CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α (C/EBP α)-induced transdifferentiation of pre-B cells into macrophages involves no overt retrodifferentiation

Alessandro Di Tullio^{a,1}, Thien Phong Vu Manh^{a,1,2}, Alexis Schubert^a, Giancarlo Castellano^b, Robert Månsson^c, and Thomas Graf^{a,d,3}

^aCancer and Differentiation Program, Center for Genomic Regulation and Pompeu Fabra University, 08003 Barcelona, Spain; ^bGene Regulation Program, Center for Genomic Regulation and Pompeu Fabra University, 08003 Barcelona, Spain; ^cLund Strategic Research Center for Stem Cell Biology and Cell Therapy, Lund University, 22184 Lund, Sweden; and ^dInstitució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, 08010 Barcelona, Spain

Edited by Rudolf Jaenisch, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, and approved September 7, 2011 (received for review July 26, 2011)

Earlier work has shown that pre-B cells can be converted into macrophages by the transcription factor CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α at very high frequencies. Using this system, we performed a systematic analysis of whether during transdifferentiation the cells transiently reactivate progenitor-restricted genes or even retrodifferentiate. A transcriptome analysis of transdifferentiating cells showed that most genes are up- or down-regulated continuously, acquiring a macrophage phenotype within 5 d. In addition, we observed the transient reactivation of a subset of immature myeloid markers, as well as low levels of the progenitor markers *Kit* and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 and a few lineage-inappropriate genes. Importantly, however, we were unable to observe the reexpression of cell-surface marker combinations that characterize hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells, including c-Kit and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3, even when CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α was activated in pre-B cells under culture conditions that favor growth of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells or when the transcription factor was activated in a time-limited fashion. Together, our findings are consistent with the notion that the conversion from pre-B cells to macrophages is mostly direct and does not involve overt retrodifferentiation.

cell fate decision | cell reprogramming | hematopoietic differentiation
lineage commitment

Transcription factor-induced cell reprogramming has become a major field within stem cell research. Two major types of forced cell-fate changes have been described: the induction of somatic cells into induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells and the transdifferentiation of cells from one lineage into another (1, 2). The number of transcription factor-mediated lineage conversions has increased steadily in recent years, mostly involving “short jumps” between closely related cell types, although “long jumps” from mesoderm to either ectoderm or endoderm also have been reported recently (3, 4). It has been suggested that lineage conversions represent direct transitions from one differentiated state into another, with cells “hopping over mountains” within Waddington’s epigenetic landscape (5). This argument is based primarily on the findings that transdifferentiation does not require cell divisions (3, 6, 7), that the process is fast, and that no stable intermediates are generated. Consistent with this notion, no reactivation of selected transcription factors characteristic of progenitors could be observed during the conversion of fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes (8) or of exocrine into endocrine pancreatic cells (6), although this question has not been systematically studied. In an interesting example of physiological transdifferentiation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, in which a gut epithelial cell transforms into a neuron, the cell transits through an intermediate stage during which it completely erases its identity before redifferentiating into a motoneuron, in a mechanism that requires Uncoordinated family member 3 (*unc-3*) activity (9).

The transdifferentiation of pre-B cells into macrophages induced by CCAAT/enhancer binding protein α (C/EBP α) con-

stitutes an ideal system to examine whether cells retrodifferentiate or reactivate progenitor genes during the process, because cells can be converted at essentially 100% efficiency within 3–5 d, during which time the population doubles once (10, 11). In addition, the system offers the advantage that hematopoietic stem cells and various intermediate progenitor cells (HSPCs) are defined by specific cell-surface antigen combinations and that expression array databases are available. In this study we asked whether overexpression of C/EBP α induces pre-B cells to “hop over the mountain” or whether it reactivates progenitor traits and markers (Fig. S1A). We conclude that, although a few progenitor markers become reactivated, the transdifferentiation process is mostly direct.

Results

C/EBP α Converts the Transcriptome of Pre-B Cells into One Resembling Normal Macrophages and Strongly Represses Cell-Cycle Genes.

