Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2011 October 12; 52(41): 5285–2587. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2011.08.005.

Ni, Co, and Mo-metal-catalyzed alkynes hydrostannations using Bu_3SnCl /PMHS/KF/18-crown-6 as an *in situ* Bu_3SnH source

Banibrata Ghosh and Robert E. Maleczka Jr*

Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, 48824

Abstract

A number of terminal alkynes were subjected to nickel, cobalt, and molybdenum catalyzed hydrostannations in presence of tributyltin chloride, polymethylhydrosiloxane, potassium fluoride and 18-crown-6 as an *in situ* organotin hydride source to produce vinylstannanes.

Keywords

Vinylstannanes; Tributyltin chloride; Hydrostannation reactions; Polymethylhydrosiloxane; Transition metal catalysts

Vinylstannanes are of useful and versatile building blocks for organic synthesis.¹ Among the many ways to generate these reactants,² transition-metal-catalyzed hydrostannations of alkynes³ (or allenes⁴) with tributyltin hydride are widespread. Just as common though are the problems associated with the direct use of this reagent.⁵ For example, adventitious exposure to air can efficiently convert Bu_3SnH to $(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}$, rendering the reagent useless. Similarly, in the presence of transition metals Bu_3SnH can immediately form $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnSnBu}_3$, often complicating the desired reaction and product purification.⁶ Similarly, overcoming any loss of Bu_3SnH to either the oxide or dimer often requires the use of excess the relatively expensive and toxic Bu_3SnH .

To ameliorate the negatives associated with the direct use of Bu_3SnH , processes have been developed that use *in situ* generated organotin hydrides in hydrostannation reactions.⁷ Our own contributions to these efforts include the establishment of Bu_3SnCl /polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS)/aqueous KF as an *in situ* source of Bu_3SnH for radical or palladium catalyzed alkyne hydrostannations.^{7d} This PMHS mediated hydrostannation protocol was integral to the invention of a one-pot hydrostannation/Stille coupling sequence that was catalytic in tin.⁹ In conjunction with efforts aimed at developing the next generation of Stille couplings that are catalytic in tin, we became interested in mixed catalysts systems where the hydrostannation and cross-coupling steps were catalyzed by different metals. With this goal in mind we set out to learn if other previously disclosed hydrostannation catalysts were compatible with our Bu_3SnCl /PMHS/ $\text{KF}_{(\text{aq})}$ protocol and how such conditions would impact the distal/proximal and E/Z ratios of the product vinyltins.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-517-355-9715 x 124; fax: +1-517-353-1793; maleczka@chemistry.msu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

We began our study by employing $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$,¹¹ $\text{CoCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$,¹¹ and $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{NC}t\text{-Bu})_3$ (MoBI_3)¹² under our $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}/\text{PMHS}/\text{KF}_{(\text{aq.})}$ conditions. With the Ni-catalyst, heating at 65°C in THF for 1 to 2 days, afforded the expected vinylstannanes, but yields were very inconsistent and even in the best cases moderate. The Co- and Mo-catalysts behaved even worse in that no vinylstannanes were ever observed. We subsequently realized that water used to dissolve the KF was the offending element and that an anhydrous method would be required.

We had previously shown that the Bu_3SnF , PMHS, and catalytic quantities of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) allowed for the *in situ* generation and reaction of Bu_3SnH under anhydrous conditions.^{7d} However, given the demands of our own Stille process and the relative availability and expense of triorganotin fluorides vs. triorganotin chlorides,⁸ our search for an anhydrous method was conducted with the criterion that Bu_3SnCl be employable.

We tried to run heterogeneous reactions with KF being added as a solid also failed, but not surprisingly such attempts failed to produce vinyltins. We quickly then moved to explore adding 18-crown-6 to the reactions, owing to this crown ether's ability to homogenize potassium salts and organic solvents.¹³

Starting with the marginally successful $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ catalyzed¹¹ reactions that we had run with aqueous KF, we ran reactions on alkynes with 1.5 equiv of Bu_3SnCl , 1.6 equiv of PMHS, 3 equiv of KF, and 3 equiv of 18-crown-6 (Table 1). Following our previous leads,^{7d} we also included 1 mol % TBAF as an additive.¹⁷ Likewise, we also added 9 mol % hydroquinone, which Kikukawa noted in his original work¹¹ inhibits unwanted free radical generation. Under these conditions the reactions reproducibly gave moderate to good yields and required much less time (~3–5 h) to complete as compared to the aqueous KF protocols. On the other hand, while the regiochemical preferences were comparable to those observed in other reported protocols,^{7d,11,18} regioselectivities and yields trended lower relative those observed for control reactions run using Bu_3SnH directly. Notably a TBS group survived this fluoride mediated protocol (Table 1, entry 6).

