Published in final edited form as:

Arch Sex Behav. 2010 August ; 39(4): 898–906. doi:10.1007/s10508-009-9544-0.

Sexual Abuse History, Alcohol Intoxication, and Women's Sexual Risk Behavior

Rebecca L. Schacht, MS¹, William H. George, PhD¹, Kelly Cue Davis, PhD², Julia R. Heiman, PhD³, Jeanette Norris, PhD⁴, Susan A. Stoner, PhD¹, and Kelly F. Kajumulo, MPH¹

¹Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

²School of Social Work, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

³The Kinsey Institute, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

⁴Alcohol and Drug Abuse Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Abstract

We examined potential differences in women's likelihood of sexual risk taking in a laboratory setting based on alcohol intoxication and sexual abuse history. Participants ($n = 64$) were classified as sexually non-abused (NSA) or as having experienced sexual abuse in childhood only (CSA) or adulthood only (ASA) and randomly assigned to consume alcoholic (.06%, .08%, or .10% target blood alcohol content) or non-alcoholic drinks, after which participants read and responded to a risky sex vignette. Dependent measures included vaginal pulse amplitude, likelihood of engaging in condom use and risky sexual behaviors described in the vignette, self-reported sexual arousal, and mood. NSA and ASA women did not differ on any dependent measures. CSA women reported lower likelihoods of condom use and unprotected intercourse relative to NSA and ASA women. Intoxicated women reported greater sexual arousal, positive mood, and likelihood of risky sex relative to sober women. Intoxicated CSA women reported more likelihood of unprotected oral sex and less likelihood of condom use relative to intoxicated NSA and ASA and sober CSA women. CSA women's increased risk of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) may be driven by non-condom use and behavioral changes while intoxicated. These findings provide preliminary insight into situational influences affecting CSA women's increased STI risk.

Keywords

sexual abuse; sexual arousal; acute alcohol intoxication; sexual risk; HIV/AIDS; STI; condom use; vaginal pulse amplitude; women

INTRODUCTION

Correlational studies have established that sexually abused (SA) women, particularly women abused in childhood, are at higher risk of contracting HIV/STIs than are non-SA women (e.g., Koenig & Clark, 2003). Alcohol intoxication and risky sexual behavior have been globally implicated in this association, but laboratory experiments designed to examine possible causal streams underlying these relationships are lacking (George & Stoner, 2000).

Corresponding author: Rebecca Schacht, Box 351525, Dept. of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195. rschacht@u.washington.edu; Voice: 206-616-6610; Fax: 206-543-9937..

Portions of this manuscript were presented in June, 2004 at the annual meetings of the International Academy of Sex Research, Helsinki, Finland, and the Research Society on Alcoholism, Vancouver, British Columbia.

Without such reports, understanding SA women's behavior in risky sexual contexts remains limited. We conducted a laboratory experiment in which we compared the influence of alcohol intoxication on the sexual risk intentions of women who had experienced child SA, adult SA, or no SA.

STI/HIV Risk and Sexual Abuse History

Women's risk of HIV/AIDS infection from heterosexual contact with high-risk partners (e.g., injection drug users, men who have sex with men) is increasing (Karon, Fleming, Steketee, & De Cock, 2001). The proportion of AIDS cases in the U.S. attributed to heterosexual contact increased from 3% in 1985 to 32% in 2005 (CDC, 2005a), and about 80% of new HIV cases in U.S. women are due to heterosexual transmission (CDC, 2007). In sub-Saharan Africa, heterosexual transmission is the primary mode of HIV infection (e.g., Mills, Singh, Nelson, & Nachega, 2006).

An extensive literature has linked SA history with higher rates of HIV/STI diagnoses (Arriola, Loudon, Doldren, & Fortenberry, 2005; Koenig & Clark, 2003). CSA women are more likely than non-CSA women to have risky sex and be HIV positive while being less likely than their non-abused peers to report using condoms and less confident about refusing unprotected sex (Greenberg et al., 1999; Hamburger et al., 2004; Koenig & Clark, 2003; Petrak, Byrne, & Baker, 2000; Senn, Carey, Vanable, Coury-Doniger, & Urban, 2006; Testa, vanZile-Tamsen, & Livingston, 2005; Whitmire, Harlow, Quina, & Morokoff, 1999). Findings of increased sexual risk-taking behavior following assault also exist for ASA women, but published reports are limited and less consistent than they are for CSA women (Brener, McMahon, Warren, & Douglas, 1999; Campbell, Sefl, & Ahrens, 2004). In a review, Gorey and Leslie (1997) reported that about 22% of U.S. women have experienced child sexual abuse. Sexual behaviors included in CSA definitions ranged from non-contact behaviors, such as sexual invitations, to penile penetration. In a stratified random population sample ($N = 472$), Elliott, Mok, and Briere (2004) found that 22% of women reported adult sexual abuse (ASA) involving physical contact. These findings suggest that the SA-STI link affects a broad population of women, has serious sexual health implications, is not well understood, and necessitates further scientific scrutiny.

