Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem B. 2011 July 28; 115(29): 9299–9305. doi:10.1021/jp2028164.

A Re-Evaluation of Rhodopsin's Relaxation Kinetics Determined from Femtosecond Stimulated Raman Lineshapes

David W. McCamant*

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, 120 Trustee Rd., Rochester, NY 14627

Abstract

We present a theoretical treatment of the vibrational lineshape generated in a femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy (FSRS) experiment under conditions in which the probed vibration undergoes a significant frequency shift during its free induction decay. This theory is applied to simulate the FSRS lineshapes previously observed in rhodopsin.(Kukura et al., *Science*, 2005, **310**, 1006) The previously determined relaxation times for formation of the trans-photoproduct of rhodopsin were calculated using an incorrect equation for the time-dependence of the observed frequency shifts. Here the data is reanalyzed by calculation of the corrected frequency sweep occurring during the vibrational free induction decay. It is shown that the calculated frequency shifts and general conclusions of the original work are sound, but that the coherent vibrational frequency shifts of the C₁₀, C₁₁, and C₁₂ hydrogen out-of-plane vibrations occur with a 140-fs time constant rather than the previously reported 325-fs time constant. This time-constant provides an important constraint for models of the dynamics of the *cis* to *trans* isomerization process.

Keywords

Rhodopsin; Raman; ultrafast; femtosecond; non-adiabatic; vibrational coherence; bacteriorhodopsin; Landau-Zener

Introduction

In 2005, Kukura, McCamant, Yoon, Wandschneider and Mathies published a ground-breaking spectroscopic study of the ultrafast dynamics of rhodopsin, the mammalian photoactive retinal pigment.¹ Their femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy (FSRS) results showed that the molecular isomerization that forms the primary event in vision is completed on the ground electronic-state surface. Rhodopsin, a transmembrane protein in the G-protein coupled receptor family, is activated when its covalently bound 11-*cis* retinal absorbs light and isomerizes to all-*trans* retinal in ~200 fs (see Fig. 1).² Previous work by Mathies and coworkers had established that the *cis-trans* isomerization was complete in 200 fs and that the photoproduct present by ~0.5 ps was definitely in the all-*trans* configuration, though highly twisted along the carbon backbone.^{3–7} These conclusions were further supported by time-resolved fluorescence and transient absorption anisotropy.^{8,9} Recently, Mathies, Garavelli, Cerullo and coworkers established that retinal distorts to reach the conical intersection between the excited and ground electronic states in 80 fs, following the absorption of light, and that the photoproduct absorption spectrum appears abruptly at 150 fs.¹⁰

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: mcamant@chem.rochester.edu.

Kukura et al. (including this author) probed rhodopsin's photochemical dynamics using FSRS, a vibrational spectroscopy with simultaneous 90-fs time resolution and better than 15 cm^{-1} spectral resolution.¹⁰⁻¹² In that work, they were able to observe the time-dependent reformation of the transiently broken $\text{C}_{11}=\text{C}_{12}$ π -bond that occurs in less than 500 fs and was thereby inaccessible to other structurally sensitive techniques.¹ Importantly, FSRS is a coherent spectroscopy in which changes in the vibrational frequencies that occur faster than the vibrational dephasing time can be detected because of the phase shifts and hence interference patterns that they produce in the resultant spectra. In rhodopsin, frequency sweeps occurring within the FID of the probed vibration produce dispersive lineshapes via the heterodyned detection of the Raman signal with the probe pulse acting as a local oscillator.¹³ The critical advance of the FSRS rhodopsin study was the observation of spectral shifts occurring in the hydrogen-out-of-plane (HOOP) vibrations near the point of isomerization. It was found that the C_{10} , C_{11} and C_{12} HOOPs have an extremely weak restoring force 200 fs after excitation, shortly after the isomerization, and that the restoring force increases dramatically as the π -bond reforms and the carbon backbone relaxes on the 200–500-fs time scale. The frequency up-shift of these modes was simulated and found to be consistent with an exponential frequency shift of these vibrations occurring with a 325-fs time-constant.

