis of CFS/ME. Hospital admission notes for these participants revealed that two had serious medical conditions (meningitis and chronic active hepatitis) and one had a psychotic disorder (schizophrenia) that required them to be excluded from further analysis. After these exclusions the lifetime prevalence estimate for CFS/ME was 1.1% [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.8–1.5]. The age that participants reported their fatigue symptoms beginning varied between 41 and 53 years. Table 1 shows the associations between sociodemographic factors and a diagnosis of CFS/ME. Women were more likely to report a diagnosis of CFS/ME, but neither social class, social mobility nor educational levels were associated with reported CFS/ME.

The relationships between prior psychiatric disorder and a later diagnosis of CFS/ME are shown in Table 2. At each psychiatric assessment between ages 15 and 43 years there was a trend towards increased levels of psychiatric disorder in those who later developed CFS/ME. Analysis of the PSE subscale scores, obtained at age 36 years, revealed that those who were later diagnosed with CFS/ME had significantly raised scores in the depressed mood, generalized anxiety and tension subscales. Seven of the participants who reported CFS/ME at age 53 years reported their CFS/ME symptoms beginning between the ages of 41 and 43 years, and thus were not included in the analysis involving psychiatric disorder measures at age 43 years. Their exclusion caused a reduction in the power of these analyses.

At age 15 years there were no significant differences in the levels of energy reported by participants' teachers between those who later went on to report a diagnosis CFS/ME and those who did not. At age 31 years, those who were later to report CFS/ME tended to rate themselves as having higher levels of fitness (data not shown). Despite this, there was a non-significant trend towards decreased levels of energy at age 36 years. However, only three of the participants who later reported a diagnosis of CFS/ME scored highly (above the median) on the lack of energy PSE subscale, indicating that the vast majority of those who were later to report CFS/ME were not suffering significant fatigue at age 36 years. Therefore, measures of psychiatric disorder up to the age of 36 years should be representative of participant's pre-fatigued state. By the age of 43 years, those who were later to be diagnosed with CFS/ME were beginning to report significantly more fatigue than the rest of the sample (data not shown), suggesting measures of psychiatric disorder taken at this age may not represent true preceding psychiatric disorder.

Combining the various measures of psychiatric disorder taken up to the age of 36 years produced an OR (adjusted for sex) for a later diagnoses of CFS/ME in those with any prior psychiatric disorder of 2.65 (95% CI 1.26–5.57, $p=0.01$).

To investigate the dose–response relationship between prior psychiatric symptoms and a later diagnosis of CFS/ME, the total PSE score at age 36 was used to construct ORs (adjusted for sex) for a diagnosis of CFS/ME at age 53 years. This is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

The relationships between personality measures, parental psychiatric disorder and a later diagnosis of CFS/ME are shown in Table 3. None of the personality measures used had any significant impact on the later risk of CFS/ME, but parental psychiatric disorder was more common among those who later reported CFS/ME.

Discussion

Principal findings

The finding of an association between psychiatric disorders and CFS/ME is not a new observation. However, what is unique about this study is that it was able to demonstrate that those who report a diagnosis of CFS/ME had increased levels of psychiatric disorder, in particular depression and anxiety, prior to the onset of fatigue symptoms. Those with a psychiatric disorder in early adult life were around two and a half times more likely to report a diagnosis of CFS/ME later in life.

Possible causal pathways

Up to the age of 36 years, those who later went on to develop CFS/ME did not have significant differences in their levels of energy or fatigue, suggesting that misdiagnosis of early fatigue symptoms as a psychiatric disorder is not a likely explanation for these results. The temporal, dose–response relationship demonstrated provides some evidence for psychiatric disorders having a causal role in the aetiology of CFS/ME. Alternatively, our results may be explained by psychiatric disorders and CFS/ME sharing common vulnerability factors. A recent study using the Swedish Twin Registry demonstrated that those who reported higher levels of stress in their early adult life were at increased risk of developing a chronic fatiguing illness (Kato *et al.* 2006). It may be that persistent stress, or the perception of persistent stress, is a shared risk factor for both psychiatric disorder and CFS/ME. Personality traits are another potential shared risk factor, but our findings suggest that neither neuroticism, introversion nor extroversion are independent risk factors for CFS/ME. It may be that elements of personality or attitudes not captured on these scales act as shared risk factors. Other studies have found an association between CFS/ME and personality factors such as emotional instability (Kato *et al.* 2006) and Cluster C personality traits (Henderson & Tannock, 2004) suggesting this is an area that requires further investigation. The increased level of family psychiatric illness among individuals who report CFS/ME is also a novel finding (Endicott, 1999; Torres-Harding *et al.* 2005). Although this may point to a common genetic susceptibility, it is perhaps most likely to be explained by the confounding effect of the strong relationship between family and personal history of psychiatric disorders.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include its large size and prospective data collection. The high follow-up rate and the sample's generalizability to the British population are also strengths. Increased loss to follow-up at age 53 years was seen in males, participants from a manual social class, individuals with lower levels of education, individuals more fatigued at 43 years and those without a personal or family history of psychiatric illness in early life, although this did not affect the overall representativeness of the sample (Wadsworth *et al.* 2006).

