Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem B. 2011 August 4; 115(30): 9495–9506. doi:10.1021/jp204787b.

Carotenoid Radical Formation: Dependence on Conjugation Length

A. Ligia Focsan[†], Michael K. Bowman[†], Péter Molnár[‡], József Deli[§], and Lowell D. Kispert[†]

[†]Department of Chemistry, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0336

[‡]Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Pécs, Medical School, H-7624 Pécs, Rókus u. 2, Pécs, Hungary

[§]Department of Biochemistry and Medical Chemistry, University of Pécs, Medical School, H-7624 Pécs, Szigeti út 12, Pécs, Hungary

Abstract

The relative energy of carotenoid neutral radicals formed by proton loss from the radical cations of linear carotenoids has been examined as a function of conjugation length from $n = 15$ to $n = 9$. For a maximum conjugation length of $n = 15$ (bisdehydrolycopene, a symmetrical compound) proton loss can occur from any of the ten methyl groups, with proton loss from the methyl group at position C1 or C1' being the most favorable. In contrast, the most energetically favorable proton loss from the radical cations of lycopene, neurosporene, spheroidene, spheroidenone, spirilloxanthin and anhydrorhodovibrin occurs from methylene groups that extend the conjugated system. For example, decreasing the conjugation length to $n = 11$ (lycopene) by saturation of the double bonds C3–C4 and at C3'–C4' of bisdehydrolycopene favors proton loss at C4 or C4' methylene groups. Saturation at C7'–C8' in the case of neurosporene, spheroidene, spheroidenone ($n = 9, 10, 11$) favors formation of a neutral radical at the C8' methylene group. Saturation of C1–C2 by addition of a methoxy group to a dehydrolycopene-like structure with conjugation of $n = 12$ or 13 (anhydrorhodovibrin, spirilloxanthin) favors proton loss at the C2 methylene group. As a consequence of deprotonation of the radical cation, the unpaired electron spin distribution changes so that larger β -methyl proton couplings occur for the neutral radicals (13–16 MHz) than for the radical cation (7–10 MHz) providing a means to identify possible carotenoid radicals in biological systems by Mims ENDOR.

INTRODUCTION

Photosynthesis in green plants involves extensive use of xanthophylls which are carotenoids with terminal cyclohexene rings. The photosynthetic bacteria however rely on linear carotenoids, some of which are represented in Scheme 1. The radical cation of xanthophylls and subsequent deprotonation products are increasingly implicated as having an important role in green plants, but much less attention has been paid to similar roles for the linear carotenoids of bacterial photosynthesis.

The conjugation length of the carotenoids is an important factor in light-harvesting complexes, in energy transfer, in the growth conditions of bacteria, and in the formation of

*Corresponding Author lkispert@bama.ua.edu.

Supporting Information. Calculated hyperfine coupling tensors (MHz) for carotenoid radicals **I–VII** using B3LYP/TZP(Ahlrichs)//B3LYP/6–31G**. A_{XX} , A_{YY} , A_{ZZ} - anisotropic coupling tensors for α -protons, A_{iso} - isotropic coupling constants, bold values are for β -methyl protons as given in Tables S1–S66; The relative energy $\Delta E(n)$ versus the delocalization length N using the values in Table 1. This information is available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

radicals. For example, the crystal structure of the light-harvesting complex 2 (LH2) from *Rhodospirillum (Rs.) molischianum*¹ shows that the major carotenoid, all-*trans* lycopene (**II** in Scheme 1) has one end near the surface of the membrane while the other end is buried in the hydrophobic core in contact with bacteriochlorophylls. It was concluded that the van der Waals contact of lycopene and bacteriochlorophylls *a* (BChl-*a*) in LH2 enables singlet and triplet energy transfer via the Dexter mechanism.¹ However, there was no consideration of lycopene radical cation formation and its deprotonation.

There is very specific binding of carotenoids to reaction center (RC) or light harvesting complex.² Spirilloxanthin **VII** with conjugation length $n = 13$ was always preferentially bound to the RC and anhydorrhodovibrin **VI** ($n = 12$) to light-harvesting complex I (LH1) of *Rhodobium marinum*. In *Rubrivivax gelatinosus*, **VII** was preferentially bound to RC, while neurosporene **III** ($n = 9$) and spheroidene **IV** ($n = 10$) were preferentially bound to LH2.

In *Rhodobacter (Rb.) sphaeroides* 2.4.1,³ spheroidene **IV** and spheroidenone **V** are differentially synthesized depending on growth conditions: **IV** occurs during growth under anaerobic conditions and low light intensities and associated with the B800–850 complex, while **V** predominates during anaerobic growth at high light intensities and is more abundant in the B875 complex. It is inferred that conversion of **IV** to **V** (conjugation length increases from $n = 10$ to $n = 11$) might play a significant role in adaptation to changes in light intensity. Later, the effect of the conjugation length of carotenoids on the S₁-mediated carotenoid to BChl-*a* energy-transfer pathway and carotenoid radical formation was examined⁴ for a series of LH2 complexes from *Rb. sphaeroides*. S₁-mediated energy transfer was 94%, 82% and 76% respectively, for **III**, **IV** and **V**. The yield of carotenoid radicals was highest for **III** (10–15%), lower for **IV** (5 – 8%) and zero for **V**, suggesting that in the LH2 complex the carotenoid radicals are formed with higher efficiency for shorter conjugation length. This dependence for radical cation formation was later explained by computing the potential energy surfaces for the excited state properties of various Car^{•+} ... BChl^{•-} complexes.⁵ It was determined that the charge transfer state is below the S₁ state for **III**, degenerate with that for **IV** and above the S₁ state for **V**, thus preventing population of the charge transfer state and formation of radicals for **V**. A Raman spectroscopic study of LH2 from *Rb. sphaeroides*⁶ deduced that carotenoids **III**, **IV** and **V** sit with the polar ends embedded inside the protein in the vicinity of the B850 BChl molecules. However, the initial crystal structure of LH2 from *Rhodopseudomonas (Rps.) acidophila 10050* shows the polar end of the carotenoid in a hydrophilic pocket at the surface of the membrane, while the nonpolar other end is close (3.62 Å) to Bchl B850.⁷ Refinement of the crystal structure^{8,9} confirms the orientation⁷ and also reveals a second set of carotenoids in contact with Bchl B850 and inserted from the opposite side of the membrane. Every carotenoid is oriented with its polar end at a membrane surface.

