Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 August 5; 13(15): 4012–4015. doi:10.1021/ol201532k.

A Cycloisomerization/Friedel-Crafts Alkylation Strategy for the Synthesis of Pyrano[3,4-*b*]indoles

Matthew R. Medeiros, Scott E. Schaus*, and John A. Porco Jr.*

Chemistry Department, Center for Chemical Methodology and Library Development, Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Ave., Boston, Massachusetts, 02215, USA

Abstract

The synthesis of pyrano[3,4-*b*]indoles is described. The reaction sequence involves Sonogashira coupling of dihydropyran propargyl ether scaffolds with iodoanilines to afford intermediate indoles. Lewis acid-catalyzed ionization of the dihydropyrans, followed by intramolecular C3 alkylation of the indole, provides the title compounds.

It is well established that compounds containing the “privileged” indole motif exhibit a myriad of biological activities.¹ Building upon our recently reported study of dihydropyran rearrangements,² we developed a program focused on the synthesis of molecules comprising the pyrano[3,4-*b*]indole framework. Compounds having this skeleton have been used as antiinflammatory and analgesic agents as exemplified by etodolac (**1**) and pemedolac (**2**) (Figure 1).³ More recently these compounds have shown promise as inhibitors of hepatitis C virus (HCV) NS5B polymerase (**3**)⁴ and as potential treatments for lymphoma.⁵

A SciFinder search⁶ of known compounds having the pyrano[3,4-*b*]indole skeleton revealed 2726 structures with only 55 (2%) bearing substitution at C3 and C4 (*cf.* **1**, Figure 1). Accordingly, methodology providing functionalization at these positions will serve to increase the diversity of this chemotype. Herein, we describe a cycloisomerization/alkylation strategy that affords pyrano[3,4-*b*]indoles exhibiting both stereochemistry and useful functional groups at C3 and C4.

We envisioned a strategy to pyranoindoles of the type **4** involving intramolecular Friedel-Crafts cyclization of indole **5** (Scheme 1a). Alkylation of allylic alcohol **6** with a substituted bromomethylindole (**7**) would provide the desired cyclization precursor. Considering our interest in library synthesis, and identifying the indole fragment as a diversity element, we were concerned with the availability and preparation of diverse bromomethylindoles.⁷

Alternatively, reports of the intermolecular, electrophilic alkylation of the intermediate metal vinylidenes resulting from metal-catalyzed cycloisomerization of *o*-alkynylanilines⁸ inspired us to pursue an intramolecular cycloisomerization/alkylation strategy employing *o*-

seschaus@bu.edu, porco@bu.edu.

Supporting Information Available Detailed experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

alkynylanilines (**8**) (Scheme 1b). Sonogashira coupling⁹ of terminal alkynes (**9**) with readily available iodoanilines (**10**) would serve to generate the desired cycloisomerization precursors.

Investigation of our proposed cycloisomerization approach commenced with Sonogashira coupling of terminal alkyne **11** and 2-iodoaniline to provide *o*-alkynylaniline **12** (Scheme 2).¹⁰ A variety of conditions have been reported to effect cycloisomerization of *o*-alkynylanilines.^{8,11} Our desire to conduct a tandem process and prior experience with related rearrangements² led us to explore conditions that would allow both alkyne activation as well as ring-opening of the dihydropyran. A preliminary screen revealed PtCl₂ and Ph₃PAuCl/AgSbF₆ as candidate catalysts for the transformation. However, upon further investigation, we did not observe the desired pyranindole. Rather, we isolated both indole **13** and alcohol **14**, the latter presumably arising from β-elimination. Furthermore, exposure of indole **13** to Sc(OTf)₃ in CH₃NO₂ resulted in exclusive formation of elimination product **14**.