Alcohol consumption, Sexual Abuse History, and STI/HIV Risk

Experiments have shown that acute alcohol intoxication increases sexual risk-taking (for reviews, see George & Stoner, 2000; Hendershot & George, 2007). In experimental studies, alcohol intoxication increased intentions of engaging in sexual risk behavior (e.g., Maisto, Carey, Carey, & Gordon, 2002; Maisto, Carey, Carey, Gordon, & Schum, 2004) and salience of impelling cues (Davis, Hendershot, George, Norris, & Heiman, 2007; MacDonald, MacDonald, Zanna, & Fong, 2000), while decreasing perception of sexual risk (Fromme, D'Amico, & Katz, 1999; Fromme, Katz, & Rivet, 1997). Also, in sexual risk-taking contexts, alcohol has been shown to increase self-reported sexual arousal but not genital sexual arousal (George et al., in press). In addition to increasing the likelihood of high-risk sex while diminishing risk perception, alcohol intoxication appears to compromise women's ability to protect themselves from sexual risk because intoxication reduces condom use (Maisto et al., 2002, 2004; National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, 2004). SA history is linked to higher rates of alcohol use in women, and SA women are more likely than their non-abused peers to use alcohol before sex (Wilsnack, Wilsnack, Kristjanson, Vogeltanz-Holm, & Harris, 2003). Thus, alcohol intoxication and SA history may work in tandem to increase SA women's sexual risk-taking.

Without laboratory examinations of in-the-moment processes that influence the relations among SA history, alcohol intoxication, and likelihood of STI risk behavior, it is difficult to

pinpoint loci that may be appropriate for prevention interventions. For example, it is unknown whether targeting SA women's risk awareness or condom non-use—or both—would be most effective in addressing increased STI risk in situations involving alcohol. Such knowledge will allow interventions aimed at teaching SA women to minimize sexual risk by interceding at specific points on the risk pathway.

We sought to examine influences of abuse history and alcohol intoxication on sexual risk-taking and sexual arousal by placing women in a context analogous to a real-life risky sexual encounter—a hypothetical sexual risk vignette. We hypothesized that SA women would report greater likelihood of risky behavior than would their non-SA counterparts in that they would report less likelihood of condom use and greater likelihood of sexual activity with their partner in the vignette. Because CSA occurs earlier in life and may have a broader effect on psychosexual developmental processes than ASA (see Trickett & Putnam, 1993, for a review) and CSA women exhibit higher rates of sexual risk than NSA women (e.g., Petrak, Byrne, & Baker, 2000; Senn, Carey, Vanable, Coury-Doniger, & Urban, 2006; Testa, vanZile-Tamsen, & Livingston, 2005), we hypothesized that CSA women would report greater likelihood of risky sexual behavior in the response to the vignette than would NSA and ASA women. We also hypothesized that intoxicated women would report greater likelihood of risky behavior and stronger self-reported sexual arousal than would their sober counterparts. Given research indicating global associations between sexual abuse history, alcohol use, and sexual risk (e.g., Koenig & Clark, 2003; Wilsnack et al., 2003) a second aim was to evaluate whether alcohol intoxication and abuse history would interact depending on type of abuse history (CSA vs. ASA). Because of the dearth of prior research in this area, we did not specify a directional hypothesis for this interaction. A third aim was to continue building on findings regarding SA history and genital arousal. Three studies have indicated that SA women's genital arousal to erotic film stimuli was dampened relative to their non-SA peers (Laan & Everaerd, 1995; Rellini & Meston, 2006; Schacht et al., 2007). We sought to add to this literature by comparing SA and non-SA women's genital arousal in response to an eroticized vignette.

METHOD

Participants

This study was part of a larger study to evaluate the influence of alcohol intoxication and sexual arousal on risky sexual decision-making. Participants ($N = 64$) were recruited from a western urban community and university with flyers, newspaper advertisements, and letters, which stated that the study involved “social drinking and decision-making.” Potential participants were told via telephone that procedures included genital measures of sexual arousal and were screened for eligibility. To be eligible, women had to be (1) between the ages of 21 and 35 years, (2) interested in dating opposite-sex partners, (3) not currently in an exclusive dating relationship, (4) a social drinker, (5) have no current or past problem drinking, and (6) not currently taking medications or have a current health condition that contraindicated alcohol consumption. All procedures were conducted with approval by the University of Washington's Institutional Review Board. Participants received \$15 per hour.

Participants' mean age was 27.0 years ($SD = 4.0$). Age did not significantly differ based on abuse group. Most were European-American (81%). The remainder were multi-racial or other (8%), Asian-American (5%), African-American (3%), or Latina (3%). Sixty-nine percent were employed, with 65% reporting an annual income of less than \$31,000. Thirty-six percent were college students. Employment and student status did not vary based on abuse group.

Measures and Materials

Abuse groups—Participants were classified as having no history of SA (NSA), CSA only, or ASA only. Classification was based on Finkelhor's (1979) questionnaire and Koss and Oros' (1982) Sexual Experiences Survey (SES). Both measures consisted of items that behaviorally measure abuse experiences. Cronbach's alpha reported for the SES was .74, with a test-retest agreement of 93%. CSA participants reported no ASA events and at least one sexual contact event (touching, sexual fondling, oral sex, and/or intercourse) before their 14th birthday with someone five or more years older. ASA participants reported no CSA events and at least one unwanted sexual contact event (forced oral sex and/or attempted or completed rape) after their 15th birthday. Thirty-nine percent ($n = 25$) reported no abuse experiences, whereas 48% ($n = 31$) reported ASA only and 13% ($n = 8$) reported CSA only.

