Published in final edited form as:

Neurol Clin. 2011 August ; 29(3): 641–655. doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2011.05.004.

Neurologic manifestations of chronic methamphetamine abuse

Daniel E. Rusyniak, MD

Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine, Pharmacology and Toxicology, Adjunct Associate Clinical Professor of Neurology, Department of Emergency Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, 1050 Wishard Blvd, Rm 2200, Indianapolis, IN 46202, 317 630–7276, 317 656–4216 (fax)

Daniel E. Rusyniak: drusynia@iupui.edu

Summary

Chronic methamphetamine abuse has devastating effects on the central nervous system. The degree to which addicts will tolerate the dysfunction in the way they think, feel, move, and even look, is a powerful testimony to the addictive properties of this drug. While the mechanisms behind these disorders are complex, at their heart they involve the recurring increase in the concentrations of central monoamines with subsequent dysfunction in dopaminergic neurotransmission. The mainstay of treatment for the problems associated with chronic methamphetamine abuse is abstinence. However, by recognizing the manifestations of chronic abuse, clinicians will be better able to help their patients get treatment for their addiction and to deal with the neurologic complications related to chronic abuse.

Keywords

Methamphetamine abuse; Psychosis; Parkinson's; Chorea; Punding; Formication

Introduction

The current epidemic of methamphetamine abuse in the US is not surprising. Methamphetamine can be produced from a wide variety of starting materials and methods. This is in contrast to cocaine which is only commercially grown in South America, must be extracted from the plant, must be converted to its free base form, must be shipped overseas (escaping DEA detection), and then must be distributed, typically through gangs, to clients on the street.¹ Based on the attractiveness of methamphetamine to both users and its manufacturers, it is only surprising that the current outbreak of methamphetamine abuse in the US took so long to reach epidemic proportions.

In 1893 methamphetamine was synthesized from ephedrine (derived from the plant *Ephedra sinica*) by Nagai Nagayoshi.^{2,3} Eventually a synthetic version would find its way to the consumer market as an over-the-counter (OTC) nasal decongestant and as a bronchodilator.^{4–6} Far from an OTC drug today, the FDA has characterized

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

The author has nothing to disclose.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

methamphetamine as a schedule II drug which can only be prescribed for ADHD, extreme obesity, or to treat narcolepsy.

With the world on the brink of war, and its toxic effects not yet well described, the clinical effects of methamphetamine were thought to be ideal for the soldier in combat: increased alertness and aggression, plus decreased hunger and need to sleep. In WWII, the US, Germany, and Japan all readily employed it with their troops;^{5, 7} it has been estimated that the US alone distributed 200 million tablets to troops.⁴ After the war, Japan experienced widespread abuse as army surpluses flooded the market. Although methamphetamine usage in Japan declined in the 60's, it resurged in the 1970's and continues to be a problem today.^{7, 8} In 1954, at the height of its first epidemic, there were an estimated 2-million methamphetamine users in Japan. While still highest in Asia, methamphetamine abuse has become a worldwide epidemic. A 2008 United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime reports estimated 25 million abusers of amphetamines worldwide exceeding the number of users for both cocaine (14 million) and heroin (11 million)⁹

After World War II many US soldiers and civilians continued to use methamphetamine, which at that time was available by prescription in an injectable form. When Abbott and Burroughs Wellcome withdrew their injectable formulations in the early 1960's, an opportunity arose for the illegal manufacturing and distribution of methamphetamine.⁴ West coast motorcycle gangs, such as the infamous "Hells Angels", quickly seized on this opportunity and by the 1970's gangs were largely responsible in the US for the manufacturing, distribution, and use of methamphetamine. It was from the transportation of methamphetamine in the crankshafts of motorcycles that it got its street name of "crank".¹⁰ At that time methamphetamine was produced primarily from the precursors phenyl-2-propanone and methylamine (the P-2-P method).^{5, 8} The combination of a crack down by the Department of Justice on west coast gangs and the Controlled Substances Act of 1970, which made the ingredients of the P-2-P method controlled substances, resulted in a shift in the manufacturing and distribution of methamphetamine to small makeshift labs.

In the 1980's, a crystalline form of methamphetamine that could be smoked, called ice, began to be imported from Asia to Hawaii.¹⁰ This highly addictive form of methamphetamine quickly found its way to the US west coast and slowly began working its way east;¹¹ by 1990, methamphetamine had replaced cocaine as the stimulant of choice among drug users in many areas of California.¹² What would ultimately propel methamphetamine abuse to the forefront of the DEA's war on drugs, and to the front pages of mainstream magazines and newspapers, was the rural "meth lab". Unlike the cultivation of coca leaf or opium poppy, methamphetamine manufacture is not limited by geographic location. By using OTC ephedrine and pseudoephedrine as the main precursors, making methamphetamine became simpler and more efficient. "Meth labs" manufacturing relatively pure crystal methamphetamine began to pop up across the Midwest; with the small investment of about \$200, a meth cook could easily earn between \$2,000 and \$5,000.¹³ Despite the relative simplicity of its synthesis (by traditional chemistry standards), "cooking" methamphetamine requires heating volatile hydrocarbons. When done by those without chemistry backgrounds and, as it often is, in poorly ventilated areas, fires and explosions can ensue. In fact, many methamphetamine labs have been discovered only after they have caught fire or exploded.^{14, 15} In an attempt to decrease the growing methamphetamine crisis, Congress passed the 2005 Combat Methamphetamine Epidemic Act which limited access to pseudoephedrine. This shut down vast numbers of small and medium-sized laboratories resulting in the number admissions for methamphetamine abuse declining in 2006—the first time in 10 years.¹⁰

With increasing numbers of large-scale manufacturers in Mexico, and other parts of the world, methamphetamine continues to be a significant problem in the US. Because it has its most devastating effects on the central nervous system (CNS), it is important for neurologists to learn to recognize signs of abuse and the many neurologic problems caused by methamphetamine. This article should help the practicing neurologist recognize and treat these patients, improving their chance to function drug free in society.