Charge-transfer complexes form between carotenoid and chlorophyll, Car^{•+} ... Chl^{•-}, which have been shown to be involved in nonphotochemical quenching.¹⁰ The charge-transfer quenching mechanism was proposed as a result of detecting a radical cation of zeaxanthin in the thylakoid membrane of higher plants.¹⁰ Study of the carotenoid radical cations is imperative to better understand the processes in which they actively participate and why conjugation length plays such a role in energy transfer and in formation of neutral radicals generated in both artificial matrices^{11,12,13} and in photosystem II¹⁴ by proton loss from the radical cation. A carotenoid neutral radical would be very efficient in quenching chlorophyll's excess energy, analogous to fluorescence quenching by free radicals.¹⁵ The expected ability to form relatively long-lived neutral radicals of the four carotenoids present in LH2 is highly correlated with their quenching or nonquenching role: zeaxanthin and lutein radical cations, known quenchers, allow formation of neutral radicals through proton loss at the terminal rings; violaxanthin and 9'-*cis* neoxanthin, known as non-quenchers, can

not form neutral radicals from the radical cations because groups on the terminal rings block the proton loss. The terminal rings are in a polar environment near the surface of the membrane in contact with the aqueous environment outside the membrane. This environment would readily accept protons from the terminal rings of zeaxanthin and lutein radical cations whose pK_a 's range between 4 and 7. Proton loss from the methyl groups situated on the polyene chain is energetically unfavorable for all four carotenoids. In addition, the nonpolar environment that surrounds the polyene chains of the carotenoids hinder the proton loss.¹¹

In this paper, we turn our attention to the linear carotenoids (Scheme 1) and the role that conjugation length plays in the formation of radical cations and neutral radicals. We examine in detail the symmetric model compound bisdehydrolycopene **I** ($n = 15$ conjugated double bonds), and **II** ($n = 11$) and **VII** ($n = 13$), and the asymmetric **III** ($n = 9$), **IV** ($n = 10$), **V** ($n = 11$) and **VI** ($n = 12$) (see Scheme 1).

METHODS

DFT Calculations

DFT calculations were done with the Gaussian 03 program package¹⁶ on the Cray XD1 computer at the Alabama Supercomputer Center. Geometries were optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G** level,^{17,18} which we have previously shown¹⁹ to be suitable for predicting the geometry of β -carotene-based radicals. Single point calculations at these geometries were used to predict hyperfine couplings at the B3LYP level with the TZP basis set from the Ahlrich's group.²⁰ This basis set gives good NMR chemical shifts²¹ and EPR parameters that agree well with the experimental data for carotenoids.^{12,19} The proton hyperfine couplings calculated with this method are within 0.5 MHz of the experimental couplings, whereas other levels of theory give values that differ by as much as a factor of 2. The unpaired spin densities were obtained using the AGUI interface (Semichem, Inc.)²² from the wave functions and spin densities produced by Gaussian 03. The unpaired spin density is defined as the difference in the alpha and beta spin densities.

Pulsed ENDOR Measurements

Pulsed ENDOR experiments were carried out with a Bruker ELEXSYS E-680W/X FT/CW pulse X-band EPR spectrometer with an ENI A-500 RF power amplifier using the Mims ($\pi/2$ - τ - $\pi/2$ -T- $\pi/2$ - τ -echo) pulse sequences with a RF π -pulse applied during the delay time T .²³ Pulsed ENDOR simulations were performed using the SimBud/SpecLab programs²⁴ using hyperfine coupling tensors obtained from DFT calculations. Simulations of the individual couplings were summed and processed using the SpecLab program.

RESULTS

Most Favorable Neutral Radicals

In Table 1 are given the relative energies $\Delta E(n)$ for the neutral radicals #Car $^{\bullet}(n)$ formed by proton loss (# indicates proton loss at position n) from the carotenoid radical cations Car $^{+\bullet}$ of various linear carotenoids. The relative energy for proton loss varies up to 18.5 kcal/mol. The relative energy varies inversely to the delocalization length. In all cases the most favorable deprotonation site is at a methylene group of the radical cation that extends the unpaired spin delocalization length and these sites are discussed next.

Bisdehydrolycopene (**I**) a linear, symmetric carotenoid that has ten methyl groups in four distinct positions: C1(1'), C5(5'), C9(9') and C13(13') (see Scheme 1) was used as reference model because of the fully-conjugated chain with conjugation length $n = 15$. For its radical

cation $\mathbf{I}^{+}$ proton loss is feasible from all ten methyl groups, with a preference for loss at C1(1') (See Table 1).

However, decreasing the conjugation length to $n = 11$ (see lycopene **II** in Scheme 1) by saturating the C3–C4 (circled) and C3'–C4' (circled) double bonds at each end, makes proton loss at C4 and C4' methylene groups most favorable. The addition of two protons at C7'–C8' (circled) to decrease the conjugation length $n = 9$ (see neurosporene **III**) makes proton loss from the methylene group C8' essentially isoenergetic with proton loss from the C4 methylene group.

Moving the double bond from C1–C2 of **III** to C3–C4 and adding a methoxy group to C1 forms a $n=10$ conjugated system (see spheroidene **IV**) where proton loss is favored from C2 (circled) or from C8'. Adding a carbonyl group at position C2 (circled) of **IV** generates a $n = 11$ conjugated system (see spheroidenone **V**) but prevents loss from C2, so that favorable loss occurs only at C8' position.