We next looked at $\text{CoCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ catalyzed hydrostannylations (Table 2). After some experimentation, it was found that these hydrostannations, which completely failed in the presence of water, could be achieved under the $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}/\text{PMHS}/\text{KF}/18\text{-crown-6}$ conditions provided they were run at elevated temperatures. Specifically, reactions in toluene at 100–110 °C for 24 h afforded the vinylstannanes in fair to moderate yields. Regiochemical outcomes were similar to those observed during the Ni-catalyzed reactions. Entry 3 of Table 2 is of note because the TBS group survived in presence of the fluoride. That said, these Co-catalyzed reactions did exhibit some reliability problems. Successful reactions displayed a blue color when progressing as desired. When this characteristic blue color was present, reaction yield were consistent from run to run. On occasions when the mixture did not go to blue, no reaction was always the result.

We also explored the hydrostannation of **2** in the presence of catalytic amounts of $[\text{RhCl}(\text{COD})]_2$, $\text{RhCl}(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$, or $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_4$.¹¹ Unfortunately, all three of these catalyst proved incompatible with not only water, but with the $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}/\text{PMHS}/\text{KF}/18\text{-crown-6}$ combination in general. Additional studies are aimed at identifying the exact offender as well as conditions that could be amenable to the use of these catalysts.

Perhaps our most interesting results came about during the application $\text{Bu}_3\text{SnCl}/\text{PMHS}/\text{KF}/18\text{-crown-6}$ conditions to Mo-catalyzed hydrostannations. Kazmaier has shown that $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_3(\text{NC}t\text{-Bu})_3$ (aka MoBI_3) catalyzed hydrostannations typically favor formation of the proximal vinylstannanes.¹² As illustrated in Scheme 1, this regiochemical preference has

been explained by the addition of an intermediate Mo–Sn species across the triple bond whereby the sterically demanding molybdenum fragment ends up on the less hindered side of the alkyne (distal to R_L). This of course, places the triorganotin moiety on the more hindered side of the alkyne and formation of the proximal stannane as the major isomer.^{12c} To the best of our knowledge though, all of the reported hydrostannations were carried out on hetero atom substituted and/or highly polarized alkynes. As such, we used our own study herein to address the more global question of how alkynes that are incapable of heteroatom direction would perform under $MoBI_3$ catalysis.

To begin our examination, THP-protected propargyl alcohol (**2**) was subjected to Bu_3SnCl /PMHS/KF/18-crown-6 and 1 mol % $MoBI_3$ in different solvents and at different temperatures (Table 3). After 18 h, reaction of **2** in THF at 65 °C afforded a 4:1 ratio of the proximal to distal vinylstannanes (**10a** and **10b**) in 57% yield (based on 13% recovered **2**). Reaction in toluene at 110 °C resulted in disappearance of the alkyne after 24 hours. However, the combined yield of **10a** and **10b** was lower (47%), although the ratio of **10a**/**10b** was higher (8:1). In contrast, running the reaction in benzene at 80 °C for 24 hours gave the highest yield 66% of the proximal isomer albeit with a return to the 4:1 ratio of **10a**/**10b** (82% combined yield).

Using the highest yielding solvent, benzene, several alkynes were subjected to $MoBI_3$ catalyzed hydrostannations. Most alkynes behaved well giving good to excellent yields of the vinylstannane products (Table 4). The ratio of proximal to distal isomer also increased when the alkyne had a more bulky substituent (entry 1 vs. 2 and 3 vs. 4), as should be expected from Kazmaier's model.

