Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2010 April 13; 49(14): 3009–3023. doi:10.1021/bi901523y.

Replication of a Universal Nucleobase Provides Unique Insight into the Role of Entropy During DNA Polymerization and Pyrophosphorolysis

Xuemei Zhang^a, Edward Motea^b, Irene Lee^b, and Anthony J. Berdis^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Pharmacology Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44106

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Abstract

Most models accounting for the efficiency and fidelity of DNA polymerization invoke the use of either hydrogen-bonding contacts or complementary of shape and size between the formed base pair. This report evaluates these mechanisms by quantifying the ability of a high fidelity DNA polymerase to replicate 5-nitroindole, a purine mimetic devoid of classic hydrogen-bonding capabilities. 5-NITP acts as a universal nucleotide since it is incorporated opposite any of the four natural nucleobases with nearly equal efficiencies. However, the polymerization reaction is not reciprocal as natural nucleotides are poorly incorporated opposite 5-nitroindole in the template strand. Incorporation opposite 5-nitroindole is more efficient using natural nucleotides containing various modifications that increase their base-stacking potential. However, 5-substituted indolyl nucleotides that contain π -electron and/or hydrophobic groups are incorporated opposite the non-natural nucleobase with the highest catalytic efficiencies. The collective data set indicate that replication of a non-natural nucleobase is driven by a combination of the hydrophobic nature and π -electron surface area of the incoming nucleotide. In this mechanism, the overall hydrophobicity of the incoming nucleobase overcomes the lack of hydrogen-bonding groups that are generally required for optimal DNA polymerization. However, the lack of hydrogen bonds between base pairs prevents primer extension. This final aspect is manifest by the appearance of unusually high pyrophosphorolysis activity by the T4 DNA polymerase that is only observed with the non-natural nucleobase in the template. These results highlight the importance of hydrogen-bonding interactions during primer extension and pyrophosphorolysis.

Keywords

DNA polymerization; desolvation; π -electron surface area; non-natural nucleotides; polymerization fidelity

*Corresponding Author: Telephone (216)-368-4723, Fax (216) 368-3395, ajb15@case.edu.

Supplemental Information: Supplemental Information 1A shows the structure of 2-thio-dTMP paired opposite 5-NI while Supplemental Information 1B shows the structure of 4-thio-dTMP paired opposite 5-NI. Supplemental information 2 provides data indicating that pyrophosphorolysis does not occur when 5-NI is paired opposite thymine or an abasic site. Supplemental Information 3 provides time courses for pyrophosphorolysis using 5-PhITP, 5-CE-ITP, and 5-CH-ITP as the phosphoryl transfer donor. Supplemental Information 4 provides time courses for 5-PhIMP (A) and 5-CE-IMP (B) incorporation opposite 5-NI using exonuclease-deficient and exonuclease-proficient bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. All supporting information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

DNA polymerases catalyze the addition of mononucleotides into a growing polymer using a DNA template as a guide for each incorporation event. The efficiency and fidelity of DNA polymerization have historically been attributed to enthalpic contributions caused by the formation of proper Watson-Crick base pairs between the incoming nucleotide and the corresponding templating base (1, 2). Early studies comparing the kinetics of correct versus incorrect nucleotide incorporation (3–6) have led to a fundamental model for how DNA polymerases maintain genomic fidelity. In this model, DNA polymerases simply “read” the coding information present within the hydrogen bonds of the template nucleobase. In fact, the mutual recognition of adenine (A)¹ by thymine (T) and of guanine (G) by cytosine (C) involves the formation of complementary hydrogen-bonds between the functional groups of each pair. Furthermore, the lack of hydrogen-bonding compatibility between A and C, for example, would hinder the polymerase's ability to form such base pairs, and thus maintain genomic fidelity.

Despite the intuitive nature of this model, there is growing evidence that a simple mechanism invoking hydrogen-bonding interactions is incomplete. A compelling argument against the reliance of hydrogen bonds comes from the ability of various DNA polymerases to efficiently utilize nucleotide analogs devoid of classical hydrogen-bonding functional groups (7). One of the earliest examples of this phenomenon is the ability of the *E. coli* Klenow fragment to effectively incorporate dAMP opposite 2,4-difluorotoluene (dF), an isostere of thymine that lacks functional groups associated with hydrogen-bonding (8, 9). In addition, the replication of dF occurs with fidelity as other natural dNTPs are poorly utilized (8). This result as well as other reports using distinct non-natural natural nucleotides (1, 10, 11) have led to a model invoking “shape complementarity” as an important determinant for efficient DNA polymerization (12). In this model, any base pair that adopts a geometrical arrangement in size and shape mimicking a natural Watson-Crick base pair is viewed as being correct by the polymerase and, as a consequence, allows for phosphoryl transfer to occur. Base pairs that do not conform to this optimal shape and/or size hinder phosphoryl transfer and are thus excluded from the polymerase's active site. In general, this model provides a reasonable mechanism explaining how polymerases achieve rapid and accurate DNA synthesis by catalyzing the formation of correct base pairs while preventing misincorporation events without the aid of hydrogen bonds.

However, there exist several kinetic phenomena that cannot be reconciled by a model invoking the exclusive contributions of steric fit and shape complementarity. For example, the shape complementary model predicts that the kinetics for forming a base pair should be identical regardless of which nucleobase is present in the template or used as the incoming nucleotides. This mechanism is true during the formation of correct Watson-Crick base pairs as dAMP is incorporated opposite T just as efficiently as dTMP is incorporated opposite A. However, this relationship is not true for the replication of non-natural nucleotides as many are incorporated “asymmetrically”. In these cases, polymerases display an unexplained preference for forming one base pair compared to its complement. For example, the *E. coli* Klenow fragment efficiently incorporates deoxyxanthosine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate opposite 5-(2,4-diaminopyrimidine) but does not incorporate 5-(2,4-diaminopyrimidine)-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate opposite a template xanthine (13). Other examples include the low catalytic efficiency for incorporating dFMP opposite A compared to the reciprocal

¹Abbreviations used in this review include the following: dNTP, deoxynucleoside triphosphate; A, adenine; C, cytidine; G, guanine; T, thymine; dAMP, adenosine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate; dCMP, cytosine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate; dGMP, guanosine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate; dTMP, thymine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate; dF, 2,4-difluorotoluene; dFMP, 2,4-difluorotoluene-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate; 5-NITP, 5-nitro-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate; 5-NIMP, 5-nitro-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate; 5-NapITP, 5-naphthyl-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate; 5-PhITP, 5-phenyl-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate; 5-CE-ITP, 5-cyclohexene-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate; 5-CH-ITP, 5-cyclohexyl-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate.

reaction of incorporating dAMP opposite dF (compare k_{cat}/K_m values of $4 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ versus $26 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$, respectively) (8, 9).

In addition to these kinetic abnormalities, the structures of several DNA polymerases bound to DNA show that the templating nucleobase exists in an extrahelical position that precludes direct interactions with the incoming dNTP during the initial stages of the polymerization cycle (14–16). This unusual orientation casts doubt on models invoking either hydrogen-bonding interactions or shape complementary as the pre-eminent molecular forces for efficient DNA synthesis. As a consequence other biophysical factors such as desolvation, induced dipole moments, and/or π -electron configuration of the incoming nucleotide and templating nucleobase must play significant roles during polymerization.

To quantify the contributions of these biophysical features, we investigated the kinetic behavior of a high-fidelity DNA polymerase during the replication of a unique non-natural nucleobase, 5-nitroindole (5-NI). Although 5-NI mimics the shape and size of adenine, it is devoid of classical hydrogen-bonding interactions. As a consequence, most natural nucleotides are poorly incorporated opposite 5-NI. However, nucleotides possessing high base-stacking potential (low solvation energies coupled with large π -electron surface areas) are incorporated opposite the non-natural nucleobase with significantly higher catalytic efficiencies. These data are used to develop a mechanism defining the roles of entropic (nucleobase hydrophobicity) and enthalpic effects (desolvation) during DNA polymerization. In this mechanism, the overall hydrophobic nature of the incoming nucleobase overcomes the lack of hydrogen-bonding groups that are generally required for optimal DNA polymerization. However, the lack of hydrogen bonds between base pairs prevents primer extension by hindering translocation of the polymerase to the next templating position. This final aspect is manifest by the appearance of unusually high pyrophosphorolysis activity by the T4 DNA polymerase that is only observed with the non-natural nucleobase in the template. These results collectively provide additional insight into how DNA polymerization can occur without the use of shape complementary or hydrogen bonds. However, the results also illustrate how the lack of hydrogen-bonding interactions adversely affect primer extension and enhance pyrophosphorolysis to prevent complete replication of a non-natural base pair.