To address whether during reprogramming of pre-B cells into macrophage cells transiently reactivates progenitor markers, we analyzed transdifferentiating cells by gene-expression arrays. A prerequisite for these experiments was that the cells studied switch at high frequencies. Earlier work with a pre-B-cell line expressing C/EBP α fused with the estrogen receptor (CEBP α ER) had shown that these cells could be converted at 100% efficiency (11), a significant improvement over the 65% conversion observed with primary pre-B cells carrying a wild-type C/EBP α (10). However, a cell line is not suitable for our studies, because as it is not identical to its normal counterparts and is separated from normal progenitors by many population doublings. We therefore tested if primary cells could be switched at high frequencies by preparing bone marrow, sorting primary CD19⁺ cells, infecting them with C/EBP α ER-GFP virus, and seeding them for 2 d on stromal cells (OP9 or S17) in the presence of IL-7. After their expansion, GFP⁺ cells were sorted again, seeded on stroma under conditions permissive for hematopoietic progenitors, B-lineage cells, and myeloid-lineage cells [stem cell factor (SCF), IL-7, FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (Flt3), IL-3, and macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF)], and induced them with β -estradiol (β -Est). As shown in Fig. S1B, the infected cells began to down-regulate CD19 and up-

Author contributions: A.D. and T.G. designed research; A.D. and A.S. performed research; R.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; A.D., T.P.V.M., and G.C. analyzed data; and A.D., T.P.V.M., and T.G. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Data deposition: The data for normal progenitors have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo (accession no. GSE14833). The expression array data for the cells during reprogramming will be submitted to GEO.

¹A.D. and T.P.V.M. contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Centre d’Immunologie de Marseille-Luminy, Marseille, France.

³To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: thomas.graf@crg.es.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1112169108/-DCSupplemental.

efficient of 0.876 for genes that change and of 0.964 for all genes (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 D and E). Furthermore, the 120-h expression levels of macrophage and B-cell-associated genes reached levels close to those seen in bone marrow-derived macrophages (Fig. S1F). However, many genes became down-regulated to levels below those of normal macrophages (Fig. 1G). Functional analysis of these genes using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) revealed a high enrichment of genes involved in cell cycle, mitosis, and DNA replication and synthesis. Therefore it is likely that the strong repression of genes in this group is caused mostly by the known cell-cycle inhibitory activity of C/EBP α through its ability to inactivate the transcription factor E2F (12). These findings imply that the majority of genes whose expression changes establish the differentiation phenotype of characteristic macrophages.

A Small Subset of Myelomonocytic Precursor Genes Becomes Transiently Activated. If cells retrodifferentiate during transdifferentiation or diverge into alternative lineages before acquiring their final fate, they should transiently activate hematopoietic precursor or lineage-restricted genes. We therefore compared our array data with gene-expression data from normal hematopoietic progenitors (13, 14). To this end we first determined cell stage-specific signatures consisting of the most highly expressed gene probes in a given cell type relative to all other cell types (sometimes two groups were combined to obtain statistically meaningful numbers). The following stages/groups (Fig. 2A) were included: long-term hematopoietic stem cells (LT-HSCs); a combination short-term hematopoietic stem cells (ST-HSCs) and lymphoid-primed multipotential progenitors (LMPPs); common lymphoid progenitors (CLPs); pro-B cells (proBs); granulocyte monocyte precursors (preGMs) plus granulocyte monocyte progenitors (GMPs); megakaryocyte erythroid precursors (preMegEs); erythroid precursors (preCFUEs); and megakaryocyte precursors (MkPs). Among the 14,534 gene probes that showed >1.7-fold changes in expression across all progenitor stages, 747 were transiently up-regulated in at least one time point of the reprogramming process. Of the transiently up-regulated probes only preGM/GMP-specific probes showed a significant enrichment among transiently up-regulated genes (Fig. 2A). Specifically, 55 of the 530 signature probes (10.4%) showed their highest expression at 48 h post induction (p.i.), 9 peaked at 12 h p.i., and 5 peaked at 3–12 h p.i. (Table S1 and Fig. S2A). Three genes of the 48-h group [lymphocyte antigen 6 complex (*Ly6c*), *Met*, and haptoglobin (*Hp*)] illustrate the concordance of expression with preGM/GMPs (Fig. 2B, Lower), whereas three macrophage-specific genes [colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (*Csf1r*), *Mac1*, and *Cd114*] reached their peaks only at 120 h p.i. (Fig. 2B, Upper). *Ly6c* is a granulocyte/macrophage-associated glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked cell-surface antigen (15), *Met* corresponds to the hepatocyte growth factor receptor, and haptoglobin is a hormone secreted by granulocytes and hepatocytes (16), but a function during myelopoiesis is not known for any of these proteins. The difference in the timing of expression between *Ly6c* and *Mac1* was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) (Fig. S2B) and by FACS analysis (Fig. S2C). The observed lack of a global reactivation of progenitor genes also is supported by a principal component analysis showing that the trajectory of the transdifferentiating cells does not deviate substantially toward early progenitors (Fig. 2C).