Encouraged by the isolation of indole **13**, we anticipated that deactivation of the indole moiety with an electron withdrawing group on the nitrogen would abrogate the formation of alcohol **14**. Accordingly, sulfonylation of aniline **12** under standard conditions provided sulfonamide **15** (Scheme 3). A brief catalyst screen revealed a marked dependence of product formation on catalyst choice. Use of π-philic catalysts such as PtCl₂ and Ph₃PAuCl₁₂ generated the desired pyranindole **16** along with a small amount of the intermediate indole **17** (entries 1 and 2). Alternatively, treatment of **15** with Sc(OTf)₃ and In(OTf)₃, both known to be oxophilic Lewis acids,¹³ provided tetrahydrofuran **18** (stereochemistry not determined).¹⁰ Presumably, tetrahydrofuran **18** arises from initial ionization of the dihydropyran followed by nucleophilic attack by the pendant alkyne to form the C3–C8 bond. The resulting vinyl carbocation may finally be quenched by water present in the reaction medium. Tautomerization of the intermediate enol affords the C9 ketone.¹⁴

We desired to streamline the synthesis of the cycloisomerization precursors (**15**) in order to provide a route amenable to library preparation. Accordingly, we considered protection of the iodoaniline prior to the Sonogashira coupling in order to provide a more convergent process and to increase flexibility of protecting groups (Scheme 4). However, we did not observe any of the expected protected alkynyl aniline after Sonogashira coupling of alkyne **11** and sulfonamide **19**. Instead, we only observed formation of indole **20** arising from cycloisomerization.¹⁵ Subsequent exposure of indole **20** to Sc(OTf)₃ in CH₃NO₂ provided pyranindoles **21** and **22** in 90% combined yield and 13:1 diastereomeric ratio favoring the *trans* isomer. An X-ray crystal structure of the analogous methanesulfonyl-protected derivative confirmed the stereochemistry of the major isomer.¹⁰ Due to the convenience and high yield of the two-step procedure, we pursued this strategy for the remainder of our study.

An investigation of the scope of the reaction revealed that indoles containing electron withdrawing (Table 1, entries 1–5) or electron donating groups (entry 6) provided the desired pyranindoles in good yields. The reaction conditions were tolerant of both azide and bromide substituents at R² (entries 7–8). Furthermore, use of iodophenols as coupling partners in the Sonogashira reaction provided intermediate benzofurans, which were smoothly transformed to pyrano[3,4-*b*]benzofurans¹⁶ using identical conditions (entries 9–10).

An investigation of the reaction scope revealed an interesting relationship between the electronic nature of the indole and the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. Analysis of the

results in Table 1 reveals that a decrease in the electron density of the indole is accompanied by a decrease in the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. Our rationale for this trend is illustrated in Scheme 5. We envision two initial pathways for the reaction. Lewis acid-mediated ionization of the dihydropyran may afford the open intermediate **26** (pathway *a*). Alternatively, S_N2' attack of the indole on the activated dihydropyran would lead to iminium **27** (pathway *b*). Bond rotation in **26** to relieve A^{1,3}-strain would provide **28**, which upon ring closure and rearomatization would yield *trans*-pyranoindole **21**. Iminium **27** could also undergo two possible reaction pathways, the first being C–C bond cleavage to return to the open intermediate **26** (pathway *c*). A second reaction manifold (pathway *d*) involves deprotonation to furnish *cis*-pyranoindole **22**. We hypothesize that the electron deficiency of the aromatic ring decreases the pK_a of the indolic proton prior to rearomatization making pathway *d* more favorable in these cases, thereby, increasing the proportion of the *cis*-isomer. Increasing electron density of the indole may favor S_N2' pathway *b*. However, based on our results we believe that stereodifferentiation to access *cis*-pyranoindole isomers (*cf.* **22**) occurs during pathways *c* and *d*.

In order to increase the diversity of structures accessed using this methodology, we proceeded to investigate further reactions of the pyranoindole scaffolds. Precedent for the etherification of alkenols using metal triflates prompted us to investigate further cyclization of **24d** (Scheme 6a).¹⁷ Indeed, microwave heating of **24d** in the presence of a catalytic amount of Sc(OTf)₃ in 1,2-dichloroethane provided *bis*-pyran **29** in 93% yield as a single diastereomer. The corresponding *cis*-isomer (**25d**) did not cyclize under these conditions and decomposed upon increasing the temperature and catalyst loading.