For $n=12$ (see anhydorrhodovibrin **VI**) adding a double bond to **IV** at C7'–C8' (circled) prevents proton loss at this position. The most favorable sites of proton loss are C2 and C4'. Methoxy addition at C1 and C1' (circled) of **I** gives rise to a symmetrical molecule with $n = 13$ (see spirilloxanthin **VII**) for which loss is heavily favored from the C2 position or by symmetry, at C2'.

Proton Loss From the Methyl Groups

For **I**, the relative energies for loss of proton at the methyl groups at C5(5') to C9(9') to C13(13') are less favorable by 8–9 kcal/mol than that at C1(1')-methyl group (see Table 1). The relative energies for proton loss from the methyl groups of **II** also increase from at C5(5'), C9(9') to C13(13') and they are higher than the minimum energy by 5.28, 11.01 and 11.33 kcal/mol, respectively. Breaking the symmetry to form **III** for the primed half of the molecule makes proton loss at C9' and C5-methyl groups more favorable, followed by loss at C13' and C5' methyl groups. The same pattern is retained for carotenoids **IV** and **V**, loss from C9' being more favorable, followed by loss from C13' and C5'. Adding a double bond to the structure of **III** at position C3–C4 to extend conjugation to $n = 10$ and 11 (carotenoids **IV** and **V** respectively, see Scheme 1) makes proton loss at C5 less favorable when compared to **II** and **III** (~5–8 Kcal/mol higher) (See Table 1). Also proton loss at C9' is less favorable for **V** (~4 kcal/mol higher) when compared to **III** and **IV**. For **VI** and **VII** the relative energies are comparable, however proton loss from C5' is much more favorable in the case of **VI** (4.62 Kcal/mol) than **VII** (9.55 Kcal/mol).

Except for bisdehydrolycopene **I**, the most stable neutral radicals are those formed by proton loss from methylene groups of the radical cations at the ends of the longest conjugated regions. Loss at these positions lengthens the region of delocalization. Proton loss from a methyl group is less favored by 4–13 kcal/mol. The most favored site for loss of a methyl group is one near the end of the longest conjugated region that results in the smallest decrease in conjugation length at C5, C5' or C9' methyl groups.

Unpaired Spin Density Distribution

The radical cations $\text{Car}^{\bullet+}$ and neutral radicals $\#\text{Car}^{\bullet}(n)$ of linear carotenoids **I–VII** exhibit a spin pattern with alternating positive and negative lobes as seen in Figures 1–7. The radical cation of **I** has the unpaired spin distributed all along the polyene chain from C1 to C1', while for the neutral radicals the unpaired spin density increases on the side with longest conjugation and decreases on the other side (Figure 1). The length of delocalization of the spin density for the neutral radicals decreases consequently from $\#\mathbf{I}(1)$ to $\#\mathbf{I}(5)$ to $\#\mathbf{I}(9)$ to $\#\mathbf{I}(13)$, so the relative energies of the neutral radicals increase in the same direction. Indeed,

#**I***(**1**) has the lowest minimum energy among the neutral radicals formed, and is more stable than #**I***(**5**) by 7.83 kcal/mol, more stable than #**I***(**9**) by 8.93 kcal/mol and more stable than #**I***(**9**) by 9.25 kcal/mol (Table 1). This pattern is repeated in the other linear carotenoids with much greater changes in relative energy.

For **II** neutral radicals formed by proton loss at positions C4 and C4' have a longer delocalization length and lower energy (Table 1) than those formed by removing protons from the methyl groups (Figure 2). In the case of neurosporene **III** (see Figure 3) the longest conjugated structure is formed for #**III***(**8'**) (Figure 3A), comparable to #**III***(**4**) and followed by neutral radicals whose proton was lost from the methyl groups #**III***(**5**), #**III***(**9**), #**III***(**9'**), #**III***(**13**) and #**III***(**13'**). For the neutral radicals #**III***(**3**), #**III***(**3'**), #**III***(**4'**), #**III***(**5'**) the unpaired spin is localized (4 carbon atoms) giving rise to structures with relative energies 11.5 to 15 kcal/mol higher and very large hyperfine couplings as large as 30–70 MHz (I, J, K, L, M in Figure 3B). **IV** and **V** radical cations form neutral radicals very similar to those of **III**. High energy structures with unpaired spin density localized (~ 4 carbon atoms) and very large hyperfine couplings also form in the case of **IV** and **V** (see Figure 4 F,G,H,I and Figure 5 E,F,G,H).

Loss of a proton from position C2 and C4' of **VI** (Figure 6) and positions C2(2') of **VII** (Figure 7) generate the most energetically favorable neutral radicals with the longest conjugation length, followed by the neutral radicals formed by proton loss from the methyl groups C5(5'), C9(9') and C13(13'), respectively with relative energies on the same order of magnitude and similar isotropic hyperfine coupling constants as large as 15 MHz.

In summary, radical cations have smaller hyperfine coupling constants than the neutral radicals; low energy neutral radicals have larger couplings and high energy radicals have very large couplings and localized unpaired spin distribution (see Tables S1–S66 in the Supporting Information for the hyperfine coupling tensors for each radical of carotenoids **I**–**VII**).

Simultaneous loss of two protons from position C3–C4 of **II**⁺ produces a radical anion **II**^{•–}(**34**) (see Figure 2F) with the unpaired spin distributed over the entire conjugation length. Simultaneous proton loss at positions C3–C4, C3'–C4' and C7'–C8' is also possible for **III**, forming the radical anions **III**^{•–}(**34**), **III**^{•–}(**3'4'**) and **III**^{•–}(**7'8'**) (see N,O, P in Figure 3C). **III**^{•–}(**7'8'**) is a radical anion with isotropic couplings as large as 6.8 MHz (See Table S28 in the Supporting Information), similar to those of the radical cation of lycopene. Proton loss at 3–4 positions extends the conjugation, while proton loss at the opposite side of the molecule at 3'–4' positions has no effect on it, making the unpaired spin distribution similar to the radical cation of neurosporene (see Figure 3C). For **IV**, **V**, **VI** it is possible to form radical anions by simultaneous loss at C3'–C4' and/or C7'–C8' positions. In general, the hyperfine couplings of the radical anions are very similar to those of the corresponding radical cation.