Erythroid, Megakaryocytic, and T-Cell Genes Remain Essentially Silent. Ablation of the B-cell regulator paired box gene 5 (*Pax5*) in B cells leads to their dedifferentiation (17), with cells expressing myeloid/erythroid genes such as *Csf1r* and GATA binding protein 1 (*Gata1*) as well as T-cell genes including GATA binding protein 3 (*Gata3*) and pre T-cell antigen receptor α (*Ptcr*), encoding the pre-T-cell receptor. Because C/EBP α -induced reprogramming involves the rapid down-regulation of *Pax5* (Fig. S3A), we interrogated our gene-expression database for the reactivation of alternative lineage-restricted genes. We first examined expression of the erythroid lineage-determining

transcription factor genes *Gata1* and Kruppel-like factor 1 (*Klf1*) and found that both remained silent (Fig. 3A and B). We next tested expression of megakaryocyte-specific marker genes. Although *Cd41* remained silent, as also was confirmed by FACS, nuclear factor, erythroid derived 2 (*Nfe2*) showed a peak at 12 h, which also was seen by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3C and D). We next tested a range of T-cell genes and detected no reactivation of *Ptcr* or of the T-cell receptor (TCR) genes *Tcr α* , *Tcr γ* , and *Tcr β* and the TCR coreceptor genes *Cd3e* and *Cd3g* (Fig. S3B, Upper). Likewise, genes encoding the T-cell-associated transcription factors *Gata3*, B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 11B (*Bcl11b*), and *Notch1* remained essentially silent (Fig. S3B, Lower). However, *Tcr γ* became transiently activated (Fig. 3E and F). Together, these data show that, with a few exceptions, alternative lineage markers remain silent during transdifferentiation.

Low Levels of *Kit* and *Flt3* mRNAs Become Up-Regulated in a Developmentally Regulated Fashion. Next we tested the expression of the embryonic stem cell/iPS cell reprogramming genes *Oct4*, *Nanog*, SRY-box containing gene 2 (*Sox2*), Kruppel-like factor 4 (*Klf4*), and myelocytomatosis oncogene (*Myc*). No expression of *Oct4*, *Nanog*, or *Sox2* could be detected (Fig. S4A). In contrast, *Klf4* became up-regulated, likely reflecting its known function in monocyte differentiation (18), and *Myc* became down-regulated (Fig. S4B), correlating with the gene's role in cell proliferation (19). Next we interrogated our gene-expression database for the transient reactivation of marker genes that phenotypically define HSPCs. As summarized in Fig. S5A, LT-HSCs are lineage anti-

Fig. 3. Megakaryocyte and T-cell-associated gene expression during transdifferentiation. Comparison of lineage-associated gene expression (Affymetrix arrays and qRT-PCR) in different progenitors/macrophages and transdifferentiating cells. (A and B) Relative expression of the erythroid transcription factor genes *Klf1* and *Gata1*. Hematopoietic progenitors (HPC7 cell line) and macrophages (Mac) were used as controls (blue bars). (C and D) Relative expression of the megakaryocytic transcription factor gene *Nfe2*. Macrophages (Mac) were used as control. (E and F) Relative expression of the T-cell-associated gene *Tcr γ* . The pre-T (DN3) T-cell line FA2C1 and whole thymus were used as positive controls. Mac, cultured bone marrow-derived macrophages.

