Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2010 August 6; 12(15): 3410–3413. doi:10.1021/ol101221c.

Synthesis of Substituted Chromanones: An Organocatalytic Aldol/oxa-Michael Reaction

Jeffrey D. Butler, Wayne E. Conrad, Michael W. Lodewyk, James C. Fetting, Dean J. Tantillo, and Mark J. Kurth*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, California 95616

Abstract

A diastereoselective organocatalytic aldol/oxa-Michael reaction has been developed to efficiently deliver medicinally relevant 2,3-ring substituted chromanones. Development of this synthetic strategy revealed an unexpected kinetic anti-Saytzeff elimination; an origin for the observed selectivity is suggested based on the results of quantum chemical calculations. This unusual kinetic selectivity necessitated an isomerization protocol that in turn led to the discovery of an intriguing Pd-mediated isomerization/intramolecular Friedel-Crafts-type alkylation.

Chromanones are medicinally pertinent heterocycles¹ and the chroman parent system has been identified in natural products such as sappanone B² and robustadiol³ in addition to being a bioisostere for the hydantoin moiety.⁴ With this biological relevance, chromanone synthesis has received considerable attention by our group⁵ and others.⁶ Asymmetric preparations of chromanones have also been reported.⁷ A less explored aspect of the chemistry of this heterocycle is the development of syntheses that impart α - and/or β -substitution or, more specifically, fused-ring chromanones (coumarin derivatives and tetrahydroxanthones). These structures are found in the cores of pseudobruceol-I,⁸ and diversanol⁹ (Figure 1). Although the laboratories of Toste,¹⁰ Tietze,¹¹ and Akiba¹² have made advances in this area, our goal is the development of an organocatalyzed aldol/oxa-Michael reaction that delivers fused-ring chromanones (**I**) from precursors of type **II** (Figure 1). Herein, we report a short synthetic strategy for the diastereoselective preparation of cyclopentane-fused chromanones using organocatalysis. As this synthetic strategy developed, we encountered an unexpected anti-Saytzeff¹³ alkene formation that fortuitously led to the discovery of a unique catalytic Pd-mediated isomerization/intramolecular Friedel-Crafts-type alkylation.

Our synthetic efforts began with the lithiation of 2-bromophenol and subsequent addition of 2-methylcyclohexanone (Figure 2). After an acid promoted (aq. HCl) dehydration of the resulting benzyl alcohol, we were surprised to find a predominance of the anti-Saytzeff product in a 1:1.3 ratio (Entry 1, **1a:1b**, Figure 2) as determined by relative ¹H NMR integration. This alkene mixture was not found in the reported cyclopentene substituted case.¹⁴ Lowering the temperature of the dehydration step from 0 °C to –40 or –78 °C gave a

mjkurth@ucdavis.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental details, characterization data (¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, IR, and LC/MS) for all new compounds, and computational details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

~1:3.1 (Entry 2, **1a:1b**) or 1:5.0 ratio (Entry 3, **1a:1b**), respectively. These results showed no dependence on the acid employed as H₂SO₄ and H₃PO₄ gave comparable ratios. Methyl substitution in the 3-, 4-, or 5-position had little effect on the mixture (Entries 4–6). However, a sterically larger *i*-Pr substituent at the 2-position generated a 1:19 ratio of alkenes (Entry 7). In addition, similar alkene mixtures were found when 2-bromoanisole was used instead of 2-bromophenol (Entry 8).