In this work, we present a corrected functional form for the vibrational phase that is consistent with the physical picture of a time-dependent instantaneous frequency of the HOOP vibrations. We also show that the mathematical treatment of the phase of the HOOP vibrations was incorrect in ref. 1. Using the corrected model, we recalculate the best vibrational parameters to fit the previously measured HOOP FSRS spectra.

Theory

Previous simulations of the time-dependent frequency shifts observed using FSRS determined the vibrational phase, that is the argument of the cosine function, by multiplying the expected frequency shift by time. Hence, an oscillator with time dependent frequency, $\omega(t)$, was assumed to have a vibrational phase of simply, $\omega(t) \cdot t$. It was expected that the frequency of the HOOP modes would shift from the initial low-frequency, large-period value to the high-frequency, short-period values with first-order kinetics. A schematic of the theoretical treatment is shown in Figure 2. The period of the vibration was modeled with:

$$\tau^{\text{vib}}(t) = \begin{cases} \tau_i, & t < -\Delta t \\ \tau_f + (\tau_i - \tau_f)e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}, & t > -\Delta t \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

in which, τ_i is the initial period, τ_f is the final period and Γ is the time-constant for relaxation between the two states. We have also adopted the convention of Kukura et al. in which the $t = 0$ is determined by the time that the probe arrives at the sample, so that the term $(t + \Delta t)$ is used to shift the beginning of the dynamics, effectively modeling the prior arrival of the pump pulse $t = -\Delta t$. (Note that there was a typo in the equation presented in the supporting online material of ref (1), in which the “ $+\Delta t$ ” term was left out of the numerator of the exponent. In general, we will try to use the same notation as Kukura et al. to facilitate comparison.) In reference 1, the oscillations of the HOOP normal coordinate were modeled as:

$$Q_{\text{vib}}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{for } t < 0 \\ \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_2}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau_{\text{vib}}(t)}\right) & , \text{for } t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Using this model, the spectra were simulated and τ_i , τ_f , Γ and T_2 were adjusted to get the best fit to the observed spectra. In this model, the observed FSRS peak is determined from the FID of the vibration that initiates at $t = 0$, and decays exponentially with dephasing time-constant T_2 .

However, this model from reference 1 assumes that $\tau^{vib}(t)$ represented the “instantaneous” period of the evolving vibration, i.e. the inverse of the instantaneous frequency. Instead, the instantaneous frequency of a sinusoidal oscillation with a time-dependent frequency, is:

$$\omega(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) \quad (3)$$

which requires that:

$$\varphi(t) = \int_0^t \omega(t) dt. \quad (4)$$

In reference 1, it was assumed that the phase was simply

$$\varphi(t) = \omega(t) \cdot t = \frac{2\pi \cdot t}{\tau_{vib}(t)} = \frac{2\pi \cdot t}{\tau_f + (\tau_i - \tau_f)e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}}. \quad (5)$$

In which case the instantaneous frequency is actually

$$\omega(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{2\pi \cdot t}{\tau_f + (\tau_i - \tau_f)e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}} \right] \quad (6)$$

or

$$\omega(t) = \frac{2\pi}{\tau_f + (\tau_i - \tau_f)e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}} + \frac{2\pi(\tau_i - \tau_f) \cdot t \cdot e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}}{\Gamma(\tau_f + (\tau_i - \tau_f)e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma})^2}. \quad (7)$$