Pharmacology and Toxicology

Both the acute and chronic neurologic effects of methamphetamine are the result of its pharmacology and toxicology. The acute effects of methamphetamine are those of the flight-or-fight response: increased heart rate and blood pressure, vasoconstriction, bronchodilation and hyperglycemia.¹⁶ In addition, methamphetamine causes CNS stimulation that may result in euphoria, increased energy and alertness, intense curiosity and emotions, decreased anxiety, and enhanced self-esteem.¹⁶

Whether snorted, smoked, or injected, methamphetamine rapidly crosses the blood brain barrier where it can exert powerful effects on several neurochemical systems. Due to its lipophilic nature, methamphetamine has increased CNS penetration and is more potent than its parent compound amphetamine.¹⁷ Once in the CNS, it binds to dopamine, norepinephrine, and to a lesser extent serotonin transporters located on neuronal cell membranes; at higher concentrations methamphetamine may also cross the cell membranes independent of transporter binding. Once bound, transporters pump methamphetamine into the neuron where it is taken up by vesicular monoamine transporters. The higher pKa (pKa = 10.1) of methamphetamine¹⁸ disrupts the proton gradient which normally keeps monoamines within the vesicle causing monoamines leaving the vesicle and accumulate in the cytoplasm where they are reverse-transported out of the cell through the same transporters that pumped methamphetamine into the cell.^{19,20}

In addition to increasing their release, methamphetamine also decreases monoamine reuptake and enzyme degradation.²¹ The net result is that methamphetamine causes a rapid and sustained increase in the extracellular concentrations of monoamines. One of the reasons methamphetamine has exceeded cocaine in worldwide usage is that it has a longer half-life (12 hrs compared to 90 min) and therefore a much longer duration of action.²² This allows the drug addict to have a longer and more sustained high and thereby get more “bang for their buck”. While many receptors have been implicated in mediating the complex physiologic responses to amphetamines, the underlying clinical effects associated with methamphetamine use involve excessive stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system. It is the rapid and sustained activation of this system that is responsible for methamphetamine’s recognizable adrenergic toxidrome: tachycardia, hypertension, mydriasis, diaphoresis, and psychomotor agitation. In addition, it is the prolonged release of central monoamines and activation of the sympathetic nervous system that is responsible for most of the acute neurologic complications associated with methamphetamine use (e.g. strokes, seizures, agitation, and hyperthermia).^{20,23,24} The sustained and repeated release of central monoamines is also largely to blame for the chronic neurologic effects of methamphetamine abuse.²⁰

With repeated use in both humans and experimental animal models, methamphetamine depletes the brain’s stores of dopamine and damages dopamine and serotonin nerve terminals. This may be a contributing factor to methamphetamine’s high abuse potential; without the drug, users may have an impaired ability to experience pleasure (anhedonia), slipping into a deep depression. Based on current evidence, the complex mechanisms by which methamphetamine damages neurons involves increases in intra and extracellular

concentrations of dopamine which sets off a cascade of events including oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and excitatory neurotoxicity—the net result of which is neurotoxicity²⁵.

It has also been shown that hyperthermia, a known complication of methamphetamine use, exacerbates this neurotoxicity.²⁵ Although this paper focuses predominantly on methamphetamine, the similarities in the pharmacology, toxicology, and clinical effects between methamphetamine, amphetamine, and other stimulants (e.g. cocaine and 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine [ecstasy]) makes the following discussions on neurologic complications largely translatable to other CNS stimulants.

Neuropsychiatric Complications

Dopamine and Serotonin neurons project widely throughout the CNS and are known to influence a variety of behaviors and functions. It should not be surprising that chronic methamphetamine abuse, which can damage dopamine and serotonin nerve terminals, is associated with deficits in neuropsychological testing. It has been estimated that 40% of methamphetamine users have abnormalities on neuropsychiatric tests.²⁶ In a well done meta-analysis of studies examining the effects of chronic methamphetamine abuse on neuropsychiatric function, the most frequently reported deficits involve episodic memory, executive function, and motor function.²⁷ Of these, the greatest impairments are in episodic memory; this form of memory is thought to be the most susceptible to neuronal dysfunction.²⁸ As episodic memory allows one to consciously re-experience past events,²⁸ methamphetamine users who, by virtue of damaged episodic memory, forget past mistakes associated with their drug usage may be doomed to repeat them.

Another effect of chronic methamphetamine abuse is damage to executive function. With impaired executive function methamphetamine abusers are likely to be distractible, impulsive, to act inappropriately despite social cues to the contrary, and to lack goals. In studies, patients addicted to methamphetamine prefer smaller immediate over larger, delayed rewards.²⁹ In order to overcome that wish for immediate rewards, addicts must activate the higher cognitive control systems, which, by virtue of their damaged executive system, is not an easy task for methamphetamine-dependant individuals.²⁹ Another consequence of impaired executive function, demonstrated in patients with damaged frontal lobes, is perseveration: the inability to change behavior even when the current behavior becomes destructive.³⁰ It is easy to imagine how damage to episodic memory and executive function might result in continued methamphetamine abuse despite the physical and emotional toll it reaps on users and their families. By chemically converting users into modern Phineas Gages, methamphetamine exerts a powerful influence on behavior and decision-making. Although not specifically tested, it is also possible that persons with damaged episodic memory and executive function, prior to using drugs, may be more susceptible to drug abuse and addiction, and may have a greater risk for relapse.

Although studies show motor deficits in chronic methamphetamine abusers, these deficits do not typically involve gross movements, as with Parkinson's disease, but rather affect fine motor dexterity (e.g. placing pegs in a pegboard). These deficits would seem to be in line with studies showing that damage to dopamine terminals is more prevalent in the caudate (more involved in cognitive motor activities) than the putamen (more involved in pure motor activities) regions of the basal ganglia.^{31,32}

Along with neuropsychiatric deficits, methamphetamine abusers suffer from mental illnesses with anxiety,^{33–35} depression,^{27,35–37} and psychosis^{22,27,37,38} being the most commonly reported. Of these, the neurologist is perhaps most likely to be confronted with the patient suffering from psychosis.