Comparing entries 3 and 6 of Table 4 is also interesting. Under our Bu_3SnCl /KF/18-crown-6/PMHS conditions the proximal to distal ratios of the products are the same for both substrates, suggesting that heteroatom direction is not a regiochemical driver. Yet, the hydrostannation of 3,3-dimethyl-1-butyne under Kazmaier's conditions (Bu_3SnH)¹² (Table 4, entry 6) afforded a 2:1 mixture favoring the distal isomer. Furthermore, hydrostannation of **5** in THF at 65 °C under Bu_3SnCl /PMHS/18-crown-6 protocols, saw the proximal to distal ratio change to 1.3:1. Similarly, $MoBI_3$ catalyzed hydrostannation of this alkyne in benzene at 80 °C under Bu_3SnF /PMHS/TBAF(cat.) protocol produced proximal and distal isomers in 1:1.2 ratio and 1:2.5 when THF was used as solvent for this same protocol.¹⁵ Thus the regiochemical course of these $MoBI_3$ catalyzed reactions, and in turn the general reliability the proposed mnemonic, is dependent on reaction conditions. Here the mnemonic holds best when reactions were run in benzene and Bu_3SnCl /KF/18-crown-6/PMHS was used to generate Bu_3SnH *in situ*. Changing the solvent to THF lowered the regioselectivity.¹⁶ When Bu_3SnH was used directly proximal selectivity *may* benefit from (if not requiring) a nearby heteroatom.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that Bu_3SnCl /PMHS/KF can serve as an *in situ* tin hydride source for hydrostannation reactions under several non-Pd transition metal catalysts. Key to the success of these moisture sensitive reactions is the use of 18-crown-6 and dry (rather than aqueous) KF. Our results show for the first time that $MoBI_3$ catalyzed hydrostannations of non-heteroatom containing alkynes can afford proximal vinyltins as the major product, although further studies are clearly required to have a thorough understanding of the mechanistic origins of the observed regiochemical outcomes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank undergraduate researcher Wenzeng Chong and Project SEED student Anna Foster for their contributions in this work. We also thank National Institute of Health, National Science Foundation and Astellas for funding.

References and notes

1. Davies, AG. *Organotin Chemistry*. 2nd ed. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH; 2004.
2. (a) Chong JM, Park SB. *J. Org. Chem.* 1993; 58:523–527. (b) Verlhac J-B, Kwon H, Pereyre M. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*. 1993:1367–1368. (c) Wulff WD, Peterson GA, Bauta WE, Chan K-S, Faron KL, Gilbertson SR, Kaesler RW, Yang DC, Murray CK. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986; 51:277–279. (d) Wang KK, Chu K-H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1984; 49:5175–5178. (e) Shirakawa E, Yamasaki K, Yoshida H, Hiyama T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:10221–10222. (f) Mitchell TN, Amamria A, Killing H, Rutschow D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1986; 304:257–265. (g) Shirakawa E, Morita R, Tsuchimoto T, Kawakami Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:13614–13615. [PubMed: 15493908]
3. For a review see: Smith ND, Mancuso J, Lautens M. *Chem. Rev.* 2000; 100:3257–3282. [PubMed: 11749320]
4. Lautens M, Rovis T, Smith ND, Ostrovsky D. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1998; 70:1059–1064.
5. LD₅₀/kg for tributyltin hydride is 127 mg (rat). See: Togo H. *Advanced Free Radical Reactions for Organic Synthesis* (1st ed). 2004 Kidlington, UK Elsevier Ltd
6. Note: Use of water soluble, polymer supported and fluorous tin hydrides has been reported. For examples see: (a) Light J, Breslow R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990; 31:2957–2958. (b) Clive DLJ, Yang W. *J. Org. Chem.* 1995; 60:2607–2609. (c) Gerlach M, Joerdens F, Kuhn H, Neumann WP, Peterseim M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991; 56:5971–5972.
7. (a) Corey EJ, Wollenberg RH. *J. Org. Chem.* 1975; 40:2265–2266. (b) Corey EJ, Suggs JW. *J. Org. Chem.* 1975; 40:2554–2555. (c) Gevorgyan V, Liu J-X, Yamamoto Y. *Chem. Commun.* 1998:37–38. (d) Maleczka RE Jr, Terrell LR, Clark DH, Whitehead SL, Gallagher WP, Terstiege I. *J. Org. Chem.* 1999; 64:5958–5965.
8. Leibner JE, Jacobus J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1979; 44:449–450.
9. (a) Gallagher WP, Terstiege I, Maleczka RE Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001; 123:3194–3204. [PubMed: 11457053] (b) Maleczka RE Jr, Gallagher WP. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:4173–4176. [PubMed: 11784170]
10. Farina V, Krishnamurthy V, Scott W. *Org. React.* 1997; 50:1–652.
11. Kikukawa K, Umekawa H, Wada F, Matsuda T. *Chem. Lett.* 1988:881–884.
12. (a) Kazmaier U, Schaus D, Pohlman M. *Org. Lett.* 1999; 1:1017–1019. (b) Kazmaier U, Pohlman M, Schaub D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2000:2761–2766. (c) Braune S, Kazmaier U. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2002; 641:26–29.
13. Liotta, CL.; Berkner, J. 18-Crown-6. In: Paquette, LA., editor. *eEROS-Electronic Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*. New York: Wiley; Published online April 15, 2001
14. Ichinose Y, Oda H, Oshima K, Utimoto K. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1987; 60:3468–3470.
15. Note: Our Bu₃SnF/PMHS/TBAF(cat.) protocols presented quite different regioselectivities of the product vinylstannanes compared to Bu₃SnCl/PMHS/KF/18-crown-6 protocols under different catalysts. This work is underway and will be published in due courses.
16. For solvent effect on hydrostannation reactions, see: Liron F, Le Garrec P, Alami M. *Synlett.* 1999:246–248.