Fig. 5. Progenitor-restricted cell-surface antigen expression during C/EBP α -induced reprogramming. (A) Expression of progenitor cell-surface markers in cells induced with β -Est for various times. Positive controls are as in Fig. 3. (B) Kinetics of differentiation-marker expression of C/EBP α -infected pre-B cells induced with β -Est under myeloid/B-cell culture conditions. (C) As in B, but induced cells were grown under hematopoietic culture conditions.

transdifferentiated cells were permeabilized and stained with c-Kit and Flt3 antibodies. However, neither of the two antigens could be detected by FACS analysis (Fig. S5 C and D). Another possibility is that the B-cell/macrophage culture conditions used were not favorable to capture the transient formation of progenitor-like cells. We therefore repeated the experiments under conditions appropriate for the growth of HSPCs in liquid culture (23) and for the formation of mixed-lineage colonies in semisolid medium. However, we could not observe progenitor cell-surface antigen reactivation under liquid culture conditions favoring HPSC growth (Fig. 5C). In addition, no colonies containing more than four cells could be found in methylcellulose cultures containing SCF, IL-3, IL-6, erythropoietin, and TPO (Methocult GF M3434; Stem Cell Technologies) cultures.

Time-Limited Activation of C/EBP α Fails to Induce Progenitor Antigen Expression. The experiments described so far did not rule out the possibility that progenitor-like cells would form during transdifferentiation if not prevented by continuously active C/EBP α . Earlier work with a C/EBP α -ER-expressing pre-B-cell line had shown that transdifferentiated macrophages, once formed, retain their phenotype even after withdrawal of β -Est, and that a 24-h exposure to the inducer is sufficient to induce an irreversible cell-fate change in the majority of the cells (11). We therefore tested whether primary pre-B cells, maintained B-cell/myeloid cytokines and whether S17 stroma show a similar behavior. For this

purpose, cells were infected with C/EBP α -ER-GFP virus, expanded, treated with β -Est, and, after the inducer was washed out at different times, were analyzed by FACS for the following 5 d (Fig. S6A). Although a 12-h β -Est treatment did not cause a stable phenotypic change in the majority of cells, about 90% of the cells treated for 24 h converted into macrophages, and nearly 100% did so after 48 h (Fig. S6B). We therefore tested the effect of pulse-inducing the cells for 24 h. As in the previous experiment, CD150, c-Kit, CD34, Flt3, and IL-7R cell-surface antigens remained negative, and Sca-1 became up-regulated (Fig. S6C).

Discussion

Our results have shown that C/EBP α -induced transdifferentiation of pre-B cells into macrophages involves no overt retrodifferentiation, based on gene transcriptome profiling in comparison with normal HSPCs and FACS analyses of cell-surface antigens that define HSCs and various intermediate progenitors. Our results broadly confirm and extend conclusions reached in other systems (6, 8). The lack of retrodifferentiation therefore appears to be a general principle of transdifferentiation that sets it apart from iPS cell reprogramming and from dedifferentiation induced by transcription factor ablation. It will be interesting to determine whether the same principle also applies to transcription factor-induced transdifferentiation between distantly related cell types.