The first term in eq. 7 is the intended time-dependent frequency, but the second term, which is always positive at $t > 0$ and $(\tau_i - \tau_f) > 0$, indicates that the actual instantaneous frequency of the model is higher than originally interpreted. Additionally, this instantaneous frequency is qualitatively not physically reasonable, because at the same time delay relative to the actinic pump, $t + \Delta t$, the FID has a different instantaneous frequency depending on the pump-probe delay, Δt . For instance, the C₁₀ HOOP has an instantaneous frequency of 816 cm⁻¹ at $t = 100$ fs and $\Delta t = 200$ fs (that is 100 fs after the probe and 300 fs after the actinic pump), but 806 cm⁻¹ at $t = 50$ fs, $\Delta t = 250$ fs (50 fs after the probe and 300 fs after the pump). In other words, the instantaneous frequency is different 100 fs into the FID in the experiment with a 200-fs pump-probe time delay, than it is 50 fs into the FID in a 250-fs pump-probe time delay. This variation violates the foundational principle of the experiment: that the frequencies observed in the FID are the natural frequencies of the photoproduct at a particular time point in the reaction following the reaction's initiation by the actinic pump pulse.

These considerations are highlighted in Figure 3, which shows the intended frequency shift of the C₁₀ HOOP, for which $\tau_i = 47$ fs, $\tau_f = 38.5$ fs ($\nu_i = 710$ cm⁻¹ and $\nu_f = 866$ cm⁻¹) and $\Gamma = 325$ fs were determined in the original work. Also shown is the actual instantaneous frequency determined from eq. 7. Clearly, the instantaneous frequency increases much more rapidly than the 325-fs time-constant would imply. Also, the instantaneous frequency is seen to actually overshoot the final frequency between 450 and 2000 fs, an artifact of the model that is not physically reasonable. The overshoot is most significant for small Δt , reaching a maximum frequency of 880 cm⁻¹ at $t + \Delta t = 800$ fs when $\Delta t = 200$ fs (i.e. 600 fs into the FID of a $\Delta t = 200$ -fs experiment). In order to corroborate the derivation of eq. 6, we have computed the instantaneous frequency of eq. 2, by directly computing eq. 2 and then Fourier transforming the result using a Gaussian window function to select 100-fs regions at particular times (circles and squares, Fig. 3). The numerical Fourier transforms confirm the calculus of eq. 7.

To correct these problems with the previous simulations, it is only necessary to integrate eq. 3, given an appropriate physical model of the frequency shift, $\omega(t)$. For rhodopsin, the intended physical model is essentially just that of eq. 1: an exponential shift from one instantaneous frequency to another,

$$\omega(t) = \begin{cases} \omega_i, & t < -\Delta t \\ \omega_i + \Delta\omega \left(1 - e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}\right), & t > -\Delta t \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where ω_i is the initial frequency at $t + \Delta t = 0$, and $\Delta\omega = \omega_f - \omega_i$. Hereafter, we only treat the experimentally probed times corresponding to the second line of eq. 8, in which $t > -\Delta t$. The phase for the $\cos(\varphi(t))$ term is:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= \int_0^t \left\{ \omega_i + \Delta\omega \left(1 - e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}\right) \right\} dt \\ &= \omega_i \cdot t + \Delta\omega \cdot t - \Gamma\Delta\omega \left(1 - e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here we have changed from modeling the time-dependent period, as in eq. 1, to the time-dependent frequency, which allows simpler notation. Equation 9 now fits a physically intuitive model, in that the instantaneous frequency is constant for a given time delay from excitation, $t + \Delta t$, independent of the partitioning of that delay into the pump-probe delay, Δt , and the FID time, t .

The FSRS lineshape is generated using established spectroscopic theory.^{1, 13} The signal electric field, E_{FSRS} , is generated by the oscillatory vibrational amplitude that modulates the molecular polarizability according to

$$\begin{aligned} E_{FSRS}(t) &= b \cdot E_{Rpu}(t) \cdot \sum_i \alpha'_i \cdot Q_i(t) \\ &= b \cdot E_{Rpu}(t) \cdot \sum_i \alpha'_i \exp\left(\frac{-t}{T_{2,i}}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\omega_i \cdot t + \Delta\omega_i \cdot t - \Gamma_i \Delta\omega_i \left(1 - e^{-(t+\Delta t)/\Gamma_i}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