After WWII, Japan suffered not only from a methamphetamine epidemic but also from an epidemic of drug-induced psychosis.^{39–42} It has been estimated that at its height (between 1945–1955), there were as many as 200,000 persons in Japan with drug-induced psychosis.⁴² Although much of the research on methamphetamine-induced psychosis has been conducted in Japan, similar reports have been reported in the US and other countries.^{43,44}

The symptoms of methamphetamine-induced psychosis are similar to those seen with schizophrenia; the most frequently reported symptoms are delusions of persecution and auditory hallucinations.^{39–42,44–46} Although not as commonly reported, negative symptoms (e.g. poverty of speech and psychomotor retardation) have also been seen with methamphetamine-induced psychosis.⁴⁴ In addition to a similar symptomatology, both schizophrenia and amphetamine-evoked psychosis can be effectively treated with dopamine antagonists.⁴⁷ The similarities between these disorders have led many researchers to use amphetamines to model schizophrenia in laboratory animals.^{42,48}

The development of psychosis is more readily seen in people using higher methamphetamine concentrations for prolonged periods of time.^{39,45,46,49,50} The reported doses required, duration of abuse, and onset of symptoms are highly variable, as is the duration of psychotic symptoms (1 week—indeinitely).^{16,51} Even if symptoms abate with abstinence, they can re-emerge with repeat usage or under stressful situations.⁴⁰ One of the debates associated with psychosis and methamphetamine is whether it is the result of methamphetamine-induced neurotoxicity (i.e. altered dopaminergic neurotransmission), or whether the two disorders co-exist so that persons with mental illness are more likely to abuse methamphetamine (so called dual diagnosis). The latter seems to be supported by data showing that persons with predispositions to mental illness, such as strong family histories, are significantly more likely to develop methamphetamine-associated psychosis.^{49–50} Furthermore schizophrenics given low doses of methamphetamine will have exacerbations of their symptoms.⁵² Therefore, it has been suggested that in susceptible individuals methamphetamine abuse may be a trigger which unmask schizophrenia/psychosis.⁵³ Others have suggested that persons with schizophrenia/psychosis seek out illicit drugs as a form of self treatment⁵⁴ or, as recent data suggests, that neuronal deficits underlying the development of schizophrenia make individuals more prone to develop drug addiction.⁵⁵ Either way, it is clear that methamphetamine abuse can result in the development of acute, and in some cases chronic, psychosis and that practicing neurologists should be aware of this association. With the significant increase in the number of persons abusing methamphetamine it remains to be seen if there will be a concomitant rise in patients requiring treatment for psychosis.

Formication

One interesting aspect of chronic methamphetamine psychosis is the delusion of parasitosis or formication (the belief that one is infested with and being bitten by bugs).^{43,46, 6–59} Commonly known as “meth mites”, this is a frequent complaint in heavy daily users of methamphetamine. In studies of patients admitted to drug treatment facilities for methamphetamine abuse, approximately 40% of the patients report having had formication;^{43,46} If the patients had every suffered from psychosis, then the percentage of persons experiencing formication rose to 70%.⁴⁶ It is interesting that similar symptoms have been reported in animals chronically administered d-amphetamine.^{57,58,60} These delusions may cause patients to repetitively pick at their skin resulting in scarring of their face and extremities.^{59, 61} Constant picking combined with neglect of hygiene also increase the risk for developing skin infections—including abscesses and cellulitis from MRSA.⁶² Along with abstinence from drug usage, dopamine antagonists have been shown to help

patients with drug-induced formication.⁵⁷ Although formication is not unique to methamphetamine—it has also been reported with cocaine⁶³ and schizophrenia⁵⁷—the finding of multiple pock marks on a patient's face and extremities, or recurrent skin abscesses in these areas, should increase a clinician's suspicion of chronic methamphetamine abuse.

Stereotypy or Punding

One of the unique manifestations of methamphetamine abuse is the development of punding. The word punding is Swedish for “block-head”.^{64,65} It was first coined by Rylander who learned of the slang term from chronic amphetamine and phenmetrazine (another stimulant abused in Sweden in the 1960's) users as they described the abnormal persistent behaviors displayed by themselves and other addicts.⁶⁴ Punding has since become a term for non-goal directed repetitive activity. Patient-reported examples include assembling and disassembling clocks and watches, or incessantly sorting through purses. What makes these behaviors troublesome is the duration of time punders would dedicate to such tasks without any apparent gain. There seems to be a predilection for punding to entail activities that users had previously been involved with. For example, a carpenter abusing amphetamines may repetitively build wooden objects; artists may doodle, paint or draw excessively; a business man may make and add to spreadsheets for hours.⁶⁶ Along this line there is a gender-related component, with men typically tinkering with electronics and women's more commonly involving grooming behaviors like hair brushing and nail polishing.^{64,65,67–69} It is interesting that stereo-typed repetitive movements like head bobbing, licking, gnawing and sniffing are also seen in a variety of animals given amphetamines.⁷⁰

While first reported in amphetamine abusers, punding has also been reported in cocaine users,⁷¹ and more recently in patients with Parkinson's disease receiving dopamine replacement therapy.^{66,67} Like chronic stimulant abusers, patients with Parkinson's disease have dysfunctional dopaminergic neurotransmission and can develop psychosis.⁶⁷ This suggests a similar pathophysiologic mechanism. While few controlled studies have been done on punding with substance abuse, there is some data available on its incidence. In a study of 50 patients addicted to cocaine, Fasano reported that 38% had some form of punding.⁶⁶ These patients spent on average three hours a day engaged in their repetitive activities.⁶⁶ One patient reported spending up to 14 hours a day playing computer games and collecting things.⁶⁷ It is interesting that the majority of interviewed patients in this study reported their behavior began shortly after their first drug usage. In addition, the duration and amount of drug use did not seem to predict which users would develop punding and which would not.⁶⁷ This suggests that, like the development of stimulant-induced psychosis, that there may be a predisposition for the development of punding that is merely brought out by the drug. As previously discussed, the same abnormal brain circuitry that increases one's risk for becoming addicted may also be involved in the development of such stereotyped behaviors. In his first report on the topic, Rylander described punding in 26% (40 of 150) of amphetamine addicts he interviewed.⁶⁴ These patients shared identical symptomatology as the cocaine and Parkinson's disease punderers. The majority of drug abuse patients did not describe associated anxiety or distress over their activities, but rather thought of them with amusement. Some even found them pleasurable. When abstaining from drug usage, punding typically abates. While the neurologic mechanisms behind punding are not yet well delineated it appears to involve dopamine. Repeated dosing of amphetamines in animals results in behavioral sensitization: this is manifested as increased locomotion and stereotypic behavior with each subsequent dose of amphetamine. This sensitization appears to involve both glutamate and dopamine and more recently dopamine-mediated decreases in acetylcholine have been implicated.^{67,72,73} As concentrations of extracellular dopamine increase with each subsequent dose of amphetamine, one could envision over time this

excess dopamine causing neurotoxicity or change the normal balance between Dopamine 1 and Dopamine 2 receptor activity;⁵² In an excellent review on the topic, Fasano makes a strong argument for the involvement of both D1 and D2 receptors in the development of punding and suggests that if needed, treatments might include atypical antipsychotics.⁶⁶