Sexual behavior—Seventy-seven percent ($n = 49$) reported current use of condoms for birth control and 42% ($n = 27$) reported current use of hormonal contraception. There were no differences on these variables based on abuse history. Reported mean age of first consensual sexual intercourse was 17.5 years ($SD = 2.4$), with CSA women reporting the earliest age (16.4 years; $SD = 2.9$), followed by ASA women (17.0 years; $SD = 2.1$) and NSA women (18.3 years; $SD = 2.3$), though these differences were not significant. The reported mean number of opposite-sex partners with whom participants had vaginal sex was 11.4 ($SD = 9.0$), with a median of nine. One woman reported having 200 lifetime partners and was excluded from analyses using mean number of partners. CSA women reported the smallest number of lifetime vaginal sex partners (7.3; $SD = 5.5$). NSA women reported a larger number of partners than did CSA women (8.7; $SD = 6.3$), but this difference was not significant. ASA women reported significantly more partners than did both NSA and CSA women (14.5; $SD = 10.5$; $p = .02$). Twenty-seven percent ($n = 17$) reported ever having been pregnant. Most of the sample (97%) reported that their sexual orientation was primarily heterosexual. The remaining 3% reported equal amounts of homo- and heterosexual experiences. There were no significant group differences for these variables.

Sexual functioning—Non-partner items from the Modified Brief Index of Sexual Functioning for Women (adapted from Taylor, Rosen, & Leiblum, 1994) were used to measure sexual function, including four desire items, two sexual health items, and two sexual activity items ($\alpha = .74$). Mean levels of sexual functioning (NSA = 2.9, $SD = .72$; ASA = 3.1, $SD = .80$; CSA = 2.8, $SD = .54$) did not differ between groups.

Drinking Habits (Collins, Parks, & Marlatt, 1985)—Participants were determined to be "social drinkers" at the phone screen if they reported drinking between one and 40 alcoholic beverages per week, and denied ever being significantly concerned about their drinking, being treated for problem drinking, or being told by friends, family, or a professional that they were problem drinkers. Given evidence linking SA history with higher rates of alcohol consumption, these criteria were intended to be inclusive of a wide range of drinking habits while excluding problem drinkers. Participants' mean reported number of drinks per week was 10.1 ($SD = 7.2$) with a range of 0-39. Nearly all (95%) participants reported drinking 20 or fewer drinks per week. CSA women reported drinking the fewest drinks per week ($M = 8.4$; $SD = 6.0$), followed by NSA women ($M = 9.8$; $SD = 7.2$). ASA women reported drinking the most drinks per week ($M = 10.7$; $SD = 7.6$), although none of these differences were significant.

Mood—Items from the Positive (alert, excited, proud, inspired, enthusiastic, and interested) and Negative (upset, jittery, guilty, distressed, nervous, hostile, and ashamed) Affect Scales (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988) were administered to measure mood state after the sexual risk-taking assessment (see description below). Eleven items were added: horny, satisfied,

happy, amused (positive); and lonely, embarrassed, shocked, depressed, apathetic, angry, and disgusted (negative). Participants responded to items on 5-point Likert scales (1 = very slightly or not at all; 5 = extremely). The positive affect scale had acceptable inter-item reliability ($\alpha = .86$), whereas the negative affect scale had low reliability ($\alpha = .54$).

Arousal induction—Immediately before reading the sexual risk story, participants underwent a sexual arousal induction that consisted of a sexually neutral film followed by two brief erotic films. The neutral film was a 2.5-minute bird documentary. The erotic films were each three minutes long and depicted explicit sexual activities between a man and woman, including kissing, oral sex, and vaginal intercourse. The purpose of the erotic films was to create a sexually charged atmosphere to prime exposure to the eroticized vignette. Data on responses to the film are reported elsewhere (Schacht et al., 2007).

Sexual risk-taking assessment—Participants read and responded to an erotic second-person vignette in which the participant was the protagonist¹. She was introduced to a man by a mutual friend, described as being sexually attracted to him, and placed in a sexual situation with him where condoms were unavailable. The protagonist was depicted as taking oral hormonal contraceptives. Alcohol consumption of the person representing the participant in the story was matched to the participant's alcohol condition in the experiment (alcohol vs. no alcohol), whereas the opposite sex partner was always portrayed as drinking two alcoholic beverages. Participants rated their likelihood of engaging in unprotected sexual behavior on 5-point Likert scales (1 = "not at all"; 5 = "very much") for each of 10 items. These items were collapsed to form the following four dependent variables, likelihood of condom use (2 items; "How much do you wish you had a condom?" and "How likely are you to ask Dan if he has a condom?"), oral sex (4 items; "How much do you desire Dan to perform oral sex on you/to perform oral sex on Dan, regardless of whether you actually will?" and "How likely are you to allow Dan to perform oral sex on you/perform oral sex on Dan?"), genital contact (2 items; "How much do you desire to rub your clitoris against Dan's penis, regardless of whether you actually will?" and "How likely are you to rub your clitoris against Dan's penis?"), and unprotected intercourse (2 items; "How much do you desire Dan's penis inside of you?" and "How likely are you to allow Dan to put his penis inside of you?"). The vignette was pilot-tested through interviews with a separate sample of pilot participants, and pilot participants' feedback was incorporated to maximize the vignette's external validity. Participants found the vignette realistic (1 = "not at all"; 5 = "very much") for the typical woman ($M = 4.6$; $SD = .6$), and for themselves ($M = 4.3$; $SD = 1.1$).