Although the use of self-reported CFS/ME is the main limitation of this study, it may also provide some benefits. Fatigue is a subjective experience that is difficult to define and therefore difficult to measure (Dittner *et al.* 2004). At present, there is no accepted standardized interview for diagnosing CFS/ME in the community, with self-reported levels of fatigue remaining at the core of the diagnostic criteria (Fukuda *et al.* 1994). Any attempt to use more structured diagnostic interviews may fail to capture the phenomena of patients who complain of fatigue in a clinical setting. In examining those who report a diagnosis of CFS/ME, we have studied both those who have been given a diagnosis of CFS/ME by the medical profession and those who have self-diagnosed. We feel this method is likely to have a high degree of clinical and face validity. Clinical experience suggests that it is uncommon for a patient to complain of CFS or ME and to not have sufficiently severe symptoms to

warrant the diagnosis. Despite this, the use of self-reported diagnosis may have caused an under-ascertainment of cases as some individuals who would meet the criteria for CFS/ME may not report this as a diagnosis. Provided this misclassification was random, the effect of this will have been an underestimate of the strength of the associations we report. These assumptions are supported by our prevalence estimate being lower than previous estimates of broadly defined chronic fatigue states (Steele *et al.* 1998), while being higher than that reported in studies using stricter diagnostic criteria (Jason *et al.* 1999; Reyes *et al.* 2003). The use of self-reported diagnosis is also supported by *post-hoc* analysis showing that the association between prior psychiatric disorder and CFS/ME remained, and was in fact slightly stronger, when patients who had not received their diagnosis of CFS/ME from a doctor were excluded. The OR (adjusted for sex) of individuals with physician-diagnosed CFS/ME having prior psychiatric disorder was 3.78 (95% CI 1.38–10.28, $p=0.009$).

While the number of individuals who reported a diagnosis of CFS/ME was in line with previous prevalence estimates, the fact that CFS/ME is a relatively rare outcome reduced the power of this study. This will have increased the chance of type 2 errors, although the fact we were able to find a series of relatively strong associations suggests that this was not a major problem. Another potential limitation of this study is that our findings are restricted to those who reported CFS/ME at a relatively older age. Although participants were asked about their energy levels at earlier assessments, they were only asked about a diagnosis of CFS/ME at the age of 53 years. As a result our study only examined individuals with CFS/ME diagnosed at an older age, with symptoms in our sample beginning between the ages of 41 and 53 years. While some community-based studies have found the prevalence of CFS/ME to peak between ages 40 and 59 years (Reyes *et al.* 2003), it remains uncertain if this sample and conclusions based upon it are representative of those who complain of CFS/ME at a younger age.

Conclusions

Those who report a diagnosis of CFS/ME have increased levels of psychiatric disorder prior to the onset of their fatigue symptoms. When present, this psychiatric disorder typically appears to be depression or anxiety. While further studies are needed to investigate possible shared vulnerability factors, including personality traits, attitudes and stress, it seems likely that psychiatric disorders, or risk factors for these disorders, have an aetiological role in some cases of CFS/ME. An increased understanding regarding the roles of these factors in the aetiology of CFS/ME will help to provide guidance on the prevention and treatment of this debilitating and stigmatized condition.

Acknowledgments

The MRC National Survey of Health and Development is funded by the Medical Research Council. M.H. and S.W. are part-funded by the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust/Institute of Psychiatry National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Centre.

