Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2011 April 26; 50(18): 4173–4175. doi:10.1002/anie.201008296.

Iridium-Catalyzed *anti*-Diastereo- and Enantioselective Carbonyl (α -Trifluoromethyl)allylation from the Alcohol or Aldehyde Oxidation Level**

Xin Gao, Dr. Yong Jian Zhang, and Prof. Michael J. Krische*

University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 1 University Station–A5300, Austin, TX 78712-1167 (USA)

Keywords

allylation; enantioselectivity; iridium complexes; transfer hydrogenation; trifluoromethyl

It is estimated that 20% of approved pharmaceutical agents and 30–40% of commercially available agrochemicals contain one or more fluorine atoms.^[1a,b] Additionally, in 2006, 80% of the small-molecule drugs entering the market were estimated to contain one or more chiral centers.^[1c,2] These facts underscore the importance of developing enantioselective methods for the preparation of organofluorine compounds.^[3,4] Toward this end, highly enantioselective nucleophilic trifluoromethylations of aldehydes and ketones have been developed.^[3a,e,4] Nucleophilic (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation might also serve to establish absolute stereochemistry at CF₃-bearing carbon centers.^[5] Yet, despite persistent efforts aimed at the development of asymmetric carbonyl allylation protocols,^[6] enantioselective carbonyl (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation remains an unmet challenge.^[5,6] Here, under the conditions of C–C bond forming transfer hydrogenation,^[7] we report the first examples of enantioselective carbonyl (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation: a process in which carbonyl addition occurs with equal facility from the alcohol or aldehyde oxidation level.

Our approach takes advantage of carbonyl allylation protocols recently developed in our laboratory, wherein primary alcohol dehydrogenation triggers reductive generation of allyliridium nucleophiles, enabling carbonyl allylation from the alcohol oxidation level.^[7,8] In initial experiments, carbonyl (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation was attempted using the *ortho*-cyclometalated catalyst generated in situ from $[\{\text{Ir}(\text{cod})\text{Cl}\}_2]$ (cod = cyclooctadienyl), various 4-substituted 3-nitrobenzoic acids, BIPHEP (2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-biphenyl), and α -trifluoromethyl allyl benzoate in THF (1M). However, C–C coupling products were not observed in reactions involving in situ catalyst generation. Rather, products of transesterification, the benzoates derived from alcohols **1**, were obtained. Eventually, it was found that transesterification is suppressed for reactions employing the isolated π -allyl iridium *C,O*-benzoate modified by BIPHEP and 4-cyano-3-nitrobenzoic acid in THF (0.2M). As observed in related (α -trimethylsilyl)allylations,^[8k] the presence of water (200 mol%) improved conversion and suppressed byproduct formation, including formation of transesterification products. The stoichiometry of base (Na₂CO₃ or K₃PO₄) and water are

** Acknowledgements is made to the Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038) and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445). Y.J.Z. acknowledges partial financial support from Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

© 2011 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

*Fax: (+1)512-471-8696, mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201008296>.

adjusted such that the benzoic acid generated over the course of the reaction is neutralized, yet transesterification is suppressed. At this point, an assay of chiral ligands was undertaken and it was found that the iridium complex modified by (*R*)-Cl, MeO-BIPHEP, designated “(*R*)-**I**”, provides the highest levels of enantiomeric enrichment. Thus, upon exposure of primary alcohols **1a–1i** to α -trifluoromethyl allyl benzoate (200 mol%) in the presence of (*R*)-**I**, Na₂CO₃ (100 mol%), and water (200 mol%) in THF (0.2M) at 70°C, the desired products of (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation **3a–3i** were generated in moderate to good yields with high levels *anti*-diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Table 1). Notably, in the presence of isopropyl alcohol, but under otherwise equivalent conditions, an identical set of adducts **3a–3i** are generated from aldehydes **2a–2i** with similar levels of relative and absolute stereocontrol (Table 2). Superstoichiometric loadings of α -trifluoromethyl allyl benzoate (200 mol%) are required to offset competing protonolysis of the transient allyliridium intermediate.

Exposure of optically enriched alcohol **1j** to standard conditions for *anti*-diastereo- and enantioselective (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation employing (*R*)-**I** as the precatalyst produces compound **3j** as a single stereoisomer, as determined by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR analysis. Similarly, using (*S*)-**I** as the precatalyst, alcohol **1j** is converted into the isomeric adduct *iso*-**3j** as a single stereoisomer, as determined by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR analysis. Using the catalyst modified by the achiral ligand, BIPHEP, compounds **3j** and *iso*-**3j** are produced in a 1:1.5 ratio, respectively. These data establish high levels of catalyst-directed stereoselectivity.^[9] Exposure of compound **3i** to ozone followed by NaBH₄-mediated decomposition of the ozonide produces 1,3-diol **4i** in 94% yield of isolated product. The primary alcohol of diol **4i** was converted into the primary *p*-toluenesulfonate **5i** in 99% yield of isolated product. Conversion of *p*-toluenesulfonate **5i** into piperidine **6** was accomplished in analogy to an established procedure.^[10] Alternatively, elimination of *p*-toluenesulfonate **5i** followed by hydrogenation of the resulting terminal olefin provides the *syn*-1,1,1-trifluoroisopropyl secondary alcohol **7** as a single diastereomer, as determined by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR analysis (Scheme 1).^[11]