Chronic Methamphetamine Abuse and the development of Parkinson's disease

People with Parkinson's disease^{66,67} also exhibit unusual impulse control disorders and punding. Similar to methamphetamine abusers, Parkinson's disease patients, whether they are newly diagnosed⁷⁴ or have had dopamine-replacement therapies,⁷⁵ have sex-different compulsivity problems. Men more frequently suffer from pathological gambling and compulsive sexual behavior, while women tend toward compulsive buying and binge eating. The collective animal and human data clearly show that high-dose methamphetamine abuse causes alterations in striatal dopaminergic neurotransmission. Numerous pathology and imaging studies have shown reductions in of striatal dopamine, tyrosine hydroxylase, and dopamine transporters.^{6,32,76–80} Since these findings are also found in persons with Parkinson's disease it would be logical to expect that chronic methamphetamine addicts would develop signs of Parkinson's disease.

The current and prevailing theory is that abusing methamphetamine does not increase one's risk of developing Parkinson's disease or Parkinsonism.^{31,32,76} Several hypotheses have been put forth to explain the discrepancy between the research and clinical data.^{31,32,76} The simplest is that they are different disorders. Parkinson's disease involves loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra while methamphetamine abuse causes alterations in dopaminergic nerve terminals, but not in the cell bodies themselves.³² In studies of methamphetamine abusers the reductions in dopamine have a different distribution than in Parkinson's disease patients. Methamphetamine users have greater dopamine reductions in the caudate compared to the putamen with Parkinson's disease patients showing the opposite.³² Another hypothesis is that once users become drug abstinent, the damaged dopaminergic nerve terminals begin to recover; Decreases in dopamine transporters of methamphetamine abusers were found to significantly recovery with prolonged (>12 months) abstinence.⁷⁹

Another hypothesis is that methamphetamine abusers do not actually damage their dopaminergic nerve terminals, and that the findings of reduce dopamine levels represent a compensatory response to repeated elevations in monoamines. The strongest argument for this has been that the vesicular transporter-2 (VMAT2), which is known to be reduced in Parkinson's disease and to be resistant to drug-compensatory regulation, is not significantly reduced in abstinent methamphetamine abusers.^{6,81} In fact, a more recent PET scan study of non-abstinent methamphetamine abusers found increases in VMAT2.⁸² This was thought to be due to reductions in vesicular dopamine, depleted from recent release, resulting in less dopamine being available to compete for binding to VMAT2.⁸²

Another intriguing hypothesis involves nicotine and nicotine receptors. Acetylcholine nicotinic mechanisms can influence the behavioral and neurochemical effects of psychomotor stimulant drugs and vice versa.⁸³ An overwhelming number of methamphetamine users smoke cigarettes compared to the general population (87–92% vs 22%).⁸⁴ Since cigarette smoking negatively correlates with development of Parkinson's disease⁸⁵ methamphetamine abusers may be protected, or self treated.³¹ Some researchers believe that methamphetamine abuse does increase the risk for developing Parkinson's disease.^{76,86,87} One retrospective study, looking at hospital admissions over a ten year period, found an increased incidence of Parkinson's disease among patients who had a prior

history of being admitted with a methamphetamine-related problem.⁸⁷ Since it may take many years before reductions in dopamine reach the levels mediating clinical symptoms, it is possible that the patients enrolled in many of the prospective clinical studies are not old enough to show symptoms; the majority of studies involve young adults. What instead may occur is that as methamphetamine use increases in young adults, we may see a shift in the age of onset of Parkinson's disease. There have been two studies involving the same group of patients that support this idea. In a phone survey of Parkinson's disease patients receiving care at one of three clinics, patients with Parkinson's disease were significantly more likely (OR=8, CI 1.6–41) to have used amphetamines than their unaffected spouses⁸⁸ and in the majority of these patients their exposures to amphetamines occurred years (~27) before symptoms onset.⁸⁸ Compared to Parkinson's patients without a history of exposure, those patients with a history of amphetamine use were significantly younger at age of symptom onset, but not at age of diagnosis.⁸⁶ This is a small study, however, and subject, by its design, to recall bias. Further work is needed to confirm whether there is, in fact an association between amphetamine use and the development of Parkinson's disease.

Choreoathetoid movements and dyskinesias

A potential complication of methamphetamine-induced damage to the dopaminergic nervous system is the development of dyskinesias and choreoathetoid movements.⁸⁹ There have been numerous reports of choreoathetoid movements (involuntary purposeless and uncontrollable movements with features of both chorea and athetosis) in patients using and/or abusing amphetamines.^{46,68,69,90–94} In one report, patients with underlying chorea (Sydenham's, Huntington's, and Lupus) were given an intravenous dose of amphetamine to assess its effect on their baseline movements. In each of these patients, amphetamine dramatically worsened of their underlying chorea.⁹⁵ The increases in limb movements provoked by amphetamines could be prevented if patients were pre-treated with the dopamine-2 antagonist haloperidol.⁹⁵ Since a group of control patients without chorea given amphetamine did not develop movement disorders, the authors suggested that the development of chorea from amphetamines may require a underlying damage to the striatum.⁹⁵ This supposition would seem to be supported from several lines of evidence. For one, numerous studies have shown that methamphetamine abusers have evidence of dopaminergic neurotoxicity in the striatum.^{6,25,96} Additionally, chronic methamphetamine abusers, even without frank chorea, often have demonstrable movement disorders.⁹⁷ Furthermore, in some patients movement disorders can last for years even after they have stopped using amphetamines.^{64,68} Lastly, patients who have stopped abusing amphetamine, and subsequently recovered from their choreoathetoid movement disorder, will often re-develop symptoms the first time they use amphetamines again suggesting that patients may become permanently susceptible.⁶⁸