Methods and Materials

Materials

The exonuclease-deficient mutant of gp43 (Asp-219 to Ala mutation) was purified and quantified as previously described (17). Oligonucleotides, including those containing a tetrahydrofuran moiety mimicking an abasic site, were synthesized by Operon Technologies (Alameda, CA). Single-strand and duplex DNA were purified and quantified as described (18). [γ - ^{32}P]ATP was purchased from Perkin-Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Boston, MA). Unlabeled dNTPs (ultrapure) were obtained from Pharmacia. Magnesium acetate and Trizma base were from Sigma. Urea, acrylamide, and bis-acrylamide were from National Diagnostics (Rochester, NY). 5-fluoro-uridine-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-F-dUTP), 5-aminoallyluridine-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-AA-dUTP), 2-thio-thymine-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (2-thio-dTTP), 4-thio-thymine-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (4-thio-dTTP), 5-propynyl-uridine-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-Pro-dUTP), 5-hydroxyl-uridine-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-OH-dUTP), 2-amino-2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate (2-APTP), 2'-deoxyinosine-5'-triphosphate (dITP), 6-chloropurine-2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate (6-Cl-PTP), 6-chloro-2-amino-2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate (6-Cl-2-APTP), 7-deaza-2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate (7-deaza-dATP), 7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine-5'-triphosphate (7-deaza-dGTP), N²-methyl-2'-deoxyguanosine-5'-triphosphate (N²-methyl dGTP), O⁶-methyl-2'-deoxyguanosine-5'-triphosphate (O⁶-methyl-

dGTP), N⁶-methyl-2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate (N⁶-methyl-dATP) were purchased from TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA). Indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (IndTP), 5-fluoro-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-FITP), 5-amino-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate; 5-NITP, 5-nitro-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-AITP), 5-cyclohexyl-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-CH-ITP), 5-cyclohexene-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-CE-ITP), 5-phenyl-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-PhITP), and 5-naphthyl-indolyl-2'-deoxyriboside triphosphate (5-NapITP) were synthesized and purified as previously described (19–23). All other materials were obtained from commercial sources and were of the highest quality available.

Polymerization Assays

The assay buffer used in all kinetic studies consisted of 25 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.5), 150 mM potassium acetate, and 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. All assays were performed at 25 °C. Polymerization reactions were monitored by analysis of the products on 20% sequencing gels as previously described (24). Gel images were obtained with a Packard PhosphorImager using the OptiQuant software supplied by the manufacturer. Product formation was quantified by measuring the ratio of ³²P-labeled extended and non-extended primer. The ratios of product formation are corrected for the substrate in the absence of polymerase (zero point). Corrected ratios are then multiplied by the concentration of primer/template used in each assay to yield the total product. All concentrations are listed as final solution concentrations.

Determination of Kinetic Parameters for Nucleotide Incorporation

Kinetic parameters, k_{pol} , K_d , and k_{pol}/K_d , for each modified and non-natural nucleotides were determined by monitoring the rate constants in product formation using a fixed amount of the exonuclease deficient T4 DNA polymerase (1 μM) and DNA substrate (250 nM) at varying concentrations of nucleoside triphosphate (10 – 500 μM). Aliquots of the reactions were quenched in 350 mM EDTA, pH 8 at times ranging from 5–300 seconds. In all cases, samples were diluted 1:1 with sequencing gel loading buffer and products were analyzed for product formation by denaturing gel electrophoresis. Data obtained for DNA polymerization reactions measured under single turnover reaction conditions were fit with equation 1:

$$y=A\left(1-e^{-kt}\right)+C \quad (1)$$

where A is the burst amplitude, k is the observed rate constant (k_{obs}) in initial product formation, t is time, and C is a defined constant. Data for the dependency of k_{obs} as a function of dNTP concentration were fit with the Michaelis-Menten equation (eqn. 2) to provide values corresponding to k_{pol} and K_d .

$$k_{\text{obs}}=\left(k_{\text{pol}} * [\text{dXTP}]\right) / \left(K_d+[\text{dXTP}]\right) \quad (2)$$

where k_{obs} is the observed rate constant of the reaction, k_{pol} is the maximal polymerization rate constant, K_d is the dissociation constant for dXTP, and dXTP is the concentration of the non-natural nucleotide substrate.

Primer Extension Assays

Single turnover conditions were used to measure the rates of extension beyond base-pairs formed between 5-NI in the template strand and various natural and non-natural nucleobases in the primer strand. To enzymatically form the various pairing combinations, 500 nM

13/20_{5-NI}-mer was first incubated with 1 μ M exonuclease deficient T4 DNA polymerase and 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂ in reaction buffer followed by initiation of the polymerization reaction through the addition of 200 μ M dXTP. After 4 half-lives needed (time needed to achieve 95% production of 14-mer), 500 μ M dGTP, the next correct nucleotide, was added to the reaction to allow for extension beyond the formed mispair. At various time intervals ($\Delta t = 1$ to 10 minutes), 5 μ L aliquots of the reaction were quenched in an equal volume of 350 mM EDTA, pH 8.0. The samples were then diluted 1:1 with sequencing gel loading buffer and products were analyzed for product formation by denaturing gel electrophoresis as described above.

Pyrophosphorolysis Activity

To enzymatically form the 5-NI:5-NI mispair, 500 nM 13/20_{5-NI}-mer was first incubated with 1 μ M exonuclease deficient T4 DNA polymerase and 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂ in reaction buffer followed by initiation of the polymerization reaction through the addition of 20 μ M 5-NITP. After 3 minutes, 400 μ M PP_i was added to the reaction to initiate pyrophosphorolysis. At various time intervals ($\Delta t = 1$ to 10 minutes), 5 μ L aliquots of the reaction were quenched in an equal volume of 350 mM EDTA, pH 8.0. The samples were then diluted 1:1 with sequencing gel loading buffer and products were analyzed for product formation by denaturing gel electrophoresis as described above.

The ability of various non-natural nucleotides such as 5-NapITP and 5-PhITP to act as the phosphoryl donor was evaluated using a slight modification to the aforementioned protocol. In these experiments, 20 μ M 5-NITP was added to the pre-incubated polymerase:DNA complex to form the 5-NI:5-NI mispair. In this case, however, a fixed concentration of non-natural nucleotide was added rather than PP_i to initiate the pyrophosphorolysis reaction. In these experiments, the concentration of non-natural nucleotide was maintained at its K_d value for incorporation opposite 5-NI (vide supra). After the addition of the non-natural nucleotide, aliquots were quenched as a function of time ($\Delta t = 1$ to 10 minutes) and the products were analyzed by denaturing gel electrophoresis as described above.

Results

Replication of a “Universal” Non-Natural Nucleotide Occurs in an Asymmetric Fashion

The ability of the exonuclease-deficient T4 DNA polymerase to incorporate 5-nitroindolyl-2'-deoxynucleoside monophosphate (5-NIMP) (Figure 1A) opposite natural templating nucleobases and a non-templating DNA lesion (abasic site) was performed as previously described (25). The data illustrated in Figure 1B recapitulate previous observations that 5-NIMP is preferentially incorporated opposite the non-templating abasic site (26). These data also show that while 5-NIMP is a purine analog lacking classical hydrogen-bonding groups, it is incorporated opposite each of the four natural templating nucleobases with similar efficiencies (Figure 1B). The promiscuous nature of 5-NITP as the incoming nucleotide is consistent with its designation as a “universal” nucleotide (27, 28) and is attributed to the enhanced base-stacking capabilities of 5-nitroindole as it contains significant π -electron density coupled with low solvation energies (29).

The nonselective nature of 5-NITP as the incoming nucleotide led to the hypothesis that 5-NI in the template strand should also be highly nonselective, i.e., all four natural nucleotides should be incorporated with similar efficiencies. Representative data provided in Figure 1D provide evidence to the contrary as dAMP and dTMP are the only nucleotides incorporated opposite 5-NI. In addition, the efficiency for their incorporation is very low compared to the reciprocal reaction of incorporating 5-NIMP opposite either nucleobase. For example, the first order rate constant for dAMP incorporation opposite 5-NI ($0.0033 \pm 0.0005 \text{ sec}^{-1}$) is

1,300-fold slower than the k_{pol} of $4.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ measured for the reciprocal reaction of incorporating 5-NIMP opposite adenine (26). Likewise, the k_{obs} value of $0.0024 \pm 0.0004 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ measured for dTMP incorporation opposite 5-NI is 380-fold slower than that of $0.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ measured for incorporating 5-NIMP opposite thymine (26). It should be noted that the rate constants for forming either non-natural base pair are significantly slower than the maximal rate constants of $50\text{--}100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ measured for forming a normal Watson-Crick base pair (Table 1) (17, 18). Regardless, the differences in nucleotide utilization as well as the significantly lower rate constants for forming a base pair containing a non-natural nucleotide indicates that polymerization catalyzed by the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerases occurs in an asymmetric manner.

Incorporation of Modified Pyrimidine Analogs Opposite 5-Nitroindole

The preferential utilization of dTTP could reflect the influence of steric fit and shape complementarity (7, 12) since the overall shape and size of a thymine:5-nitroindole pair is more like a Watson-Crick base pair than adenine/guanine:5-nitroindole base pair (Figure 2A). To evaluate this possibility, various dTTP analogs illustrated in Figure 2B were tested for incorporation opposite 5-NI. As shown in Figure 2C, only 2-thio-thymine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate (2-thio-dTMP) is incorporated opposite 5-NI to an appreciable extent. The dissociation constant (K_d)² and maximal polymerization rate constant (k_{pol}) for 2-thio-dTMP incorporation opposite 5-NI were measured as previously described (26). The data provided in Figure 2D illustrate the dependency of 2-thio-dTTP concentration on the kinetics of polymerization. These time courses are unique as both the amplitudes in product formation as well as the affiliated rate constants show a dependency on 2-thio-dTTP concentration. Each time course is best defined as a single exponential from which k_{obs} values were obtained. The plot of k_{obs} versus 2-thio-dTTP concentration is hyperbolic (Figure 2E) and a fit of the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation (30) yields a k_{pol} value of $0.047 \pm 0.003 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, a K_d value of $55 \pm 12 \text{ M}$, and a k_{pol}/K_d value of $855 \pm 90 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{sec}^{-1}$.

