Published in final edited form as:

Organometallics. 2011 ; 30(16): 4308–4314. doi:10.1021/om200364w.

Trialkylborane-Assisted CO₂ Reduction by Late Transition Metal Hydrides

Alexander J. M. Miller, Jay A. Labinger, and John E. Bercaw

Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratories of Chemical Synthesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Abstract

Trialkylborane additives promote reduction of CO₂ to formate by bis(diphosphine) Ni(II) and Rh(III) hydride complexes. The late transition metal hydrides, which can be formed from dihydrogen, transfer hydride to CO₂ to give a formate-borane adduct. The borane must be of appropriate Lewis acidity: weaker acids do not show significant hydride transfer enhancement, while stronger acids abstract hydride without CO₂ reduction. The mechanism likely involves a pre-equilibrium hydride transfer followed by formation of a stabilizing formate-borane adduct.

Introduction

Efficient catalytic conversion of CO₂ to fuels and chemicals could be a major contributor towards achieving carbon neutrality.¹ We have recently reported (stoichiometric) reactions relevant to conversion of syngas to organic products, making use of a combination of a metal carbonyl complex, a nucleophilic late transition metal hydride, and an intramolecularly appended Lewis acid.² Because some syngas conversion catalysts are known to convert CO₂ as well — for example, the common CuO/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst can effectively convert a mixture of H₂, CO₂, and CO to methanol³ — we thought it might be worthwhile to examine the chemistry of our CO-reducing systems with CO₂.

The two main approaches to CO₂ reduction currently under consideration are electrocatalytic reduction,^{1a} in which protons and electrons are added sequentially (and/or concertedly), and direct hydrogenation.^{1b,c} Most of the electrocatalytic systems operate at quite reducing potentials to generate CO and H₂O, while hydrogenation (under forcing pressures) more commonly produces formic acid (Scheme 1). Lewis (particularly those based on alkali and alkaline earth metals) and Brønsted acids have shown promise in improving electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction, notably with low-valent Fe porphyrins.⁴ Bimetallic catalysts, including the active site of the enzyme [NiFe] CO dehydrogenase, appear to function by having one metal bound to C while the other acts as a Lewis acid to bind O.⁵ Lewis acids such as AlO_x, and TiO_x appear to play an important role in heterogeneous catalysts that convert CO₂ (and CO) to CH₄.⁶

Several examples involving boron compounds have also been reported. The conversion of a diboronyl B–B⁷ or secondary borane B–H⁸ bond to a B–O bond helps drive CO₂ reduction by homogeneous Cu- and Ni-based catalysts, respectively. Activation of dihydrogen by “frustrated Lewis pairs” can also lead to reduction of CO₂; products include methanol (after hydrolysis).⁹ All of these reactions are stoichiometric in boron; catalysis is precluded by the

jal@caltech.edu; bercaw@caltech.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Accompanying NMR spectra for reactions described in the Experimental Section. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

difficulty of cleaving the strong B–O bonds, unless strong Si–O bonds are formed using silane additives.¹⁰

We report here that the late transition metal hydrides, as well as the partially-reduced metal carbonyl components of our CO reductive coupling system, are able to reduce CO₂ to formate, with the Lewis acidic trialkylboranes playing a key role. Although no catalysis has yet been achieved, the formate-borane adducts obtained appear to be considerably less strongly bound than in the above-discussed systems, suggesting that this may be a promising new approach to CO₂ reduction.

Results and Discussion

The Re carbonyl cation [(Ph₂P(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄))₂Re(CO)₄][BF₄] (**1**)[BF₄], bearing pendent alkylboranes in the secondary coordination sphere of the metal, reacts with [HPt(dmpe)₂][PF₆] (dmpe = 1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane), but *not* with the analogous Ni hydride, to give boroxycarbene **2** (Scheme 2).^{2a} (Complex **2** can also be prepared by reduction of **1** with NaHBEt₃.) Similarly, DuBois has found that CO₂ is reduced to [HCO₂][–] by [HPt(dmpe)₂][PF₆], whereas [Bu₄N][HCO₂] transfers hydride to [Ni(dmpe)₂]²⁺ to yield [HNi(dmpe)₂]⁺ (Scheme 3).¹¹ The hydride donor strengths (ΔG_{H–}; smaller values correspond to stronger hydride donors) of [HPt(dmpe)₂]⁺, [HNi(dmpe)₂]⁺ and [HCO₂][–] have been determined to be 42.5, 50.9 and 44.2 kcal·mol^{–1} respectively¹² (the last value is estimated from the observation that [HCO₂][–] has ΔG_{H–} similar to that of [HPt(depe)₂]⁺ (depe = 1,2-bis(diethylphosphino)ethane),¹¹ the ΔG_{H–} of which was recalculated from the originally published value^{12a} using DuBois' more recent pK_a value^{12c}).