in which b subsumes several constants from the wave equation, E_{Rpu} is the electric field of the Raman pump pulse, α'_i is the derived molecular Raman polarizability of mode i , da/dQ_i , and $Q_i(t)$ is the vibrational amplitude of mode i . In the second line, we have expanded the expression for $Q_i(t)$ to include the phase $\varphi(t)$ from eq. 9 and exponential damping with time

constant T_2 . The measured *spectrum* is the intensity of the sum of the FSRS signal and probe fields normalized by the probe field intensity:

$$\Delta I_{\text{FSRS}}(\omega) = \frac{|E_{\text{FSRS}}(\omega) + E_{\text{pr}}(\omega)|^2}{|E_{\text{pr}}(\omega)|^2} \quad (11)$$

Here, we have Fourier transformed the time-dependent signal and laser fields, $E_x(t)$, to the frequency domain, $E_x(\omega)$. The spectra presented below are calculated using eqs. 10 and 11, incorporating Gaussian laser pulses with the Raman pump centered at 800 nm and having a 3-ps intensity full-width at half maximum (fwhm) and the probe centered at 900 nm and having a 20-fs fwhm. The resulting spectrum is arbitrarily scaled to match the observed signal intensities, so that the lineshapes can be compared.

Results and Discussion

The important difference with the previously reported results is the estimated rate of change of the instantaneous frequency. Kukura et al. report a 325-fs time-constant for the frequency shift of the HOOP modes as rhodopsin relaxes from photo to batho. In fact, as seen in Fig. 3, the instantaneous frequencies of their model shift much more quickly than the 325-fs time-constant would imply. We can estimate an actual time-constant for the shift to be 150–160 fs, obtained by fitting the instantaneous frequency curves for $t > 0$ to a single exponential rise. This value is refined to ~140 fs by fitting the complete HOOP spectral region (*vide infra*).

Figure 4 compares the lineshapes of the C_{10} hydrogen out-of-plane (HOOP) vibration generated using the old (eq. 5) and new (eq. 9) phases. At $\Delta t = 200$ fs, the old lineshape (dashed line) produces a negative feature at 879 cm^{-1} , due to the overshoot in the instantaneous frequency shown in Fig. 3. In contrast, when the corrected phase is used (thin solid line, Fig. 4) with the exact same parameters, this negative feature occurs at 859 cm^{-1} , i.e. below the terminal frequency of 867 cm^{-1} . This behavior is expected since the intended frequency model produces a monotonic increase in the frequency from 774 cm^{-1} at 200 fs to 867 cm^{-1} at long times with time constant, Γ , of 325 fs. However, the thin solid lines in Fig. 4 show that using Kukura et al.'s parameters in the new model produces a lineshape at 200 and 300 fs that has too much intensity and is too sharply peaked on the low frequency shoulder of the peak. Additionally, at 200 fs the 325-fs time-constant for the shift produces a positive peak at 875 cm^{-1} that is not seen in the data. Instead, if we accomplish nearly the same magnitude of spectral shift with a $\Gamma = 140$ -fs time-constant (thick solid lines, Figure 4), the lineshape reproduces the broad low-frequency shoulder in the 200-fs spectrum and the negative dip shifts to higher frequency. The 140-fs time-constant is the optimized value of Γ determined by reproducing the full spectrum of the C_{10} , C_{11} and C_{12} HOOP region (see below).

To evaluate the implications of this new vibrational phase, the parameters of the C_{10} , C_{11} and C_{12} HOOP region were adjusted to give the best fit to the experimental data (Figure 5). Because of the highly nonlinear nature of the line-shape and its nonlinear dependence on the FID parameters, it was not possible to determine the best global fit in an automated least-squares method. Instead, as in the original work, each parameter was adjusted until the gross features of the spectra were fit as well as possible. The final values of the lineshape parameters are shown in Table 1. Several changes are visible in comparing the new parameters to the original results. Most significant is the time-constant for the spectral shift, Γ , which was determined to be 325 fs in the original work but we determine to be 140 ± 20 fs. Additionally, the C_{10} -HOOP and C_{11} -HOOP modes are best modeled with initial

frequencies at 200 fs that are 10–15 cm^{-1} below the values originally determined. However, because of the insensitivity of the spectra to these initial frequencies, their precision is estimated as only $\pm 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and the frequency difference from the original work is insignificant. All other parameters are approximately the same as they were in the original work.