The incorporation of 2-thio-dTMP could reflect the contributions of steric fit as the replacement of oxygen with sulfur causes a subtle increase in size of the incoming nucleobase which could allow for more favorable interactions with the templating 5-NI (Supplemental Information 1A). Indeed, analogous replacement of oxygen with sulfur at the corresponding 4-position of dTMP (4-thio-thymine-2'-deoxyriboside monophosphate (4-thio-dTMP)) is expected to clash with the nitro group of 5-NI (Supplemental Information 1B). In this case, the lack of shape complementarity could explain why 4-thio-dTMP is not incorporated opposite 5-NI (Table 1). This interpretation would be consistent with recent work from the Kool laboratory demonstrating that subangstrom increases in the size of the incoming pyrimidine triphosphate can significantly influence the dynamics of nucleotide incorporation opposite a templating nucleobase (31, 32). However, the inability of the T4 DNA polymerase to incorporate other analogs such as 5-F-dUMP and 5-OH-dUMP that are similar in shape and size to dTMP argues that biophysical features distinct from shape complementarity and steric fit play important roles as well.

Incorporation of Modified Purine Analogs Opposite 5-Nitroindole

The incorporation of dTMP and 4-thio-dTMP opposite 5-NI could reflect the influence of optimized base pair geometry. However, this can not explain the efficient incorporation of dAMP opposite the non-natural nucleobase. To further investigate this phenomenon, several modified dATP and dGTP analogs (Figure 3A) were tested for incorporation opposite 5-NI.

²Since the reactions were performed using single turnover conditions and that the rate-limiting step for incorporation is likely to be the conformational change preceding phosphoryl transfer, the measured K_m values closely approximate a true dissociation constant (K_d).

Gel electrophoresis data provided in Figure 3B shows that analogs such as N⁶-methyl-dAMP, 2-APMP, 6-Cl-2-APMP, and O⁶-methyl-dGMP are incorporated opposite 5-NI with surprisingly high efficiencies. Of these four modified purines, only N⁶-methyl-dATP displays saturation kinetics (data not shown) from which a k of $0.017 \pm 0.003 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, a K of $21 \pm 7 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$, and a k_{pol}/K_d of $810 \pm 100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ are obtained (Table 1). The other three analogs (2-APTP, 6-Cl-2APTP, and O⁶-methyl-dGTP) do not show saturation kinetics up to concentrations of $500 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ (data not shown), and this prohibits the measurement of accurate K_d and k_{pol} values. However, it is possible to use the linear portion of a Michaelis-Menten curve to accurately measure the catalytic efficiencies (k_{pol}/K_d) for incorporation opposite 5-NI (Table 1). It is quite intriguing that the overall catalytic efficiency of $810 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ for N⁶-methyl-dATP is essentially identical to that for 2-thio-dTTP ($k_{\text{pol}}/K_d = 855 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$) since the nucleotides differ greatly with respect to shape, size, and potential hydrogen-bonding interactions. It is even more surprising that the larger purine analog has a ~2-fold lower K_d value compared to the smaller pyrimidine (compare a K_d of $21 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ versus $55 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ for N⁶-MedATP and 2-thio-dTTP, respectively).

Incorporation of Non-Natural Nucleoside Monophosphates as Probes for the Involvement of Base-Stacking

The influence of π -electron density and hydrophobicity on nucleotide incorporation were assessed by measuring the ability of the T4 DNA polymerase to incorporate various 5-substituted indolyl nucleotides (Figure 4A) opposite 5-NI. Initial screening revealed that non-natural nucleotides such as 5-PhIMP and 5-CE-IMP are incorporated opposite 5-NI (Figure 4B) much more effectively than the natural nucleotides, dAMP and dTMP, or any of their modified counterparts. In addition, the kinetics of 5-PhIMP incorporation opposite 5-NI are similar to those previously described for 2-thio-dTMP as both the rate constants and amplitudes in product formation are dependent upon 5-PhITP concentration (Figure 4C). The corresponding plot of k_{obs} versus 5-PhITP concentration (Figure 4D) yields a k_{pol} value of $0.107 \pm 0.005 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, a K_d value of $8.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$, and a k_{pol}/K_d value of $12,300 \pm 500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Similar results are obtained with other non-natural nucleotides such as IndTP, 5-FITP, 5-NITP, and 5-CH-ITP (data not shown) and their kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 1.

The non-natural nucleotide, 5-NapITP, displays unique kinetic behavior as the plot of k_{obs} versus nucleotide concentration provides a pattern consistent with substrate-induced inhibition (Figure 5A). A fit of the data to the equation describing competitive substrate inhibition (33) yields a k_{pol} value of $0.029 \pm 0.009 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, a K_d of $17 \pm 8 \text{ M}$, a k_{pol}/K_d of $1,720 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, and a K_i of $41 \pm 15 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$. Similar data were obtained for the incorporation of 5-CE-IMP (data not shown). In both cases, the appearance of substrate-induced inhibition is dependent upon the nature of the templating nucleobase as this phenomenon is not observed for their incorporation opposite templating nucleobases (19) or damaged DNA (34).

Unusual Pyrophosphorolysis Activity Accounts for the Appearance of Substrate-Induced Inhibition

Inhibition of polymerase activity by nucleotide substrates has been observed primarily during mismatch DNA synthesis, i.e., the misincorporation of dAMP opposite C (35–37). Since this phenomenon occurs using high nucleotide concentrations ($>1 \text{ mM}$), it is typically attributed to the non-productive binding of an incorrect nucleotide to the polymerase:DNA complex or to the binding of nucleotide to free polymerase to form the pol:dNTP complex. In this latter case, the formation of the pol:dNTP complex causes inhibition by preventing DNA binding to form the catalytically competent ternary complex of pol:DNA:dNTP .

An alternative possibility to explain substrate inhibition is that the nucleotide can act as a substrate for the pyrophosphorolysis reaction to directly reverse the forward polymerization reaction (Figure 5B). This mechanism was evaluated by measuring the pyrophosphorolysis activity of the exonuclease-deficient T4 DNA polymerase on the 5-NI:5-NI mispair. Briefly, this mispair was formed *in situ* by adding 20 μM 5-NITP to the pre-incubated polymerase: 13/20_{5-NI}-mer complex. After 3 minutes ($\Delta t_1 > 4$ half-lives), 400 μM PP_i was added to initiate pyrophosphorolysis, and aliquots of the reaction were quenched into EDTA as a function of time ($\Delta t_2 = 1$ to 10 minutes). The results provided in Figure 5C show that the addition of 400 ΔM PP_i leads to a reduction in the amount enzymatically-formed 14-mer, consistent with pyrophosphorolysis-mediated degradation of the primer. Pyrophosphorolysis activity is dependent upon the composition of the templating base since this activity is not observed when 5-NI is paired opposite thymine or an abasic site (Supplemental Data 2).

To further investigate this unique pyrophosphorolytic activity, we measured the ability of PP_i to remove other non-natural nucleotides when paired opposite 5-NI in the template. Each mispair was formed *in situ* by adding Kd concentrations of the non-natural nucleotide (5-FITP, 5-CE-ITP, 5-PhITP, 5-NapITP, or 2-thio-dTTP) to the pre-incubated polymerase: 13/20_{5-NI}-mer complex. After 4 half-lives, 400 μM PP_i was added to initiate pyrophosphorolysis, and aliquots of the reaction were quenched into EDTA as a function of time (data not shown). In all cases, the addition of PP_i causes a reduction in the amount of enzymatically-formed 14-mer, consistent with pyrophosphorolysis-mediated degradation of the primer. However, the amount of degradation is dependent upon the composition of the terminal nucleobase. To quantify these differences, each time course was fit to a single-exponential to define the rate constant for pyrophosphorolysis (k_{pyro}). Based upon the magnitude of these k_{pyro} values, the rank order of reactivity for the terminal base is as follows: 5-FInd > 2-thio-dT > 5-PhInd > 5-NInd >> 5-CE-Ind and 5-NapInd. In general, the data reveals an inverse correlation between pyrophosphorolysis activity and base-stacking potential of the terminal base.

A slight modification of this experimental paradigm was employed to test the ability of 5-NapITP to directly act as the phosphoryl donor during pyrophosphorolysis. After enzymatically forming the 5-NI:5-NI mispair ($\Delta t_1 = 3$ minutes), 30 μM 5-NapITP was added to initiate pyrophosphorolysis. As illustrated in Figure 5D, the addition of 5-NapITP causes a rapid degradation of the primer containing the incorporated 5-NIMP (band denoted as “14-NI”). However, the removal of 5-NIMP regenerates the original 13-mer primer which then allows for the incorporation of 5-NapIMP opposite 5-NI (band denoted as “14-Nap”). The production of the “14-Nap” band does not simply represent elongation of the 14NI-mer band since control experiments validate that the band denoted as “14-Nap” represents 5-NapITP incorporation opposite 5-NI (Figure 5E).