Dependence of ΔE on Delocalization

For each carotenoid there is a smooth relationship between relative energy $\Delta E(n)$ and delocalization length N over which the unpaired spin density is distributed (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information where $\Delta E(n)$ is plotted versus N using the values in Table 1). Notably, by plotting the relative energy $\Delta E(n)$ versus the change in delocalization length, $N - N_{\max}$, the values of $\Delta E(n)$ for all radicals fall near a line depicted in Figure 8. The $\Delta E(n)$ changes rapidly as $N - N_{\max}$ decreases until it reaches a constant value of ~12 kcal/mol. The exception is **I** (indicated by black dots) which is the only carotenoid examined that contains no methylene groups.

Mims ENDOR spectra

The difference in unpaired spin density provides a possible opportunity to use ENDOR to detect the presence of neutral radicals of the linear carotenoids in the same fashion as for the xanthophylls.^{11,12,13,25,26} DFT-calculated isotropic and anisotropic proton hyperfine coupling constants for different carotenoid radicals are accurate enough¹⁹ to be used in simulation and interpretation of overlapping powder ENDOR spectra composed of a mixture of carotenoid radicals.^{11,13} Simulated spectra for xanthophylls found signatures for the presence of the radical cation $\text{Car}^{\bullet+}$, as well as the presence of carotenoid neutral radicals, $\#\text{Car}^{\bullet}(\text{n})$.

DFT calculations of carotenoid radical cations containing cyclohexene rings such as β -carotene^{14,19} and the xanthophylls zeaxanthin¹², violaxanthin¹², lutein¹³, astaxanthin²⁵, canthaxanthin²⁶ and 9'-*cis* neoxanthin¹¹ predicted isotropic β -methyl proton couplings less than 10 MHz. The largest couplings correspond to C9 or C9' methyl groups (see Table 2). For linear carotenoids **I**, **II**, **VI**, **VII** these β -methyl couplings are smaller, around 7 MHz, and they correspond to C5 or C5' methyl groups (see Table 2). Larger isotropic hyperfine couplings, around 10 MHz occur for the radical cations of the linear carotenoids **III**, **IV**, **V** and they correspond to both C5 and C9' methyl groups.

Deprotonation produces larger β -methyl proton couplings for the neutral radicals than for the radical cation, which permits their identification in mixtures using Mims ENDOR. DFT calculations showed that carotenoid neutral radicals have methyl proton couplings of 13–16 MHz. The largest DFT-predicted isotropic β -methyl proton couplings for the neutral radicals are around 16 MHz (see Table 2), in accord with pulsed Mims ENDOR measurements.^{11,13} The largest DFT-predicted isotropic coupling corresponds to the C13' methyl group of the neutral radical $\#\text{Car}^{\bullet}(13)$ for all studied carotenoids (see Table 2). Lines occurring above 19 MHz in previous ENDOR spectra were due to the anisotropic α -protons of the neutral radicals. The complete hyperfine coupling tensors for the radical cations, neutral radicals and anion radicals for carotenoids **I–VII** are given in Supporting Information as Tables S1–S66.

In Figure 9 A, as an example, is given the simulations of individual contribution of **VII** radicals (indicated by the different colored spectra) to the Mims spectrum using the hyperfine coupling tensors listed in the Supporting information. If the DFT-generated hyperfine couplings for the radical cation $\text{VII}^{\bullet+}$ are used to simulate the Mims ENDOR spectrum using $\tau = 200$ ns, then these couplings would contribute to the middle part of the spectrum (shaded grey area under the black line in Figure 9A). Each of the favored neutral radicals $\#\text{VII}^{\bullet}(2 \text{ and } 2')$, $\#\text{VII}^{\bullet}(5 \text{ and } 5')$, $\#\text{VII}^{\bullet}(9 \text{ and } 9')$, $\#\text{VII}^{\bullet}(13 \text{ and } 13')$ contributes to the outer edges of the ENDOR spectrum below 7.5 MHz and above 22.5 MHz, while the radical cation does not. This makes the outer regions diagnostic for the presence of any of the favored neutral radicals. In Figure 9B is given the simulation of the sum of all individual radicals given in Figure 9A.

The neutral radicals of linear carotenoids, similarly to xanthophylls, can be detected from resolved peaks in the Mims ENDOR spectrum due to their much larger β -methyl proton couplings (of ~ 16 MHz). DFT can also be used to predict the spectra of radical anions which can form by simultaneous loss of two protons. Mims ENDOR spectra simulated for the linear carotenoid **III** indicate that the radical anions do not interfere with the detection of neutral radicals. For example, the Mims ENDOR simulation spectrum of neurosporene radical anions (Figure 10 in green) $\text{III}^{\bullet-}(34)$, $\text{III}^{\bullet-}(3'4')$, $\text{III}^{\bullet-}(7'8')$ in 1:1:1 ratio using the DFT-generated couplings (Tables S26, S27 and S28 in the Supporting information) and $\tau = 220$ ns shows that the isotropic couplings, as high as 8 MHz, are slightly smaller than those of the radical cation (simulation in red), while the anisotropic couplings are as large as those

of the radical cation producing the peaks at the same positions. For the radical cation $\text{III}^{\bullet+}$ in red, both isotropic and anisotropic couplings are not larger than 11 MHz (see Table S13), the couplings giving rise to peaks centered at about 11 and 21 MHz respectively. Simulation of the radical anions, radical cation and all the favorable neutral radicals using the DFT-generated couplings gives rise to the spectrum in blue which shows the exclusive contribution of the neutral radicals at the outer edges below 10 MHz and above 20 MHz, indicated by *.