Examining the spectra in Figure 5, we can see both improvements and degradation in the quality of the new fit to the data. The original simulations consistently produce a large negative feature at 930 cm^{-1} that is inconsistent with the observed spectra from 300 to 525 fs. This feature is more easily seen in Figure 4, in which the old simulation parameters consistently produce a negative feature on the high-frequency side of the peak, even at a time delay of 525 fs. Our new simulations do a better job of fitting the spectra in this region while at the same time fitting the broad low-frequency shoulder from $750\text{--}830 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ seen at delays from 200 to 250 fs. The main failing of the new model is its inability to fit the full width of the 850 cm^{-1} band at delays of 300 to 1000 fs. However, it is expected that at these time delays a great deal of thermal inhomogeneity would be implicit in the spectra.¹⁴ The spectral simulations here and in the original work, do not include this effect and so the disparity in the fit is expected. In the original work, the ability of the simulation to produce this broad shoulder is simply a fortuitous coincidence of an artifact in the model. As shown in Figure 3, the original simulations produce FIDs with consistently fast exponential rise times that overshoot the terminal frequency. This artifact produces spectra that have large low-frequency shoulders even at long time-delays when there should be only small spectral shifts during the FID.

Because our new simulations reproduce the broad low-frequency shoulder at short times and the high-frequency negative dip at all times, we consider them to be improved relative to the previous parameters. However, an ideal simulation would need to include both (1) the effect of thermal inhomogeneity from 300 to 1000 fs, and (2) the anharmonic coupling expected between the HOOP modes and the coherently oscillating 60-cm^{-1} torsional mode, which is known to oscillate coherently for 1–2 ps after photoexcitation.⁴ The thermal inhomogeneity is expected to produce a down-shift and broadening of spectral features as the system equilibrates the excess photon energy deposited in the molecular network. Such inhomogeneity is unaccounted for in these simulations and would require knowledge of the time-dependent dephasing time as well as the spectral inhomogeneity. As has been theoretically predicted for 2D-FSRS experiments, anharmonic coupling to the vibrationally coherent torsion and coherent Raman cascades could produce sidebands up- and down-shifted by $\pm 60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ from each HOOP vibration.^{15, 16} In this case, each sideband could have a time-dependent positive, negative or dispersive lineshape that depended on the relative phase of the coherent torsion and the HOOP modes. However, it is very unlikely that any such sidebands are present in the rhodopsin FSRS spectra because none of the unaccounted-for wiggles in the spectral baseline occur where one would expect sidebands, i.e. at 870 ± 60 , 916 ± 60 , or $852 \pm 60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and the fundamental transitions have relatively small intensity compared to those probed in 2D-FSRS.

Our revised time constant of 140 fs for the spectral shift occurring in the photoproduct spectra implies that the equilibrium structure of the bathorhodopsin chromophore is reached more quickly than previously thought. In other words, after the photoproduct first appears ($\sim 150\text{--}200$ fs after the absorption of the initial actinic photon) the ballistic, or coherent, portion of the isomerization is complete in roughly 140 fs. Time-resolved spontaneous anti-Stokes Raman studies have shown that the photoproduct HOOP vibrations are excited both directly by the isomerization and later via vibrational relaxation of higher frequency modes in 1.2 ps.¹⁴ The resultant HOOP vibrational excitation then dissipates in ~ 2.7 ps.¹⁴ This indicates that the 140-fs spectral shift observed in the FSRS HOOP spectra cannot simply be