The description of choreoathetoid movements typically involves the limbs, neck and face and often has a rhythmic dance-like quality. Like other dyskinesias, symptoms disappear while patients sleep.⁶⁸ Although in some patients dopamine antagonists and benzodiazepines have been found to relieve symptoms,^{69,91,95} in others they have had no benefit.⁶⁸ Not limited to amphetamines, choreoathetoid movements have also been reported with other stimulants including cocaine (known as "crack dancing").^{64,97–99} Although the paucity of literature on this topic suggests that the development of these symptoms is relatively rare, the fact that there are street names for this in English and Spanish would suggest it may occur more commonly than reported.⁹⁸ It is a sad and real possibility that, among other reasons, many of the homeless persons seen dancing and writhing around on the street corners of many major cities may be manifesting signs of stimulant induced-choreoathetoid movements.

Dental Caries

Although not traditionally considered a neurologic complication, the development of dental caries and teeth erosion in chronic methamphetamine abusers may be the result of elevations in brain monoamines. Referred to as “meth mouth”, advanced dental caries, tooth loss, and tooth fractures seen among methamphetamine users is the result of decreased saliva production (xerostomia) combined with teeth grinding (bruxism) and jaw clenching.^{100–108} Additional contributors to meth-related tooth decay include poor oral hygiene combined with the consumption of sugar-containing carbonated soft drinks—a common habit among methamphetamine users with Mountain Dew being their drink of choice.^{100–102,106,109} Dental caries seen with “meth mouth” occur in a similar pattern to other disorders involving xerostomia (e.g. Sjögren and radiation), involving the buccal smooth surface of the posterior teeth and the interproximal areas of the anterior teeth.^{100,102} Decay can progress to complete destruction of dental enamel with many young methamphetamine addicts requiring dentures.¹¹⁰ The mechanism of methamphetamine-induced xerostomia appears to be mediated by central alpha-2 receptors, which when bound by norepinephrine, decreases salivary flow;^{103,109,111} Along with increasing dopamine, methamphetamine causes sustained increases in extracellular concentrations of norepinephrine.¹¹² While the cause of bruxism is not well known, it is thought to be of central origin and likewise to involve central monoamines.^{107,108,113} Unlike nocturnal bruxism, methamphetamine users will often have bruxism day and night.^{107,108} While the practicing neurologist is unlikely to be consulted to see a patient because of dental caries, recognizing the dental, and dermatologic, manifestations of chronic methamphetamine abuse may help to identify at-risk patients.

Summary

Chronic methamphetamine abuse has devastating effects on the central nervous system. The degree to which addicts will tolerate the dysfunction in the way they think, feel, move, and even look, is a powerful testimony to the addictive properties of this drug. While the mechanisms behind these disorders are complex, at their heart they involve the recurring increase in the concentrations of central monoamines with subsequent dysfunction in dopaminergic neurotransmission. The mainstay of treatment for the problems associated with chronic methamphetamine abuse is abstinence. However, by recognizing the manifestations of chronic abuse, clinicians will be better able to help their patients get treatment for their addiction and to deal with the neurologic complications related to chronic abuse.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by USPHS grants DA020484 and DA026867. In addition, the author would like to acknowledge the valuable editorial assistance of Pamela J. Durant

References

1. Streatfeild, D. Cocaine: An Unauthorized Biography. New York: Picador; 2001.
2. Nagai T, Kamiyama S. Forensic toxicologic analysis of methamphetamine and amphetamine optical isomers by high performance liquid chromatography. *Int J Legal Med.* 1988; 101:151–9.
3. Nagai H. Studies on the components of *Ephedraceae* in herb medicine. *Yahugaku Zasshi.* 1893; 139:901–33.
4. Miller, MA. History and Epidemiology of Amphetamine Abuse in the United States. In: Klee, H., editor. *Amphetamine Misuse: International Perspectives on Current Trends.* Reading, UK: Harwood Academic Publishers; 1997. p. 113–33.
5. Anglin MD, Burke C, Perrochet B, et al. History of the methamphetamine problem. *J Psychoactive Drugs.* 2000; 32:137–41. [PubMed: 10908000]