Boroxycarbene **2** can serve as a hydride source, releasing H₂ upon treatment with water or weak acids,^{2d} but the above results show that it is intermediate in hydride strength between [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] and [HPt(dmpe)₂][PF₆]; is it strong enough to reduce CO₂? Admission of 1 atm CO₂ to a thoroughly degassed solution of **2** (formed *in situ* from **1**)[BF₄] and NaHBEt₃ in C₆D₅Cl led after a few minutes to a new ¹H NMR resonance at δ 8.44. ³¹P NMR showed complete consumption of **2** and formation of a new species with a single signal whose chemical shift was similar to that for **1**⁺; IR spectroscopy indicated a [*trans*-L₂Re(CO)₄]⁺ substructure (a single strong stretch at 1993 cm^{–1}) as well as a reduced C=O functionality (1620 cm^{–1}). These data are consistent with hydride transfer from **2** to CO₂ giving **1**·(HCO₂) (Scheme 4); the same species could be obtained by addition of [Bu₄N][HCO₂] to **1**)[BF₄] in C₆D₅Cl. The apparent symmetry exhibited by the NMR spectra suggests the operation of a fluxional process that exchanges formate between boranes, likely via reversible oligomerization (Scheme 4). After about an hour, large amounts of precipitate formed along with disappearance of the NMR signals assigned to **1**·(HCO₂); probably this is due to formation of an oligomeric species [**1**·(HCO₂)]_n, with –B–OC(H)O–B– linkages. Similar behavior has been observed for some of the CO reduction products studied previously.^{2d}

The observation that **2** reacts with CO₂ to yield [**1**·(HCO₂)] does not necessarily indicate that **2** is a stronger hydride donor than [HCC₂][–], since the B–O interaction in **1**·(HCO₂) (and the two B–O interactions in [**1**·(HCO₂)]_n) presumably afford(s) additional stabilization. Similarly, although transfer of hydride from [HNi(dmpe)₂]⁺ to CO₂ should be uphill by ~ 7 kcal·mol^{–1}, addition of CO₂ to a mixture of [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] and **1**)[BF₄] produced significant amounts of **1**·(HCO₂) over 24 hours at room temperature. This reaction did not proceed to completion, as evidenced by the presence of unreacted [HNi(dmpe)₂]⁺; the chemical shifts for the (single) remaining Re-containing species were intermediate between those for **1**⁺ and **1**·(HCO₂), suggesting an equilibrium between these species. (No boroxycarbene **2** was observed.) The precipitate which again formed over time could be

partially dissolved by addition of pyridine; the resulting solution showed a slightly shifted ^1H NMR signal for the formate group (δ 8.88) and *two* ^{31}P NMR signals (δ 1.95 d, 2.98 d, $J_{\text{PP}} = 77$ Hz), consistent with formation of the asymmetric pyridine adduct $\mathbf{1}\cdot(\text{HCO}_2)$ ($\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N}$) (Scheme 5).

Similar chemistry is promoted by a simple trialkylborane, which could imply that Re may simply be a spectator in the reaction involving $[\mathbf{1}]^+$: when $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ was allowed to react with CO_2 (1 atm) in the presence of $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ in $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, over a few hours a new ^1H NMR resonance at δ 8.73 grew in, the hydride resonance of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ diminished, and $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ precipitated. The reaction did not go to completion; the ultimate yield of formate (assessed by integration of NMR spectra) was about 50%. As the reaction proceeded, the ^1H NMR peak attributed to formate gradually shifted upfield (δ 8.73 at 2 h, 8.66 at 18 h) while that for the *tert*-butyl group of the borane shifted downfield (δ 0.89 before reaction, 0.95 at 2 h, 0.97 at 18 h). Concurrently the ^{11}B NMR signal shifted upfield (δ 88.2 before reaction, 65 at 2 h, 51 at 18 h) and broadened considerably (Figure 1). Addition of one equivalent of $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ to $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$ in $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$ shifted the ^1H NMR resonance for $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$ from δ 9.58 to δ 8.89, consistent with some formate-borane interaction.

Several other boranes, of varying Lewis acidity, were also examined. Addition of CO_2 to a mixture of isopropyl pinacol borane and $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ did not significantly increase the amount of formate produced relative to the reaction in the absence of any Lewis acid, although the small ^1H NMR signal for $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$ was shifted upfield (δ 8.74 vs. 8.84 without borane). Trimesitylborane also failed to effect significant CO_2 reduction, but produced a larger upfield shift (δ 8.63), quite similar to that in the trialkylborane reactions. It appears that these formate-borane adducts are considerably less robust, as might be expected for less acidic or more sterically crowded Lewis acids. Triphenylborane did give substantial conversion to formate, but the NMR spectral data indicated formation of unidentified side products as well. The much stronger acid $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ exhibited only hydride transfer from Ni to B and no CO_2 reduction.