References

- Afari N, Buchwald D. Chronic fatigue syndrome: a review. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2003; 160:221–236. [PubMed: 12562565]
- David AS. Postviral fatigue syndrome and psychiatry. *British Medical Bulletin*. 1991; 47:966–988. [PubMed: 1794094]
- Department of Education and Science. Burnham Further Education Committee Grading Courses. HMSO; London: 1972.
- Dittner AJ, Wessely SC, Brown RG. The assessment of fatigue : a practical guide for clinicians and researchers. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2004; 56:157–170. [PubMed: 15016573]

- Endicott NA. Chronic fatigue syndrome in private practice psychiatry : family history of physical and mental health. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 1999; 47:343–354. [PubMed: 10616228]
- Eysenck, HJ. *Manual of the Maudsley Personality Inventory*. University of London Press; London: 1959.
- Fukuda K, Straus SE, Hickie I, Sharpe MC, Dobbins JG, Komaroff A. The chronic fatigue syndrome : a comprehensive approach to its definition and study. International Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Study Group. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1994; 121:953–959. [PubMed: 7978722]
- Henderson M, Tannock C. Objective assessment of personality disorder in chronic fatigue syndrome. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2004; 56:251–254. [PubMed: 15016586]
- Hotopf M, Noah N, Wessely S. Chronic fatigue and minor psychiatric morbidity after viral meningitis: a controlled study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*. 1996; 60:504–509.
- Jason LA, Richman JA, Rademaker AW, Jordan KM, Plioplys AV, Taylor RR, McCready W, Huang CF, Plioplys S. A community-based study of chronic fatigue syndrome. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1999; 159:2129–2137. [PubMed: 10527290]
- Kato K, Sullivan PF, Evengard B, Pedersen NL. Premorbid predictors of chronic fatigue. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2006; 63:1267–1272. [PubMed: 17088507]
- Lindelow M, Hardy R, Rodgers B. Development of a scale to measure symptoms of anxiety and depression in the general UK population : the psychiatric symptom frequency scale. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 1997; 51:549–557. [PubMed: 9425466]
- ONS. *Standard Occupational Classification*. Office for National Statistics; London: 1990.
- Petersen I, Thomas JM, Hamilton WT, White PD. Risk and predictors of fatigue after infectious mononucleosis in a large primary-care cohort. *Quarterly Journal of Medicine*. 2006; 99:49–55.
- Pintner, R.; Loftus, JJ.; Alster, B. *Aspects of Personality Inventory : Test and Manual*. World Book Co.; New York: 1937.
- Prins JB, van der Meer JW, Bleijenberg G. Chronic fatigue syndrome. *Lancet*. 2006; 367:346–355. [PubMed: 16443043]
- Reyes M, Nisenbaum R, Hoaglin DC, Unger ER, Emmons C, Randall B, Stewart JA, Abbey S, Jones JF, Gantz N, Minden S, Reeves WC. Prevalence and incidence of chronic fatigue syndrome in Wichita, Kansas. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2003; 163:1530–1536. [PubMed: 12860574]
- Rodgers B, Mann SA. The reliability and validity of PSE assessments by lay interviewers : a national population survey. *Psychological Medicine*. 1986; 16:689–700. [PubMed: 3489954]
- StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software. Release 9.1*. Stata Corporation; College Station, TX: 2005.
- Steele L, Dobbins JG, Fukuda K, Reyes M, Randall B, Koppelman M, Reeves WC. The epidemiology of chronic fatigue in San Francisco. *American Journal of Medicine*. 1998; 105:83S–90S. [PubMed: 9790487]
- Torres-Harding SR, Jason LA, Turkoglu OD. Family medical history of persons with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Journal of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome*. 2005; 12:25–35.
- Viner R, Hotopf M. Childhood predictors of self reported chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis in adults : national birth cohort study. *British Medical Journal*. 2004; 329:941. [PubMed: 15469945]
- Wadsworth M, Kuh D, Richards M, Hardy R. Cohort profile : the 1946 National Birth Cohort (MRC National Survey of Health and Development). *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2006; 35:49–54. [PubMed: 16204333]
- Wessely, S.; Hotopf, M.; Sharpe, M. *Chronic Fatigue and its Syndromes*. Oxford University Press; Oxford: 1998.
- White PD, Thomas JM, Kangro HO, Bruce-Jones WD, Amess J, Crawford DH, Grover SA, Clare AW. Predictions and associations of fatigue syndromes and mood disorders that occur after infectious mononucleosis. *Lancet*. 2001; 358:1946–1954. [PubMed: 11747919]
- Wing, JK.; Cooper, JE.; Sartorius, N. *Measurement and Classification of Psychiatric Symptoms: An Instruction Manual for the PSE and Catego Program*. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge: 1974.
- Wing JK, Mann SA, Leff JP, Nixon JM. The concept of a ‘case’ in psychiatric population surveys. *Psychological Medicine*. 1978; 8:203–217. [PubMed: 652895]

Fig. 1. Total Present State Examination (PSE) score at age 36 and odds ratios (adjusted for gender) for a later diagnosis of chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME). *p* value for trend=0.001.