In summary, we report the first protocol for *anti*-diastereo- and enantioselective carbonyl (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation. Notably, asymmetric carbonyl addition is possible from the alcohol oxidation level, bypassing discrete alcohol oxidation and the use of stoichiometric organometallic reagents. Future studies will focus on the development of related C–C couplings of amines.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. a) Thayer AM. Chem Eng News. 2006; 84:15–24. b) Mueller K, Faeh C, Diederich F. Science. 2007; 317:1881–1886. [PubMed: 17901324] c) Thayer AM. Chem Eng News. 2007; 85:11–19.
2. a) Carey JS, Laffan D, Thomson C, Williams MT. Org Biomol Chem. 2006; 4:2337–2347. [PubMed: 16763676] b) Farina V, Reeves JT, Senanayake CH, Song JJ. Chem Rev. 2006; 106:2734–2793. [PubMed: 16836298]
3. For reviews on enantioselective fluorination, see: a) Ma JA, Cahard D. Chem Rev. 2004; 104:6119–6146. [PubMed: 15584697] b) Brunet VA, O'Hagan D. Angew Chem. 2008; 120:1198–1201. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2008; 47:1179–1182. c) Bobbio C, Gouverneur V. Org Biomol Chem. 2006; 4:2065–2075. [PubMed: 16729117] d) Audouard C, Ma JA, Cahard D. Adv Org Synth. 2006; 2:431–461. e) Ma JA, Cahard D. Chem Rev. 2008; 108:PR1–PR43. [PubMed: 18798358] f) Cao LL, Gao BL, Ma ST, Liu ZP. Curr Org Chem. 2010; 14:889–916. g) Ku Kang Y, Kim DY. Curr Org

- Chem. 2010; 14:917–927.h) Cahard D, Xu X, Couve-Bonnaire S, Pannecoucke X. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2010; 39:558–568. [PubMed: 20111780]
4. For reviews on enantioselective trifluoromethylation, see: a) Ma JA, Cahard D. *J Fluorine Chem.* 2007; 128:975–996.b) Billard T, Langlois BR. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2007:891–897.c) Shibata N, Mizuta S, Kawai H. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 2008; 19:2633–2644.d) Nie J, Guo HC, Cahard D, Ma JA. *Chem Rev.* 2011; 111:455–529. [PubMed: 21117644] and reference [3a].
5. For aldehyde (trifluoromethyl)allylation and related processes, see: a) Yamazaki T, Ishikawa N. *Chem Lett.* 1984:521–524.b) Hanzawa Y, Ishizawa S, Kobayashi Y, Taguchi T. *Chem Pharm Bull.* 1990; 38:1104–1106.c) Loh TP, Li XR. *Angew Chem.* 1997; 109:1029–1031.*Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 1997; 36:980–982.d) Loh TP, Li XR. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997; 38:869–872.e) Loh TP, Li XR. *Eur J Org Chem.* 1999:1893–1899.f) Sakamoto T, Takahashi K, Yamazaki T, Kitazume T. *J Org Chem.* 1999; 64:9467–9474.g) Chen Q, Qiu XL, Qing FL. *J Org Chem.* 2006; 71:3762–3767. [PubMed: 16674047]
6. For selected reviews on enantioselective carbonyl allylation and crotylation, see: a) Hoffmann RW. *Angew Chem.* 1982; 94:569–580.*Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 1982; 21:555–566.b) Yamamoto Y, Asao N. *Chem Rev.* 1993; 93:2207–2293.c) Ramachandran PV. *Aldrichimica Acta.* 2002; 35:23–35.d) Kennedy JWJ, Hall DG. *Angew Chem.* 2003; 115:4880–4887.*Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2003; 42:4732–4739.e) Denmark SE, Fu J. *Chem Rev.* 2003; 103:2763–2793. [PubMed: 12914480] f) Yu CM, Youn J, Jung HK. *Bull Korean Chem Soc.* 2006; 27:463–472.g) Marek I, Sklute G. *Chem Commun.* 2007:1683–1691.h) Hall DG. *Synlett.* 2007:1644–1655.
7. For selected reviews on C–C bond-forming transfer hydrogenation, see: a) Patman RL, Bower JF, Kim IS, Krische MJ. *Aldrichimica Acta.* 2008; 41:95–104.b) Shibahara F, Krische MJ. *Chem Lett.* 2008; 37:1102–1107.c) Bower JF, Kim IS, Patman RL, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem.* 2009; 121:36–48.*Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:34–46.d) Han SB, Kim IS, Krische MJ. *Chem Commun.* 2009:7278–7287.
8. For enantioselective carbonyl allylation by iridium catalyzed C–C bond-forming transfer hydrogenation and related processes, see: a) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:6340–6341. [PubMed: 18444616] b) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:14891–14899. [PubMed: 18841896] c) Kim IS, Han SB, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:2514–2520. [PubMed: 19191498] d) Han SB, Kim IS, Han H, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:6916–6917. [PubMed: 19453190] e) Lu Y, Kim IS, Hassan A, Del Valle DJ, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem.* 2009; 121:5118–5121.*Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:5018–5021.f) Itoh J, Han SB, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem.* 2009; 121:6431–6434.*Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:6313–6316.g) Lu Y, Krische MJ. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:3108–3111. [PubMed: 19586066] h) Hassan A, Lu Y, Krische MJ. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:3112–3115. [PubMed: 19586067] i) Bechem B, Patman RL, Hashmi S, Krische MJ. *J Org Chem.* 2010; 75:1795–1798. [PubMed: 20131774] j) Han SB, Han H, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:1760–1761. [PubMed: 20099821] k) Zhang YJ, Yang JH, Kim SH, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:4562–4563. [PubMed: 20225853] l) Han SB, Gao X, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:9153–9156. [PubMed: 20540509] m) Zbieg JR, Fukuzumi T, Krische MJ. *Adv Synth Catal.* 2010; 352:2416–2420. [PubMed: 21165157]
9. For selected examples of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity, see: a) Minami N, Ko SS, Kishi Y. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1982; 104:1109–1111.b) Ko SY, Lee AWM, Masamune S, Reed LA III, Sharpless KB, Walker FJ. *Science.* 1983; 220:949–951. [PubMed: 17816019] c) Kobayashi S, Ohtsubo A, Mukaiyama T. *Chem Lett.* 1991:831–834.d) Hammadi A, Nuzillard JM, Poulin JC, Kagan HB. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 1992; 3:1247–1262.e) Doyle MP, Kalinin AV, Ene DG. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1996; 118:8837–8846.f) Trost BM, Calkins TL, Oertelt C, Zambrano J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:1713–1716.g) Balskus EP, Jacobsen EN. *Science.* 2007; 317:1736–1740. [PubMed: 17885133] h) Han SB, Kong JR, Krische MJ. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:4133–4135. [PubMed: 18729371]
10. Karjalainen OK, Passiniemi M, Koskinen AMP. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:1145–1147. [PubMed: 20170191]
11. Chen Q, Qing FL. *Tetrahedron.* 2007; 63:11965–11972.