6. Wilson JM, Kalasinsky KS, Levey AI, et al. Striatal dopamine nerve terminal markers in human, chronic methamphetamine users. *Nat Med.* 1996; 2:699–703. [PubMed: 8640565]
7. Suwaki, H.; Fukui, S.; Konuma, K. Methamphetamine abuse in Japan: Its 45 year history and the current situation. In: Klee, H., editor. *Amphetamine Misuse: International Perspectives on Current Trends.* Reading, UK: Harwood Academic Publishers; 1997.
8. Hunt, D.; Kuck, S.; Truitt, L. Methamphetamine use: Lessons learned: report to the National Institute of Justice. 2006.
9. UNDOC. Annual Report 2008. 2008. www.unodc.org/documents/about-unodc/AR08_WEB.pdf
10. Gonzales R, Mooney L, Rawson RA. The Methamphetamine Problem in the United States. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2010; 31:385–98. [PubMed: 20070191]
11. Office of National Drug Control Policy II. [Accessed January 19th, 2011] America's Drug Use Profile. <http://www.ncjrs.gov/ondcppubs/publications/policy/ndcs01/chap2.html>
12. Derlet RW, Heischouer B. Methamphetamine. Stimulant of the 1990s? *West J Med.* 1990; 153:625–8. [PubMed: 2293467]
13. Costs of Meth. http://www5.semo.edu/criminal/medfcls/text_meth_cost.htm
14. Denehy J. The meth epidemic: its effect on children and communities. *J Sch Nurs.* 2006; 22:63–5. [PubMed: 16563027]
15. Betsinger G. Coping with meth lab hazards. *Occup Health Saf.* 2006; 75:50, 52, 54–58. passim. [PubMed: 17125087]
16. Cruickshank CC, Dyer KR. A review of the clinical pharmacology of methamphetamine. *Addiction.* 2009; 104:1085–99. [PubMed: 19426289]
17. Lake CR, Quirk RS. CNS stimulants and look-alike drugs. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 1984; 7:689–701. [PubMed: 6151645]
18. Brandle E, Fritzsche G, Greven J. Affinity of different local anesthetic drugs and catecholamines for the contraluminal transport system for organic cations in proximal tubules of rat kidneys. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1992; 260:734–41. [PubMed: 1738120]
19. Fleckenstein AE, Volz TJ, Riddle EL, et al. New insights into the mechanism of action of amphetamines. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2007; 47:681–98. [PubMed: 17209801]
20. Schep LJ, Slaughter RJ, Beasley DMG. The clinical toxicology of metamfetamine. *Clinical Toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa).* 2010; 48:675–94.
21. Suzuki O, Hattori H, Asano M, et al. Inhibition of monoamine oxidase by d-methamphetamine. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1980; 29:2071–3. [PubMed: 6773528]
22. Meredith CW, Jaffe C, Ang-Lee K, et al. Implications of chronic methamphetamine use: a literature review. *Harv Rev Psychiatry.* 2005; 13:141–54. [PubMed: 16020027]
23. O'Connor AD, Rusyniak DE, Bruno A. Cerebrovascular and cardiovascular complications of alcohol and sympathomimetic drug abuse. *Med Clin North Am.* 2005; 89:1343–58. [PubMed: 16227066]
24. Rusyniak DE, Sprague JE. Hyperthermic syndromes induced by toxins. *Clin Lab Med.* 2006; 26:165–84. [PubMed: 16567230]
25. Yamamoto BK, Moszczynska A, Gudelsky GA. Amphetamine toxicities. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2010; 1187:101–21. [PubMed: 20201848]
26. Rippeth JD, Heaton RK, Carey CL, et al. Methamphetamine dependence increases risk of neuropsychological impairment in HIV infected persons. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2004; 10:1–14. [PubMed: 14751002]
27. Scott JC, Woods SP, Matt GE, et al. Neurocognitive effects of methamphetamine: a critical review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychol Rev.* 2007; 17:275–97. [PubMed: 17694436]
28. Tulving E. Episodic memory: from mind to brain. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2002; 53:1–25. [PubMed: 11752477]
29. Hoffman WF, Schwartz DL, Huckans MS, et al. Cortical activation during delay discounting in abstinent methamphetamine dependent individuals. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2008; 201:183–93. [PubMed: 18685833]
30. Gilbert SJ, Burgess PW. Executive function. *Curr Biol.* 2008; 18:R110–4. [PubMed: 18269902]

31. Caligiuri MP, Buitenhuis C. Do preclinical findings of methamphetamine-induced motor abnormalities translate to an observable clinical phenotype? *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2005; 30:2125–34. [PubMed: 16123755]
32. Moszczynska A, Fitzmaurice P, Ang L, et al. Why is parkinsonism not a feature of human methamphetamine users? *Brain*. 2004; 127(Pt 2):363–70. [PubMed: 14645148]
33. Glasner-Edwards S, Mooney LJ, Marinelli-Casey P, et al. Anxiety disorders among methamphetamine dependent adults: association with post-treatment functioning. *Am J Addict*. 2010; 19:385–90. [PubMed: 20716300]
34. Hall W, Hando J, Darke S, et al. Psychological morbidity and route of administration among amphetamine users in Sydney, Australia. *Addiction*. 1996; 91:81–7. [PubMed: 8822016]
35. McKetin R, Ross J, Kelly E, et al. Characteristics and harms associated with injecting versus smoking methamphetamine among methamphetamine treatment entrants. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2008; 27:277–85. [PubMed: 18368609]
36. Copeland AL, Sorensen JL. Differences between methamphetamine users and cocaine users in treatment. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2001; 62:91–5. [PubMed: 11173172]
37. Newton TF, Kalechstein AD, Duran S, et al. Methamphetamine abstinence syndrome: preliminary findings. *Am J Addict*. 2004; 13:248–55. [PubMed: 15370944]
38. Nordahl TE, Salo R, Leamon M. Neuropsychological effects of chronic methamphetamine use on neurotransmitters and cognition: a review. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2003; 15:317–35. [PubMed: 12928507]
39. Iwanami A, Sugiyama A, Kuroki N, et al. Patients with methamphetamine psychosis admitted to a psychiatric hospital in Japan. A preliminary report. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 1994; 89:428–32. [PubMed: 8085475]
40. Sato M. A lasting vulnerability to psychosis in patients with previous methamphetamine psychosis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1992; 654:160–70. [PubMed: 1632581]
41. Shimazono Y, Matsushima E. Behavioral and neuroimaging studies on schizophrenia in Japan. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 1995; 49:3–11. [PubMed: 8608430]
42. Yui K, Ikemoto S, Ishiguro T, et al. Studies of amphetamine or methamphetamine psychosis in Japan: Relation of methamphetamine psychosis to schizophrenia. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2000; 914:1–12. [PubMed: 11085303]
43. Hawks D, Mitcheson M, Ogborne A, et al. Abuse of methylamphetamine. *Br Med J*. 1969; 2:715–21. [PubMed: 5786759]
44. Srisurapanont M, Ali R, Marsden J, et al. Psychotic symptoms in methamphetamine psychotic inpatients. *Int J Neuropsychoph*. 2003; 6:347–52.
45. Mahoney JJ 3rd, Kalechstein AD, De La Garza R 2nd, et al. Presence and persistence of psychotic symptoms in cocaine-versus methamphetamine-dependent participants. *Am J Addict*. 2008; 17:83–98. [PubMed: 18393050]
46. Ellinwood EHJ. Amphetamine Psychosis:I. Description of the individuals and process. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 1967; 144:273–83.
47. Angrist B, Lee HK, Gershon S. The antagonism of amphetamine-induced symptomatology by a neuroleptic. *Am J Psychiatr*. 1974; 131:817–9. [PubMed: 4600629]
48. Snyder SH. Amphetamine psychosis: a “model” schizophrenia mediated by catecholamines. *Am J Psychiatr*. 1973; 130:61–7. [PubMed: 4345465]
49. Chen CK, Lin SK, Sham PC, et al. Pre-morbid characteristics and co-morbidity of methamphetamine users with and without psychosis. *Psychol Med*. 2003; 33:1407–14. [PubMed: 14672249]
50. Chen C-K, Lin S-K, Sham PC, et al. Morbid risk for psychiatric disorder among the relatives of methamphetamine users with and without psychosis. *Am J Med Genet*. 2005; 136B:87–91. [PubMed: 15892150]
51. Bell DS. The experimental reproduction of amphetamine psychosis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1973; 29:35–40. [PubMed: 4711131]
52. Lieberman JA, Kinon BJ, Loebel AD. Dopaminergic mechanisms in idiopathic and drug-induced Psychoses. *Schizophr Bull*. 1990; 16:97–110. [PubMed: 2185538]

