Published in final edited form as:

Depress Anxiety. 2011 August ; 28(8): 666–675. doi:10.1002/da.20838.

Differences in the determinants of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression after a mass traumatic event

Melissa Tracy^{1,2,3}, Fran H. Norris^{1,4,5}, and Sandro Galea^{1,2}

¹National Center for Disaster Mental Health Research, White River Junction, VT

²Department of Epidemiology, Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY

³Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI

⁴National Center for PTSD, VA Medical Center, White River Junction, VT

⁵Department of Psychiatry, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH

Abstract

Background—Hurricane Ike struck the Galveston Bay area of Texas on September 13, 2008, leaving substantial destruction and a number of deaths in its wake. We assessed differences in the determinants of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression after this event, including the particular hurricane experiences, including post-event non-traumatic stressors, that were associated with these pathologies.

Methods—658 adults who had been living in Galveston and Chambers counties, Texas in the month before Hurricane Ike were interviewed 2-5 months after the hurricane. We collected information on experiences during and after Hurricane Ike, PTSD and depressive symptoms in the month prior to the interview, and socio-demographic characteristics.

Results—The prevalence of past month hurricane-related PTSD and depression was 6.1% and 4.9%, respectively. Hurricane experiences, but not socio-demographic characteristics, were associated with Ike-related PTSD. By contrast, lower education and household income, and more lifetime stressors were associated with depression, as were hurricane exposures and hurricane-related stressors. When looking at specific hurricane-related stressors, loss or damage of sentimental possessions was associated with both PTSD and depression; however, health problems related to Ike were associated only with PTSD, whereas financial loss as a result of the hurricane was associated only with depression.

Conclusions—PTSD is indeed a disorder of event exposure, whereas risk of depression is more clearly driven by personal vulnerability and exposure to stressors. The role of non-traumatic stressors in shaping risk of both pathologies suggests that alleviating stressors after disasters has clear potential to mitigate the psychological sequelae of these events.

Keywords

Post-traumatic stress disorders; Depression; Disasters; Stressful Events; Trauma; Life Stress

Introduction

The psychopathological consequences of collectively experienced traumatic events have been well documented, with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression most frequently studied after disasters [1,2]. Most studies document an elevated prevalence, compared to what might be expected at baseline, of both disorders after disasters among persons who directly experience traumatic events related to the disaster (e.g., injury or loss of a loved one) as well as those in the general population who were living in the area when the disaster occurred [1,3-6]. Although only a minority of studies have assessed both PTSD and depression jointly and have therefore allowed comparison of the determinants of both disorders, those that have done so generally show that while the experience of disaster-related traumatic events is the central determinant of increased risk of PTSD, the determinants of depression may be more heterogeneous, with characteristics reflecting individual vulnerability potentially serving as equally important determinants of depression after disasters [5,7]. These differences suggest that the processes by which mass traumatic events lead to psychopathology may be quite different for PTSD and depression, potentially providing clues about pathogenesis.

Several studies in the past few years have demonstrated that non-traumatic stressors including, for example, concern about employment, home reconstruction, or children's schooling, are common in the aftermath of disasters and may be key drivers of psychopathology in these contexts [8,9]. This observation is not surprising given long-established stress-diathesis models of the etiology of psychopathology [10,11], but is of particular import for at least two reasons. First, the emerging central role of non-traumatic stressors as determinants of PTSD specifically raises important questions about our understanding of traumatic event experience nosology and has contributed to calls for revisions in our conception of traumatic events [12]. Second, stressors may well be modifiable in the aftermath of disasters, providing a potential opportunity for intervention to mitigate the consequences of these events. In both these respects, clarifying the nature of the stressors that are associated with increased risk of PTSD and depression can make an important contribution.

In this report we use data from a study of residents of Galveston and Chambers counties in Texas affected by Hurricane Ike in September 2008 to assess differences in the determinants of PTSD and depression, and to understand the particular event experiences, including post-event non-traumatic stressors, that are associated with both pathologies. Hurricane Ike was the third costliest hurricane ever to hit the United States, after Hurricane Katrina in 2005 and Hurricane Andrew in 1992. Nearly 200 deaths have been attributed to Hurricane Ike, with more than half of these deaths in the U.S. Galveston Bay, where the hurricane made landfall, was the hardest hit area, although the hurricane caused damage across a large swath of the U.S. southern coastline, from Louisiana to Texas.

Methods

Study participants

Eligible study participants were aged 18 years or older, and had been living in Galveston County or Chambers County, Texas for at least one month prior to September 13, 2008, when Hurricane Ike hit. The two counties were divided into five strata (pictured in Figure 1), with differential sampling employed to ensure inclusion of residents of the most affected areas and those most likely to have experienced hurricane-related traumatic events. Eighty segments composed of Census blocks were selected from the five strata. 2,263 households identified through field listing procedures as well as from a list of addresses obtained from the Experian credit reporting agency were sampled across the eighty segments. 658

individuals completed interviews (239 from Stratum 1, 68 from Stratum 2, 123 from Stratum 3, 33 from Stratum 4, and 195 from Stratum 5), resulting in a screening/locating rate of 0.52, a cooperation rate of 0.83, an eligibility rate of 0.81, and a response rate (AAPOR RR4) of 0.40 [13].¹

Interviews were conducted using a computer-assisted interview system, with 88% conducted via telephone and 12% conducted in person. A complete enumeration of adult household members was obtained and the respondent was randomly selected from all eligible household members. Interviews took place between November 7, 2008 and March 24, 2009, approximately two to five months after Hurricane Ike, and lasted 70 minutes on average. After the study was described, oral informed consent was obtained from participants. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Michigan, Dartmouth College, and Yale University.