The results for the trialkylborane, above, clearly indicate formation of a formate-borane adduct (Scheme 6). To further probe this interaction, aliquots of $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ were added sequentially to a $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$ solution of formate. Upon addition of borane a second $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$ signal appeared upfield of the original signal for free formate; the new signal increased in intensity, while the first decreased correspondingly, and both signals broadened and shifted upfield as more borane was added, up to one equivalent. At and beyond one equivalent of borane, only a single sharp resonance was observed, that shifted still further upfield as more borane was added. Addition of 10 equivalents of pyridine caused the peak to shift back to approximately the same position observed after addition of one equivalent of borane, along with substantial broadening. (Spectra are shown in the SI, Figure S15.) These observations appear most consistent with formation of a strong monoborane adduct, $[\text{HCC}_2\text{BR}_3]^-$, which does not exchange with free $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$ on the NMR time scale; and a weaker bis(borane) adduct, $[\text{HCO}_2(\text{BR}_3)_2]^-$, which *does* rapidly exchange with the mono adduct; only the latter interaction is cleaved by addition of pyridine. This model does not fully explain the NMR behavior, particularly the changing peak shifts below one equivalent of added borane, but we have observed that other factors (such as counterion speciation and precipitation) can have an effect on NMR shifts (the $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}]^+$ resonances also shift with added borane in the above experiment), which could account for these details.

In the absence of any borane, the reaction of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ with 1 atm CO_2 gave only about 2% formate product; with borane and the addition of tetraheptylammonium bromide it proceeded to completion, accompanied by precipitation of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{Br}]_2$. Under the

latter conditions the formate ^1H NMR signal (δ 8.79) is close to the shift observed for the stoichiometric combination of formate and borane (δ 8.89).

In an attempt to eliminate some of these complications we investigated CO_2 reduction in acetonitrile, in which all of the constituents are soluble (although there was a concern that MeCN would bind the borane too tightly to permit any acid assistance). Reaction of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ with CO_2 and no added borane led to $\sim 5\%$ conversion to $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2]^{2+}$ and $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$ (δ 8.40; c.f. $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$ δ 8.68), while addition of a single equivalent of $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ gave 60% conversion to $[\text{HCO}_2(\text{BR}_3)_n]^-$ (δ 8.29), and 10 equiv borane effected essentially complete conversion (δ 8.22). Addition of one equivalent of $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$ at the end of the last reaction resulted in approximately doubling the peak intensity with a negligible change in position; when the reaction was carried out with one equiv $^{13}\text{CO}_2$, the ^1H NMR signal at δ 8.21 appeared as a doublet ($J_{\text{CH}} = 202$ Hz), and a new intense $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR signal appeared at δ 174.16. These findings establish conclusively that the signal around δ 8.2 is indeed due to formate generated by reduction of CO_2 . The ^{11}B NMR resonance of the free borane in CD_3CN is far upfield from that in $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, consistent with formation of a CD_3CN adduct; that must be weaker than the formate-borane adduct, however, since the ^{11}B NMR resonance shifts further upfield (by ~ 3 ppm) as formate is produced.

In principle reduction of CO_2 by H_2 could be made catalytic in nickel, by employing a suitable base in concert with $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2]^{2+}$ to cleave H_2 ,^{12c} followed by hydride delivery to CO_2 (Scheme 7). The base must be of sufficient steric bulk to inhibit strong adduct formation with the borane and/or nucleophilic attack at CO_2 . When a mixture of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2]^{2+}$, 5 equiv NEt_3 , and 5 equiv $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ in CD_3CN was exposed to H_2/CO_2 (1 atm of a 1:1 mixture), slow conversion to small amounts of formate ($\sim 8\%$ relative to Ni) was observed over 3 days. The slow reaction is due (at least in part) to slow formation of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ under these conditions: a solution of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ and NEt_3 (4 equiv) in CD_3CN under 1 atm H_2 was only 30% converted to $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ after 3 days.

In contrast, the reaction of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$ ($\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4 = \text{tetrakis}(3,5\text{-trifluoromethylphenyl})\text{borate}$) and NEt_3 with H_2 proceeded far faster in $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, with high conversion to $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ in 3 hours, and full conversion after 18 hours. We ascribe the difference to coordination of solvent (Scheme 8): the adduct $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2(\text{MeCN})]^{2+}$ has been structurally characterized, and its formation constant estimated by electrochemical techniques as $K_{\text{eq}} = 0.3$, whereas in chlorobenzene $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2]^{2+}$ would be present as the 4-coordinate square planar complex (whose structure has also been determined).^{12a} Counteranion effects appear to be unimportant: $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$ reacts at roughly the same rate as $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ with NEt_3 under H_2 in CD_3CN .

Disappointingly, a solution of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$, $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ and NEt_3 under H_2/CO_2 in $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$ did not produce any detectable formate, despite the steady growth of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ over a few days. It is unclear why no formate is generated. Reactions in CD_2Cl_2 led to formation of CD_2HCl , indicating a hydride transfer side reaction.