assigned to coherent vibrational relaxation within the HOOP vibrational manifold. If this were the case, then no anti-Stokes intensity would be present after 140 fs. Instead, after 140 fs, an incoherent but vibrationally excited HOOP population must be established, whose vibrational relaxation proceeds via normal incoherent molecular pathways. We must also reconcile the FSRs data with the observation of long-lived low-frequency coherence in a $\sim 60\text{-cm}^{-1}$ skeletal torsion that modulates the transient absorption signal with a 550-fs period,^{4, 9, 10, 17} and the simultaneous lack of modulation of the FSRs spectrum with this same period. This indicates that the HOOP vibrational dephasing time, 600 fs, is long enough that any modest frequency modulation is averaged out in the observed spectrum. Finally, it is striking that there is a consistent $\sim 100\text{-cm}^{-1}$ shift in each of the three observed HOOP vibrations, despite the calculations of Yan et al. that indicated that the $\sim 852\text{ cm}^{-1}$ C_{12} -HOOP frequency is dramatically shifted depending on the $\text{C}_{10}=\text{C}_{11}-\text{C}_{12}$ backbone twist.⁷ Those calculations found that the frequency of the C_{11} -HOOP at 915 cm^{-1} was very insensitive to the backbone twist, implying that it should arrive at its final frequency while the C_{12} - and C_{10} -HOOPs are still up-shifting to their final values. Despite this inconsistency, the dramatic time-dependent shifts implicit in the FSRs spectra indicate that after the chromophore drops into the photoproduct potential well, it spends approximately 140 fs migrating monotonically towards the equilibrium backbone geometry of bathorhodopsin. Once there, it oscillates around the equilibrium for 1–2 ps as it dissipates the excess vibrational energy deposited by the rapid internal conversion process.

The overall reaction kinetics of rhodopsin are summarized in Figure 6. Transient absorption and quantum dynamics simulations have indicated that after the system absorbs light, placing it on the excited state Franck-Condon surface (I, Fig. 6) at $\Delta t = 0$ fs, it relaxes to a conical intersection with the ground-state in 80–110 fs (II).^{10, 18} After dropping to the ground-state surface, there is a broad red-shifted absorption band that eventually coalesces to produce the first identifiable photoproduct absorption band by $\Delta t = 150\text{--}200$ fs (III). At this point, the FSRs experiment found a dramatic up-shift in the HOOP frequencies that occurs with a 140-fs time constant between $\Delta t = 200$ and 500 fs (IV^(FSRS)).¹ It is on this time-scale that the backbone π -conjugation recovers so that the HOOP restoring force increases. Finally, between 500 and 2000 fs (V), the photoproduct oscillates coherently around the photoproduct equilibrium structure as intramolecular vibrational energy redistribution and cooling dissipate the excess energy from the system.^{4, 10, 14}

The time it takes to reach the equilibrium backbone geometry in bathorhodopsin is a directly testable hypothesis that should be investigated further in computational quantum simulations. Experimentally, it has been shown that the conical intersection is reached at around 80 fs but that the characteristic absorption spectrum of all-trans photoproduct does not appear until 200 fs.¹⁰ Additionally, these FSRs simulations indicate that after its appearance at 200 fs, the photoproduct continues to structurally evolve, only reaching its equilibrium structure at times longer than $\Delta t \sim 340$ fs (i.e. 140 fs following the appearance of the photoproduct). The dynamics of approaching and departing from the conical intersection have been a significant focus of many high-level quantum dynamics simulations.^{10, 18–28} These simulations indicate that the equilibrium backbone structure is reached very rapidly, within 50–80 fs, following the $\text{S}_1\text{-S}_0$ decay at the conical intersection. Our new estimation of a 140-fs time constant for this relaxation is much closer to these computational results than the previous 325-fs value in reference 1. However, quantum dynamics studies generally do not discuss this aspect of the isomerization, focusing instead on the time it takes to reach the conical intersection, the shape of the excited state potential energy surface, and the role that atomic momentum plays in the photochemical outcome. Additionally, because of the expense of the simulations, most trajectories end at ~ 200 fs, which is insufficient to appropriately model the photoproduct equilibration. Our reassessment of the rapidity of the equilibration will hopefully spur further dynamics simulations to present directly

comparable quantities, such as HOOP instantaneous frequencies, at time delays up to 500 fs as the photoproduct relaxes in the ground-state potential energy surface.