Measures

We collected information on socio-demographic characteristics from study participants, including gender, age, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, household income, and current marital status. We also assessed participant experiences during and after Hurricane Ike. This included exposure to life-threatening circumstances to self or others during the hurricane (e.g., being physically present during hurricane force winds or major flooding), hereafter referred to as “hurricane exposures”. Potentially traumatic events during the hurricane were also assessed (e.g., being physically injured; having a family member or close friend killed as a result of the hurricane), as were hurricane-related stressors (e.g., being displaced from home for more than one week; any loss of or damage to property or sentimental possessions; experiencing financial loss as a result of the hurricane). These items were modified from scales used after previous natural disasters, including Hurricanes Andrew and Katrina [9,14]. For each of these three categories of Hurricane Ike experiences, we summed the number of experiences reported by respondents, and created dichotomous variables split at the median.

Information about ten potentially traumatic events and twelve stressors experienced by participants before and after Hurricane Ike was obtained using a subset of DSM-IV Criterion A traumatic events (e.g., combat exposure, robbery or mugging, unwanted sexual contact) [15] and a modified list of stressful life events (e.g., experiencing a divorce or break-up with a partner, serious financial problems) [16,17]. The numbers of traumatic events and stressors reported to have occurred before Hurricane Ike were categorized into tertiles for the sample, allowing more nuanced consideration of the relations between prior lifetime adversity and current psychopathology than would be possible using dichotomous measures.

We used the PTSD Checklist – Civilian Version (PCL-C) to measure criteria B (re-experiencing), C (avoidance/numbing), and D (arousal) symptoms related to Hurricane Ike [18-21]. Respondents reported how much they were bothered by each of the 17 symptoms with reference to Hurricane Ike, ranging from not at all to extremely; symptoms were counted if the respondent reported being at least “moderately” bothered [18,21]. Additional questions assessed feelings of terror or helplessness during Hurricane Ike (criterion A2), when symptoms began and ended (allowing calculation of duration for criterion E), and the degree to which symptoms were distressing or made functioning at work, home, or in

¹Participation rates were calculated as follows, where I = complete interviews, R-E = refusals among eligible respondents, NI-E = non-interviews among eligible respondents, R-UE = refusals among respondents of unknown eligibility, NI-UE = non-interviews among respondents of unknown eligibility, E = eligible respondents, and IE = ineligible respondents. Screening/locating rate = $(I + R-E + NI-E) / (I + R-E + NI-E + R-UE + NI-UE)$. Cooperation rate = $I / (I + R-E)$. Eligibility rate (e) = $E / (E + IE)$. AAPOR RR4 = $(I) / (I + R-E + NI-E + e*(R-UE + NI-UE))$. There were no partially completed interviews.

relationships difficult (criterion F). Study participants were classified as meeting criteria for Ike-related PTSD in the month prior to the interview if they reported that symptoms most recently occurred in the past month and they met all DSM-IV criteria for PTSD [22], including reporting terror or helplessness during the event, at least one re-experiencing symptom, at least three avoidance symptoms, at least two arousal symptoms, duration of symptoms of at least one month, and moderate or severe impairment or distress resulting from symptoms. The PCL-C has demonstrated excellent internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha 0.94) [19,20] and substantial agreement with diagnoses of PTSD [21] and symptom ratings [19]. Our PTSD measure incorporates all PTSD DSM-IV criteria rather than relying on a particular PCL cutpoint; validation of this method for identifying probable cases of PTSD against the CAPS in recent work suggests that this modified measure has excellent psychometrics and is highly specific for PTSD [23].

Depression in the month prior to the interview was assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) [24-27]. Respondents were asked to report whether there was ever a two-week period in which they were bothered by each of 9 symptoms; if so, they were asked how often they were bothered (several days, more than half the days, or nearly every day) and whether that occurred in the past month. Study participants met criteria for depression in the month prior to the interview if they met all criteria for major depressive disorder or other depressive disorder [22] by reporting that at least two symptoms occurred at least "more than half the days" over a two week period in the past month (suicidal thoughts were counted if present at least "several days"), with one of those symptoms being depressed mood or anhedonia [24]. Respondents also had to report that the symptoms occurred together. The PHQ-9 has been found to have excellent internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and construct validity in primary care settings [25] as well as in the general population [26] and, in a recent validation against the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID), the specific scoring used in this study demonstrated excellent psychometrics and high specificity for depression [23].

Analysis

We compared the distribution of socio-demographic characteristics in the sample to 2000 U.S. Census data for Galveston and Chambers counties [28]. We then conducted bivariate Wald Chi-square tests of the relations between socio-demographic characteristics, Hurricane Ike experiences, and lifetime traumatic events and stressors and Ike-related PTSD and depression in the past month. For these and multivariable analyses, categories of some socio-demographic variables were collapsed to increase group size and stability of estimates of association. Adjusted logistic regression models were fit for each outcome including covariates that were statistically significant in bivariate analyses, identified by Wald Chi-square p-values < 0.1 . In these models, the numbers of hurricane exposures, hurricane-related traumatic events, and hurricane-related stressors were included as dichotomous variables. We then fit separate multivariable logistic regression models for each outcome disaggregating the three Hurricane Ike experience categories into the individual experiences that comprised the sum; these adjusted models included all individual hurricane experiences that were statistically significant at $p < 0.1$ in bivariate analyses, and also adjusted for socio-demographic characteristics and lifetime traumatic events and stressors significant in bivariate analyses. Although many of these individual hurricane experiences were significantly correlated with each other, variance inflation factors calculated in SAS (Version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., 2008) were close to one for all variables included in models together, suggesting that regression estimates and standard errors were not influenced by multicollinearity [29].