Scheme 1.
Mechanism of MoBI_3 -catalyzed hydrostannations proposed by Kazmaier and co-workers

Table 1

NiCl₂(PPh₃)₂ catalyzed hydrostannations

Entry	R	Products	Yield ^a (ratio of a/b) ^b	
			Bu ₃ SnCl/KF/PMHS	Bu ₃ SnH
1	CH ₂ OH (1)	9a/9b	42% (1.5/1)	60% (4.1/1)
2	CH ₂ OTHP (2)	10a/10b	45% (1.7/1)	49% (7.1/1)
3	CH(OH)Ph (3)	11a/11b	42% (1.4/1)	69% (1.3/1)
4	C(OH)(CH ₃)Ph (4)	12a/12b	54% (1/1.7)	46% (1/3.4)
5	C(CH ₃) ₃ (5)	13a/13b	57% ^c (1/99)	100% ^d (1/4.3)
6	CH ₂ OTBS (6)	14a/14b	36% (1.4/1)	52% (4/1)

^aYields refer to spectroscopically pure products unless otherwise noted.

^bIsomeric ratios were determined by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. Entries 1–3 and 6 gave trace amounts of the Z-isomer (see ref 14 for a mechanistic explanation).

^cReaction was run in Et₂O at room temperature for 12h.

^dYield determined by ¹H-NMR using HDMS as an internal standard.

Table 2

CoCl₂(PPh₃)₂ catalyzed hydrostannations

Entry	R	Products	Yield ^a (ratio of a/b) ^b	
			Bu ₃ SnCl/KF/PMHS	Bu ₃ SnH
1	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH (7)	15a/15b	45% (1.9/1)	–
2	CH ₂ OTHP (2)	10a/10b	51% (1.5/1)	65% (1.5/1)
3	C(CH ₃) ₃ (5)	13a/13b	39% ^c (1/99)	96% ^d (1/9)
4	CH ₂ OTBS (6)	14a/14b	31% (1.4/1)	–

^aYields refer to spectroscopically pure products unless otherwise noted.^bIsomeric ratios were determined by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.^cReaction was run in THF.^dYield determined by ¹H-NMR using HDMS as an internal standard.

Table 3Optimization of MoBI₃-catalyzed hydrostannations

Entry	Solvent	Temperature	Time	Yield ^a (ratio of 10a/10b) ^b
1	THF	65 °C	18 h	57% c (4/1)
2	toluene	110 °C	24 h	47% (8.2/1)
3	benzene	80 °C	24 h	82% (4/1)

^aYields refer to spectroscopically pure products unless otherwise noted.^bIsomeric ratios were determined by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.^cBased 13% recovered **2**.

Table 4

MoBI₃-catalyzed hydrostannations

Entry	R	Products	Yield ^a (ratio of a/b) ^b	
			Bu ₃ SnCl/KF/PMHS	Bu ₃ SnH
1	CH ₂ OH (1)	9a/9b	47% (2/1)	94% (8.1/1) ^c
2	CH ₂ OTHP (2)	10a/10b	82% (4/1)	91% (>19/1) ^c
3	C(CH ₃) ₂ OH (8)	16a/16b	55% (2.5/1)	81% (2.3/1)
4	C(OH)(CH ₃)Ph (4)	12a/12b	91% (6/1)	99% (2.8/1)
5	CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH (7)	15a/15b	48% (1.3/1)	—
6	C(CH ₃) ₃ (5)	14a/14b	36% ^d (2.5/1)	93% ^d (1/2.1)

^aYields refer to spectroscopically pure products unless otherwise noted.

^bIsomeric ratios were determined by ¹H-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

^cData from ref. 12.

^dYield determined by ¹H-NMR using HDMS as an internal standard.