Table 1
Sociodemographic variables and unadjusted odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for reported diagnosis of CFS/ME

Age (years)	Variable	Number of subjects	CFS/ME at age 53 years (%) ^a	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p value
Birth	Gender				
	Male	1456	10 (0.69)	1.00	
	Female	1508	24 (1.59)	2.34 (1.11–4.91)	0.03
15	Father's social class				
	Manual	1574	16 (1.02)	1.00	
	Non-manual	1263	18 (1.43)	1.41 (0.71–2.78)	0.32
53	Subject's social class				
	Manual	897	8 (0.89)	1.00	
	Non-manual	1866	19 (1.02)	1.14 (0.50–2.62)	0.75
53	Subject's educational level				
	Below O level	1236	16 (1.29)	1.00	
	O level or above	1562	16 (1.02)	0.79 (0.39–1.59)	0.51
15–53	Direction of social class mobility				
	Downwards	217	2 (0.92)	0.79 (0.18–3.41)	
	No movement	1632	19 (1.16)	1.00	0.52
	Upwards	801	6 (0.75)	0.64 (0.26–1.61)	(trend)

CFS, Chronic fatigue syndrome; ME, myalgic encephalomyelitis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aExpressed as a percentage of the relevant exposure group.

Table 2

Prior psychiatric disorder measures and adjusted odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for a later reported diagnosis of CFS/ME

Age (years)	Variable	Number of subjects	CFS/ME diagnosed after this age (%) ^a	Adjusted OR ^b (95% CI)	p value
32	Psychiatric disorder between ages 15 and 32 years				
	None	1673	16 (0.96)	1.00	
	Mild/moderate	1040	12 (1.15)	1.22 (0.57–2.59)	
	Severe	181	6 (3.31)	2.97 (1.13–7.78)	0.06 (trend)
36	Self reported depression, nervous or emotional troubles				
	No	2403	23 (0.96)	1.00	
	Yes	276	8 (2.90)	2.73 (1.20–6.21)	0.02
	Psychiatric disorder (using PSE)				
	No	2503	25 (1.00)	1.00	
	Yes	156	6 (3.85)	3.47 (1.39–8.66)	0.008
43	Self-reported depression, nervous or emotional troubles				
	No	2218	17 (0.77)	1.00	
	Yes	553	10 (1.81)	2.12 (0.95–4.69)	0.07
	Psychiatric disorder (using PSF)				
	No	1968	15 (0.76)	1.00	
	Yes	803	12 (1.50)	1.80 (0.84–3.89)	0.13

CFS, Chronic fatigue syndrome; ME, myalgic encephalomyelitis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PSE, Present State Examination; PSF, Psychiatric Symptom Frequency scale

^aExpressed as a percentage of the relevant exposure group.

^bAdjusted for gender.

Table 3

Measures of personality and parental psychiatric disorder with adjusted odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for a later diagnosis of CFS/ME

Age (years)	Variable	Number of subjects	CFS/ME diagnosed after this age (%) ^a	Adjusted OR ^b (95% CI)	p value
13	Neuroticism on Pinter scale				
	Non-neurotic	870	10 (1.15)	1.00	
	Mid-neurotic	786	11 (1.40)	1.05 (0.44–2.51)	
	Neurotic	741	7 (0.94)	0.76 (0.29–2.01)	0.60 (trend)
	Extroversion on Pinter scale				
16	Introvert	721	10 (1.39)	1.00	
	Ambivert	808	9 (1.11)	0.84 (0.34–2.07)	
	Extravert	868	9 (1.04)	0.82 (0.33–2.03)	0.66 (trend)
	Neuroticism on MPI				
	Low score (0–6)	1372	15 (1.09)	1.00	
26	High score (7–12)	1071	9 (0.84)	0.59 (0.25–1.37)	0.22
	Extroversion on MPI				
	Low score (0–8)	1386	17 (1.23)	1.00	
	High score (9–12)	1027	8 (0.78)	0.69 (0.29–1.60)	0.39
	Neuroticism on MPI				
Up to 43	Low score (0–6)	1399	15 (1.07)	1.00	
	High score (7–12)	1226	17 (1.39)	1.11 (0.55–2.26)	0.77
	Extroversion on MPI				
	Low score (0–8)	1499	17 (1.13)	1.00	
	High score (9–12)	1125	15 (1.33)	1.26 (0.62–2.54)	0.52
Up to 43	Either parent ever being reported as suffering from a psychiatric disorder				
	No	1725	14 (0.81)	1.00	
Up to 43	Yes	904	16 (1.77)	2.06 (1.00–4.25)	0.05

CFS, Chronic fatigue syndrome; ME, myalgic encephalomyelitis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; MPI, Maudsley Personality Inventory.

^aExpressed as a percentage of the relevant exposure group.^bAdjusted for gender.