We also assessed if other non-natural nucleotides could act as phosphoryl transfer donors to remove 5-NIMP as the terminal primer base. Since this assay relies on measuring differences in the mobility of DNA primers via denaturing gel electrophoresis, there are limitations as to which non-natural nucleotides could be tested. Specifically, only relatively “large” non-natural nucleotides such as 5-PhITP, 5-CE-ITP, and 5-CH-ITP could be tested as they display significant differences in mobility during electrophoresis. In all cases, the addition of these non-natural nucleotides caused a reduction in the amount of enzymatically-formed 14-mer (Supplemental Information 3). In these cases, the extent of degradation depends upon the composition of the non-natural nucleotide acting as the phosphoryl transfer donor. As before, these differences were quantified through fits of the data to a single-exponential, and the rank order of reactivity for these nucleotides is 5-NapITP and 5-CE-ITP >> 5-PhITP and 5-CH-ITP. These data suggest a correlation between pyrophosphorolysis activity and the base-stacking potential of the phosphoryl transfer donor.

Nucleotide Incorporation in the Presence of Exonuclease Activity

Like most high fidelity DNA polymerases, the bacteriophage T4 enzyme possesses a vigorous exonuclease activity that plays a significant biological role in maintaining genomic fidelity. Although we have demonstrated that pyrophosphorolytic activity, participates in removing nucleotides paired opposite 5-NI, it is important to examine the capacity of exonuclease excision to effectively remove nucleotides paired opposite a non-canonical nucleobase. This was accomplished by comparing the ability of the wild type bacteriophage polymerase (gp43^{exo+}) versus the exonuclease-deficient polymerase, gp43^{exo-}, to stably insert 5-NIMP opposite 5-NI. As illustrated in Figure 6A, the exonuclease-deficient polymerase efficiently incorporates 5-NIMP opposite its non-natural counterpart while barely detectable levels of 5-NIMP incorporation are observed using the wild type polymerase. Similar results are obtained with using other non-natural nucleotides such as 5-PhITP and 5-CE-ITP (Supplemental Information 4). In general, the reduced amount of product formation using gp43^{exo+} infers enzymatic removal of the non-natural nucleotide after it is incorporated.

To validate this conclusion, the efficiency of nucleotide removal via exonuclease proofreading by gp43^{exo+} was directly compared to removal catalyzed by pyrophosphorolysis. This was achieved by first forming the 5-NI:5-NI mispair *in situ* by adding 20 μ M 5-NITP to the pre-incubated gp43^{exo-}:13/20_{5-NI}-mer complex. After 3 minutes ($\Delta t_1 > 4$ half-lives), 400 μ M PP_i was added to initiate pyrophosphorolysis, and aliquots of the reaction were quenched into EDTA as a function of time ($\Delta t_2 = 15$ to 180 seconds). Representative gel electrophoresis data provided in Figure 6B (left panel) recapitulate results of earlier experiments as the addition of 400 μ M PP_i causes a reduction in the amount of 14-mer. To measure exonuclease degradation of the 5-NI:5-NI mispair, a similar experiment was performed in 2 μ M gp43^{exo+} was added instead of 400 μ M PP_i. As illustrated in Figure 6B (right panel), the addition of the wild type polymerase leads to a rapid degradation of 14-mer ($k_{obs} > 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$). It is difficult to unambiguously compare the rate constants for nucleotide removal via exonuclease-proofreading versus pyrophosphorolysis activity due to differences in reaction conditions.⁴ However, quantification of the gel electrophoresis data provided in Figure 6C validates that nucleotide excision occurs far more efficiently via exonuclease excision than by pyrophosphorolysis.

The Absence of Hydrogen Bonds Prevents Primer Extension

Single turnover conditions were used to measure the rates of extending beyond various natural (dAMP and dTMP), modified (2-thio-dTMP, N⁶-methyl-dAMP, O⁶-methyl-dGMP, and 2-APMP), and non-natural nucleotides (5-NIMP, 5-PhIMP, and 5-FIMP) paired opposite a template 5-NI. Briefly, mispairs were formed *in situ* by adding 100 μ M nucleotide to the pre-incubated gp43^{exo-}:13/20_{5-NI}-mer complex. After several minutes to allow for the formation of 14-mer (typically Δt_1 of 5 minutes), 200 μ M dGTP was added to initiate extension beyond the mispair and aliquots of the reaction were quenched into EDTA as a function of time ($\Delta t_2 = 1$ to 10 minutes). Under these conditions, extension beyond any base pair combination containing 5-NI in the template was not observed, even in the absence of exonuclease proofreading activity (data not shown).

Discussion

DNA polymerases are remarkably efficient enzymes as they can copy thousands of base pairs per second with an incredible degree of accuracy (~1 mistake every 10⁶ opportunities

⁴Exonuclease proofreading is a first order reaction while pyrophosphorolysis is a second order reaction. As such, it is difficult to make accurate mechanistic deductions based upon a simple comparison of kinetic rates for the two reactions.

(38, 39)). They are also enigmatic as they are versatile enough to recognize four distinct pairing partners while capable of maintaining selectivity by inserting only one of four potential dNTPs opposite a template base. Several models have been proposed to account for this dynamic behavior, and range from the exclusive utilization of hydrogen-bonding interactions to base pair recognition using shape and size complementarity. In this manuscript, we used the unique biophysical properties of 5-NI to further evaluate the contribution shape, size, and other biophysical features such as nucleobase hydrophobicity and π -electron surface area during polymerization. The significant findings of this report include: (a) evidence for asymmetry during the replication of a non-natural nucleobase by the high-fidelity bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase; (b) the facile incorporation of hydrophobic nucleotides opposite 5-NI provides evidence for a model invoking desolvation as the predominant molecular force during polymerization; (c) extension of this model to describe the importance of desolvation during the formation of natural Watson-Crick base pairs; and (d) evidence for an enhancement in pyrophosphorolysis activity during the replication of non-natural nucleobase. Each of these points is discussed in more detail below to emphasize their mechanistic ramifications.

Polymerization Occurs in the Absence of Hydrogen Bonds and Shape Complementary

5-NI is a non-natural nucleobase that mimics the shape and size of adenine yet is devoid of classical hydrogen-bonding functional groups. Although 5-NI is a purine-analog, the non-natural nucleobase is not replicated like a normal templating nucleobase. Instead, the replication of 5-NI is surprisingly similar to the replication of the non-templating abasic site. This is based upon several key pieces of kinetic data. First, of the four natural nucleotides, dAMP is preferentially incorporated opposite both 5-NI and an abasic site (26). Secondly, modified purines such as N⁶-Me-dAMP and O⁶-Me-dGMP that possess enhanced base-stacking capabilities are incorporated opposite 5-NI and the abasic site much more efficiently than their unmodified natural counterparts (29). Finally, the most effective substrates in both cases are 5-substituted indolyl nucleotides that are hydrophobic and contain significant π -electron density (19, 22). However, it is noteworthy that the catalytic efficiencies for their incorporation opposite 5-NI are ~100-fold lower compared to incorporation opposite an abasic site (19, 22). It is interesting that this difference is not caused by perturbations in binding affinities since the K_d values for these analogs are essentially identical regardless of templating base composition. For example, the K_d of 32 μ M for 5-NITP with 5-NI containing DNA is identical to the value of 36 μ M measured with thymine and only ~2-fold higher than the K_d of 18 μ M using abasic site containing DNA (26). Instead, the low catalytic efficiency is caused by large reductions in the k_{pol} values.

This final aspect is noteworthy since the k_{pol} values for these non-natural nucleotides opposite an abasic site are highly sensitive to the overall π -electron surface area of the incoming nucleotide (23). This relationship does not exist for their incorporation opposite 5-NI since 5-CH-IMP and 5-FIMP, which lack extensive π -electron density, are incorporated as efficiently as analogs such as 5-PhIMP and 5-NIMP that contain significantly larger π -electron surface areas. The common feature amongst these four non-natural nucleotides is their hydrophobic nature, and this provides a molecular basis for their insertion opposite an equally hydrophobic nucleobase (*vide supra*). Indeed, hydrophobic effects likely explain why dAMP and dTMP are the only two natural nucleotides incorporated opposite 5-NI as they possess the lowest solvation energies amongst the four natural nucleobases (40, 41). Consistent with this mechanism is the fact that 5-AITP, the only hydrophilic non-natural nucleotide tested, is not incorporated opposite 5-nitroindole.

How Much Does Desolvation Contribute Toward DNA Polymerization?

The importance of hydrophobicity and desolvation is evident by examining the structure of duplex DNA. The interior of the helix is devoid of water and thus classified as a hydrophobic environment which is essential for forming the network of correct hydrogen-bonds between base pairs. Creating this hydrophobic environment during DNA polymerization is challenging since water molecules surrounding the functional groups of the incoming dNTP must be removed prior to forming hydrogen bonds within the interior of the DNA helix. However, the replication of hydrophobic, non-natural nucleobases such as 5-nitroindole circumvents the requirement for a desolvation step, and thus only nucleotides that are also relatively hydrophobic are effectively incorporated.

This conclusion is consistent with results reported by the Romesberg group that developed a series of novel base pair combinations using hydrophobic packing interactions rather than hydrogen bonds or shape complementarity (42, 43). This group proposed that interactions between two hydrophobic nucleobases should be stronger than those made between a mixed base pair composed of a hydrophobic base paired opposite a hydrophilic one. Indeed, the catalytic efficiencies for incorporating a natural dNMP opposite a hydrophobic base such as 7-azaindole is ~100-fold lower than for incorporating the hydrophobic isocarbostyryl nucleotide opposite 7-azaindole (44). Furthermore, this model provides a comprehensive mechanism for the maintenance of replication fidelity as the misinsertion of any natural dNMP opposite a hydrophobic nucleobase is hindered due to unfavorable enthalpic interactions. However, this increase in fidelity does not extend to the enzymatic formation of a completely hydrophobic base pair. In fact, fidelity cannot be achieved during the replication of two distinct hydrophobic bases due to the ease of enzymatically forming self-pairs. One relevant example of this phenomenon is the higher catalytic efficiency for incorporating dFMP opposite a template dF compared to the incorporation of dAMP, the “preferred” shape partner for dF (45). In general, reductions in solvation energies lower entropic penalties and favor nucleotide incorporation. However, they also produce negative effects on selectivity as a hydrophobic base can be efficiently incorporated opposite any other hydrophobic base regardless of shape and/or size.