In order to better understand these unusual results, we utilized DFT calculations (B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) – see Supporting Information for details) to examine the energies and structures of the possible alkene products (**1a/1b**) as well as the presumed common benzylic cation precursor. The results of these calculations indicate that alkene **1a** is indeed the thermodynamically-favored product, lying approximately 2.3 kcal/mol lower in energy than alkene **1b** (see Supporting Information). Shown in Figure 3 are five conformers (two chair conformations and three twist-boat conformations) of the benzylic cation that we were able to locate, along with their computed relative energies. The results show that the three lowest energy conformers (**cat1.1**, **cat1.3**, and **cat1.4**) have the R¹ methyl substituent in alignment with the empty p-orbital of the carbocation, rather than the tertiary hydrogen atom that would lead to the thermodynamic product upon deprotonation. In fact, the two conformers (**cat1.2** and **cat1.5**) that have this hydrogen atom aligned with the empty p-orbital of the carbocation lie more than 3 kcal/mol higher in energy than the lowest energy conformer. We postulate that these energy differences are likely manifested to some extent in the barriers for deprotonation, consistent with alkene **1b** being the kinetically-favored product for this reaction.

While these mixtures may likely be avoided by employing modern palladium coupling methods, we viewed this as an opportunity to confirm our computational results and set out to develop an isomerization method. Attempts to isomerize **1b** → **1a** under acidic conditions (TsOH, EtOH, reflux) resulted in dihydrobenzofuran formation where the nucleophilic phenol intercepts the cationic intermediate upon anti-Markovnikov protonation.¹⁵

In contrast, anisole **6b** slowly isomerizes to the desired tetrasubstituted alkene **6a** (TsOH, EtOH, reflux, 14 d) without heterocyclization. However, compatible methods to demethylate the *o*-methoxy proved unreliable and difficult to scale in our hands. Encouraged by the recent report by Wang et. al of a mild *ortho*-enhanced isomerization,¹⁶ we employed their conditions (cat. PdCl₂, FeCl₃, DCE 60 °C) and found that **6a/b** is efficiently isomerized to only **6a** in 4 h (Figure 2). However, isomerization attempts on the phenol mixture **1a/1b** resulted in formation of the afore mentioned oxy-cyclized product. To circumvent this, the mixture of phenolic alkenes **1a/1b** – **4a/4b** were mesyl protected and to our delight, nicely participated in the PdCl₂ isomerization reactions to give **7a** – **10a**. The mesyl group was subsequently removed by hydrolysis yielding the desired phenolic cyclic styrenes **1a** – **4a** (Figure 2).

As delineated in Figure 4, **1a** – **4a** were subjected to ozonolysis conditions to yield linear diketo phenols **11**–**14**. Gratifyingly, treatment of **11** with pyrrolidine at 50 °C in MeOH delivered the fused chromanone **15** as a single diastereomer in 87% yield and in an efficient 61% overall yield. To explore the impact of cyclopentane ring substitution on diastereoselectivity, racemic methyl substituted diketo phenols **12**–**14** were exposed to the chromanone forming conditions (pyrrolidine, MeOH, 50 °C) to give methyl-substituted chromanones **16**–**18a/18b**. As outlined in Figure 4, methyl substitution at the 3-position gave good diastereoselectivity (a 9:1 ratio of **16a:16b**). Methyl substitution at either the 4- (**17a/17b**) or 5-position (**18a/18b**) shows reduced selectivity; 2.3:1 and 5:1, respectively. To further assess the diastereoselectivity and scope of this strategy, we wanted to explore chiral diones **19** and **20**. Treatment of the protected alkene mixture **5a/5b** from (+)-menthone with

the isomerization reagents and subsequent hydrolysis, yields only **21** (Figure 5), whose structure was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. This result represents a unique isomerization/Friedel-Crafts-type alkylation.

To access the desired diones **19** and **20**, an alternate synthesis was required. The Bayer-Villager oxidation products of (+) and (–)-menthone (**22** and **23**, Figure 4), synthesized via literature protocol,¹⁷ were treated with the Li-exchanged product of 2-bromophenol and BuLi. Subsequent oxidation afforded diones **19** and **20**, which were exposed to the chromanone forming conditions yielding only **24** and enantiomer **25**, respectively.