In addition to the majority of genes that become directly up- or down-regulated, we found that a small number of genes become transiently up-regulated. These transiently up-regulated genes fall into three broad classes: (i) Genes that peak at 48 h p.i. (~10% of the genes most highly expressed in preGMs and GMPs; i.e., the immediate precursors of granulocytes and macrophages). However, none of these genes has been described as being important for myeloid specification, and their relevance for transdifferentiation is questionable. (ii) Selected multipotent progenitor-restricted genes. Here, *Kit* and *Flt3* were found to peak at 12 and 24 h, respectively, their onset recapitulating expression during normal hematopoietic development, where *Kit* already is expressed on HSCs, and *Flt3* becomes expressed from the ST-HSC/LMPP stages onwards (13, 14, 20, 21). However, these genes were not detected at the protein level (see below), and they therefore appear to be irrelevant for transdifferentiation. Of note, CD34, a marker of ST-HSCs, remained negative, whereas Sca-1 became continuously up-regulated at both mRNA and protein levels. However, Sca-1 is expressed on bone marrow-derived macrophages and thus behaves as a myeloid marker under our culture conditions. (iii) Lineage-inappropriate genes. In this category, we observed the transient upregulation of the megakaryocytic regulator *Nfe2* and the T cell marker *Tcr γ* at 12–24 h postinjection. Their deregulation might represent a bystander effect resulting from the transition between the B cell and macrophage regulatory networks.

Despite the rapid down-regulation during C/EBP α -induced reprogramming of B-cell master regulators such as Pax5, we observed no reactivation of genes corresponding to the majority of genes restricted to the erythroid and T-cell lineages tested. This absence of reactivation contrasts with the situation when Pax5 is ablated in B-lineage cells (17). A possible explanation is that C/EBP α not only represses B-cell genes but also inhibits erythroid and T-cell genes. Thus, the transcription factor represses erythroid genes in red blood cell lines, and knockout mice exhibit an increase in the number of erythroid cells (24). In addition, it induces the rapid down-regulation of *Gata3* and *Notch1* in committed T-lineage cells (DN3 and DN4 stages), along with the extinction of the T-cell program (25).

It has been reported that reprogramming of mature B cells by the transcription factors Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and Myc (OSKM) into iPS cells is enhanced greatly by ectopic expression of C/EBP α (26, 27). Our transcriptome data now offer a possible explanation: C/EBP α -mediated pre-B-cell reprogramming induces the partial up- and down-regulation of many genes, showing that cells coexpress most B-cell- and macrophage-restricted genes at moderate levels 12 and 48 h p.i. (Fig. 1). Because about a fourth

of all genes in the genome are involved in this process, it is possible that the chromatin of intermediate-stage cells exhibits a more open configuration than that of cells at either end of the spectrum, without significantly affecting progenitor-restricted genes. The relaxed configuration, in turn, might facilitate the accessibility to OSKM factors, thereby enhancing the frequency with which iPS cells can be obtained. This speculation predicts that in B cells expression of C/EBP α together with alternative lineage-instructive transcription factors might generate cell fates other than macrophages. Such an approach, if feasible, also might be applicable to nonhematopoietic cell types, offering a potential strategy for generating cells desired for cell therapy.

Methods

Cells and Viral Constructs. B-cell precursors and macrophages were obtained from mouse bone marrow as described (10, 28). The hematopoietic progenitor line HPC7 was kindly provided by L. Carlsson (Umeå Center for Molecular Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden) (29). The LSK cell population was sorted as described (30). The construction and production of a murine stem cell virus (MSCV) C/EBP α ER internal ribosome entry site (IRES) GFP virus was as described (11).

Cell Reprogramming and FACS Analyses. Sorted primary B cells were infected for 2 d with C/EBP α ER-GFP (infection efficiencies between 30 and 70%), sorted again, induced with 100 nM β -Est (Calbiochem), and grown on S17 cells in special induction medium containing 10 ng/mL IL-7, IL-3, SCF, Flt3 ligand (Peprotech), and human colony-stimulating factor 1 (hCSF-1). For HSC growth conditions, C/EBP α ER-infected cells were plated onto OP9 stromal cells plus SCF, TPO, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), FGF-1, and heparin (23). Antibodies to cell-surface antigens were purchased (BD PharMingen). Cells were analyzed with a FACS LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), using FlowJo software (Tree Star). For pulse-induction experiments cells were washed thoroughly and incubated with 10 μ M of the β -Est antagonist ICI (Tocris Bioscience).