It is also important to compare the kinetic behavior for forming a mixed (natural: non-natural) base-pair such as dAMP:5-NI and dTMP:5-NI with corresponding natural mispairs such as dAMP:dG and dTMP:dG as this will provide insight into mechanisms used to achieve polymerization fidelity. A summary of kinetic parameters for these various natural and mixed mismatches are provided in Table 3. In general, the efficiency for forming a mixed mispair such as dAMP:5-NI is identical to that for forming natural mismatches such as dAMP:dG and dAMP:dC. In all three cases, the apparent K_m for the incorrect nucleotide is greater than 500 μM while the rate constant for nucleotide incorporation is exceedingly slow (less than 0.005 sec^{-1}). Similar results are observed for the misincorporation of dTMP opposite the non-natural nucleobase, 5-NI, and natural nucleobases such as dG or dT. As such, the enzymatic formation of a mixed mispair is kinetically disfavored though similar perturbations in K_m and k_{cat} values as observed during the enzymatic formation of natural purine:purine or purine:pyrimidine mispairs.

While natural nucleotides are poorly incorporated opposite a non-canonical nucleobase such as 5-nitroindole, it is clear that increasing the base-stacking potential of the incoming nucleotide (either through changes in solvation energies and/or increased pi-electron surface areas) facilitates enzymatic incorporation. This is consistent with previous reports demonstrating that the high fidelity bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase incorporates modified natural nucleotides opposite an abasic site much more efficiently than their unmodified counterparts (29). The increase in misincorporation of these nucleotides opposite this non-templating lesion correlated with their enhanced base-stacking properties.

However, a similar effect was not observed during their misincorporation opposite templating DNA. This suggests that different biophysical features are employed during the replication of damaged versus non-damaged DNA. Thus, it is likely that most DNA polymerases behave differently when replicating normal versus damaged nucleic acid. Indeed, high-fidelity polymerases typically misinsert a wrong nucleotide upon encountering damaged DNA while specialized or “error-prone” DNA polymerases such as pol η and pol ι maintain genomic fidelity by incorporating the “correct” nucleotide opposite the DNA lesion (reviewed in (46)).

When Does Desolvation Occur During DNA Polymerization?

While these data highlight the importance of desolvation, the more daunting challenge is to define how and when desolvation occurs along the multi-step DNA polymerization pathway. For example, desolvation could occur during the binding of the incoming nucleotide, during the conformational change step that precedes phosphoryl transfer, or both (Figure 7).³ We argue that with the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, desolvation likely occurs during the nucleotide binding step since the K_d values for hydrophobic 5-substituted indolyl nucleotides such as 5-PhITP and 5-NapITP are essentially independent of templating nucleobase composition (21). In addition, the vast majority of these analogs bind with relatively high affinity ($\sim 20 \mu\text{M}$) despite the fact that they are not complementary to the shape/size of the pairing partner, nor do they contain functional groups that could support enthalpic stabilization via hydrogen bonding interactions. In essence, non-natural nucleotides that can be desolvated easily are thermodynamically more stable in the interior of DNA, and this feature coincides with their low K_d values and more facile incorporation opposite other hydrophobic nucleobases.

Desolvation can also occur during the conformational change step that precedes phosphoryl transfer. This conformational change step was initially attributed to the alignment of the incoming nucleotide with the templating base (47). However, this step could also function to exclude water from the polymerase's active site after the binding of the incoming nucleotide. As illustrated in Figure 7, enthalpic penalties associated with removing water molecules from these various functional groups should be adequately offset by the formation of favorable enthalpic contributions that result from proper hydrogen bonds made between two natural nucleobases. During the enzymatic formation of a mixed hydrophobic-hydrophilic base pair, however, the rate of the conformational change is reduced since the lack of hydrogen bonding groups precludes the contributions of compensatory enthalpic stabilization inside the DNA helix. Thus, polymerization can occur in the absence of hydrogen bonding potential. However, the efficiency of the process is dramatically reduced.

While our discussion has focused on hydrogen-bonding and steric fit recognition, an alternative model invoking positive/negative selection must also be considered. This model is derived from results generated by the Kuchta laboratory using a series of non-natural nucleotides to probe the determinants used by various DNA polymerases to optimize replication fidelity (48, 49). Results from their studies suggest the use of two orthogonal screens - positive selection for incorporating the correct dNTP and negative selection against incorporating an incorrect dNTP (48, 49). Relevant to our studies here, the Kuchta laboratory demonstrated that the eukaryotic polymerase, pol α , incorporates 5-nitrobenzimidazole opposite all natural nucleobases at rates comparable to normal, correct base pairs (49). These results were attributed to a positive/negative selection mechanism in which the absence and/or presence of chemical entities that differ from normal purine and

³We argue that desolvation occurs prior to phosphoryl transfer since water must be removed from the polymerase's active site to avoid hydrolysis reactions that would compete with the phosphoryl transfer.

pyrimidine bases (i.e. N-3 of purine) define the ability of a DNA polymerase to recognize the incoming nucleotide as either “right” or “wrong”. Put another way, the lack of discrimination by pol α against incorporating 5-nitrobenzimidazole results from its inability to recognize 5-nitrobenzimidazole as being “wrong” since the non-natural nucleotide is so dissimilar from a purine.

At face value, the data obtained here using the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase with certain hydrophobic analogues such as 5-NITP, 5-CE-ITP, and 5-NapITP are consistent with this model. These analogs are more efficiently incorporated opposite the hydrophobic 5-nitroindole compared to a hydrophilic nucleobase such as guanine, and thus could reflect a negative selection mechanism. As before, the incorporation of these hydrophobic indolyl purine nucleotides results from the absence of essential features associated with purine nucleotides that are critical for effective discrimination. However, it is impossible to exclude positive selection as the beneficial influence of hydrophobic packing forces may be sufficient for these non-natural nucleotides to be incorporated into duplex DNA (43).

The Lack of Primer Extension Enhances Pyrophosphorolysis

In addition to the poor kinetics of nucleotide incorporation opposite 5-NI, the exonuclease-deficient T4 DNA polymerase is unable to extend beyond any base pair containing the non-natural nucleobase in the template. The lack of extension beyond the non-natural nucleobase could reflect the absence of hydrogen bonding groups that are essential for polymerase translocation that occurs after nucleotide incorporation (50, 51). Alternatively, this could be caused by the incorrect shape of the formed mispair. Indeed, Romesberg and colleagues showed that the *E. coli* Klenow fragment is unable to extend large, aromatic non-natural nucleobases results from the formation of an intercalated structure that causes mispositioning of the 3'-OH in the polymerase's active site to hinder phosphoryl transfer (43).

Regardless of the exact molecular mechanism, the increase in pyrophosphorolysis activity of the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase is also consistent with a model invoking inhibition of polymerase translocation. The inability of the polymerase to translocate along DNA containing the non-natural nucleobase would lock the enzyme in a post-catalytic conformation ($E':DNA_{n+1}$) that is poised to bind pyrophosphate (PP_i) or other phosphoryl donors rather than the next correct nucleotide. The binding of pyrophosphate to form the $E:DNA_{n+1}:PP_i$ complex allows pyrophosphorolysis to occur and generates $E:DNA_n$ and dNTP. This mechanism also explains the appearance of substrate-induced inhibition by non-natural nucleotides including 5-NapITP and 5-CE-ITP. At low nucleotide concentrations, the production of 14-mer is favored since polymerization activity is higher than pyrophosphorolysis activity. However, as the concentration of non-natural nucleotide increases, pyrophosphorolysis activity becomes more prevalent such that the 14-mer initially generated via polymerization is removed via pyrophosphorolysis. Precedence for this mechanism comes from studies examining the mechanism of pyrophosphorolysis with HIV reverse transcriptase (RT) due to its importance in the development of drug resistance to chain-terminators such as AZT and ddC (52, 53). Although PP_i acts as the normal substrate during pyrophosphorolysis, Meyer *et al.* demonstrated that ATP can substitute as a phosphoryl donor during this reaction (54). Our data using non-natural nucleotides is consistent with this mechanism as increasing the base-stacking potential of non-natural nucleotides causes an enhancement in binding affinity to the $pol:DNA_{n+1}$ complex to facilitate pyrophosphorolysis. In this case, higher pyrophosphorolysis activity is observed with nucleotides such as 5-NapITP and 5-CE-ITP that have greater base-stacking potential compared to analogs such as 5-CH-ITP. A similar correlation is observed between the base stacking potential of the non-natural nucleotide present as second substrate on the terminal primer. In this case, analogs such as 5-FIMP and 2-thio-dTMP that have low base stacking

potential are removed via pyrophosphorolysis significantly faster than analogs such as 5CE-IMP and 5-NapIMP that possess higher base-stacking potential.