The practical use of the DFT calculations to analyze mixtures of carotenoid radicals produced by irradiation on an artificial matrix is demonstrated. The Mims ENDOR spectra of lycopene (II) radicals on silica-alumina (Figure 11) were measured as a function of τ (in Figure 11A $\tau = 220$ ns, in Figure 11B $\tau = 120$ ns) and the spectra were simulated using the hyperfine coupling constants determined from DFT and listed in the Supporting Information. Pulsed ENDOR spectra were measured at different delay times τ because the ENDOR amplitude has periodic oscillations known as 'blind spots' at the hyperfine splitting A , proportional to $1 - \cos(2\pi A\tau)$.²³ Powder Mims ENDOR spectra exhibit resolved features which can be simulated using the full tensor (anisotropic and isotropic components found in Supporting Information in Tables S7–S11) of the radical cation and the favorable neutral radicals. The radical anion has similar couplings to the radical cation and was not included in the simulation (see Tables S7 and S12). The fit at the outer edges is diagnostic for neutral radicals because the edges contain contributions from only the neutral radicals, notated as *. The blue curve includes these neutral radical species, and account for the outer edges agreeing with the experimental spectrum in red. The spectral lines in the center of Mims ENDOR spectra are due to the matrix protons which were not included in the simulation. The Mims ENDOR simulation does show in Figure 11 hyperfine couplings greater than 15 MHz (the maximum value of the isotropic couplings for the radical cation is 7.95 MHz) from the methyl groups in each neutral radical. These large couplings from the individual neutral radicals are required for the Mims ENDOR lines above 22.5 MHz and below 7.5 MHz along with a small contribution from large anisotropic couplings.

Similar experimental Mims ENDOR spectra have been reported for the lutein radicals¹³, astaxanthin radicals²⁵, canthaxanthin²⁶ and the 9'-*cis* neoxanthin radicals¹¹. These spectra can be compared to Figures 9, 10 and 11 and used as a guide for detecting similar carotenoid radicals by Mims ENDOR measurements. The hyperfine coupling tensors given in the Supporting Information can be used to simulate ENDOR spectra, both Mims and Davies, and to estimate the appropriate τ for the Mims experiment to be used for a given combination of carotenoid radical cations, neutral radicals and anions. The simulated spectra can be used as a guide to prove or disapprove the presence of these radicals.

DISCUSSION

Crystal structure examination

The proton loss ability has significant implications for the crystal structure or spatial arrangements of the biological systems in which these carotenoids occur. Following the formation of a carotenoid radical when a charge-transfer occurs¹⁰ between $\text{Car}^{\bullet+}$ and $\text{Chl}^{\bullet-}$, proton loss would make it possible to generate neutral radicals. Formation of the radical cation will depend on the location of the charge transfer state relative to the excited states of the system.⁵ According to the crystal structure of LH2 from *Rs. molischianum*,¹ one end of lycopene II lies outside the membrane near the aqueous surface and among potential proton acceptors, while at the opposite end is in van der Waals contact with the BChl molecules. Under strong illumination carotenoid radical cation $\text{II}^{\bullet+}$ is in position to deprotonate at the C4 end outside the membrane to create a neutral radical. Delocalization of the spin would place some spin density near the BChl at the other end helping quench subsequent excited

BChl. Proton loss from C5, C9, C13- methyl groups along the chain would be suppressed because of the hydrophobic surroundings and because of the high energy for loss at these positions. Thus **II** may play a dual role in energy transfer¹ at low light and in photoprotection at high light.

Our DFT calculations suggest an explanation for the different amount of carotenoid radicals observed in LH2 from *Rb. sphaeroides*.⁴ No radicals were observed for **V** in LH2 while they were observed with **III** (10–15%) and **IV** (5 – 8%). **III** and **IV** radical cations can readily deprotonate from C4 or C2 methylene groups at the polar end while deprotonation is blocked by the carbonyl group for **V**. According to the most recent crystal structure of LH2^{8,9} the polar end of the carotenoids lies in hydrophilic pockets on both surfaces of the membrane, while the rest of the carotenoid chain is buried in the membrane, and is unlikely to deprotonate to form a longer-lived radical. Thus, we would expect that **III** and **IV** would have higher yield of long-lived radicals than **V**. This trend parallels that predicted from calculations of energy transfer states.⁵

In RC from *Rb. sphaeroides*² **IV** occurs as the 15'-*cis* configuration, the carotenoid does not lie across the membrane but is near BChl and aromatic amino acids sidechains. Proton loss would not occur in this situation even if a radical cation is formed because good proton acceptors are absent near **IV**. This location explains why **IV** efficiently quenches the triplet of the RC BChl but not its singlet state.

CONCLUSIONS

Losing a proton from a methylene group at the end of conjugation of the radical cation forms neutral radicals that extend the conjugated system, with the spin delocalized over the whole π -conjugated system. Using data presented here we were able to determine what functions the carotenoids might serve based on their properties and location in photosynthetic media. Loss of protons from C4 or C4' methylene positions of **II**^{•+} could potentially occur because of the orientation of **II** in the LH2 of *Rs. molischianum*. Loss of protons from the radical cations of **III**, **IV** and **V** in LH2 will depend on the bacterial species, the chemical nature of the carotenoid and the location of the charge transfer state. Proton loss from the radical cation can occur from either end for a symmetric carotenoid such as **VII**, but for the asymmetric carotenoid **VI** proton loss depends on the location of its polar end.