Reduction of CO_2 with H_2 could, however, be achieved with the rhodium cation $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ — without the need for an added base, since its H_2 oxidative addition product, $[\text{H}_2\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$, is a hydride donor of strength ($\Delta G_{\text{H}^-} = 50.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$)¹³ similar to that of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$. Treatment of $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ with H_2/CO_2 (1 atm of a 1:1 mixture) in CD_3CN led to formation of some $[\text{H}_2\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ but no formate over 4 days; however, inclusion of $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ in the reaction led to the generation of formate (^1H NMR signal at δ 8.24) along with the Rh product of hydride transfer,

$[\text{HRh}(\text{dmpe})_2(\text{MeCN})]^{2+}$, over a few hours (Scheme 9). With one equivalent of trialkylborane, the yield of formate was $\sim 25\%$ (by NMR integration). Some $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ remained unconverted during the course of the reaction, consistent with the unfavorable thermodynamics of H_2 oxidative addition (uphill by $0.44 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$)¹³ and the low (~ 0.5 atm) H_2 pressure; 1 atm H_2 is required for complete conversion.

Conclusions

The late transition metal bis(diphosphine) hydride complexes $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ and $[\text{H}_2\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ (as well as a rhenium-boroxycarbene complex) are able to reduce carbon dioxide to formate, with the assistance of a trialkylborane that shifts the otherwise unfavorable equilibrium by forming a formate-borane adduct. Furthermore, H_2 can be used directly in these systems to reduce CO_2 . As judged by NMR spectroscopy, the formate-borane adduct is relatively weak (in comparison to those generated in the CO reductions studied previously) and hence might be broken sufficiently easily to close a catalytic cycle. While overall catalysis has so far eluded us, the prospects for developing catalytic systems based on this chemistry appear promising.

Experimental Section

General Considerations

All air- and moisture-sensitive compounds were manipulated using standard vacuum line or Schlenk techniques, or in a glovebox under a nitrogen atmosphere. All reactions were carried out in an inert atmosphere, unless otherwise noted. Under standard glovebox conditions, petroleum ether, diethyl ether, benzene, toluene, and tetrahydrofuran were used without purging, such that traces of those solvents were in the atmosphere, and could be found intermixed in the solvent bottles. The solvents for air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were dried over sodium benzophenone ketyl, calcium hydride, or by the method of Grubbs.¹⁴ All NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories, Inc. Chlorobenzene- d_5 ($\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$) and dichloromethane- d_2 (CD_2Cl_2) were freeze-pump-thaw degassed three times before being run through a small column of activated alumina. Tetrahydrofuran- d_8 (THF- d_8) was purchased in a sealed ampoule, and dried by passage through activated alumina. Unless noted, other materials were used as received. **[1]** $[\text{BF}_4]$,^{2a} **2**, $[\text{Pt}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$,¹⁵ $[\text{HPt}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$,¹⁵ $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$,^{12a} $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$,¹⁵ $\text{tBu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$,¹⁶ $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$,¹⁷ $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$,^{2c} and $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ ^{13, 18} were synthesized by literature methods. All other materials were readily commercially available, and used as received. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury 300 MHz, 400-MR 400 MHz, INOVA 500 MHz, or INOVA 600 MHz spectrometers at room temperature, unless indicated otherwise. Chemical shifts are reported with respect to residual internal protio solvent for ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ spectra. Other nuclei were referenced to an external standard: H_3PO_4 (^{31}P), 15% $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{Et}_2\text{O}/\text{CDCl}_3$ (^{11}B), CFC_3 (^{19}F), all at 0 ppm.

Experimental Procedures

CO_2 reduction involving rhenium carbonyl complexes

Reaction of 2 with CO_2 —A 10 mL vial was charged with 26.4 mg (0.0251 mmol) **[1]** $[\text{BF}_4]$ and ~ 0.6 mL $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$. With stirring, 25.1 μL (0.0251 mmol) NaHBet_3 (1.0 M in toluene) was added dropwise to provide a pale yellow solution. The reaction mixture was transferred to a J-Young NMR tube and initial spectroscopic measurements showed clean conversion to boroxycarbene **2**. The tube was placed under vacuum for 1 minute with gentle shaking to degas, and one atmosphere of CO_2 was then admitted to the tube. NMR

spectroscopy after 5 minutes showed complete conversion to **1**·(HCO₂), most of which precipitated overnight. **¹H NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 500 MHz): toluene and BEt₃ are omitted, but overlap with some aliphatic peaks, preventing good integration. δ 0.69 (br s, 4H), 1.59 (br s, overlapping), 1.84 (br, overlapping), 2.05 (br m, overlapping), 2.73 (br, 4H), 7.41 (br, 12H), 8.45 (s, 1H, HCO₂[−]). **³¹P{¹H} NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 121 MHz): δ 2.2. **IR** (C₆D₅Cl): ν_{co} 1993, 1620 cm^{−1}. ¹³C NMR spectra could not be acquired because of the low solubility of the product.