The enhancement in pyrophosphorolysis also provides a mechanism to explain the unusual kinetic behavior observed for the incorporation of 2-thio-dTMP, 5-PhIMP, and other non-natural nucleotides opposite 5-NI. As previously described, the time courses in primer elongation with these analogs showed unusual effects on both the amplitudes in product formation as well as the corresponding rate constants for incorporation (*vide infra*). Similar results were reported by Johnson *et al.* measuring the enzymatic insertion of AZT-MP opposite adenine by pol γ , the human mitochondrial DNA polymerase (55). In this case, the dependence of nucleotide concentration on the amplitude in product formation was argued to be a consequence of a readily reversible polymerization reaction. The data presented here with the bacteriophage T4 polymerase support this model as the polymerization reaction can be easily reversed via pyrophosphorolysis when various nucleotides are incorporated opposite a non-natural nucleobase. Collectively, the enhanced pyrophosphorolysis activity displayed by the T4 DNA polymerase indicates that the phosphoryl transfer step is readily reversible if hydrogen-bonding contacts between the formed base pair are not present or if the base pairs are not optimally arranged in the polymerase's active site. Collectively, the lack of translocation coupled with an enhancement in pyrophosphorolysis activity represent significant complications in applying non-natural nucleotides as chemical tools to expand the genetic code (56, 57).

Is Pyrophosphorolysis Activity Comparable to Exonuclease Proofreading Activity?

The enhanced pyrophosphorolysis activity at a non-canonical base can explain the appearance of nucleotide-induced inhibition that is typically observed when monitoring misincorporation kinetics catalyzed by exonuclease-deficient DNA polymerases. In addition, the enhanced pyrophosphorolysis activity also provides a mechanism to explain why non-natural nucleotides are refractory to elongation, even in the absence of exonuclease proofreading. However, the data provided in Figure 6 clearly shows that exonuclease proofreading is far more efficient than pyrophosphorolysis in removing a nucleotide paired opposite a non-natural nucleobase. These kinetic data argue that exonuclease proofreading is more biologically important than pyrophosphorolysis in maintaining genomic fidelity with high fidelity DNA polymerases. However, this may not be true with “specialized” DNA polymerases that are devoid of exonuclease proofreading activity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported through funding from the National Institutes of Health (CA118408) and the Skin Cancer Foundation to A.J.B.

References

- (1). Morales JC, Kool ET. Efficient replication between non-hydrogen-bonded nucleoside shape analogs. *Nat Struct Biol.* 1998; 5:950–954. [PubMed: 9808038]
- (2). Goodman MF. Hydrogen bonding revisited: geometric selection as a principal determinant of DNA replication fidelity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997; 94:10493–10495. [PubMed: 9380666]

- (3). Watanabe SM, Goodman MF. Kinetic measurement of 2-aminopurine X cytosine and 2-aminopurine X thymine base pairs as a test of DNA polymerase fidelity mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1982; 79:6429–6433. [PubMed: 6959128]
- (4). Topal MD, DiGiuseppi SR, Sinha NK. Molecular basis for substitution mutations. Effect of primer terminal and template residues on nucleotide selection by phage T4 DNA polymerase in vitro. *J Biol Chem*. 1980; 255:11717–11724. [PubMed: 7002928]
- (5). Boosalis MS, Mosbaugh DW, Hamatake R, Sugino A, Kunkel TA, Goodman MF. Kinetic analysis of base substitution mutagenesis by transient misalignment of DNA and by miscoding. *J Biol Chem*. 1989; 264:11360–11366. [PubMed: 2661558]
- (6). Bebenek K, Joyce CM, Fitzgerald MP, Kunkel TA. The fidelity of DNA synthesis catalyzed by derivatives of *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase I. *J Biol Chem*. 1990; 265:13878–13887. [PubMed: 2199444]
- (7). Kool ET. Hydrogen bonding, base stacking, and steric effects in dna replication. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct*. 2001; 30:1–22. [PubMed: 11340050]
- (8). Moran S, Ren RX, Kool ET. A thymidine triphosphate shape analog lacking Watson-Crick pairing ability is replicated with high sequence selectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1997; 94:10506–11. [PubMed: 9380669]
- (9). Moran S, Ren RX, Sheils CJ, Rumney S. t. Kool ET. Non-hydrogen bonding 'terminator' nucleosides increase the 3'-end homogeneity of enzymatic RNA and DNA synthesis. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1996; 24:2044–2052. [PubMed: 8668534]
- (10). Fujiwara T, Sugiyama H, Hirao I, Yokoyama S. Synthesis of 6-(2-thienyl)purine nucleoside derivatives toward the expansion of the genetic code. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser*. 2000;43–44. [PubMed: 12903259]
- (11). Hirao I, Fujiwara T, Kimoto M, Mitsui T, Okuni T, Ohtsuki T, Yokoyama S. Unnatural base pairs between 2-amino-6-(2-thienyl)purine and the complementary bases. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser*. 2000;261–262. [PubMed: 12903368]
- (12). Kool ET. Active site tightness and substrate fit in DNA replication. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2002; 71:191–219. [PubMed: 12045095]
- (13). Lutz MJ, Held HA, Hottiger M, Hubscher U, Benner SA. Differential discrimination of DNA polymerase for variants of the non-standard nucleobase pair between xanthosine and 2,4-diaminopyrimidine, two components of an expanded genetic alphabet. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1996; 24:1308–1313. [PubMed: 8614635]
- (14). Hogg M, Wallace SS, Double S. Crystallographic snapshots of a replicative DNA polymerase encountering an abasic site. *EMBO J*. 2004; 23:1483–1493. [PubMed: 15057283]
- (15). Hsu GW, Ober M, Carell T, Beese LS. Error-prone replication of oxidatively damaged DNA by a high-fidelity DNA polymerase. *Nature*. 2004; 431:217–221. [PubMed: 15322558]
- (16). Li Y, Korolev S, Waksman G. Crystal structures of open and closed forms of binary and ternary complexes of the large fragment of *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase I: structural basis for nucleotide incorporation. *EMBO J*. 1998; 17:7514–7525. [PubMed: 9857206]
- (17). Frey MW, Nossal NG, Capson TL, Benkovic SJ. Construction and characterization of a bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase deficient in 3'→5' exonuclease activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1993; 90:2579–2583. [PubMed: 8464864]
- (18). Capson TL, Peliska JA, Kaboord BF, Frey MW, Lively C, Dahlberg M, Benkovic SJ. Kinetic characterization of the polymerase and exonuclease activities of the gene 43 protein of bacteriophage T4. *Biochemistry*. 1992; 31:10984–10994. [PubMed: 1332748]
- (19). Zhang X, Lee I, Zhou X, Berdis AJ. Hydrophobicity, shape, and pi-electron contributions during translesion DNA synthesis. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:143–149. [PubMed: 16390141]
- (20). Zhang X, Lee I, Berdis AJ. Evaluating the contributions of desolvation and base-stacking during translesion DNA synthesis. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2004; 2:1703–1711. [PubMed: 15188037]
- (21). Zhang X, Lee I, Berdis AJ. The use of nonnatural nucleotides to probe the contributions of shape complementarity and pi-electron surface area during DNA polymerization. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:13101–13110. [PubMed: 16185078]

- (22). Zhang X, Donnelly A, Lee I, Berdis AJ. Rational attempts to optimize non-natural nucleotides for selective incorporation opposite an abasic site. *Biochemistry*. 2006; 45:13293–13303. [PubMed: 17073450]
- (23). Vineyard D, Zhang X, Donnelly A, Lee I, Berdis AJ. Optimization of non-natural nucleotides for selective incorporation opposite damaged DNA. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2007; 5:3623–3630. [PubMed: 17971991]
- (24). Eger BT, Kuchta RD, Carroll SS, Benkovic PA, Dahlberg ME, Joyce CM, Benkovic SJ. Mechanism of DNA replication fidelity for three mutants of DNA polymerase I: Klenow fragment KF(exo+), KF(polA5), and KF(exo-). *Biochemistry*. 1991; 30:1441–1448. [PubMed: 1991125]
- (25). Berdis AJ. Dynamics of translesion DNA synthesis catalyzed by the bacteriophage T4 exonuclease-deficient DNA polymerase. *Biochemistry*. 2001; 40:7180–7191. [PubMed: 11401565]
- (26). Reineks EZ, Berdis AJ. Evaluating the contribution of base stacking during translesion DNA replication. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:393–404. [PubMed: 14717593]
- (27). Loakes D, Brown DM, Linde S, Hill F. 3-Nitropyrrole and 5-nitroindole as universal bases in primers for DNA sequencing and PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1995; 23:2361–2366. [PubMed: 7630712]
- (28). Loakes D, Brown DM. 5-Nitroindole as an universal base analogue. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1994; 22:4039–4043. [PubMed: 7937128]
- (29). Devadoss B, Lee I, Berdis AJ. Enhancing the “A-rule” of translesion DNA synthesis: promutagenic DNA synthesis using modified nucleoside triphosphates. *Biochemistry*. 2007; 46:13752–13761. [PubMed: 17983244]
- (30). Copeland, RA. *Enzymes: A practical introduction to structure, mechanism, and data analysis*. 2nd ed.. Wiley; New York: 2000.
- (31). Sintim HO, Kool ET. Enhanced base pairing and replication efficiency of thiothymidines, expanded-size variants of thymidine. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:396–397. [PubMed: 16402811]
- (32). Sintim HO, Kool ET. Remarkable sensitivity to DNA base shape in the DNA polymerase active site. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2006; 45:1974–1979. [PubMed: 16506248]
- (33). Cook, PF.; Cleland, WW. *Enzyme Kinetics and Mechanism*. 1st ed.. Garland Science; New York: 2007.
- (34). Zhang X, Lee I, Berdis AJ. A potential chemotherapeutic strategy for the selective inhibition of promutagenic DNA synthesis by nonnatural nucleotides. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:13111–13121. [PubMed: 16185079]
- (35). Furman PA, Painter G, Wilson JE, Cheng N, Hopkins S. Substrate inhibition of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991; 88:6013–6017. [PubMed: 1712479]
- (36). Kumar S, Bakhtina M, Tsai MD. Altered order of substrate binding by DNA polymerase X from African Swine Fever virus. *Biochemistry*. 2008; 47:7875–7887. [PubMed: 18598057]
- (37). Magee WC, Hostetler KY, Evans DH. Mechanism of inhibition of vaccinia virus DNA polymerase by cidofovir diphosphate. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005; 49:3153–3162. [PubMed: 16048917]
- (38). Johnson KA. Conformational coupling in DNA polymerase fidelity. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 1993; 62:685–713. [PubMed: 7688945]
- (39). McCulloch SD, Kunkel TA. The fidelity of DNA synthesis by eukaryotic replicative and translesion synthesis polymerases. *Cell Res*. 2008; 18:148–161. [PubMed: 18166979]
- (40). Shih P, Pedersen LG, Gibbs PR, Wolfenden R. Hydrophobicities of the nucleic acid bases: distribution coefficients from water to cyclohexane. *J Mol Biol*. 1998; 280:421–430. [PubMed: 9665846]
- (41). Barsky D, Kool ET, Colvin ME. Interaction and solvation energies of nonpolar DNA base analogues and their role in polymerase insertion fidelity. *J Biomol Struct Dyn*. 1999; 16:1119–1134. [PubMed: 10447197]
- (42). Henry AA, Romesberg FE. Beyond A, C, G and T: augmenting nature's alphabet. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*. 2003; 7:727–733. [PubMed: 14644182]