All analyses were weighted to account for differential sampling probabilities across the five study strata, probabilities of selection within households, nonresponse, and differences

between the sample and the 2000 U.S. Census population on race/ethnicity, age, educational attainment, and household income [28]. Race/ethnicity and educational attainment information was missing on approximately 20 respondents, and household income in the year prior to Hurricane Ike was missing for 75 respondents. We conducted multiple imputation using the Sequential Regression Imputation Method implemented in IVEWARE [30,31], creating 5 imputed datasets based on other demographic information, Hurricane Ike experiences, and lifetime history of traumatic events and stressors. All analyses were conducted in SUDAAN (Version 10.0.1, RTI International, 2009) to properly account for the complex survey design and multiple imputation.

Results

There were no significant differences between the study sample and the 2000 U.S. Census population in the sampling frame of Galveston and Chambers counties, Texas (Table 1). The majority of participants was white (67.8%), had completed some college or higher education (52.6%), reported a household income of at least \$40,000 in the year prior to Hurricane Ike (55.6%), and was married (54.5%).

Study participants reported a variety of Hurricane Ike-related exposures, traumatic events, and stressors. The most common hurricane experiences reported by study participants were loss of or damage to personal property (86.1%), being without any resource for over a week (65.4%), being present during hurricane force winds or major flooding (57.9%), being unsure about the safety or whereabouts of family members or close friends during or after the hurricane (43.2%), experiencing financial loss as a result of the hurricane (38.6%), and being displaced from home for over a week (38.3%). The proportion of study participants reporting hurricane experiences was similar across socio-demographic groups, except that participants declaring a race/ethnicity other than non-Hispanic white were more likely to have experienced one or more hurricane-related traumatic events (18.0% vs. 6.5% among non-Hispanic whites). Overall, 61.2% of the sample reported feeling terrified (46.3%) and/or helpless (48.0%) during Hurricane Ike.

Table 2 shows Ike-related PTSD and depression in the past month by socio-demographic characteristics, Hurricane Ike experiences, and lifetime traumatic events and stressors. The prevalence of past month Ike-related PTSD in the sample was 6.1%, whereas the prevalence of past month depression was 4.9%. Higher numbers of hurricane exposures were associated with a higher prevalence of PTSD, as were higher numbers of Hurricane Ike-related traumatic events and stressors. Latino and non-White study participants were more likely than non-Hispanic White participants to meet criteria for Ike-related PTSD (9.5% vs. 4.4%), but other socio-demographic characteristics and traumatic events and stressors prior to Hurricane Ike were not associated with Ike-related PTSD. For depression in the month prior to the interview, lower education and household income, and higher numbers of lifetime stressors, were associated with a higher prevalence in bivariate analyses. As for PTSD, a greater number of hurricane exposures and hurricane-related stressors was associated with depression. However, hurricane-related traumatic events were not significantly associated with depression.

In models adjusting for variables significant at $p < 0.1$ in bivariate analyses, none of the three hurricane experience variables remained independently significantly associated with Ike-related PTSD in the past month, also controlling for race/ethnicity (Table 2). Having a high school degree or equivalent (compared to some college or higher education) was associated with increased odds of depression (OR = 3.99, 95% CI 1.17-13.68), as was lower household income (compared to \$60,000 or higher income: OR = 7.12, 95% CI 1.41-36.07 for \$40,000-\$59,999; OR = 11.27, 95% CI 2.77-45.91 for \$20,000-\$39,999; OR = 5.56,

95% CI 1.34-23.17 for <\$20,000), and 4 or more stressors prior to Hurricane Ike (OR = 7.68, 95% CI 2.39-24.71 for 4-5 stressors; OR = 5.23, 95% CI 1.63-16.73 for 6 or more stressors, both compared to 0-3 stressors). Reporting 2 or more hurricane exposures (compared to 0-1), and 4 or more hurricane-related stressors (compared to 0-3) were also associated with increased odds of depression, although no longer statistically significant.

In separate models examining each of the three types of Hurricane Ike experiences by disaggregating the summary variables into their component parts (Table 3), we found that uncertainty about the safety or whereabouts of family members or friends remained a significant predictor of Ike-related PTSD (OR = 3.25, 95% CI 1.28-8.25), when adjusting for other hurricane exposures and race/ethnicity. Being physically injured as a result of Ike was a significant predictor of PTSD (OR = 3.62, 95% CI 1.37-9.51) in a model adjusting for other hurricane-related traumatic events and race/ethnicity. Loss of or damage to sentimental possessions (OR = 3.12, 95% CI 1.33-7.31) and experiencing the health problem of oneself or a family member (OR = 3.18, 95% CI 1.37-7.38) were associated with PTSD when adjusting for other hurricane-related stressors and race/ethnicity.