Reports of the oxidative rearrangement of 2,3-substituted indoles prompted our investigation of the oxidation of pyranoindole **30** (Scheme 6b).¹⁸ We anticipated that selective epoxidation of the indole 2,3- π bond, followed by ring-contraction would provide a rearranged spirooxindole. Indeed, subjection of pyranoindole **30** to *m*CPBA in CH₂Cl₂ produced spirooxindole **31** in 49% yield.

In conclusion, we have developed syntheses of pyrano[3,4-*b*]indoles and pyrano[3,4-*b*]benzofurans containing an uncommon C3–C4 substitution pattern. The two-step procedure involves Sonogashira coupling of protected iodoanilines with a terminal alkyne followed by Friedel-Crafts alkylation. Conditions to convert pyranoindoles into other scaffolds, including a *bis*-pyran and a spirooxindole, were devised. Further studies using the methodology to access diverse chemical architectures are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the NIGMS CMLD initiative (P50-GM67041). We also thank Drs. Paul Ralifo and Norman Lee (Boston University) for assistance with instrumentation, and Dr. Jeffrey W. Bacon (Boston University) for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

References

1. Welsch ME, Snyder SA, Stockwell BR. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2010; 14:347–361. [PubMed: 20303320]
2. Medeiros MR, Narayan RS, McDougal NT, Schaus SE, Porco JA Jr. Org Lett. 2010; 12:3222–3225. [PubMed: 20550144]

3. (a) Demerson CA, Humber LG, Philipp AH, Martel RR. *J Med Chem.* 1976; 19:391–395. [PubMed: 1255663] (b) Katz AH, Demerson CA, Shaw CC, Asselin AA, Humber LG, Conway KM, Gavin G, Guinasso C, Jensen NP, Mobilio D, Noureldin R, Schmid J, Shah U, Van Engen D, Chau TT, Weichman BM. *J Med Chem.* 1988; 31:1244–1250. [PubMed: 3373493]
4. (a) Gopalsamy A, Lim K, Ciszewski G, Park K, Ellingboe JB, Insaf S, Upeslasis J, Mansour TS, Krishnamurthy G, Damarla M, Pyatski Y, Ho D, Howe AYM, Orłowski M, Feld B, O'Connell J. *J Med Chem.* 2004; 47:6603–6608. [PubMed: 15588095] (b) LaPorte MG, Draper TL, Miller LE, Blackledge CW, Leister LK, Amparo E, Hussey AR, Young DC, Chunduru SK, Benetatos CA, Rhodes G, Gopalsamy A, Herbertz T, Burns CJ, Condon SM. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2010; 20:2968–2973. [PubMed: 20347591]
5. Nardella, FA. US Pat. 6573292. 2003.
6. A structure search for the pyrano[3,4-*b*]indole skeleton was conducted on March 14, 2011 using the web-based SciFinder Scholar Database.
7. (a) Nagarathnam D. *Synthesis.* 1992:743–745. (b) Bélanger G, Larouche-Gauthier R, Ménard F, Nantel M, Barabe F. *J Org Chem.* 2006; 71:704–712. [PubMed: 16408983] (c) Monhanakrishnan AK, Ramesh N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005; 46:4231–4233.
8. (a) Nakamura M, Ilies L, Otsubo S, Nakamura E. *Org Lett.* 2006; 8:2803–2805. [PubMed: 16774261] (b) Isono N, Lautens M. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:1329–1331. [PubMed: 19236037] (c) Martínez C, Álvarez R, Aurrecoechea JM. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:1083–1086. [PubMed: 19199489] (d) Yin Y, Ma W, Chai Z, Zhao G. *J Org Chem.* 2007; 72:5731–5736. [PubMed: 17580904]
9. (a) Sonogashira K, Tohda Y, Hagihara N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975; 16:4467–4470. (b) Chinchilla R, Nájera C. *Chem Rev.* 2007; 107:874–922. [PubMed: 17305399]
10. See Supporting Information for complete experimental details.
11. (a) Castro CE, Gaughan EJ, Owsley DC. *J Org Chem.* 1966; 31:4071–4078. (b) Cariou K, Ronan B, Mignani S, Fensterbank L, Malacria M. *Angew Chem.* 2007; 119:1913–1916. (c) Zeni G, Larock RC. *Chem Rev.* 2004; 104:2285–2309. [PubMed: 15137792] (d) Cacchi S, Fabrizi G, Parisi LM. *Org Lett.* 2003; 5:3843–3846. [PubMed: 14535724]
12. (a) Fürstner A, Davies PW. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2007; 46:3410–3449. (b) Gorin DJ, Toste FD. *Nature.* 2007; 446:395–403. [PubMed: 17377576] and references therein (c) Yamamoto Y. *J Org Chem.* 2007; 72:7817–7831. [PubMed: 17579452]
13. (a) Tsuruta H, Yamaguchi K, Inamoto T. *Chem Commun.* 1999:1703–1704. (b) Kobayashi S, Sugiura M, Kitagawa H, Lam WWL. *Chem Rev.* 2002; 102:2227–2302. [PubMed: 12059268]
14. See Supporting Information for a graphical representation of the proposed mechanism.
15. (a) Xiong X, Pirrung MC. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:1151–1154. [PubMed: 18303898] For examples of cycloisomerization occurring under Sonogashira conditions see: (b) Chen Y, Markina NA, Larock RC. *Tetrahedron.* 2009; 65:8908–8915. [PubMed: 20160894] (c) Heravi MM, Sadjadi S. *Tetrahedron.* 2009; 65:7761–7775.
16. (a) Brown GR, Foubister AJ. *Eur J Med Chem.* 1992; 27:723–727. (b) Gopalsamy A, Aplasca A, Ciszewski G, Park K, Ellingboe JW, Orłowski M, Feld B, Howe AYM. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2006; 16:457–460. [PubMed: 16274990]
17. (a) Coulombel L, Weïwer M, Duñach E. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2009:5788–5795. (b) Dzudza A, Marks T. *Chem Eur J.* 2010; 16:3403–3422.
18. (a) Adams LA, Valente MWN, Williams RM. *Tetrahedron.* 2006; 62:5195–5200. (b) Movassaghi M, Schmidt MA, Ashenhurst JA. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:4009–4010. [PubMed: 18722452]