Reaction of [1][BF₄] with [Bu₄N][HCO₂]—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 29.1 mg (0.0276 mmol) [1][BF₄], 7.9 mg (0.0276 mmol) [Bu₄N][HCO₂], and ~0.6 mL C₆D₅Cl. After 15 minutes, ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy showed essentially complete conversion to **1**·(HCO₂). After about 30 minutes some precipitates were observed, and precipitation of white solids continued over the next 3 hours. At this time, the solution was decanted, and IR spectroscopy showed resonances that matched the preparation of **1**·(HCO₂) from CO₂; an additional peak at 1660 cm^{−1} is unidentified, however. **¹H NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 400 MHz): [Bu₄N]⁺ peaks omitted. δ 0.68 (br, 4H), 0.95 (br, 4H), 1.58 (br, 4H), 1.83 (br, 16H), 2.03 (br, 4H), 2.75 (br, 4H), 7.1–7.2 (m, 12H), 7.4 (m, 8H), 8.49 (s, 1H, [HCO₂][−]). **³¹P{¹H} NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 162 MHz): δ 2.4. **IR** (C₆D₅Cl): ν_{co} 1993, 1660, 1621 cm^{−1}.

Reaction of [1][BF₄] and [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] with CO₂ in C₆D₅Cl—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 24.3 mg (0.0231 mmol) [1][BF₄], 11.6 mg (0.0231 mmol) [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆], and ~0.6 mL C₆D₅Cl. The tube was sealed and initial NMR spectroscopic measurements showed no reaction. 1 atm CO₂ was added; after ~20 minutes some formate was observed, which grew in over a few hours. During this time precipitates formed, and after about 12 hours there was essentially no formate-containing product in solution. The solids were collected, washed with CD₃CN (to extract [Ni(dmpe)₂][PF₆]₂) and C₆D₅Cl, and treated with C₆D₅Cl containing 3.8 μL (0.0462 mmol, 2 equiv) pyridine, which dissolved most of the solids. An asymmetric product was observed by NMR spectroscopy which was assigned as **1**·(HCO₂)(pyridine). **¹H NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 300 MHz): δ 0.44 (br, 2H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 0.68 (br, 2H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 0.95 (2H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 1.09 (2H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 1.34 (6H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 1.5–2.0 (m, 18H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 2.1 (br, 4H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 2.47 (br, 2H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 2.93 (m, 2H, Ph₂PCH₂CH₂BR₂), 6.5–7.5 (m, mixture of Ph₂PR, free and bound C₆H₅N), 8.41 (m, 2H, C₆H₅N), 8.88 (1H, HCO₂). **³¹P{¹H} NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 121 MHz): δ 1.95 (d, J_{PP} = 77 Hz), 2.98 (d, J_{PP} = 76 Hz).

CO₂ reduction by nickel and boranes in chlorobenzene

Reaction of [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] with CO₂—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 12.2 mg (0.0242 mmol) [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] and ~0.6 mL C₆D₅Cl. The tube was degassed by exposure to vacuum briefly with gentle shaking, and 1 atm CO₂ was admitted to the tube. The tube was sealed, shaken well, and monitored by NMR spectroscopy. A small resonance assigned to formate was observed at δ 8.84, integrating 1–2% relative to the Ni hydride. No increase in the formate resonance was observed over 48 hours.

Reaction of [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] with ^tBu(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄) and CO₂—A solution of 6.7 mg (0.0327 mmol) ^tBu(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄) in ~0.6 mL C₆D₅Cl was added to 16.5 mg (0.0327 mmol) solid [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆]. The reaction mixture was transferred to a J-Young NMR tube, and initial spectroscopic measurements were made. After two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, 1 atm CO₂ was admitted to the tube, and the reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. After 24 hours the reaction had reached partial conversion (with the formate resonance shifting from δ 8.73 to 8.66), which did not change over 4 days. Addition of ~16.0

mg (0.0327 mmol, 1 equiv nominal; about 2 equiv by NMR integration) [hept₄N][Br] led to significant further growth of [hept₄N][HCO₂(BR₃)_n], which (along with excess [hept₄N][Br]) was the only soluble product (all hydride was consumed). The spectra agreed well with independently synthesized [Bu₄N][HCO₂(BR₃)_n] (see below). **¹H NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 400 MHz): δ 0.78 (m, 2H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, [hept₄N]⁺), 1.04 (s, 9H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 1.1 (br, 2H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 1.2–1.3 (br m, [hept₄N]⁺), 1.39 (m, 2H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 1.5 (br, [hept₄N]⁺), 1.76 (br, 2H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 1.94 (br, 4H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 2.2 (br, 6H, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄)), 3.11 (m, [hept₄N]⁺), 8.83 (1H, [HCO₂(BR₃)_n][−]). No discernible signals were observed by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy, presumably due to broadening and overlapping with the borosilicate glass in the probe construction.