Uncertainty about the safety or whereabouts of family members or close friends was also a significant predictor of past month depression (OR = 5.20, 95% CI 1.65-16.40), when adjusting for other hurricane exposures as well as education, household income, and lifetime stressors prior to Hurricane Ike (Table 3). Among hurricane-related stressors, loss of or damage to sentimental possessions (OR = 3.22, 95% CI 1.16-8.99) and financial loss as a result of Hurricane Ike (OR = 4.74, 95% CI 1.78-12.63) emerged as significant predictors of depression.

Discussion

In a population representative sample of residents of Galveston and Chambers counties affected by Hurricane Ike, the prevalence of past month hurricane-related PTSD and depression was 6.1% and 4.9%, respectively. Although lower than the prevalence observed in populations affected by Hurricane Katrina [8,9], these estimates are comparable to those previously reported in general population samples after hurricanes and other natural disasters [2,32]. We found that experiences during and immediately following Hurricane Ike were the primary determinants of PTSD, with no associations between demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of study participants and risk of PTSD with the exception of race/ethnicity, suggesting that event experiences play a central role in PTSD pathogenesis across groups defined by gender, socioeconomic position (SEP) and marital status. This is consistent with recent literature [9]. By contrast, risk for depression varied across educational attainment and household income groups. Although other post-disaster studies have found evidence of increased risk of depression with lower SEP [1,33,34], population-based studies in non-disaster contexts have alternately suggested that low SEP is associated with a greater risk of depression [35-37] while others have found no association or only weak associations especially when controlling for other risk and mediating factors [38-40]. The observation here of a strong link between low SEP and depression, particularly when other hurricane-related events and stressors are accounted for, suggests that there might be other underlying vulnerabilities among those with low SEP that importantly shape the risk of psychopathology after mass traumatic events. Although lifetime traumatic events prior to Hurricane Ike were not strongly associated with either PTSD or depression, lifetime stressors continued to be associated with current depression even when accounting for demographics and stressors specifically brought on by the hurricane, further demonstrating the potential role of prior vulnerability in post-disaster depression etiology.

The direct link between traumatic events and risk of PTSD is not surprising and is consistent with our conception of this pathology as a post-event disorder, particularly when the events experienced are substantial and outside of the normal range of human experience. However, we also documented a role for particular non-traumatic events in the determination of PTSD. This suggests that a wide range of perturbations in personal experience linked to the disasters is associated with risk of PTSD. It is certainly plausible that these perturbations are all triggering the same neuro-chemical cascade that we suspect explains PTSD pathogenesis [41,42] suggesting that a fuller conception of the cluster of symptoms currently described as PTSD might require us to consider greater heterogeneity in the nature of the triggering event than is currently normative.

Hurricane-related traumatic events were not associated with depression, as has been shown in some other work after disasters [7,43]. This, coupled with the observation that post-event non-traumatic stressors were associated with risk of depression, suggests that elevated prevalence of depression after disasters does not, in contrast to PTSD, rest on the experience of specific traumatic events. Rather it may rest on elevated exposure to stressors in the post-disaster context, consistent with literature affirming the role of stressful life events in depression onset [44,45] and the ability of stressful consequences of acute experiences to explain relations between acute events and depression [46].

When we considered the specific hurricane-related traumatic events and stressors that were associated with PTSD and depression, a few clear differences emerged. Loss of or damage to sentimental possessions was associated with both PTSD and depression risk; however, being displaced from home and financial loss as a result of the hurricane were most strongly associated with depression, not PTSD, risk. Although several prior studies have found associations between disaster-related losses and the severity and persistence of both PTSD and depressive symptoms [5,9,47-49], our results demonstrate potentially stronger effects of financial loss and displacement for depression rather than PTSD in the immediate aftermath of a mass traumatic event. Stronger effects of relocation after disasters on depression than on PTSD risk have been confirmed in previous studies [50,51]. Furthermore, these findings demonstrate that including dichotomous measures of hurricane experiences in models masked important differences in the specific disaster-related events that were associated with PTSD and depression, arguing for greater specificity in future research that assesses determinants of post-disaster psychopathology.

There are limitations to be taken into account when considering these findings, most of which are typical of research in the field. First, absent a pre-disaster baseline sample, our inference about elevated prevalence of depression rests on comparing across studies in different contexts. We note that this limitation does not pertain to PTSD, which is here expressly linked to Hurricane Ike experiences. Second, we have not considered pre-event assessments of psychopathology among participants in this study, again suggesting that we cannot infer incidence of depression but rather prevalence. Although PTSD linked to Hurricane Ike is, by definition, incident in the post-hurricane period it is possible that persons with Ike-related PTSD also had prior PTSD linked to previous traumatic events. Our failure to find an association between prior lifetime traumatic events and PTSD somewhat mitigates this concern. Third, as in all population-based samples in the aftermath of disruptive events there remains a concern that our sample was not representative of those persons who experienced the hurricane. However, we took extraordinary measures to obtain a representative sample of pre-hurricane residents and the high response rate compared to other studies in the field is reassurance in this regard [52]. Fourth, it is possible that current experience of psychological symptoms influenced reports of traumas and stressors, although this is unlikely when using these highly structured measures that have been applied extensively in comparable contexts. Fifth, about one-third of those meeting criteria for