Genital arousal—Genital arousal was measured using vaginal photoplethysmography (Geer, Morokoff, & Greenwood, 1974; BioPac Systems, Inc., Santa Barbara, CA, model MP 150; Behavioral Technology, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT). Vaginal pulse amplitude (VPA) was continuously sampled at a rate of 62.5 samples per second and recorded using Acqknowledge software, version 3.7.2 (BioPac Systems, Inc.).

Self-reported ratings of sexual arousal—Participants reported their arousal on four Likert scale questions (1 = "no sexual arousal at all"; 7 = "extremely sexually aroused"): (1) "Overall, how much sexual arousal did you feel during the film clips/the story?" (Heiman, 1977); (2) "To what extent did you feel sensation in your genitals during the film clips/the story?" (Heiman & Rowland, 1983); (3) "How much sexual warmth (in your genitals, breasts, and body) did you feel during the film clips/the story?" (Meston, Heiman, Trapnell, & Paulhus, 1998); (4) "To what extent did you feel sexually absorbed in the sensory

¹The story may be obtained from the authors by request.

components of the film clips/the story?” (Koukounas & McCabe, 2001). These items formed a scale with good inter-item reliability ($\alpha = .94$).

Procedure

Participants were instructed not to drive to the laboratory, not to eat or consume caloric drinks for three hours before their appointments, and not to drink alcohol or use recreational or over-the-counter drugs for 24 hours before their appointments. A female experimenter administered an initial breath test with an Intoxilyzer 5000 (CMI Inc., Owensboro, KY) to ascertain a zero reading and obtained informed consent. All participants were given a pregnancy test (Osom hCG-Urine Test, Genzyme General Diagnostics, San Diego, CA) and then left alone to complete background questionnaires.

Alcohol procedures and administration—Each participant was randomly assigned to one of four beverage conditions: expect and receive a nonalcoholic beverage or to expect an alcoholic beverage and receive one of three alcohol doses (target BAC of .06%, .08%, or .10%). Participants were weighed to determine the amount of alcohol needed to achieve the assigned target BAC. Drinks were consumed in 9 minutes and consisted of alcohol and fruit juice. BAC was tested every three minutes until participants reached criterion and then they began the sexual arousal induction and risky sex assessment. No-alcohol participants drank a volume of juice equivalent to the total volume of liquid that they would have received in the alcohol condition.

Arousal induction and risky sex assessment story—After participants reached the criterion BAC, they were instructed via intercom to maximize their arousal. The instructions were “We would like to ask you to try as much as possible to relax and maximize your arousal during the remainder of the experiment. We would like you to try and become as aroused as possible.” They were then instructed to insert the probe. The experimenter monitored the VPA signal via computer in a separate room while the participant viewed the films and read the story. Following the story, participants rated their mood and arousal and were then instructed by intercom to remove the probe.

Detoxification and debriefing—Sober participants were debriefed, paid, and released upon completion of the experiment. Alcohol participants remained in the laboratory until their BAC dropped to .03, when they were debriefed, paid, and released.

VPA data cleaning and reduction—VPA data were reduced to 25 samples per second. Visually apparent movement artifacts were removed from waveform data, which were then reduced to 30-second means and digitally transformed. Remaining movement artifacts, defined as a 100% increase or decrease in VPA relative to either adjacent 30-second interval, were imputed using the mean values of the adjacent intervals (Schacht et al., 2007). The dependent measure for VPA was a difference score of maximum response during the story minus minimum response during the neutral film. One ASA-alcohol subject’s VPA data were not interpretable because of movement artifacts and she was excluded from VPA analyses.

RESULTS

Data analysis

There were no differences on dependent variables based on alcohol dose. Therefore, to increase statistical power, alcohol conditions were collapsed to form two conditions: alcohol vs. no-alcohol. Alcohol participants’ BAC immediately before the story did not significantly vary based on abuse condition. A 3 (non-abused vs. CSA-only vs. ASA-only) $\times$ 2 (alcohol

vs. no-alcohol) MANOVA was used to evaluate group effects on four vignette dependent variables (likelihood of condom use, oral sex, genital contact, and intercourse). Univariate ANOVAs were used to evaluate group effects on the two arousal variables (self-reported and VPA), positive mood, and negative mood. See Table I for correlations among dependent variables.