Reaction of [Bu₄N][HCO₂] with ^tBu(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄) in C₆D₅Cl—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 15.8 mg (0.0549 mmol) [Bu₄N][HCO₂], 11.3 mg (0.0549 mmol) ^tBu(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄), and ~0.6 mL C₆D₅Cl. NMR spectroscopy revealed a formate resonance at δ 8.89, well upfield of [Bu₄N][HCO₂] in the absence of borane (δ 9.58). **¹H NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 500 MHz): δ 0.76 (m, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄), 2H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, N(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)₄, 12H), 1.04 (s, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄), 9H), 1.25 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, N(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)₄, 8H), 1.4 (m, 10H, N(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)₄ and ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄) overlapping), 1.83 (br, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄), 2H), 1.97 (br, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄), 4H), 2.28 (br, ^tBuCH₂CH₂B(C₈H₁₄), 6H), 3.00 (m, N(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)₄), 8.89 (s, [HCO₂(BR₃)_n][−], 1H). **¹³C{¹H} NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 126 MHz): δ 13.66, 19.87, 23.91, 26.80, 30.05, 31.35, 33.0 (br), 40.75, 58.66, 168.48. **¹¹B NMR** (C₆D₅Cl, 160 MHz): δ 2.7.

Titration of [Bu₄N][HCO₂] with ^tBu(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄) in C₆D₅Cl—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 22.1 mg (0.0769 mmol) [Bu₄N][HCO₂] and 0.600 mL C₆D₅Cl. Initial NMR spectra were collected. A 1.0 M solution of ^tBu(CH₂)₂B(C₈H₁₄) in C₆D₅Cl was prepared, and ~19 μL (~0.25 equiv) was added by syringe, followed by collection of NMR spectra. Integration showed that 0.20 equiv was added. This procedure was repeated so that spectra with 0, 0.2, 0.75, 1.5, 2.4, 3.4, 5.1, and 7.0 equiv borane (by NMR integration) were obtained. Spectra are shown in the SI as Figure S15.

General procedure for reactions of [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆] with CO₂ and other boranes—A solution of borane (~0.03 mmol) in ~0.6 mL C₆D₅Cl was added to an equimolar amount of solid [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆]. The reaction mixture was transferred to a J-Young NMR tube, and initial spectroscopic measurements were made. After two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, 1 atm CO₂ was admitted to the tube, and the reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy.

Isopropyl pinacol borate—The reaction proceeded essentially the same as that with no borane added. The formate resonance appears between δ 8.70 and 8.74 during the reaction.

Triphenylborane—Complete disappearance of [HNi(dmpe)₂]⁺ was observed, along with appearance of a presumed formate resonance at δ 8.8 and some unidentified peaks (δ 5–6).

Trimesitylborane—No change in conversion was observed as compared to the reaction without borane. A minor formate resonance was observed at δ 8.63.

B(C₆F₅)₃—Shortly after mixing B(C₆F₅)₃ and [HNi(dmpe)₂][PF₆], a mixture of [Ni(dmpe)₂]²⁺ and [HNi(dmpe)₂]⁺ was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ¹⁹F and ¹¹B NMR showed complete consumption of B(C₆F₅)₃ and were consistent with formation of a 4-coordinate borate. The observed ¹¹B NMR resonance is slightly downfield from the

expected chemical shift of $[\text{HE}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3]^-$ and the resonance is broadened beyond being able to observe coupling (probably due to exchange with some free borane). Even after CO_2 addition, no formate was observed after ~12 hours at room temperature. ^1H NMR ($\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, 500 MHz): δ -14.40 ($[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$), 1.0 (m, $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2)_2]^{2+}$), 1.18 (m, $[\text{HNi}(\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2)_2]^+$), 1.28 (m, $[\text{Ni}(\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2)_2]^{2+}$), 1.55 (m, $[\text{HNi}(\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2)_2]^+$). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR ($\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, 121 MHz): δ 24.3 ($[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$), 43.0 ($[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2]^{2+}$). ^{19}F NMR ($\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, 282 MHz): δ -165.35 (m, 6F), -160.38 (t, $J_{\text{FF}} = 20.6$ Hz, 3F), -134.64 (m, 6F). ^{11}B NMR ($\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$, 160 MHz): δ -0.48 (br).

CO₂ reductions by nickel and boranes in acetonitrile

General procedure for reactions of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ in acetonitrile—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ (~0.03 mmol), the appropriate amount of $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ (0, 1, 10 equiv), and ~0.6 mL CD_3CN . The tube was sealed, initial NMR measurements were taken, and the atmosphere replaced with 1 atm CO_2 (after freeze–pump–thaw degassing twice). The reactions were monitored periodically by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy until equilibrium was reached (under 24 hours), with conversion at equilibrium estimated by integration.

CO₂—In the absence of trialkylborane, only about 5% hydride transfer was observed. The only two species observed in solution were unreacted $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ and small amounts of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2]^{2+}$.

1 equiv $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ and CO₂—When one equivalent of trialkylborane was added, about 65% hydride transfer was observed.