Carotenoid neutral radicals generated by proton loss from the radical cation of the fully conjugated carotenoid bisdehydrolycopene with conjugation length $n = 15$ can occur from any of the methyl groups but it is preferred for the methyl group at C1(1') position by 8–9 kcal/mol. Decreasing the conjugation length to $n = 11$ (lycopene) by saturation of the double bonds C3–C4 and C3'–C4' results in a preferred proton loss at C4 or C4' methylene groups, but less favorable by 5, 11 and 11.3 kcal/mol at C5(5'), C9(9') and C13(13')-methyl groups. Saturation at C7'–C8' decreases the conjugation length to $n = 9, 10, 11$ (neurosporene, spheroidene-methoxy at C1 and spheroidenone-methoxy at C1 and carbonyl at C2) resulting in formation of a neutral radical being the most favorable at C8' methylene group. By adding a methoxy group at C1 and keeping the double bond at C7'–C8' increases the conjugation to $n = 12, 13$ (anhydrorhodovibrin, spirilloxanthin) and proton loss is favored at C2 instead of C8' methylene group. Adding a carbonyl at C2 (spheroidenone) prevents loss at C2 and thus loss being favored at C8' methylene group. A smooth relationship exists between the number of carbon atoms over which the unpaired spin density is distributed versus the relative energies for the loss of protons from the radical cations.

Mims ENDOR spectra have been simulated for the various radicals that can be formed for open chain linear carotenoids enabling their identification. The ability to block or allow formation of long-lived neutral radicals by simple alteration of the linear carotenoids and their environment allow them to participate in a wide range of transfer and energy quenching roles in biological or photochemical energy transductions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by The Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, grant DEFG02-86ER-13465 (LDK), by the Natural Science Foundation for EPR instrument grants CHE-0342921 and CHE-0079498 and by the National Institute of Health, grant GM61904 (MKB). This work was carried out in part using the resources provided by the Alabama Supercomputer Center in Huntsville, Alabama. For computer facilities we thank Professor David Dixon who is indebted to the Robert Ramsay Endowment of the University of Alabama and to the Department of Energy. This study, on part of the Hungarian authors was supported by the Hungarian National Research Foundation (OTKA K 76176 and K 83898).

REFERENCES

- (1). Koepke J, Hu X, Muenke C, Schulten K, Michel H. Structure. 1996; 4:581–97. [PubMed: 8736556]
- (2). Qian P, Saiki K, Mizoguchi T, Hara K, Sashima T, Fujii R, Koyama Y. Photochem Photobiol. 2001; 74:444–52. [PubMed: 11594059]
- (3). Yeliseev AA, Eraso JM, Kaplan S. J Bacteriol. 1996; 178:5877–83. [PubMed: 8830681]
- (4). Polivka T, Pullerits T, Frank HA, Cogdell RJ, Sundstrom V. J Phys Chem B. 2004; 108:15398–15407.
- (5). Wormit M, Harbach PH, Mewes JM, Amarie S, Wachtveitl J, Dreuw A. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2009; 1787:738–46. [PubMed: 19366605]
- (6). Gall A, Cogdell RJ, Robert B. Biochemistry-US. 2003; 42:7252–8.
- (7). Mcdermott G, Prince SM, Freer AA, Hawthornthwaitelawless AM, Papiz MZ, Cogdell RJ, Isaacs NW. Nature. 1995; 374:517–521.
- (8). Papiz MZ, Prince SM, Howard T, Cogdell RJ, Isaacs NW. J Mol Biol. 2003; 326:1523–1538. [PubMed: 12595263]
- (9). Prince SM, Papiz MZ, Freer AA, McDermott G, Hawthornthwaite-Lawless AM, Cogdell RJ, Isaacs NW. J Mol Biol. 1997; 268:412–423. [PubMed: 9159480]
- (10). Holt NE, Zigmantas D, Valkunas L, Li XP, Niyogi KK, Fleming GR. Science. 2005; 307:433–436. [PubMed: 15662017]
- (11). Focsan AL, Molnar P, Deli J, Kispert L. Journal of Physical Chemistry B. 2009; 113:6087–6096.
- (12). Focsan AL, Bowman MK, Konovalova TA, Molnar P, Deli J, Dixon DA, Kispert LD. J Phys Chem B. 2008; 112:1806–1819. [PubMed: 18205344]
- (13). Lawrence J, Focsan AL, Konovalova TA, Molnar P, Deli J, Bowman MK, Kispert LD. J Phys Chem B. 2008; 112:5449–5457. [PubMed: 18393549]
- (14). Gao YL, Shinopoulos KE, Tracewell CA, Focsan AL, Brudvig GW, Kispert LD. J Phys Chem B. 2009; 113:9901–9908. [PubMed: 19552399]
- (15). Hideg E, Barta C, Kalai T, Vass I, Hideg K, Asada K. Plant Cell Physiol. 2002; 43:1154–1164. [PubMed: 12407195]
- (16). Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Montgomery JAJ, T. V, Kudin KN, Burant JC, Millam JM, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Barone V, Mennucci B, Cossi M, Scalmani G, Rega N, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Klene M, Li X, Knox JE, Hratchian HP, Cross JB, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin AJ, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Ayala PY, Morokuma K, Voth GA, Salvador P,

Dannenberg JJ, Zakrzewski VG, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Strain MC, Farkas O, Malick DK, Rabuck AD, Raghavachari K, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cui Q, Baboul AG, Clifford S, Cioslowski J, Stefanov BB, Liu G, Liashenko A, Piskorz P, Komaromi I, Martin RL, Fox DJ, Keith T, Al-Laham MA, Peng CY, Nanayakkara A, Challacombe M, Gill PMW, Johnson B, Chen W, Wong MW, Gonzalez C, Pople JA. 2003

- (17). Becke AD. J Chem Phys. 1993; 98:5648–5652.
- (18). Lee CT, Yang WT, Parr RG. Phys Rev B. 1988; 37:785–789.
- (19). Gao YL, Focsan AL, Kispert LD, Dixon DA. J Phys Chem B. 2006; 110:24750–24756. [PubMed: 17134239]
- (20). Schafer A, Horn H, Ahlrichs R. J Chem Phys. 1992; 97:2571–2577.
- (21). Cho H, Felmy AR, Craciun R, Keenum JP, Shah N, Dixon DA. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:2324–2335. [PubMed: 16478188]
- (22). <http://www.semichem.com/ampac/ampacgui-features.php>
- (23). Mims WB. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series A Mathematical and Physical Sciences. 1965; 283:452–457.
- (24). Astashkin, A. U. o. A. http://quiz2.chem.arizona.edu/epr/epr_000006.htm
- (25). Polyakov NE, Focsan AL, Bowman MK, Kispert LD. J Phys Chem B. 2010; 114:16968–77. [PubMed: 21114306]
- (26). Konovalova TA, Li S, Polyakov NE, Focsan AL, Dixon DA, Kispert LD. J Phys Chem B. 2009; 113:8704–16. [PubMed: 19492795]