Next, we probed the role(s) of the organocatalyst in our newly developed aldol/oxa-Michael reaction. As depicted in Figure 6, we only observed products (chromanone and uncyclized enone) formed by chemoselective enamine formation, exo trig cyclization, and dehydration.¹⁸ It is noteworthy that no reaction is observed (**11**→**15**) if catalytic Et₃N is used in place of pyrrolidine — evidence of an enamine mediated process. Upon cyclization/dehydration, the forward pathway could diverge. Possibilities include conjugate addition to the iminium followed by hydrolysis or alternatively, hydrolysis of the iminium and Michael addition to the enone. While both give the same end product, catalyst involvement in each is distinctly different. That said, understanding the role(s) of the catalyst and reaction pathway(s) is vital.

Conveniently, the 9:1 diastereomeric mixture of **16a**:**16b** is separable by standard flash chromatography and allowed us the opportunity to probe reaction reversibility and gain insight as to the role(s) of the pyrrolidine catalyst in this tandem reaction. Several informative experiments were conducted; these conditions and results are summarized in Figure 7.

In the absence of catalyst, (Expt. A, Figure 7) **16a** showed no reaction. However, upon addition of catalyst (Expt. B and C), both **16a** and **16b** returned to the thermodynamic 9:1 ratio of **16a**:**16b**. If catalytic Et₃N is added to **16a** without catalyst, (Expt. D) a 9:1 ratio is again formed. For experiments E–G, the **16a**:**16b** mixture was treated with KOH in MeOH. Through a presumed E1cB mechanism, the **16a**:**16b** mixture was quantitatively converted to enone phenol **26**. No reaction of **26** was observed in MeOH alone (Expt. E). Upon addition of pyrrolidine, **26** was cleanly converted back to a 9:1 mixture of **16a** and **16b** (Expt. F). However, **26** treated with catalytic Et₃N afforded the same ratio of **16a**:**16b**.

These experiments give insight into the possible dual role played by the pyrrolidine catalyst in this tandem aldol/oxa-Michael reaction and confirm that 1,4-addition to the iminium (Figure 6) is not a requirement. In addition, Michael addition reversibility was corroborated.

This reversibility allows for the preparation of a single diastereomer by iterative separation and resubjection of the minor isomer to the reaction conditions. It, unfortunately, also means that enantioselective chromanone formation from an achiral diketo phenol fails [attempts on **11** with L-proline and L-prolinol gave racemic **15**]. We suspect this equilibration occurs via an E1cB mechanism where the pyrrolidine catalyst (Expts. B, C, & F) or Et₃N (Expts. D & G) acts simply as a base.

Ultimately, our goal of developing an organocatalyzed aldol/oxa-Michael reaction to deliver ring fused chromanones of synthetic and biological importance has been realized. This reaction sequence was probed and revealed evidence as to the roles of the pyrrolidine catalyst. In addition, our synthetic strategy revealed interesting anti-Saytzeff dehydration products, whose isomerization led to the discovery of an intriguing Pd mediated intramolecular Fiedel-Crafts alkylation. Current efforts in our laboratory are focused on this unique cyclization and these results will be the topic of a future report.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors dedicate this letter to Professor Thomas R. Hoyer (University of Minnesota), a mentor and friend, on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Financial support provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE-0910870, CHE-0449845) and the National Institutes of Health (GM089153).