current Ike-related PTSD and nearly half of those meeting criteria for current depression actually met criteria for both disorders ($n = 21$). However, we were able to identify several differences in predictors for PTSD and depression, suggesting that this comorbid group did not impair our ability to treat each disorder as a distinct entity with potentially distinct etiology. Sixth, the relatively small sample size and low number of PTSD and depression cases resulted in wide confidence intervals for some covariates, although large associations were still observed. Observed null associations must be considered within the context of the sample size and the covariates included within the multivariable models. Our observation that in multivariable models accounting for traumatic event experiences and stressors there was largely no difference in risk of PTSD across demographic groups does not obviate demographic differences in risk of psychopathology in larger samples but simply underscores the centrality of these immediate exposures as core drivers of psychopathology in this context. Finally, absent a clinician assessment we cannot diagnose psychopathology and therefore caution in interpreting reports of both PTSD and depression is warranted. Mitigating this concern, we applied widely used instruments, with recent validation results suggesting that these are highly specific instruments concordant with clinician diagnosis.

Notwithstanding these limitations, we document here clear differences in the determinants of PTSD and depression, suggesting that while PTSD is indeed a disorder of event exposure, risk of depression is more clearly driven by personal vulnerability and exposure to stressors. The role of elevated post-disaster non-traumatic stressors in shaping risk of both pathologies further makes a robust case that alleviating stressors of daily living after disasters should be a priority of public health policy, given the potential of such efforts to mitigate the psychological sequelae of these events.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Center for Disaster Mental Health Research (NIMH Grant 5 P60 MH082598), Fran H. Norris, Center Director, Sandro Galea, Research Director.

References

1. Norris FH, Friedman MJ, Watson PJ, et al. 60,000 Disaster Victims Speak: Part I. An Empirical Review of the Empirical Literature, 1981-2001. *Psychiatry*. 2002; 65:207-239. [PubMed: 12405079]
2. Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D. The epidemiology of post-traumatic stress disorder after disasters. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2005; 27:78-91. [PubMed: 15958429]
3. David D, Mellman TA, Mendoza LM, et al. Psychiatric morbidity following Hurricane Andrew. *J Trauma Stress*. 1996; 9:607-612. [PubMed: 8827660]
4. Fullerton CS, Ursano RJ, Wang L. Acute stress disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, and depression in disaster or rescue workers. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004; 161:1370-1376. [PubMed: 15285961]
5. Galea S, Ahern J, Resnick H, et al. Psychological sequelae of the September 11 terrorist attacks in New York City. *N Engl J Med*. 2002; 346:982-987. [PubMed: 11919308]
6. Shariat S, Mallonee S, Kruger E, et al. A prospective study of long-term health outcomes among Oklahoma City bombing survivors. *J Okla State Med Assoc*. 1999; 92:178-186. [PubMed: 10213970]
7. Miguel-Tobal JJ, Cano-Vindel A, Gonzalez-Ordi H, et al. PTSD and depression after the Madrid March 11 train bombings. *J Trauma Stress*. 2006; 19:69-80. [PubMed: 16568454]
8. Galea S, Brewin CR, Gruber M, et al. Exposure to hurricane-related stressors and mental illness after Hurricane Katrina. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007; 64:1427-1434. [PubMed: 18056551]
9. Galea S, Tracy M, Norris F, Coffey SF. Financial and social circumstances and the incidence and course of PTSD in Mississippi during the first two years after Hurricane Katrina. *J Trauma Stress*. 2008; 21:357-368. [PubMed: 18720399]

10. Brown, GW.; Harris, T. Social origins of depression: a study of psychiatric disorder in women. Free Press; New York, NY: 1978.
11. Mazure CM. Life stressors as risk factors for depression. *Clin Psychol.* 1998; 5:291–313.
12. Brewin CR, Lanius RA, Novac A, et al. Reformulating PTSD for DSM-V: Life after Criterion A. *J Trauma Stress.* 2009; 22:366–373. [PubMed: 19743480]
13. American Association of Public Opinion Research. [<Accessed March 25, 2010>] Standard definitions: Final dispositions of case codes and outcome rates for surveys. http://www.aapor.org/Resources_for_Researchers.htm
14. Riad JK, Norris FH. The influence of relocation on the environmental, social, and psychological stress experienced by disaster victims. *Environ Behav.* 1996; 28:163–182.
15. Breslau N, Kessler R, Chilcoat H, et al. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in the community: the 1996 Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1998; 55:626–632. [PubMed: 9672053]
16. Boardman JD. Stress and physical health: the role of neighborhoods as mediating and moderating mechanisms. *Soc Sci Med.* 2004; 58:2473–2483. [PubMed: 15081198]
17. Boardman JD, Finch BK, Ellison CG, et al. Neighborhood disadvantage, stress, and drug use among adults. *J Health Soc Behav.* 2001; 42:151–165. [PubMed: 11467250]
18. Andrykowski MA, Cordova MJ, Studts JL, Miller TW. Posttraumatic stress disorder after treatment for breast cancer: prevalence of diagnosis and use of the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version (PCL-C) as a screening instrument. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1998; 66:586–590. [PubMed: 9642900]
19. Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, Forneris CA. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther.* 1996; 34:669–673. [PubMed: 8870294]
20. Ruggiero KJ, Del Ben K, Scotti JR, Rabalais AE. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version. *J Trauma Stress.* 2003; 16:495–502. [PubMed: 14584634]
21. Weathers, F.; Litz, B.; Herman, D., et al. The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. San Antonio, TX: 1993. 1993
22. Association AP. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. American Psychiatric Association; Washington D.C.: 1994.
23. Uddin M, Aiello AE, Wildman DE, et al. Epigenetic and immune function profiles associated with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; 107:9470–9475. [PubMed: 20439746]
24. Kroenke K, Spitzer R. The PHQ-9: A new depression diagnostic and severity measure. *Psychiatr Ann.* 2002; 32:1–9.
25. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2001; 16:606–613. [PubMed: 11556941]
26. Martin A, Rief W, Klaiberg A, Braehler E. Validity of the Brief Patient Health Questionnaire Mood Scale (PHQ-9) in the general population. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2006; 28:71–77. [PubMed: 16377369]
27. Spitzer R, Kroenke K, Williams J. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD. The PHQ Primary Care Study. *JAMA.* 1999; 282:1737–1744. [PubMed: 10568646]
28. Bureau of the US Census. U.S. Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF3). Washington D.C.: 2000. <http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2002/sumfile3.html>
29. Mansfield ER, Helms BP. Detecting multicollinearity. *Am Stat.* 1982; 36:158–160.
30. Raghunathan TE, Lepkowski JM, Van Hoewyk J, Solenberger P. A multivariate technique for multiply imputing missing values using a sequence of regression models. *Surv Methodol.* 2001; 27:85–95.
31. Raghunathan, TE.; Solenberger, PW.; Van Hoewyk, J. IVEware: Imputation and variance estimation software: User guide. University of Michigan; 2002.
32. Acierno R, Ruggiero KJ, Galea S, et al. Psychological sequelae resulting from the 2004 Florida hurricanes: Implications for postdisaster intervention. *Am J Public Health.* 2007; 97(Suppl 1):S103–S108. [PubMed: 17413067]