Sexual risk-taking assessment—We found a main effect of abuse group for likelihood of condom use with the partner in the vignette. CSA women reported less likelihood of condom use ($M = 3.7$, $SD = 1.5$) than did NSA ($M = 4.7$, $SD = .5$) and ASA women ($M = 4.5$, $SD = .8$), $F(1, 63) = 5.4$, $p = .007$, partial $\eta^2 = .16$. We also found a main effect of alcohol group for condom use. Alcohol participants reported less likelihood of condom use ($M = 4.3$, $SD = .7$) than did no-alcohol participants ($M = 4.7$, $SD = .7$), $F(1, 63) = 9.0$, $p = .004$, partial $\eta^2 = .13$. We found a main effect of alcohol group for likelihood of oral sex with the partner in the vignette. Alcohol participants reported greater likelihood of engaging in oral sex ($M = 4.1$, $SD = .9$) than did no-alcohol participants ($M = 3.6$, $SD = .8$), $F(1, 63) = 9.0$, $p = .004$, partial $\eta^2 = .14$. We found a main effect of abuse group for likelihood of unprotected intercourse with the partner in the story. Contrary to our hypothesis, CSA women reported less likelihood of unprotected intercourse ($M = 3.8$, $SD = 1.4$) than did NSA ($M = 4.6$, $SD = .7$) and ASA women ($M = 4.6$, $SD = .4$), $F(1, 63) = 4.0$, $p = .024$, partial $\eta^2 = .12$. There were no other significant findings from the vignette, including for likelihood of genital contact. See Table II for means of all dependent variables by condition.

Sexual arousal—We found a main effect of alcohol group for self-reported sexual arousal immediately following the vignette, such that alcohol participants reported greater sexual arousal ($M = 5.3$, $SD = 1.2$) than did no-alcohol participants ($M = 4.2$, $SD = 1.5$), $F(1, 63) = 9.7$, $p = .003$, partial $\eta^2 = .14$. There were no other significant findings relating to self-reported arousal. We found no significant differences for VPA.

Mood—We found a main effect of alcohol group for positive mood immediately following the vignette, such that alcohol participants reported greater positive mood ($M = 2.9$, $SD = .8$) than did no-alcohol participants ($M = 2.4$, $SD = .8$), $F(1, 63) = 6.6$, $p = .013$, partial $\eta^2 = .10$. There were no other significant effects for positive or negative mood.

DISCUSSION

Our hypothesis that SA women would report greater likelihood of risky sexual behavior was partially supported. CSA women reported less likelihood of condom use with their partner in the vignette than did NSA and ASA women, but also reported less likelihood of intercourse. ASA and NSA women did not differ in regards to sexual risk likelihood. We also found support for the hypothesis that alcohol would increase the likelihood of risky sexual behavior: Intoxicated women reported less likelihood of condom use and greater likelihood of oral sex and unprotected intercourse than did sober women. Also as hypothesized, intoxicated women reported greater sexual arousal than did sober women. We did not find support for our hypothesis that abuse history and alcohol intoxication would interact to increase sexual risk-taking. Also, in contrast to past reports, we found no differences in genital arousal based on abuse history (Laan & Everaerd, 1995; Rellini & Meston, 2006; Schacht et al., 2007).

The finding that CSA women reported less likelihood of condom use relative to NSA women was consistent with other evidence that CSA women are less likely to use condoms than are non-abused women (Greenberg et al., 1999) and have higher rates of STIs than do non-CSA women (Arriola, Loudon, Doldren, & Fortenberry, 2005; Koenig & Clark, 2003). Partner communication and women's perception of their partners' attitudes towards

condoms are the strongest psychosocial predictors of condom use (Sheeran et al., 1999), and CSA women are less confident about refusing unprotected sex than are non-abused women (Hamburger et al., 2004; Greenberg et al., 1999). This finding may suggest that CSA women's increased sexual risk is driven in part by condom negotiation reticence. It is also possible that CSA women reported less likelihood of condom use than did NSA and ASA women because they were less interested in intercourse with their partner in the vignette. However, we found no difference based on abuse history for another risky behavior—likelihood of genital contact—which implies that CSA women may be as likely as ASA and NSA women to engage in some STI risk behaviors without condoms.

We replicated findings indicating that alcohol intoxication increases sexual risk behavior (Davis, Hendershot, George, Norris, & Heiman, 2007; MacDonald, MacDonald, Zanna, & Fong, 2000; Maisto, Carey, Carey, & Gordon, 2002; Maisto, Carey, Carey, Gordon, & Schum, 2004). Also congruent with past work (e.g., George et al., in press) was the finding that alcohol intoxication increased self-reported, but not genital, sexual arousal. Condom negotiation while under the influence of alcohol, including psychoeducation regarding alcohol's deleterious influence on condom negotiation, may be worthy targets for sexual risk prevention programs. Null findings regarding the interaction between abuse history and alcohol intoxication on sexual risk behavior may have been due to lack of power. However, it is also possible that the influence of alcohol intoxication and SA history have opposite effects (i.e., one may disinhibit whereas the other inhibits), thereby cancelling each other out.