10 equiv $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ and CO₂—When excess trialkylborane was added, nearly quantitative hydride transfer was observed. Only a trace of unreacted $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ was observed.

Reaction of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ with $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$ —A small vial was charged with 19.7 mg (0.0359 mmol) $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ and 10.3 mg (0.0359 mmol), and ~0.6 mL CD_3CN . As the mixture was stirred a color change from yellow to dark orange was observed. The mixture was transferred to a J-Young NMR tube, and monitored. The color faded to a lighter orange over a few minutes. After 15 minutes, almost complete conversion (~95%) to $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ was observed, although a slight excess of $[\text{HCO}_2]^-$ (δ 8.58) was still present.

Addition of $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$ after reduction—A 1:10 mixture of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ and $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ was treated with CO_2 according to the general procedure. After the reaction was complete the tube was brought into a glovebox and 1 equiv $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{HCO}_2]$ was added to the tube. The resonance at δ 8.210 roughly doubled in intensity (and shifted by only 0.003 ppm), thereby confirming that formate is the product of CO_2 reduction.

Reaction of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ and $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ with $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ —A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 18.9 mg (0.0374 mmol) $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$, 38.6 mg (0.187 mmol, 5 equiv) $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$, and ~0.6 mL CD_3CN . After initial NMR spectroscopic measurements, the tube was subjected to two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and 0.0187 mmol (0.5 equiv) $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ was condensed from a 2.87 mL calibrated gas bulb (11.8 mmHg). About 5% conversion to $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]_2$ had occurred after 30 minutes, and a small doublet could be seen by ^1H NMR. After 12 hours, a large doublet at δ 8.21 (202 Hz) was visible, consistent with formation of $[\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}_2(\text{BR})_n]^-$.

Attempted catalytic reactions

Attempted catalysis in acetonitrile—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 5.0 mg (0.0102 mmol, 1 equiv) $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$, 7.1 μL (0.0511 mmol, 5 equiv) NET_3 , 10.5 mg (0.0511 mmol, 5 equiv) $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$, and ~ 0.6 mL CD_3CN . The tube was subjected to two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and 1 atm of a 1:1 H_2 : CO_2 mixture (mixed in a large round bulb on a vacuum line) was admitted. The reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy, and a small formate peak gradually grew in over 3 days, along with another product, $\delta \sim 6.8$. Heating the tube to 60°C for ~ 24 hours generated visible amounts of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$, but also several unidentified products.

Attempted catalysis in chlorobenzene—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 35.5 mg (0.0174 mmol, 1 equiv) $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$, 10.0 μL (0.0695 mmol, 4 equiv) NET_3 , 3.6 mg (0.0174, 1 equiv) $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$, and ~ 0.6 mL $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$. The Ni^{2+} formed a yellow oil at the bottom of the tube if it was allowed to settle. The tube was subjected to two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and 1 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2 : CO_2 (mixed in a large round bulb on a vacuum line) was admitted to the tube. NMR spectroscopic monitoring showed steady generation of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$, but no evidence of formate was observed.

Hydrogen cleavage reactions

Hydrogen cleavage in acetonitrile—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 32.6 mg (0.0159 mmol) $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$, 8.9 μL (0.0639 mmol, 4 equiv) NET_3 , and ~ 0.6 mL CD_3CN . The tube was subjected to two freeze–pump–thaw cycles and 1 atm of H_2 was admitted. The reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy, revealing about 30% conversion to $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ over 3 days.

Hydrogen cleavage in chlorobenzene—A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 29.0 mg (0.0140 mmol) $[\text{Ni}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]_2$, 7.9 μL (0.0568 mmol, 4 equiv) NET_3 , and ~ 0.6 mL $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$. The Ni dication was insoluble, and formed an oil at the bottom of the tube. Initial NMR measurements detected no Ni species. The tube was subjected to two freeze–pump–thaw cycles and 1 atm H_2 was admitted. The tube was sealed and monitored by NMR, which revealed relatively rapid growth of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{BAr}^{\text{F}}_4]$. After 18 hours, no insolubles were visible, and a large amount of Ni hydride was present. The two phase reaction prevented precise yields or equilibrium measurements.

CO_2 reduction by rhodium and boranes in acetonitrile

Reaction of $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ with H_2/CO_2 —A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 19 mg (0.0344 mmol) $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ and ~ 0.6 mL CD_3CN . The tube was placed under 1 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2 and CO_2 , mixed by diffusion in a large round bulb on a vacuum manifold. The reaction was monitored by multinuclear NMR, which showed formation of $[\text{H}_2\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$, but no more than a trace of formate was observed.