Figure 1.
Biologically active pyrano[3,4-*b*]indoles

Scheme 1.
Proposed a) Intramolecular Indole Alkylation and b) Cycloisomerization/Alkylation Strategies

Scheme 2.
Attempted Cycloisomerization/Alkylation Using an Unprotected *o*-Alkynylaniline

Scheme 3. Catalyst Role in the Tandem Cycloisomerization/Friedel-Crafts Rearrangement^a^a Conditions: substrate (0.10 mmol), catalyst (0.010 mmol), CH₃NO₂ (1 mL), 3 h. ^b Starting material recovered.

Scheme 4.
Synthesis of Pyranoindoles **21** and **22**

Scheme 5.
Proposed Mechanism for Pyranoindole Formation

Scheme 6.

(a) Etherification and (b) Oxidative Rearrangement of Pyranoindoles

Table 1

Scope of the Sc(OTf)₃-Catalyzed Cyclization^a

entry	substrate (20, 23)	X	R ₁	R ₂	yield (%)	dr ^b
1	20	NHTs	H	OMe	90	13:1
2	23a	NHMs	5-F	OMe	95	4:1
3	b	NHTs	5-CF ₃	OMe	95	2:1
4	c	NHTs	5-NO ₂	OMe	88	1:1
5	d	NHMs	6-Cl	OMe	77	4:1
6	e	NHTs	5-OMe	OMe	49	>20:1
7	f	NHTs	H	Br	74	17:1
8	g	NHMs	H	N ₃	44	5:1
9	h	O	H	OMe	80	3:1
10	i	O	5-CO ₂ Me	OMe	60	1:1

^a See Supporting Information for detailed experimental procedures.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.