CSA and ASA have both been linked to subsequent psychopathology (e.g., Briere & Jordan, 2004; Finkelhor & Browne, 1988; Paolucci & Genuis, & Violato, 2001; Trickett & Putnam, 1993), but same-sample comparisons of individuals reporting histories of CSA and ASA are rare. Therefore, the extent to which CSA and ASA have overlapping sequelae is unclear. We found that CSA women differed from NSA and ASA women on some variables, but found no differences between ASA and NSA women. Our CSA sample was small, but these findings are consistent with the notion that the timing of abuse is an important consideration when predicting subsequent behavior changes. Noll, Trickett, and Putnam (2003) described behavior patterns of "sexual preoccupation" (e.g., increased sexual activity and partners) and "sexual aversion" (e.g., increased rates of sexual dysfunction) in CSA women, an idea proposed by Finkelhor and Brown (1988). The finding that CSA women reported less likelihood of intercourse relative to NSA and ASA women could be interpreted to indicate sexual aversion, although other interpretations are possible (e.g., social desirability). Furthermore, it is possible that situational factors (e.g., alcohol intoxication or the sexual activity in question) can affect whether sexually preoccupied or sexually aversive behavior is elicited from CSA women.

Limitations, Strengths, and Future Directions

Conclusions regarding these results should be made cautiously due to sampling issues. Our sample was small; thus, these findings may be limited in generalizability. In addition, our null finding for VPA differences, which contradicts past findings with CSA women (Laan & Everaerd, 1995; Rellini & Meston, 2006; Schacht et al., 2007), may be due to lack of power because of sample size or to a weaker VPA response to textual (the vignette) relative to visual (films) erotic stimuli. Future work should re-evaluate the possibility that abuse history and alcohol intoxication interact to influence sexual risk behavior. Other issues that may limit generalizability of results are volunteer and sampling biases. As is typical of participants willing to participate in studies using genital measures of sexual response (Strassberg & Lowe, 1995), our sample appeared to be more sexually experienced than other U.S. women in their age group, reporting a median of nine lifetime heterosexual partners. In a recent national survey, women aged 25 to 29 years reported a median of four lifetime

heterosexual partners (including vaginal, oral, and anal sex; CDC, 2005b). In addition, we recruited only single women who reported that they were social, non-problem drinkers. The extent to which the women in our sample, particularly CSA-only women, were representative of larger samples, is unknown. In addition, the vignette paradigm used in this study may be limited in its external validity.

We did not evaluate partner interactions and condom negotiation, which influence in-the-moment decisions regarding condom use, nor did we evaluate alcohol's expectancy effects, which could account in part for our findings. Our work may be oversimplified relative to real-life situations, but represents an initial snapshot of SA women's responses to a sexual risk situation, which can provide a starting point for more complex evaluations of these processes. More work is needed to understand the partner interactions relevant to condom use.

These findings are important because of the lack of published reports comparing CSA-only and ASA-only women on any outcome variables. These findings also speak to the extensive literature linking alcohol consumption, sexual assault, and HIV/STI risk (e.g., Abbey, Zawacki, Buck, Clinton, & McAuslan, 2001; Senn, Carey, Venable, Coury-Doniger, & Urban, 2006). We found differences in likelihood of risky sex between abuse groups, which may indicate that CSA-only women's experience and behavior in sexual situations diverges from that of other SA women's experiences. Further research in this area with a larger, more varied sample is warranted.

Acknowledgments

This manuscript was completed by the first author in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy in Clinical Psychology, under supervision of the second author. This research was funded through a grant from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (AA13565) to William H. George.

REFERENCES

- Abbey A, Zawacki T, Buck PO, Clinton AM, McAuslan P. Sexual assault and alcohol consumption: What do we know about their relationship and what types of research are still needed? *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 2004; 9:271–303.
- Arriola KRJ, Loudon T, Doldren MA, Fortenberry RM. A meta-analysis of the relationship of child sexual abuse to HIV risk behavior among women. *Child Abuse and Neglect*. 2005; 29:725–746. [PubMed: 15979712]
- Brener ND, McMahon PM, Warren CW, Douglas KA. Forced sexual intercourse and associated health-risk behaviors among female college students in the United States. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1999; 67:252–259. [PubMed: 10224736]
- Briere J, Jordan CE. Violence against women: Outcome complexity and implications for assessment and treatment. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*. 2004; 19:1252–76. [PubMed: 15534329]
- Browning CR, Laumann EO. Sexual contact between children and adults: A life course perspective. *American Sociological Review*. 1997; 62:540–560.
- Campbell R, Sefl T, Ahrens CE. The impact of rape on women's sexual health risk behaviors. *Health Psychology*. 2004; 23:67–74. [PubMed: 14756605]
- CDC. Trends in HIV/AIDS diagnoses—33 states, 2001–2004. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Reports*. 2005a; 54:1145–1153.
- CDC. Sexual behavior and selected health measures: Men and women 15–44 years of age, United States 2002. *Advance Data from Vital and Health Statistics*. 2005b; 362
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Retrieved June 25th, 2007] HIV/AIDS and women. February 20. 2007 from http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/women/print/overview_partner.htm