Scheme 1.

Elaboration of carbonyl (α-trifluoromethyl)allylation products (as described for Table 1).

TBS=*tert*-butylsilyl, PMB=*p*-methoxybenzyl, DIPEA=diisopropylethylamine.

Table 1

anti-Diastereo- and enantioselective carbonyl (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation from the alcohol oxidation level.^[a]

1 a , R = 6-Br-2-Pyr	1 b , R = 2-Furyl	1 c , R = CH=CHPh
1 d , R = (CH ₂) ₂ Ph	1 e , R = (CH ₂) ₇ Me	1 f , R = <i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁
1 g , R = CH ₂ OBn	1 h , R = (CH ₂) ₂ OBn	1 i , R = (CH ₂) ₂ NHBOc
<p>60% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 95% ee, 3 a^[b]</p>	<p>70% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 94% ee, 3 b</p> <p>60% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 87% ee, 3 c</p>	
<p>61% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 94% ee, 3 d</p>	<p>64% yield, $\geq 10:1$ d.r. 92% ee, 3 e</p>	
<p>57% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 99% ee, 3 g</p>	<p>63% yield, 10:1 d.r. 94% ee, 3 h</p>	<p>77% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 91% ee, 3 f^[b]</p>
		<p>73% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 96% ee, 3 i^[b]</p>

^[a]Yields are of isolated material. Diastereoselectivity was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of crude reaction mixtures. Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. See Supporting Information for further details.

^[b]K₃PO₄ (100 mol%), H₂O (500 mol%), THF (1.0M).

Table 2

anti-Diastereo- and enantioselective carbonyl (α -trifluoromethyl)allylation from the aldehyde oxidation level.
[a]

2 a , R = 6-Br-2-Pyr	2 b , R = 2-Furyl	2 c , R = CH=CHPh
2 d , R = (CH ₂) ₂ Ph	2 e , R = (CH ₂) ₇ Me	2 f , R = <i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁
2 g , R = CH ₂ OBn	2 h , R = (CH ₂) ₂ OBn	2 i , R = (CH ₂) ₂ NHBoc
<p>72% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 95% <i>ee</i>, 3 a^[b]</p>	<p>69% yield, 320:1 d.r. 95% <i>ee</i>, 3 b</p>	<p>67% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 89% <i>ee</i>, 3 c</p>
<p>65% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 94% <i>ee</i>, 3 d</p>	<p>69% yield, 10:1 d.r. 92% <i>ee</i>, 3 e</p>	<p>81% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 93% <i>ee</i>, 3 f^[b]</p>
<p>55% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r.</p>	<p>67% yield, 10:1 d.r. 93% <i>ee</i>, 3 h</p>	<p>70% yield, $\geq 20:1$ d.r. 97% <i>ee</i>, 3 i^[b]</p>

99% *ee*, **3 g**

^[a] As described for Table 1.