- (43). Matsuda S, Romesberg FE. Optimization of interstrand hydrophobic packing interactions within unnatural DNA base pairs. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:14419–14427. [PubMed: 15521761]
- (44). Wu Y, Ogawa AK, Berger M, McMinn DL, Schultz PG, Romesberg FE. Efforts toward expansion of the genetic alphabet: Optimization of interbase hydrophobic interactions. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:7621–7632.
- (45). Moran S, Ren RX, Kool ET. A thymidine triphosphate shape analog lacking Watson-Crick pairing ability is replicated with high sequence selectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1997; 94:10506–10511. [PubMed: 9380669]
- (46). McCulloch SD, Kokoska RJ, Chilkova O, Welch CM, Johansson E, Burgers PM, Kunkel TA. Enzymatic switching for efficient and accurate translesion DNA replication. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2004; 32:4665–4675. [PubMed: 15333698]
- (47). Wong I, Patel SS, Johnson KA. An induced-fit kinetic mechanism for DNA replication fidelity: direct measurement by single-turnover kinetics. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:526–537. [PubMed: 1846299]
- (48). Kincaid K, Beckman J, Zivkovic A, Halcomb RL, Engels JW, Kuchta RD. Exploration of factors driving incorporation of unnatural dNTPS into DNA by Klenow fragment (DNA polymerase I) and DNA polymerase alpha. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005; 33:2620–2628. [PubMed: 15879351]
- (49). Chiaramonte M, Moore CL, Kincaid K, Kuchta RD. Facile polymerization of dNTPs bearing unnatural base analogues by DNA polymerase alpha and Klenow fragment (DNA polymerase I). *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:10472–10481. [PubMed: 12950174]
- (50). Matsuda S, Leconte AM, Romesberg FE. Minor groove hydrogen bonds and the replication of unnatural base pairs. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:5551–5557. [PubMed: 17411040]
- (51). Morales JC, Kool ET. Functional hydrogen-bonding map of the minor groove binding tracks of six DNA polymerases. *Biochemistry.* 2000; 39:12979–12988. [PubMed: 11041863]
- (52). Arion D, Parniak MA. HIV resistance to zidovudine: the role of pyrophosphorolysis. *Drug Resist Updat.* 1999; 2:91–95. [PubMed: 11504476]
- (53). Arion D, Kaushik N, McCormick S, Borkow G, Parniak MA. Phenotypic mechanism of HIV-1 resistance to 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine (AZT): increased polymerization processivity and enhanced sensitivity to pyrophosphate of the mutant viral reverse transcriptase. *Biochemistry.* 1998; 37:15908–15917. [PubMed: 9843396]
- (54). Meyer PR, Matsuura SE, So AG, Scott WA. Unblocking of chain-terminated primer by HIV-1 reverse transcriptase through a nucleotide-dependent mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; 95:13471–13476. [PubMed: 9811824]
- (55). Lee H, Hanes J, Johnson KA. Toxicity of nucleoside analogues used to treat AIDS and the selectivity of the mitochondrial DNA polymerase. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:14711–14719. [PubMed: 14674745]
- (56). Chin JW, Cropp TA, Chu S, Meggers E, Schultz PG. Progress toward an expanded eukaryotic genetic code. *Chem Biol.* 2003; 10:511–519. [PubMed: 12837384]
- (57). Benner SA. Expanding the genetic lexicon: incorporating non-standard amino acids into proteins by ribosome-based synthesis. *Trends Biotechnol.* 1994; 12:158–163. [PubMed: 7764897]
- (58). Kroutil LC, Fery MF, Kaboord BF, Kunkel TA, Benkovic SJ. Effect of accessory proteins on T4 DNA polymerase replication fidelity. *J Mol Biol.* 1998; 278:134–146.

Figure 1.

(A) Defined DNA substrates used for kinetic analysis. (B) Structures of 2'-deoxynucleoside triphosphates used in this study. For convenience, dR is used to represent deoxyribose triphosphate. (C) Representative gel electrophoresis for the incorporation of 25 μ M 5-NITP opposite all four templating nucleobase (A, C, G, or T) or opposite an abasic site. (D) Representative gel electrophoresis for the incorporation of natural nucleotides (500 μ M) opposite 5-nitroindole present in the templating strand.

Figure 2.

(A) Comparison of the structure of a natural thymine:adenine base pair versus a thymine:5-nitroindole base pair. (B) Structures of modified pyrimidine 2'-deoxynucleoside triphosphates used in this study. For convenience, only the nucleobase portion of the modified pyrimidine is provided to show clarity with respect to surface ionization potential. Surface ionization potentials for each nucleobase were generated using Spartan '04 software. Red indicates the highest electronegative regions, green is neutral, and blue indicates electropositive regions. The partial atomic charges were calculated using DFT 6-31G** method and basis set. (C) Representative gel electrophoresis for the incorporation of modified pyrimidine triphosphates (500 μM) opposite 5-nitroindole present in the templating strand. (D) Rate constants for 2-thio-dTTP opposite 5-NI as a function of nucleotide concentration. Assays were performed using 1 μM gp43 exo^- , 250 nM 13/20_{5-NI}-mer, 10 mM $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$, and 2-thio-dTTP in variable concentrations: 10 μM ($\circ$), 25 μM ($\bullet$), 50 μM (Δ), 100 μM ($\blacktriangle$), 200 μM ($\square$), 350 μM ($\blacksquare$), and 500 μM ($\diamond$). The solid lines represent the fit of the data to a single exponential. (E) k_{obs} values ($\bullet$) were plotted versus 2-thio-dTTP concentration and fit using the Michaelis-Menten equation to determine values corresponding to $K_d = 55 \pm 12 \mu\text{M}$, $k_{\text{pol}} = 0.047 \pm 0.003 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, and $k_{\text{pol}}/K_d = 855 \pm 90 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{sec}^{-1}$.

Figure 3.

(A) Structures of modified purine 2'-deoxynucleoside triphosphates used in this study. For convenience, only the nucleobase portion of the modified purine is provided for clarity. Surface ionization potentials for each nucleobase are provided for comparison and were generated using Spartan '04 software. Red indicates the highest electronegative regions, green is neutral, and blue indicates electropositive regions. The partial atomic charges were calculated using DFT 6-31G** method and basis set. (B) Representative gel electrophoresis for the incorporation of modified purine triphosphates (500 μ M) opposite 5-nitroindole present in the templating strand.

Figure 4.

(A) Structures of 5-substituted indolyl 2'-deoxynucleoside triphosphates used in this study. Only the non-natural nucleobases are provided for clarity. Surface ionization potentials for each nucleobase are provided for comparison and were generated using Spartan '04 software. Red indicates the highest electronegative regions, green is neutral, and blue indicates electropositive regions. The partial atomic charges were calculated using DFT 6-31G** method and basis set. (B) Representative gel electrophoresis for the incorporation of modified 5-substituted indolyl deoxyribose triphosphates (500 μM) opposite 5-nitroindole present in the templating strand. (C) Rate constants for the incorporation of 5-PhITP opposite 5-NI as a function of 5-PhITP concentration. Assays were performed using 1 μM gp43 exo⁻, 250 nM 13/20_{5-NI}-mer, 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂, and 5-PhITP in variable concentrations: 1 μM (○), 2 μM (□), 4 μM (●), 8 μM (■), 21 μM (△), and 42 μM (▲). The solid lines represent the fit of the data to a single exponential. (D) k_{obs} values (●) were plotted versus 2-thio-dTTP concentration and fit using the Michaelis-Menten equation to determine values corresponding to $K_d = 8.7 \pm 1.1$ μM, $k_{pol} = 0.107 \pm 0.005$ sec⁻¹, and $k_{pol}/K_d = 12,300 \pm 500$ M⁻¹ sec⁻¹.