53. Bell DS. Comparison of amphetamine psychosis and schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1965; 111:701–7. [PubMed: 14337419]
54. Buckley PF. Substance abuse in schizophrenia: a review. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1998; 59 (Suppl 3):26–30. [PubMed: 9541335]
55. Chambers RA, Krystal JH, Self DW. A neurobiological basis for substance abuse comorbidity in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001; 50:71–83. [PubMed: 11526998]
56. Richards JR, Bretz SW, Johnson EB, et al. Methamphetamine abuse and emergency department utilization. *West J Med*. 1999; 170:198–202. [PubMed: 10344172]
57. de Leon J, Antelo RE, Simpson G. Delusion of parasitosis or chronic tactile hallucinosis: Hypothesis about their brain physiopathology. *Compr Psychiatry*. 1992; 33:25–33. [PubMed: 1555406]
58. Ellinwood, EH.; Sudilovsky, A. Chronic amphetamine intoxication: behavioral model of psychoses. In: Cole, JO.; Freedman, AM.; Friedhoff, AJ., editors. *Psychopathology and Psychopharmacology*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1972. p. 51-70.
59. Yaffee HS. Cutaneous stigmata associated with methedrine (methamphetamine). *Arch Dermatol*. 1971; 104:687. [PubMed: 5131716]
60. Ellison GD, Eison MS. Continuous amphetamine intoxication: an animal model of the acute psychotic episode. *Psychol Med*. 1983; 13:751–61. [PubMed: 6320247]
61. Liu SW, Lien MH, Fenske NA. The effects of alcohol and drug abuse on the skin. *Clin Dermatol*. 2010; 28:391–9. [PubMed: 20620755]
62. Cohen AL, Shuler C, McAllister S, et al. Methamphetamine use and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin infections. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007; 13:1707–13. [PubMed: 18217555]
63. Siegel RK. Cocaine hallucinations. *Am J Psychiat*. 1978; 135:309–14. [PubMed: 626219]
64. Rylander G. Psychoses and the punding and choreiform syndromes in addiction to central stimulant drugs. *Psychiatr Neurol Neurochir*. 1972; 75:203–12. [PubMed: 4625014]
65. Schiörring E. Psychopathology induced by “speed drugs”. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1981; 14 (Suppl 1):109–22. [PubMed: 7243851]
66. Fasano A, Petrovic I. Insights into pathophysiology of punding reveal possible treatment strategies. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2010; 15:560–73. [PubMed: 20489735]
67. O’Sullivan SS, Evans AH, Lees AJ. Dopamine dysregulation syndrome: an overview of its epidemiology, mechanisms and management. *CNS Drugs*. 2009; 23:157–70. [PubMed: 19173374]
68. Lundh H, Tunving K. An extrapyramidal choreiform syndrome caused by amphetamine addiction. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1981; 44:728–30. [PubMed: 7299411]
69. Rhee KJ, Albertson TE, Douglas JC. Choreoathetoid disorder associated with amphetamine-like drugs. *Am J Emerg Med*. 1988; 6:131–3. [PubMed: 3355623]
70. Randrup A, Munkvad I. Stereotyped activities produced by amphetamine in several animal species and man. *Psychopharmacologia*. 1967; 11:300–10. [PubMed: 4968376]
71. Fasano A, Barra A, Nicosia P, et al. Cocaine addiction: From habits to stereotypical-repetitive behaviors and punding. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2008; 96:178–82. [PubMed: 18378407]
72. Aliane V, Perez S, Bohren Y, et al. Key role of striatal cholinergic interneurons in processes leading to arrest of motor stereotypies. *Brain*. 2010; 134:110–8. [PubMed: 21097493]
73. Pierce RC, Kalivas PW. A circuitry model of the expression of behavioral sensitization to amphetamine-like psychostimulants. *Brain Res Rev*. 1997; 25:192–216. [PubMed: 9403138]
74. Antonini A, Siri C, Santangelo G, et al. Impulsivity and compulsivity in drug-naïve patients with Parkinson’s disease. *Mov Disord*. 2011; 26:464–8. [PubMed: 21312278]
75. Weintraub D, Koester J, Potenza MN, et al. Impulse control disorders in Parkinson disease: a cross-sectional study of 3090 patients. *Arch Neurol*. 2010; 67:589–95. [PubMed: 20457959]
76. Guilarte TR. Is methamphetamine abuse a risk factor in Parkinsonism? *Neurotoxicology*. 2001; 22:725–31. [PubMed: 11829406]
77. McCann UD, Kuwabara H, Kumar A, et al. Persistent cognitive and dopamine transporter deficits in abstinent methamphetamine users. *Synapse*. 2008; 62:91–100. [PubMed: 17992686]