Gene-Expression Profiling by Microarrays and Real-Time RT-PCR. Biological duplicates of pre-B cells infected with C/EBP α ER were induced, and RNA was extracted at various times thereafter, after GFP $^{+}$ cells were separated from the stromal cells. RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) [quality determined by Bioanalyzer (Agilent 2100)], biotinylated, and amplified in two cycles. The amplified RNAs were hybridized against Affymetrix 430.2 mouse arrays. Gene-expression array data of hematopoietic precursors were from sorted cells (13). qRT-PCR reactions were carried out in triplicate as described

(11). Ct values were normalized to glucuronidase beta (*GusB*), and the relative expression was calculated by the Pfaffl method (31). The Ct values obtained were expressed relative to hematopoietic progenitor cells (Lin $^{-}$ /Kit $^{+}$) in the case of *Cd34*, *Kit*, and *Flt3* and to 0-h cells in the case of *Ly6c* and *Met*.

Nanostring Gene-Expression Analysis. Nanostring technology uses molecular barcodes to detect and count mRNA molecules in a digital mode (32). Accuracy and reproducibility were verified by spiking each sample with a dilution series of a known concentration of an RNA control, showing a coefficient of variation of <5% and an essentially linear dynamic range within three orders of magnitude.

Bioinformatics Analyses. Analysis of the Affymetrix gene-expression data was performed as published (11).

Principal Component Analysis. Principal component analysis was performed after scaling the values of the data matrix containing all the probes that passed the filter criteria as described above with the stats package in R (version 2.7.0). The image of the three principal components in a 3D scatter plot was generated using the RGL package.

Identification of Cell Type-Specific Genes. After the raw data from the progenitors were normalized by RMA (Affy v2.7.0), expression values for each probe were averaged among replicates. Probes not reaching 5 (log $_2$ scale) in any cell type were discarded. When a probe's expression value in a specific cell type was greater than 0.8 (log $_2$ scale) as compared with its values in all other cell types, it was considered a signature probe. A signature probe was considered to be transiently up-regulated during transdifferentiation whenever one of its expression values at 3 h, 12 h, and 48 h was more than 1.7-fold higher than its expression value at both 0 h and 120 h. To determine enrichment, we calculated an odds ratio between the transiently up-regulated probes and the total number of signature probes, comparing this enrichment with the enrichment observed in the whole array; we tested the significance of enrichment using the Pearson's χ^2 test.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank S. E. Jacobsen for facilitating the progenitor expression array data, M. Stadtfeld, H. Xie, M. Ye, P. Cosma, and members of the T.G. laboratory for comments, R. Stanley for providing hCSF-1, L. Sumoy, M. Hummel, and G. Castellano for bioinformatics support, and V. Chigancas and L. de Andres for technical assistance. We give special thanks to P. Lundberg, H. Pahl, and R. Roelz for collaborating in the early phase of this work. We received funding from the Ministerio Educación y Ciencia (Grants SAF.2007-63058 and CSD 2006-00049) and from the HEROIC consortium.