33. Ginexi EM, Weihs K, Simmens SJ, Hoyt DR. Natural disaster and depression: a prospective investigation of reactions to the 1993 midwest floods. *Am J Community Psychol.* 2000; 28:495–518. [PubMed: 10965388]
34. Person C, Tracy M, Galea S. Risk factors for depression after a disaster. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2006; 194:659–666. [PubMed: 16971817]
35. Kessler RC, Birnbaum H, Bromet E, et al. Age differences in major depression: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *Psych Med.* 2010; 40:225–237.
36. Ohayon MM. Epidemiology of depression and its treatment in the general population. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2007; 41:207–213. [PubMed: 17113600]
37. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *JAMA.* 2003; 289:3095–3105. [PubMed: 12813115]
38. Hasin DS, Goodwin RD, Stinson FS, Grant BF. Epidemiology of Major Depressive Disorder: Results From the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcoholism and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2005; 62:1097–1106. [PubMed: 16203955]
39. Miech RA, Shanahan MJ. Socioeconomic status and depression over the life course. *J Health Soc Behav.* 2000; 41:162–176.
40. Turner RJ, Lloyd DA, Roszell P. Personal resources and the social distribution of depression. *Am J Community Psychol.* 1999; 27:643–672. [PubMed: 10676543]
41. Yehuda R. Status of glucocorticoid alterations in post-traumatic stress disorder. 2009; 1179:56–69. Status of glucocorticoid alterations in post-traumatic stress disorder.
42. Yehuda R. Biology of posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2001; 62(Suppl 17):41–46. [PubMed: 11495096]
43. Gabriel R, Ferrando L, Corton ES, et al. Psychopathological consequences after a terrorist attack: an epidemiological study among victims, the general population, and police officers. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2007; 22:339–346. [PubMed: 17227706]
44. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Causal relationship between stressful life events and the onset of major depression. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1999; 156:837–841. [PubMed: 10360120]
45. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Stressful life events and major depression: risk period, long-term contextual threat, and diagnostic specificity. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1998; 186:661–669. [PubMed: 9824167]
46. Kessler RC. The effects of stressful life events on depression. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 1997; 48:191–214. [PubMed: 9046559]
47. Armenian HK, Morikawa M, Melkonian AK, et al. Risk factors for depression in the survivors of the 1988 earthquake in Armenia. *J Urban Health.* 2002; 79:373–382. [PubMed: 12200506]
48. Armenian HK, Morikawa M, Melkonian AK, et al. Loss as a determinant of PTSD in a cohort of adult survivors of the 1988 earthquake in Armenia: Implications for policy. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2000; 102:58–64. [PubMed: 10892611]
49. Goenjian AK, Steinberg AM, Najarian LM, et al. Prospective study of posttraumatic stress, anxiety, and depressive reactions after earthquake and political violence. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2000; 157:911–916. [PubMed: 10831470]
50. Kilic C, Aydin I, Taskintuna N, et al. Predictors of psychological distress in survivors of the 1999 earthquakes in Turkey: effects of relocation after the disaster. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2006; 114:194–202. [PubMed: 16889590]
51. Najarian LM, Goenjian AK, Pelcovitz D, et al. The effect of relocation after a natural disaster. *J Trauma Stress.* 2001; 14:511–526. [PubMed: 11534882]
52. Galea S, Tracy M. Participation rates in epidemiologic studies. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2007; 17:643–653. [PubMed: 17553702]

Figure 1.