78. Truong JG. Age-dependent methamphetamine-induced alterations in vesicular monoamine transporter-2 function: implications for neurotoxicity. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2005; 314:1087–92. [PubMed: 15901804]
79. Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang GJ, et al. Loss of dopamine transporters in methamphetamine abusers recovers with protracted abstinence. *J Neurosci.* 2001; 21:9414–8. [PubMed: 11717374]
80. Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang GJ, et al. Association of dopamine transporter reduction with psychomotor impairment in methamphetamine abusers. *Am J Psychiat.* 2001; 158:377–82. [PubMed: 11229977]
81. Johanson CE, Frey KA, Lundahl LH, et al. Cognitive function and nigrostriatal markers in abstinent methamphetamine abusers. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2006; 185:327–38. [PubMed: 16518646]
82. Boileau I, Rusjan P, Houle S, et al. Increased vesicular monoamine transporter binding during early abstinence in human methamphetamine users: Is VMAT2 a stable dopamine neuron biomarker? *J Neurosci.* 2008; 28:9850–6. [PubMed: 18815269]
83. Desai RI, Bergman J. Drug discrimination in methamphetamine-trained rats: effects of cholinergic nicotinic compounds. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2010; 335:807–16. [PubMed: 20847037]
84. Weinberger AH, Sofuoglu M. The impact of cigarette smoking on stimulant addiction. *Am J Drug Alcohol.* 2009; 35:12–7.
85. Hernán MA, Takkouche B, Caamaño-Isorna F, et al. A meta-analysis of coffee drinking, cigarette smoking, and the risk of Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2002; 52:276–84. [PubMed: 12205639]
86. Christine CW, Garwood ER, Schrock LE, et al. Parkinsonism in patients with a history of amphetamine exposure. *Mov Disord.* 2010; 25:228–31. [PubMed: 20063432]
87. Callaghan RC, Cunningham JK, Sajeev G, et al. Incidence of Parkinson's disease among hospital patients with methamphetamine-use disorders. *Mov Disord.* 2010; 25:2333–9. [PubMed: 20737543]
88. Garwood ER, Bekele W, McCulloch CE, et al. Amphetamine exposure is elevated in Parkinson's disease. *Neurotoxicology.* 2006; 27:1003–6. [PubMed: 16620991]
89. Janavs JL, Aminoff MJ. Dystonia and chorea in acquired systemic disorders. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1998; 65:436–45. [PubMed: 9771763]
90. Shanson B. Amphetamine Poisoning. *Br Med J.* 1956; 1(4966):576.
91. Downes MA, Whyte IM. Amphetamine-induced movement disorder. *Emerg Med Australas.* 2005; 17:277–80. [PubMed: 15953231]
92. Mattson RH, Calverley JR. Dextroamphetamine-sulfate-induced dyskinesias. *JAMA.* 1968; 204:400–2. [PubMed: 5694457]
93. Morgan JC, Winter WC, Wooten GF. Amphetamine-induced chorea in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. *Mov Disord.* 2004; 19:840–2. [PubMed: 15254949]
94. Sperling LS, Horowitz JL. Methamphetamine-induced choreoathetosis and rhabdomyolysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 1994; 121:986. [PubMed: 7978734]
95. Klawans HL, Weiner WJ. The effect of d-amphetamine on choreiform movement disorders. *Neurology.* 1974; 24:312–8. [PubMed: 4274157]
96. Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang G-J, et al. Low level of brain dopamine D2 receptors in methamphetamine abusers: Association with metabolism in the orbitofrontal cortex. *Am J Psychiat.* 2001; 158:2015–21. [PubMed: 11729018]
97. Bartzokis G, Beckson M, Wirshing DA, et al. Choreoathetoid movements in cocaine dependence. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1999; 45:1630–5. [PubMed: 10376125]
98. Daras M, Koppel BS, Atos-Radzion E. Cocaine-induced choreoathetoid movements ('crack dancing'). *Neurology.* 1994; 44:751–2. [PubMed: 8164838]
99. Stork CM, Cantor R. Pemoline induced acute choreoathetosis: case report and review of the literature. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol.* 1997; 35:105–8. [PubMed: 9022662]
100. Klasser GD, JE. Methamphetamine and its impact on dental care. *J Can Dent Assoc.* 2005; 71:759–62. [PubMed: 16324229]
101. ADA. Methamphetamine use and oral health. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2005; 136:1491. [PubMed: 16255475]

102. Shaner JW, Kimmes N, Saini T, et al. "Meth mouth": rampant caries in methamphetamine abusers. *Aids Patient Care STDS*. 2006; 20:146–50. [PubMed: 16548711]
103. Saini T, Edwards PC, Kimmes NS, et al. Etiology of xerostomia and dental caries among methamphetamine abusers. *Oral Health Prev Dent*. 2005; 3:189–95. [PubMed: 16355653]
104. Donaldson M, Goodchild JH. Oral health of the methamphetamine abuser. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2006; 63:2078–82. [PubMed: 17057044]
105. Richards JR, Brofeldt BT. Patterns of tooth wear associated with methamphetamine use. *J Periodontol*. 2000; 71:1371–74. [PubMed: 10972655]
106. Morio KA, Marshall TA, Qian F, et al. Comparing diet, oral hygiene and caries status of adult methamphetamine users and nonusers: a pilot study. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2008; 139:171–6. [PubMed: 18245685]
107. Winocur E, Gavish A, Voikovitch M, et al. Drugs and bruxism: a critical review. *J Orofac Pain*. 2003; 17:99–111. [PubMed: 12836498]
108. Winocur E, Gavish A, Volfin G, et al. Oral motor parafunctions among heavy drug addicts and their effects on signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. *J Orofac Pain*. 2001; 15:56–63. [PubMed: 11889649]
109. Di Cugno F, Perec CJ, Tocci AA. Salivary secretion and dental caries experience in drug addicts. *Arch Oral Biol*. 1981; 26:363–7. [PubMed: 6947736]
110. Shetty V, Mooney LJ, Zigler CM, et al. The relationship between methamphetamine use and increased dental disease. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2010; 141:307–18. [PubMed: 20194387]
111. Götrick B, Giglio D, Tobin G. Effects of amphetamine on salivary secretion. *Eur J Oral Sci*. 2009; 117:218–23. [PubMed: 19583747]
112. Kuczenski R, Segal DS, Cho AK, et al. Hippocampus norepinephrine, caudate dopamine and serotonin, and behavioral responses to the stereoisomers of amphetamine and methamphetamine. *J Neurosci*. 1995; 15:1308–17. [PubMed: 7869099]
113. Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Bruxism is mainly regulated centrally, not peripherally. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2001; 28:1085–91. [PubMed: 11874505]