Figure 1. Unpaired spin density distribution for bisdehydrolycopene radicals (A) $\text{I}^{\bullet+}$, (B) $\# \text{I}^{\bullet}(1)$ *cis*, (C) $\# \text{I}^{\bullet}(1)$ *trans*, (D) $\# \text{I}^{\bullet}(5)$, (E) $\# \text{I}^{\bullet}(9)$ and (F) $\# \text{I}^{\bullet}(13)$ from DFT calculations.

Figure 2. Possible intermediates for lycopene (II); Unpaired spin density distribution for lycopene radicals (A) $\text{II}^{\bullet+}$, (B) $\# \text{II}^{\bullet}(4)$, (C) $\# \text{II}^{\bullet}(5)$, (D) $\# \text{II}^{\bullet}(9)$, (E) $\# \text{II}^{\bullet}(13)$ and (F) $\text{II}^{\bullet-}$ (34) from DFT calculations.

Figure 3.

Unpaired spin density distribution for neurosporene (A) $\text{III}^{\bullet+}$, (B) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(8')$, (C) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(4)$, (D) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(5)$, (E) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(9)$, (F) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(13)$, (G) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(9')$, (H) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(13')$, (I) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(3)$, (J) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(3')$ (K) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(4')$, (L) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(5')$, (M) $\# \text{III}^{\bullet}(7')$, (N) $\text{III}^{\bullet-}(3'4')$ (O) $\text{III}^{\bullet-}(7'8')$ and (P) $\text{III}^{\bullet-}(34)$ from DFT calculations.

Figure 4.

Unpaired spin density distribution for spheroidene radicals (A) IV^{2+} , (B) $\text{IV}^*(2)$, (C) $\# \text{IV}^*(5)$, (D) $\# \text{IV}^*(9)$, (E) $\# \text{IV}^*(13)$, (F) $\# \text{IV}^*(3')$, (G) $\# \text{IV}^*(4')$, (H) $\# \text{IV}^*(5')$, (I) $\# \text{IV}^*(7')$, (J) $\# \text{IV}^*(8')$, (K) $\# \text{IV}^*(9')$ and (L) $\# \text{IV}^*(13')$ from DFT calculations.

Figure 5.

Unpaired spin density distribution for spheroidenone radicals (A) $V^{\bullet+}$, (B) $\#V^{\bullet}(5)$, (C) $\#V^{\bullet}(9)$, (D) $\#V^{\bullet}(13)$, (E) $\#V^{\bullet}(3')$, (F) $\#V^{\bullet}(4')$, (G) $\#V^{\bullet}(5')$, (H) $\#V^{\bullet}(7')$, (I) $\#V^{\bullet}(8')$, (J) $\#V^{\bullet}(9')$ and (K) $\#V^{\bullet}(13')$ from DFT calculations.

Figure 6.

Unpaired spin density distribution for anhydrorhodovibrin radicals (A) $\text{VI}^{\bullet+}$, (B) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(2)$, (C) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(5)$, (D) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(9)$, (E) $\# \text{VII}^{\bullet}(13)$, (F) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(3')$, (G) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(4')$, (H) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(5')$, (I) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(9')$ and (J) $\# \text{VI}^{\bullet}(13')$ from DFT calculations.

Figure 7. Unpaired spin density distribution for spirilloxanthin radicals (A) $\text{VII}^{\bullet+}$, (B) $\# \text{VII}^{\bullet}(2)$, (C) $\# \text{VII}^{\bullet}(5)$, (D) $\# \text{VII}^{\bullet}(9)$ and (E) $\# \text{VII}^{\bullet}(13)$ from DFT calculations.

Figure 8.

The relative energies ($\Delta E(n)$ in kcal/mol, Table 1) for loss of a proton from the radical cations versus relative change in delocalization $N-N_{\max}$ for **I–VII**. Smooth line has been drawn as a guide for the eye. Note: The localized neutral radicals having high relative energy and short conjugated chain (~ 4 C atoms) were not included.

Figure 9.

A) Individual contribution of spirilloxanthin radicals (**VII**) to the Mims ENDOR spectrum; VII^{*+} is indicated by the grey shaded area, $\# \text{VII}^{*}(2)$ by red, $\# \text{VII}^{*}(5)$ by green, $\# \text{VII}^{*}(9)$ by purple and $\# \text{VII}^{*}(13)$ by blue lines. **B)** Simulated Mims ENDOR spectrum of the sum of spirilloxanthin radicals VII^{*+} , $\# \text{VII}^{*}(2)$, $\# \text{VII}^{*}(5)$, $\# \text{VII}^{*}(9)$ and $\# \text{VII}^{*}(13)$ in 1:1:1:1 ratio. Note: the outer peaks indicated by * are due to the neutral radicals.

Figure 10.

Simulated Mims ENDOR spectrum of neurosporene (**III**) radicals (in blue) $\tau = 220$ ns, $B = 3475$ G, $mw = 9.76$ GHz, $\nu_n = 14.79$ MHz using the hyperfine coupling tensors given in Supporting Information. The blue plot is the simulation of **III** $^{\bullet+}$, **III** $^{\bullet-}$ (34), **III** $^{\bullet-}$ (3'4'), **III** $^{\bullet-}$ (7'8'), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (4), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (5), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (9), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (13), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (8'), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (9'), #**III** $^{\bullet}$ (13') radicals in equal amounts. The red plot is the simulation of the radical cation **III** $^{\bullet+}$; the green plot is the simulation of the radical anions **III** $^{\bullet-}$ (34), **III** $^{\bullet-}$ (3'4') and **III** $^{\bullet-}$ (7'8'). Note: the outer peaks indicated by * are due to the neutral radicals.