Figure 5.

(A) Substrate-induced inhibition by 5-NapITP during incorporation opposite 5-nitroindole. The observed rate constants for incorporation ($\bigcirc$) were plotted versus 5-NapITP concentration and fit to the equation for competitive substrate inhibition ($k_{\text{obs}} = (k_{\text{pol}} * [5\text{-NapITP}]) / (K_d + [5\text{-NapITP}] + K_i[5\text{-NapITP}]^2)$) to determine values corresponding to $K_d = 17 \pm 8 \mu\text{M}$, $k_{\text{pol}} = 0.029 \pm 0.009 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $k_{\text{pol}}/K_d = 1,720 \pm 200 \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, and $K_i = 41 \pm 15 \mu\text{M}$. (B) Proposed mechanism for the incorporation and subsequent excision of a non-natural nucleotide via pyrophosphorolysis, the reversal of polymerization. See text for details. (C) Pyrophosphorolysis of 5-NIMP when paired opposite 5-nitroindole in the template strand. Removal of the enzymatically formed 14-mer is caused by the addition of 400 μM PP_i . See text for details regarding experimental design. (D) 5-NapITP-mediated pyrophosphorolysis of 5-NIMP when paired opposite 5-nitroindole in the template strand. Degradation of the enzymatically formed 14-mer caused by the addition of 30 μM 5-NapITP. See text for further details regarding the dynamics of the pyrophosphorolysis reaction. (E) Incorporation of 30 μM 5-NapITP opposite 5-NI. Identical mobility of the "14-Nap" band compared to the results of panel D indicate that the reaction products do not reflect elongation from 5-NIMP but instead reflect the enzymatic removal of 5-NIMP and subsequent insertion of 5-NapITP opposite 5-NI in the template.

Figure 6.

(A) Time course for the incorporation of 5-NIMP opposite 5-NI using either gp43 *exo*⁻ (○) or gp43 *exo*⁺ (●). Assays were performed using 500 nM gp43 *exo*⁻ or gp43 *exo*⁺, 250 nM 13/20_{5-NI}-mer, 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂, and 150 μM 5-NITP. The solid lines represent the fit of the data to a single exponential. (B) Gel electrophoresis data comparing pyrophosphorolysis (left panel) versus exonuclease excision (right panel) of 5-NIMP paired opposite 5-nitroindole in the template strand. Pyrophosphorolytic removal of the enzymatically formed 14-mer occurs after the addition of 400 μM PP_i. Exonuclease removal of the enzymatically formed 14-mer occurs after the addition of 2 μM gp43 *exo*⁺. See text for details regarding experimental design. (C) Quantification of gel electrophoresis data comparing pyrophosphorolysis versus exonuclease excision of 5-NIMP paired opposite 5-nitroindole.

Figure 7.

Proposed mechanism for desolvation of an incoming nucleotide during DNA polymerization. In this mechanism, the entropic penalty associated with removing water molecules associated with various functional groups is offset by favorable enthalpic contributions caused by the formation of proper hydrogen-bond interactions between the two nucleobases. This model for the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase invokes an asymmetrical mechanism in which the templating nucleobase is initially in an extrahelical position. Thus, binding of the incoming nucleotide occurs without an obligatory desolvation step. Instead, desolvation of the incoming nucleobase occurs during the conformational change step in which the templating nucleobase and the incoming nucleotide are positioned in an interhelical position to form proper base-stacking and hydrogen-bonding interactions.

Table 1

Summary of kinetic parameters for the incorporation of natural and modified nucleotides opposite 5-nitroindole by the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase.^a

Analogs	K _d [μM]	k _{pol} (s ⁻¹)	k _{pol} /K _d (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	Volume (Å ³) ^b	Dipole Moment (Debye) ^c	Solvation Energy (kcal/mol) ^d
dATP → 5-NI	>500	~0.0033	6	124.5	2.57	-18.906
dATP → T ^f	10 +/- 1	100 +/- 10	10 ⁷			
dGTP → 5-NI	ND ^g	ND	ND	131.9	6.93	-24.914
dGTP → C ^h	5 +/- 1	50 +/- 7	10 ⁷			
dCTP → 5-NI	ND	ND	ND	104.9	6.62	-23.778
dTTP → 5-NI	>500	~0.0024	5	119.9	4.17	-11.840
5-F-dUTP	ND	ND	ND	106.7	3.97	-11.079
5-AA-dUTP	ND	ND	ND	162.9	3.33	-15.857
4-Thio-dTTP	ND	ND	ND	128.8	4.49	-13.650
2-Thio-dTTP	55 +/- 12	0.047 +/- 0.003	855 +/- 90	129.2	4.47	-14.378
2-Pro-dUTP	ND	ND	ND	148.5	4.38	-13.609
5-OH-dUTP	ND	ND	ND	109.0	5.27	-17.524
N ⁶ -Me-dATP	21 +/- 7	0.017 +/- 0.003	810 +/- 100	145.3	2.52	-15.849
O ⁶ -Me-dGTP	>500	~0.008	16	152.1	3.03	-19.303
2-APTP	>500	~0.0033	7	124.8	3.29	-19.487
dTTP	ND	ND	ND	121.5	5.16	-22.850
7-Deaza-dATP	ND	ND	ND	130.9	3.88	-16.963
7-Deaza-dGTP	ND	ND	ND	138.4	4.88	-18.533
6-Cl-dATP	>500	~0.010	20	125.9	4.86	-16.147
6-Cl-2ATP	ND	ND	ND	136.1	4.85	-18.813

^a Assays were performed using 1 μM exonuclease-deficient bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, 250 nM 13-205-NI-mer, and variable concentrations of non-natural nucleotide in the presence of 10 mM Mg²⁺.

^b Volume (use as an indicator of the relative size of the nucleobase) was calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^c Dipole moments (debye) refer to and were calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^d Solvation energies for each nucleobase were calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^e Represents an upper estimate for the overall catalytic efficiency of incorporation.

^f Values taken from Capson *et al.* (18) and Frey *et al.* (17)

^g No incorporation detected up to a concentration of 500 μ M.

^h Unpublished results (AJB)

Table 2

Summary of kinetic parameters for the incorporation of non-natural nucleotides opposite 5-nitroindole by the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase.^a

Analog	K _d (μM)	k _{pol} (S ⁻¹)	k _{pol} /K _d (M ⁻¹ S ⁻¹)	Volume (Å ³) ^b	π-Electron Surface Area (Å ²) ^c	Dipole Moment (Debye) ^d	Solvation Energy (kcal/mol) ^e
IndTP	60+/-10	0.014+/-0.003	230+/-20	132.8	148.1	2.11	-5.45
5-FITP	55+/-10	0.030+/-0.005	545+/-35	137.7	148.1	3.57	-4.66
5-AITP	ND ^f	ND	ND	143.2	148.1	1.55	-9.47
5-NITP	32+/-6	0.027+/-0.002	840+/-40	154.5	174.0	7.32	-6.92
5-CH-ITP	13+/-7	0.006+/-0.001	460+/-30	228.9	148.1	1.97	-4.23
5-CE-ITP	63+/-31	0.10+/-0.03	1,590+/-250	224.2	181.4	2.06	-5.11
5-PhITP	8.7+/-1.1	0.107+/-0.005	12,300+/-500	215.6	225.2	2.66	-6.59
5-NapTP	17+/-8	0.029+/-0.009	1,720+/-200	267.5	274.6	2.73	-7.21

^a Assays were performed using 1 μM exonuclease-deficient bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, 250 nM 13-205-NI-mer, and variable concentrations of non-natural nucleotide in the presence of 10 mM Mg²⁺.

^b Volume (used as an indicator of the relative size of the nucleobase) was calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^c π-electron surface area refers to the presence of a conjugated functional group at the 5-position of the indolyl-2-deoxyribose triphosphate and was calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^d Dipole moments (debye) refer to and were calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^e Solvation energies for each nucleobase were calculated using Spartan '04 software.

^f No incorporation detected up to a concentration of 500 μM.

Table 3

Comparison of the kinetic parameters for the incorporation of natural nucleotides opposite natural templating nucleobases and the non-natural nucleobase, 5-nitroindole, by the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase.

Base Pair	K_m [μ M]	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_{cat}/K_m ($M^{-1}s^{-1}$)
dATP:dT ^a	10 +/- 1	4 +/- 1	400,000
dATP:dC ^b	1,100 +/- 160	0.005 +/- 0.001	8
dATP:dA ^b	230 +/- 60	0.028 +/- 0.002	120
dATP:dG ^b	1280 +/- 500	0.0049 +/- 0.001	4
dATP:5-NI ^c	>500	~0.0033	6
dTTP:dA ^a	10 +/- 1	4 +/- 1	400,000
dTTP:dC ^b	ND	ND	ND
dTTP:dG ^d	530 +/- 65	0.019 +/- 0.002	36
dTTP:dT ^b	1,350 +/- 400	0.021 +/- 0.003	16
dTTP:5-NI ^c	>500	~0.0024	5

^aValues taken from (17).

^bValues taken from (58).

^cThis study.

^dUnpublished results (AJB).