- Collins RL, Parks GA, Marlatt GA. Social determinants of alcohol consumption: The effects of social interaction and model status on the self-administration of alcohol. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1985; 53:189–200. [PubMed: 3998247]
- Davis KC, Hendershot CS, George WH, Norris J, Heiman JR. Alcohol's effects on sexual decision making: An integration of alcohol myopia and individual differences. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol & Drugs*. 2007; 68:843–851. [PubMed: 17960302]
- Elliott DM, Mok DS, Briere J. Adult sexual assault: Prevalence, symptomatology, and sex differences in the general population. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 2004; 17:203–211. [PubMed: 15253092]
- Finkelhor, D., editor. Sexually victimized children. Free Press; New York: 1979.
- Finkelhor D, Browne A. The traumatic impact of child sexual abuse: A conceptualization. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 1988; 55:530–541. [PubMed: 4073225]
- Fromme K, D'Amico EJ, Katz EC. Intoxicated sexual risk taking: An expectancy or cognitive impairment effect? *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 1999; 60:54–63. [PubMed: 10096309]
- Fromme K, Katz EC, Rivet K. Outcome expectancies and risk-taking behavior. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*. 1997; 21:421–442.
- Geer JH, Morokoff P, Greenwood P. Sexual arousal in women: The development of a measurement device for vaginal blood volume. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 1974; 3:559–564. [PubMed: 4429438]
- George WH, Davis KC, Norris J, Heiman JR, Stoner SA, Schacht RL, et al. Indirect effects of acute alcohol intoxication on sexual risk-taking: The roles of subjective and physiological sexual arousal. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. (in press).
- George WH, Stoner SA. Understanding acute alcohol effects on sexual behavior. *Annual Review of Sex Research*. 2000; 11:92–124.
- Gorey KM, Leslie DR. The prevalence of child sexual abuse: Integrative review adjustment for potential response and measurement biases. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 1997; 21:391–398. [PubMed: 9134267]
- Greenberg J, Hennessy M, Lifshay J, Kahn-Krieger S, Bartelli D, Downer A, et al. Child sexual abuse and its relationship to high-risk behavior in women volunteering for an HIV and STD prevention program. *AIDS and Behavior*. 1999; 3:149–156.
- Hamburger ME, Moore J, Koenig LJ, Vlahov D, Schoenbaum EE, Schuman P, et al. Persistence of inconsistent condom use: Relation to abuse history and HIV serostatus. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2004; 8:333–344. [PubMed: 15475680]
- Heiman JR. A psychophysiological exploration of sexual arousal patterns in females and males. *Psychophysiology*. 1977; 14:266–274. [PubMed: 854556]
- Heiman, JR.; Heard-Davison, AR. Child sexual abuse and adult sexual relationships: Review and perspective. In: Koenig, LJ.; Doll, LS.; O'Leary, A.; Pequegnat, W., editors. *Child sexual abuse to adult sexual risk: Trauma, revictimization, and intervention*. American Psychological Association; Washington, D.C.: 2003. p. 13-47.
- Heiman JR, Rowland DL. Affective and physiological sexual response patterns: The effects of instructions on sexually functional and dysfunctional men. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 1983; 27:105–116. [PubMed: 6683315]
- Hendershot CS, George WH. Alcohol and sexuality research in the AIDS era: Trends in publication activity, target populations and research design. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2007; 11:217–226. [PubMed: 16897352]
- Karon JM, Fleming PL, Steketee RW, De Cock KM. HIV in the United States at the turn of the century: An epidemic in transition. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2001; 91:1060–1068. [PubMed: 11441732]
- Koenig, LJ.; Clark, H. Sexual abuse of girls and HIV infection among women: Are they related?. In: Koenig, LJ.; Doll, LS.; O'Leary, A.; Pequegnat, W., editors. *Child sexual abuse to adult sexual risk: Trauma, revictimization, and intervention*. American Psychological Association; Washington, D.C.: 2003. p. 69-92.
- Koss MP, Oros CJ. Sexual Experiences Survey: A research instrument investigating sexual aggression and victimization. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1982; 50:455–457. [PubMed: 7096751]