References

1. Kabbe HJ, Widdig A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1982; 21:247–256.
2. Takikawa H, Suzuki K. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:2713–2716. [PubMed: 17559218]
3. Majewski M, Irvine NM, Bantle GW. *J. Org. Chem.* 1994; 59:6697–6702.
4. Lipinski CA, Aldinger CE, Beyer TA, Bordner J, Bussolotti DF, Inskeep PB, Siegel TW. *J. Med. Chem.* 1992; 35:2169–2177. [PubMed: 1613744]
5. Carpenter RD, DeBerdt PB, Holden JB, Milinkevich KA, Min T, Willenbring D, Fetting JC, Tantillo DJ, Kurth MJ. *J. Comb. Chem.* 2008; 10:225–229. [PubMed: 18232646]
6. a) Chandrasekhar S, Vijeender K, Reddy KV. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005; 46:6991–6993. b) Graves CR, Zeng B-S, Nguyen ST. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:12596–12597. [PubMed: 17002323]
7. a) Enders D, Breuer K, Runsink J, Teles JH. *Helv. Chim. Acta.* 1996; 79:1899–1902. b) McKervey MA, Ye T. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1992:823–824. c) Biddle MM, Lin M, Scheidt KA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:3830–3831. [PubMed: 17348659] d) Carpenter RD, Fetting JC, Lam KS, Kurth MJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2008; 47:6407–6410. [PubMed: 18624312]
8. Rashid MR, Armstrong JA, Gray AI, Waterman PG. *Phytochem.* 1992; 31:3583–3588.
9. Turner WB. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1.* 1983:1365–1368.
10. Corkey BK, Toste FD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:17168–17169. [PubMed: 16332048]
11. Tietze LF, Spiegl DA, Stecker F, Major J, Raith C, Brobe C. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008; 14:8956–8963.
12. Lee Y-G, Ishimaru K, Iwasaki H, Ohkata K, Akiba K. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991; 56:2058–2066.
13. a) Saytzeff AM. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1875; 179:296. b) Braida B, Prana V, Hiberty PC. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2009; 48:5724–5728. [PubMed: 19562814]
14. Wang Q, Chen C. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:1223–1226. [PubMed: 18303901]
15. Lévy J, Sigaut F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983; 24:4987–4988. See product characterization in supporting information
16. Fan J, Wan C, Wang Q, Gao L, Zheng X, Wang Z. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2009; 7:3168–3172.
17. For oxidation of menthol see: Sanborn LT. *Org. Synth.* 1929; 9:52. For Baeyer-Villiger of menthone see: a) Yadav JS, Reddy BVS, Basak AK, Narsaiah AV. *Chem. Lett.* 2004; 33:248–249. b) Kotsuki H, Arimura K, Araki T, Shinohara T. *Synlett.* 1999; 4:462–464.
18. Mukherjee S, Yang JW, Hoffmann S, List B. *Chem. Rev.* 2007; 107:5471–5569. [PubMed: 18072803]

Figure 1.
Fused chromanone containing natural products and description of a linear approach.

Figure 2.
General synthetic method, a) regioselectivity, and b) isomerization of cyclohexenylphenol derivatives.

Figure 3.
Computed structures and energies (B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)) for the cationic precursor to **1a/1b**.
The benzylic cation center and σ -bonds aligned with the empty p-orbital are highlighted in orange. Distances are shown in Å and energies are in kcal/mol.

Figure 4.
General synthetic method of tetrahydrocyclopenta[*b*]chromanones.

Figure 5.
Synthesis of hexahydrofluorenol derivative.

Figure 6.
Proposed mechanism of tetrahydrocyclopenta[*b*]chromanone formation.

Expt. A:

16a $\xrightarrow{\text{no catalyst}}$ No Rxn

Expt. E:

26 $\xrightarrow{\text{no catalyst}}$ No Rxn

Expt. B:

16a $\xrightarrow[\text{(15 mol \%)}]{\text{pyrrolidine}}$ **16a:16b** (9:1)

Expt. F:

26 $\xrightarrow[\text{(15 mol \%)}]{\text{pyrrolidine}}$ **16a:16b** (9:1)

Expt. C:

16b $\xrightarrow[\text{(15 mol \%)}]{\text{pyrrolidine}}$ **16a:16b** (9:1)

Expt. G:

26 $\xrightarrow[\text{(15 mol \%)}]{\text{Et}_3\text{N}}$ **16a:16b** (9:1)

Expt. D:

16a $\xrightarrow[\text{(15 mol \%)}]{\text{Et}_3\text{N}}$ **16a:16b** (9:1)

Expts A-G
reaction conditions:
MeOH, 50 °C, 48 h

Figure 7.

Experiments performed to investigate Michael reversability and catalyst function.