Map of sampling frame

High poverty areas were those areas with 15% or more households living at or below the poverty level, according to data from the 2000 U.S. Census [28]

Table 1

Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the sample compared to U.S. Census 2000 data for Chambers and Galveston counties, Texas

	Sample		Census 2000		p-value^a
	n	%	N	%	
Total	658		201796		
Gender					
Female	394	50.6	104196	51.6	0.836
Male	264	49.4	97600	48.4	
Age					
18-24 years	56	10.4	23885	11.8	0.483
25-34 years	105	17.9	36233	18.0	
35-44 years	109	18.9	47081	23.3	
45-54 years	120	17.2	40036	19.8	
55-64 years	117	17.6	24446	12.1	
≥ 65 years	151	18.0	30115	14.9	
Race/ethnicity					
White non-Hispanic	399	67.8	135708	67.3	0.820
Black non-Hispanic	102	13.5	28354	14.1	
Hispanic	123	13.8	31004	15.4	
Other non-Hispanic	34	4.9	6730	3.3	
Educational attainment					
< High school degree	89	19.4	42152	20.9	0.929
High school degree or equivalent	151	28.0	54850	27.2	
> High school degree	418	52.6	104925	52.0	
Household income					
< \$20,000	145	19.1	23683	22.8	0.936
\$20,000 - \$39,999	136	25.4	24773	23.8	
\$40,000 - \$59,999	102	18.2	18580	17.9	
\$60,000 - \$99,999	125	23.4	23471	22.6	
≥ \$100,000	149	14.0	13470	13.0	

	Sample		Census 2000		p-value ^a
	n	%	N	%	
Marital status ^b					
Married	311	54.5	121487	60.2	^b n/a
Living with a partner	33	7.4	--	--	
Separated	35	6.0	5420	2.7	
Divorced	84	8.6	24154	12.0	
Widowed	75	4.9	13496	6.7	
Never been married	120	18.6	37370	18.5	

^a Chi-square test comparing distribution from sample and Census 2000; two-tailed p-value.

^b Marital status was not reported in a manner similar to that used by the Census, with the inclusion of "living with a partner" as an option.

Table 2

Bivariate associations and multivariable logistic regression models predicting Hurricane Ike-related PTSD and depression in the past month

	HURRICANE IKE-RELATED PTSD					DEPRESSION				
	Unadjusted relations		Adjusted model		n PTSD	Unadjusted relations		Adjusted model		n Dep
	% PTSD	p-value	OR	95% CI		% Dep	p-value	OR	95% CI	
Total	59	6.1				44	4.9			
Socio-demographic characteristics										
Gender										
Female	46	8.2	0.161	--		32	4.4	0.758	--	--
Male	13	3.9	--	--		12	5.3	--	--	--
Age										
≥ 55 years	26	5.6	0.511	--		15	3.4	0.474	--	--
35-54 years	18	4.6	--	--		17	4.2	--	--	--
18-34 years	15	8.5	--	--		12	7.5	--	--	--
Race/ethnicity										
White non-Hispanic	28	4.4	0.095	1.00		23	4.3	0.497	--	--
Non-white ^a	31	9.5	1.74	0.70-4.28		21	6.1	--	--	--
Educational attainment										
> High school degree	37	6.2	0.978	--		22	2.1	0.028	1.00	--
High school degree or equivalent	15	5.6	--	--		16	8.0	3.99	1.17-13.68	
< High school degree	7	6.4	--	--		6	7.7	4.45	0.91-21.70	
Household income										
≥ \$60,000	17	4.4	0.900	--		9	0.7	0.004	1.00	--
\$40,000 - \$59,999	12	6.4	--	--		9	6.7	7.12	1.41-36.07	
\$20,000 - \$39,999	13	7.7	--	--		12	7.7	11.27	2.77-45.91	
< \$20,000	17	6.6	--	--		14	7.4	5.76	1.34-23.17	
Marital status										
Married	22	5.3	0.838	--		10	3.3	0.385	--	--
Separated/Divorced/Widowed	20	6.5	--	--		20	8.3	--	--	--
Never been married/Living with a partner	17	7.3	--	--		14	5.4	--	--	--
Hurricane experiences										

	HURRICANE IKE-RELATED PTSD					DEPRESSION				
	Unadjusted relations		Adjusted model		n	Unadjusted relations		Adjusted model		
	n PTSD	% PTSD	p-value	OR		95% CI	n Dep	% Dep	p-value	OR
Number of Hurricane Ike exposures ^b										
0-1	30	3.4	0.004	1.00	--	21	2.7	0.016	1.00	--
≥ 2	29	11.9		2.08	0.77-5.61	23	9.4		3.53	0.98-12.65
Number of Hurricane Ike-related traumatic events ^c										
0	39	4.4	0.003	1.00	--	33	4.7	0.685	--	--
≥ 1	20	21.1		2.74	0.75-10.05	11	6.0		--	--
Number of Hurricane Ike-related stressors ^d										
0-3	16	2.9	0.004	1.00	--	14	1.7	0.001	1.00	--
≥ 4	43	11.9		2.73	0.82-9.07	30	10.8		2.83	0.81-9.95
Lifetime traumatic events and stressors										
Number of traumatic events prior to Hurricane Ike										
0-1	13	4.0	0.696	--	--	9	2.8	0.484	--	--
2-3	22	6.7				12	5.4		--	--
≥ 4	24	7.0				23	5.9		--	--
Number of stressors prior to Hurricane Ike										
0-3	16	2.9	0.204	--	--	13	1.4	0.004	1.00	--
4-5	20	9.3				7	8.1		9.79	2.53-37.94
≥ 6	23	7.7				24	6.8		6.70	1.77-25.30

^aNon-white includes those reporting Hispanic ethnicity or Black, Native American, Asian, Pacific Islander, or other race

^bHurricane Ike exposures included being present during hurricane force winds or major flooding, being unsure about the safety or whereabouts of family or friends during the storm, being stranded during or after the storm, and performing dangerous activities.