- Koukounas E, McCabe MP. Sexual and emotional variables influencing sexual response to erotica: A psychophysiological investigation. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2001; 30:393–408. [PubMed: 11446200]
- Laan E, Everaerd W. Determinants of female sexual arousal: Psychophysiological theory and data. *Annual Review of Sex Research*. 1995; 6:32–74.
- MacDonald TK, MacDonald G, Zanna MP, Fong GT. Alcohol myopia and condom use: Can alcohol intoxication be associated with more prudent behavior? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2000; 78:605–619. [PubMed: 10794369]
- Maisto SA, Carey MP, Carey KB, Gordon CM. The effects of alcohol and expectancies on risk perception and behavioral skills relevant to safer sex among heterosexual young adult women. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 2002; 63:476–485. [PubMed: 12160107]
- Maisto SA, Carey MP, Carey KB, Gordon CM, Schum JL. Effects of alcohol and expectancies on HIV-related risk perception and behavioral skills in heterosexual women. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 2004; 12:288–297. [PubMed: 15571446]
- Meston C, Heiman JR, Trapnell PD, Paulhus DL. Socially desirable responding and sexuality self-reports. *Journal of Sex Research*. 1998; 35:148–157.
- Mills EJ, Singh S, Nelson BD, Nachega JB. The impact of conflict on HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*. 2006; 17:713–717. [PubMed: 17062170]
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. [Retrieved February 18, 2005] HIV Infection in women. 2004. from <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/factsheets/womenhiv.htm>
- Noll JG, Trickett PK, Putnam FW. A prospective investigation of the impact of childhood sexual abuse on the development of sexuality. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2003; 71:575–586. [PubMed: 12795580]
- Paolucci EO, Genuis ML, Violato C. A meta-analysis of the published research on the effects of childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of Psychology*. 2001; 135:17–36. [PubMed: 11235837]
- Petrak J, Byrne A, Baker M. The association between abuse in childhood and STD/HIV risk behaviours in female genitourinary (GU) clinic attendees. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*. 2000; 76:457–461. [PubMed: 11221129]
- Rellini A, Meston CM. Psychophysiological sexual arousal in women with a history of child sexual abuse. *Journal of Marital and Sex Therapy*. 2006; 32:5–22.
- Schacht RL, George WH, Heiman JR, Norris J, Davis KC, Stoner SA, et al. Effects of alcohol intoxication and instructional set on women's sexual arousal vary based on sexual abuse history. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2007; 36:655–665. [PubMed: 17364230]
- Senn TE, Carey MP, Vanable PA, Coury-Doniger P, Urban MA. Childhood sexual abuse and sexual risk behavior in men and women attending a sexually transmitted disease clinic. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2006; 74:720–731. [PubMed: 16881780]
- Sheeran P, Abraham C, Orbell S. Psychosocial correlates of heterosexual condom use: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1999; 125:90–132. [PubMed: 9990846]
- Strassberg DS, Lowe K. Volunteer bias in sex research. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 1995; 24:369–82. [PubMed: 7661653]
- Taylor JF, Rosen RC, Leiblum SR. Self-report assessment of female sexual function: Psychometric evaluation of the Brief Index of Sexual Functioning for Women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 1994; 23:627–643. [PubMed: 7872859]
- Testa M, VanZile-Tamsen C, Livingston JA. Childhood sexual abuse, relationship satisfaction, and sexual risk taking in a community sample of women. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2005; 73:1116–1124. [PubMed: 16392972]
- Trickett PK, Putnam FW. Impact of child sexual abuse on females: Toward a developmental, psychobiological integration. *Psychological Science*. 1993; 4:81–87.
- Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1988; 54:1063–1070. [PubMed: 3397865]
- Whitmire, LE.; Harlow, LL.; Quina, K.; Morokoff, PJ. *Childhood trauma and HIV: Women at risk*. Brunner/Mazel; Philadelphia, PA: 1999.

Wilsnack, SC.; Wilsnack, RW.; Kristjanson, AF.; Vogeltanz-Holm, ND.; Harris, TR. Child sexual abuse and alcohol use among women: Setting the stage for risky sexual behavior. In: Koenig, LJ.; Doll, LS.; O'Leary, A.; Pequegnat, W., editors. Child sexual abuse to adult sexual risk: Trauma, revictimization, and intervention. American Psychological Association; Washington, D.C.: 2003. p. 181-200.

Table 1

Bivariate correlations among dependent variables.

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Condom use	--	.023	.079	-.119	-.304*	-.130	.019	-.288*
2. Oral sex		--	.442**	.498**	.112	.396**	.364**	-.030
3. Intercourse			--	.520**	.048	.178	.174	.071
4. Genital contact				--	.122	.193	.230	.153
5. Genital arousal (VPA)					--	.170	.109	.330**
6. Self-reported arousal						--	.732**	.112
7. Positive mood							--	-.060
8. Negative mood								--

* *Note.* $p < .05$;** $p < .01$.

Table II

Mean scores on Dependent Variables by Condition.

Condition	Mean Response (SD)							
	condom use (1-5)	oral sex (1-5)	genital contact (1-5)	intercourse (1-5)	positive mood (1-5)	negative mood (1-5)	genital arousal (mV change)	reported arousal (1-7)
NSA								
sober (<i>n</i> = 11)	4.91 (.20)	3.82 (.90)	3.91 (.80)	4.68 (.60)	2.43 (.61)	1.14 (.12)	.037 (.037)	4.64 (.161)
intoxicated (<i>n</i> = 14)	4.54 (.63)	4.05 (1.05)	4.25 (.98)	4.46 (.84)	2.81 (.94)	1.11 (.17)	.024 (.012)	5.00 (.130)
ASA								
sober (<i>n</i> = 14)	4.68 (.82)	3.71 (.81)	3.86 (.99)	4.64 (.46)	2.43 (.96)	1.11 (.17)	.032 (.031)	4.21 (.152)
intoxicated (<i>n</i> = 17)	4.35 (.72)	4.12 (.79)	4.21 (1.00)	4.59 (.44)	2.92 (.77)	1.15 (.16)	.037 (.028)	5.59 (.117)
CSA								
sober (<i>n</i> = 4)	4.38 (.95)	2.88 (.88)	3.50 (.41)	3.63 (1.55)	1.88 (.33)	1.09 (.11)	.036 (.019)	3.06 (.80)
intoxicated (<i>n</i> = 4)	3.00 (1.78)	4.56 (.43)	3.25 (1.50)	4.00 (1.35)	2.85 (.69)	1.21 (.34)	.097 (.126)	5.06 (1.13)