Figure 11.

Pulsed Mims ENDOR spectra of lycopene (**II**) radicals as a function of τ . **A)** 220 ns and **B)** 120 ns. The red trace is the experimental spectrum produced in activated silica-alumina after UV irradiation. ENDOR parameters: $T = 20$ K, $B = 3475$ G, $\nu = 9.764$ GHz. The blue trace is the simulated spectrum using isotropic and anisotropic DFT-calculated couplings listed in Tables S7–S11 Supporting Information for $\text{II}^{\bullet+}$, $\text{II}^{\bullet}(4)$, $\text{II}^{\bullet}(5)$, $\text{II}^{\bullet}(9)$ and $\text{II}^{\bullet}(13)$ in a 1:1:1:1:1 ratio. Note: ENDOR lines occur at $\nu_n = \pm A/2$, where ν_n is the proton frequency situated at the center of the ENDOR spectrum (proton frequency $\nu_n = 14.793571$ MHz). Note: the outer peaks indicated by * are due to the neutral radicals. Below 6 MHz the baseline includes an artifact from the nonlinearity of the ENDOR amplifier and thus interferes with low-frequency ENDOR lines due to neutral radicals.

Scheme 1.
Linear carotenoids

DFT-calculated relative energies $\Delta E(n)$ of linear carotenoid neutral radicals, n indicates the position from which the proton was lost (kcal/mol); delocalization N is given under each $\Delta E(n)$ value and indicated by a parenthesis.

Table 1

Car	$\Delta E(1)$	$\Delta E(2)$	$\Delta E(3)$	$\Delta E(4)$	$\Delta E(5)$	$\Delta E(9)$	$\Delta E(13)$	$\Delta E(13')$	$\Delta E(9')$	$\Delta E(8')$	$\Delta E(7')$	$\Delta E(5')$	$\Delta E(4')$	$\Delta E(3')$	$\Delta E(2')$	$\Delta E(1')$
Bis-dehydrolycopene I (n=15)	0/2.30 (31)	-	-		7.83 (27)	8.93 (23)	9.25 (19)	9.25 (19)	8.93 (23)	-	-	7.83 (27)	-	-	-	0/2.30 (31)
Lycopene II (n=11)	18.50 (4)	-	12.16 (4)	0 (23)	5.28 (23)	11.01 (19)	11.33 (15)	11.33 (15)	11.01 (19)	-	-	5.28 (23)	0 (23)	12.16 (4)	-	18.50 (4)
Neurosporene III (n=9)	-	-	11.30 (4)	0.03 (19)	4.01 (19)	8.39 (15)	12.26 (11)	10.88 (15)	4.07 (19)	0 (19)	14.91 (4)	16.12 (4)	14.02 (4)	11.44 (4)	-	-
Spheroidene IV (n=10)	-	1.09 (21)	-	-	9.89 (19)	11.57 (15)	13.12 (11)	10.24 (17)	4.27 (21)	0 (21)	12.20 (4)	16.70 (4)	15.52 (4)	16.08 (4)	-	-
Spheroidenone V (n=11)	-	-	-	-	12.00 (19)	12.68 (15)	12.92 (11)	10.36 (17)	7.39 (21)	0 (21)	16.08 (4)	17.07 (4)	15.91 (4)	12.68 (4)	-	-
Anhyrothodovibrin VI (n= 12)	-	0 (25)	-	-	9.72 (23)	11.83 (19)	12.41 (15)	12.02 (17)	10.90 (21)	-	-	4.62 (25)	0.87 (25)	12.96 (4)	-	-
Spirilloxanthin VII (n=13)	-	0 (27)	-	-	9.55 (25)	11.47 (21)	12.34 (17)	12.34 (17)	11.47 (21)	-	-	9.55 (25)	-	-	0 (27)	-

Note: $\Delta E(n)$ is calculated relative to the energy minimum; delocalization is estimated by counting the number of C atoms over which significant spin (>0.002) is delocalized in Figures 1 – 7.

Table 2

Largest isotropic coupling constants from DFT calculations for $\text{Car}^{\bullet+}$ and $\#\text{Car}^{\bullet}(n)$ (n indicates the position of proton loss)

Carotenoid	$\text{Car}^{\bullet+}$ largest isotropic coupling	$\#\text{Car}^{\bullet}(13)$ largest isotropic coupling
β -carotene ^{14, 19}	7.05 (C9) / 7.05 (C9')	15.87 (C13')
Zeaxanthin ¹²	8.33(C9)/ 8.26 (C9')	16.10 (C13')
Violaxanthin ¹²	9.93 (C9) /10.00 (C9')	16.38 (C13')
Lutein ¹³	8.04 (C9) / 8.94 (C9')	16.35 (C13')
Astaxanthin ²⁵	9.17 (C9)/ 9.23 (C9')	15.93 (C13')
Canthaxanthin ²⁶	9.34 (C9) /9.36 (C9')	16.04 (C13')
9'- <i>cis</i> neoxanthin ¹¹	10.41 (C9)/12.11 (C9')	16.76 (C13')
Bisdehydrolycopene (I)	7.26 (C5) / 7.26 (C5')	14.19 (C13')
Lycopene (II)	7.84 (C5)/ 7.95 (C5')	15.57 (C13')
Neurosporene (III)	10.9 (C5) /10.3 (C9')	16.86 (C13')
Spheroidene (IV)	8.76 (C5) /10.3 (C9')	16.91 (C13')
Spheroidenone (V)	10.63(C5) / 9.74(C9')	16.82 (C13')
Anhydrorhodovibrin (VI)	7.58 (C5) / 7.54 (C5')	15.59 (C13')
Spirilloxanthin (VII)	7.12 (C5) /7.09(C5')	14.44 (C13')