^cHurricane Ike-related traumatic events included being physically injured, having a family member or close friend killed as a result of Ike, seeing dead bodies as a result of Ike, or experiencing other traumatic events like robbery or assault as a result of Ike.

^dHurricane Ike-related stressors included being displaced or without resources (e.g., electricity, food, water) for more than one week, reporting loss or damage of personal property or sentimental possessions, health problems of self or family member as a result of Ike, experiencing financial loss as a result of Ike, or reporting increased demands or relationship problems as a result of Ike.

Table 3

Bivariate associations and multivariable logistic regression models predicting Hurricane Ike-related PTSD and depression from Hurricane Ike-related exposures, traumatic events, and stressors

	HURRICANE IKE-RELATED PTSD						DEPRESSION					
	Unadjusted relations			Adjusted model ^{a,b}			Unadjusted relations			Adjusted model ^{a,b}		
	n PTSD	% PTSD	p-value	OR	95% CI	n Dep	% Dep	p-value	OR	95% CI		
Total	59	6.1				44	4.9					
Hurricane Ike exposures												
Present during hurricane force winds or major flooding												
No	28	4.3	0.190	--	--	22	5.8	0.542	--	--		
Yes	31	7.3		--	--	22	4.2		--	--		
Unsure about safety of family or friends												
No	18	2.7	0.002	1.00	--	12	2.2	0.012	1.00	--		
Yes	41	10.6		3.25	1.28-8.25	32	8.4		5.20	1.65-16.40		
Stranded during or after storm												
No	51	5.2	0.016	1.00	--	36	4.2	0.035	1.00	--		
Yes	8	26.2		3.51	0.91-13.46	8	18.3		2.42	0.48-12.31		
Self or household member performed dangerous activity												
No	42	4.5	0.010	1.00	--	30	3.5	0.026	1.00	--		
Yes	17	16.4		2.86	1.00-8.20	14	13.7		1.69	0.52-5.43		
Hurricane Ike-related traumatic events												
Physically injured as a result of Ike												
No	46	5.3	0.027	1.00	--	38	4.9	0.646	--	--		
Yes	13	25.4		3.62	1.37-9.51	6	3.6		--	--		
Family member or close friend killed as a result of Ike												
No	52	4.7	0.009	1.00	--	42	4.8	0.966	--	--		
Yes	7	32.3		6.37	0.64-63.55	2	5.0		--	--		
Saw dead bodies during or after Ike												
No	54	5.2	0.052	1.00	--	39	4.7	0.531	--	--		
Yes	5	31.4		1.01	0.04-27.43	4	8.3		--	--		
Any other hurricane-related traumatic event												

	HURRICANE IKE-RELATED PTSD						DEPRESSION			
	Unadjusted relations			Adjusted model ^{a,b}			Unadjusted relations			Adjusted model ^{a,b}
	n PTSD	% PTSD	p-value	OR	95% CI	n Dep	% Dep	p-value	OR	95% CI
No	57	5.5	0.082	1.00	--	42	4.9	0.713	--	--
Yes	2	26.8		1.96	0.50-7.68	2	3.6		--	--
Hurricane Ike-related stressors										
Displaced from home for more than one week										
No	24	5.7	0.702	--	--	22	2.5	0.017	1.00	--
Yes	35	6.7		--	--	22	8.6		1.76	0.63-4.91
Without any resource for more than one week										
No	18	4.6	0.378	--	--	15	4.6	0.878	--	--
Yes	41	6.8		--	--	29	5.0		--	--
Any loss of or damage to personal property										
No	5	4.5	0.589	--	--	3	0.6	0.001	1.00	--
Yes	54	6.3		--	--	41	5.5		3.97	0.96-16.44
Any loss of or damage to sentimental possessions										
No	20	3.0	0.001	1.00	--	20	2.0	<0.001	1.00	--
Yes	39	14.9		3.12	1.33-7.31	24	13.3		3.22	1.16-8.99
Health problem of self or family member related to Ike										
No	22	3.3	0.001	1.00	--	18	4.4	0.419	--	--
Yes	37	15.7		3.18	1.37-7.38	26	6.5		--	--
Financial loss as a result of Ike										
No	21	3.3	0.014	1.00	--	18	1.4	<0.001	1.00	--
Yes	38	10.5		1.77	0.69-4.58	26	10.3		4.74	1.78-12.63
Increased demands or relationship problems as a result of Ike										
No	32	3.9	0.025	1.00	--	25	5.1	0.706	--	--
Yes	27	10.7		2.14	0.93-4.94	19	4.2		--	--

^a Separate models were fit for (1) Hurricane Ike exposures, (2) Hurricane Ike-related traumatic events (for PTSD only), and (3) Hurricane Ike-related stressors.

^b Models for PTSD were also adjusted for race/ethnicity (white non-Hispanic vs. non-white); models for depression were also adjusted for education, household income, and number of lifetime stressors before Hurricane Ike.