Reaction of $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$ with $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ and H_2/CO_2 —A J-Young NMR tube was charged with 15.9 mg (0.0288 mmol) $[\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{OTf}]$, 5.9 mg (0.0288 mmol) $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$, and ~ 0.6 mL CD_3CN . The tube was placed under 1 atm of a 1:1 mixture of H_2 and CO_2 , mixed by diffusion in a large round bulb on a vacuum manifold. The reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy, which showed growth of signals attributable to $[\text{H}_2\text{Rh}(\text{dmpe})_2]^+$ ($\delta -10.25$, br d, $J_{\text{PH}} = 146.4$), and eventually $[\text{HCO}_2(\text{BR}_3)_n]^-$ ($\delta 8.25$) and $[\text{HRh}(\text{dmpe})_2(\text{MeCN})]^{2+}$ ($\delta -18.57$, m).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was generously funded by BP through the Methane Conversion Cooperative (MC²) Program and by the Moore Foundation. The Varian 400-MR spectrometer was purchased through NIH grant RR027690.

References

1. (a) Benson EE, Kubiak CP, Sathrum AJ, Smieja JM. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009; 38:89. [PubMed: 19088968] (b) Leitner W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1995; 34:2207. (c) Jessop PG, Joó F, Tai C. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004; 248:2425.
2. (a) Miller AJM, Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:11874. [PubMed: 18702489] (b) Elowe PR, West NM, Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. *Organometallics.* 2009; 28:6218. (c) Miller AJM, Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:3301. [PubMed: 20178359] (d) Miller AJM, Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. *Organometallics.* 2010; 29:4499. (e) West NM, Miller AJM, Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2011; 255:881. (f) West, NM.; Labinger, JA.; Bercaw, JE. *Organometallics.* 2011. in press
3. Rozovskii AY, Lin GI. *Top. Catal.* 2003; 22:137.
4. (a) Hammouche M, Lexa D, Momenteau M, Saveant JM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:8455. (b) Bhugun I, Lexa D, Saveant J-M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:5015. (c) Bhugun I, Lexa D, Saveant J-M. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1996; 100:19981. (d) Bhugun I, Lexa D, Saveant J-M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:1769. (e) Gennaro A, Isse AA, Severin M-G, Vianello E, Bhugun I, Saveant J-M. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1996; 92:3963. (f) Saveant J-M. *Chem. Rev.* 2008; 108:2348. [PubMed: 18620367] (g) Wong K-Y, Chung W-H, Lau C-P. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1998; 453:161.
5. (a) Steffey BD, Curtis CJ, DuBois DL. *Organometallics.* 1995; 14:4937. (b) Dubois MR, Dubois DL. *Ace. Chem. Res.* 2009; 42:1974. (c) Jeoung J-H, Dobbek H. *Science.* 2007; 318:1461. [PubMed: 18048691]
6. Boffa A, Lin C, Bell AT, Somorjai GA. *J. Catal.* 1994; 149:149.
7. Laitar DS, Muller P, Sadighi JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:17196. [PubMed: 16332062]
8. Chakraborty S, Zhang J, Krause JA, Guan HR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:8872. [PubMed: 20540579]
9. (a) Stephan DW, Erker G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010; 49:46. (b) Ashley AE, Thompson AL, O'Hare D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:9839. (c) Tran SD, Tronic TA, Kaminsky W, Heinekey DM, Mayer JM. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2011; 369:126.
10. (a) Matsuo T, Kawaguchi H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:12362. [PubMed: 16984155] (b) Berkefeld A, Piers WE, Parvez M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:10660. [PubMed: 20681691]
11. DuBois DL, Berning DE. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2000; 14:860.
12. (a) Berning DE, Noll BC, DuBois DL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:11432. (b) Berning DE, Miedaner A, Curtis CJ, Noll BC, Rakowski DuBois MC, DuBois DL. *Organometallics.* 2001; 20:1832. (c) Curtis CJ, Miedaner A, Ellis WW, DuBois DL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:1918. [PubMed: 11866604]
13. Wilson AD, Miller AJM, DuBois DL, Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. *Inorg. Chem.* 2010; 49:3918. [PubMed: 20334390]
14. Pangborn AB, Giardello MA, Grubbs RH, Rosen RK, Timmers FJ. *Organometallics.* 1996; 15:1518.
15. Miedaner A, DuBois DL, Curtis CJ, Haltiwanger RC. *Organometallics.* 1993; 12:299.
16. Hirano K, Yorimitsu H, Oshima K. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:4689. [PubMed: 16209511]
17. Silavwe ND, Goldman AS, Ritter R, Tyler DR. *Inorg. Chem.* 1989; 28:1231.
18. DuBois DL, Blake DM, Miedaner A, Curtis CJ, DuBois MR, Franz JA, Linehan JC. *Organometallics.* 2006; 25:4414.

electrochemical CO_2 reduction **hydrogenation of CO_2**

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Scheme 6.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Scheme 8.

Scheme 9.

Figure 1. ^{11}B NMR spectra of a mixture of $[\text{HNi}(\text{dmpe})_2][\text{PF}_6]$ and $^t\text{Bu}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{B}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{14})$ in $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}$ before CO_2 addition (red), 2 hours after addition of CO_2 (1 atm) (green), and after 18 hours (blue).