- Yamanaka S (2009) Elite and stochastic models for induced pluripotent stem cell generation. *Nature* 460:49–52.
- Graf T, Enver T (2009) Forcing cells to change lineages. *Nature* 462:587–594.
- Vierbuchen T, et al. (2010) Direct conversion of fibroblasts to functional neurons by defined factors. *Nature* 463:1035–1041.
- Huang P, et al. (2011) Induction of functional hepatocyte-like cells from mouse fibroblasts by defined factors. *Nature* 475:386–389.
- Zhou Q, Melton DA (2008) Extreme makeover: Converting one cell into another. *Cell Stem Cell* 3:382–388.
- Zhou Q, Brown J, Kanarek A, Rajagopal J, Melton DA (2008) In vivo reprogramming of adult pancreatic exocrine cells to beta-cells. *Nature* 455:627–632.
- Heinrich C, et al. (2010) Directing astroglia from the cerebral cortex into subtype specific functional neurons. *PLoS Biol* 8:e1000373.
- Ieda M, et al. (2010) Direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into functional cardiomyocytes by defined factors. *Cell* 142:375–386.
- Richard JP, et al. (2011) Direct in vivo cellular reprogramming involves transition through discrete, non-pluripotent steps. *Development* 138:1483–1492.
- Xie H, Ye M, Feng R, Graf T (2004) Stepwise reprogramming of B cells into macrophages. *Cell* 117:663–676.
- Bussmann LH, et al. (2009) A robust and highly efficient immune cell reprogramming system. *Cell Stem Cell* 5:554–566.
- Porse BT, et al.; Pedersen TA (2001) E2F repression by C/EBP α is required for adipogenesis and granulopoiesis in vivo. *Cell* 107:247–258.
- Pronk CJ, et al. (2007) Elucidation of the phenotypic, functional, and molecular topography of a myeloid progenitor cell hierarchy. *Cell Stem Cell* 1:428–442.
- Månsson R, et al. (2007) Molecular evidence for hierarchical transcriptional lineage priming in fetal and adult stem cells and multipotent progenitors. *Immunity* 26:407–419.
- Daley JM, Thomay AA, Connolly MD, Reichner JS, Albina JE (2008) Use of Ly6G-specific monoclonal antibody to deplete neutrophils in mice. *J Leukoc Biol* 83:64–70.
- Theilgaard-Mönch K, et al. (2006) Haptoglobin is synthesized during granulocyte differentiation, stored in specific granules, and released by neutrophils in response to activation. *Blood* 108:353–361.
- Nutt SL, Heavey B, Rolink AG, Busslinger M (1999) Commitment to the B-lymphoid lineage depends on the transcription factor Pax5. *Nature* 401:556–562.
- Feinberg MW, et al. (2007) The Kruppel-like factor KLF4 is a critical regulator of monocyte differentiation. *EMBO J* 26:4138–4148.
- Singh AM, Dalton S (2009) The cell cycle and Myc intersect with mechanisms that regulate pluripotency and reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 5:141–149.
- Akashi K, Traver D, Miyamoto T, Weissman IL (2000) A clonogenic common myeloid progenitor that gives rise to all myeloid lineages. *Nature* 404:193–197.
- Kiel MJ, et al. (2005) SLAM family receptors distinguish hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells and reveal endothelial niches for stem cells. *Cell* 121:1109–1121.
- Kondo M, Weissman IL, Akashi K (1997) Identification of clonogenic common lymphoid progenitors in mouse bone marrow. *Cell* 91:661–672.
- Zhang CC, Lodish HF (2005) Murine hematopoietic stem cells change their surface phenotype during ex vivo expansion. *Blood* 105:4314–4320.
- Suh HC, et al. (2006) C/EBP α determines hematopoietic cell fate in multipotential progenitor cells by inhibiting erythroid differentiation and inducing myeloid differentiation. *Blood* 107:4308–4316.
- Laiosa CV, Stadtfeld M, Xie H, de Andres-Aguayo L, Graf T (2006) Reprogramming of committed T cell progenitors to macrophages and dendritic cells by C/EBP α and PU.1 transcription factors. *Immunity* 25:731–744.
- Hanna J, et al. (2008) Direct reprogramming of terminally differentiated mature B lymphocytes to pluripotency. *Cell* 133:250–264.
- Eminli S, et al. (2009) Differentiation stage determines potential of hematopoietic cells for reprogramming into induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Genet* 41:968–976.
- Xie H, Laiosa CV, Graf T (2010) Reprogramming of committed lymphoid cells by enforced transcription factor expression. *Methods Mol Biol* 636:219–232.
- Pinto do O P, Richter K, Carlsson L, Pinto do (2002) Hematopoietic progenitor/stem cells immortalized by Lhx2 generate functional hematopoietic cells in vivo. *Blood* 99:3939–3946.
- Ye M, et al. (2003) Hematopoietic stem cells expressing the myeloid lysozyme gene retain long-term, multilineage repopulation potential. *Immunity* 19:689–699.
- Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 29:e45.
- Fortina P, Surrey S (2008) Digital mRNA profiling. *Nat Biotechnol* 26:293–294.