Finally, we should address the inherent time resolution in FSRS, since while this manuscript was under review, a communication by Mukamel and Biggs was published that discusses this important issue.²⁹ In previous work by McCamant, Kukura, Lee, Mathies and coworkers, it has been shown that the FSRS lineshape is produced over the entire dephasing time, T_2 , of the probed vibration, perhaps truncated by the Raman pump pulse duration.^{1, 12, 13, 30} Ignoring potential broadening from the resolution of the spectrograph and detection system, this implies that the FSRS spectral resolution is a convolution of the inherent molecular lineshape, including T_2 broadening *and* inhomogeneity, and the spectral bandwidth of the Raman pump. As in any time resolved spectroscopy in which the laser pulses are much shorter than the relevant dephasing times, the “time resolution” of the experiment is not simply the time over which the signal is generated, which is typically 0.5–3 ps for vibrational spectroscopies like FSRS. Instead, in any such technique, one can only precisely control the time at which the coherences are initiated, after which each coherence will evolve with its own inherent dephasing time. As with femtosecond time resolved infrared spectroscopy (TRIR), “time resolution” in FSRS refers to the precision with which one can control the delay between when a photochemical event is started and when the vibrational transition is *initiated*. As such, it is measured by the convolution of the actinic-pump pulse envelope and that of the probe pulse. Certainly, as Mukamel and Biggs point out, it is wrong to imply that the FSRS signal represents the instantaneous frequencies present in the sample only at the moment the probe arrives. As they point out, and is reiterated herein and in previous work by Mathies and coworkers, the observed FSRS lineshape is representative of the vibrational frequencies present in the sample over the course of the vibrational dephasing time, T_2 , gated by the Raman-pump pulse. The ability of FSRS to extract vibrational frequency shifts that occur faster than the vibrational dephasing time is due entirely to the heterodyned detection system, in which the Raman signals are detected on top of the original probe field that initiated the Raman coherence. This heterodyned detection allows interference and thereby negative FSRS signals, which can be deconvoluted to reveal the time dependent molecular frequencies. Importantly, these same interference signals should be visible in TRIR work, in which a pump-probe beam geometry is used and the IR signal is dispersed on a multi-channel detector after the sample. This is because absorption is also a self-heterodyned technique in which a vibrational coherence is induced by the action of a short broad-band IR pulse and the subsequent narrow bandwidth IR radiation emitted by the sample is detected on top of the spectrum of the IR pulse that drove the coherence in the first place. Yet, to our knowledge no such dispersive lineshapes have been observed in TRIR to date.

Conclusion

We have shown that a corrected mathematical treatment of the theory of FSRS is necessary for situations in which there is a significant frequency change during the Raman vibrational free induction decay. With this new theory, the time-dependent FSRS lineshapes of rhodopsin can be simulated, revealing that each HOOP mode relaxes on the photoproduct potential energy surface with a 140-fs time constant, during which each mode experiences a $\sim 100\text{ cm}^{-1}$ up-shift in its instantaneous frequency. These simulations imply that the equilibrium structure of the rhodopsin photoproduct, a twisted all-trans retinal chromophore, is reached ~ 140 fs after the initial photoproduct absorption spectrum appears. This time constant represents an important experimental constraint that should be examined by further quantum dynamics simulations.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the National Institutes of Health (5R21RR025344), the National Science Foundation (CHE-0845183), and the University of Rochester, as well as helpful discussions with Philipp Kukura and Richard A. Mathies.

References

1. Kukura P, McCamant DW, Yoon S, Wandschneider DB, Mathies RA. *Science*. 2005; 310:1006–1009. [PubMed: 16284176]
2. Kandori H, Shichida Y, Yoshizawa T. *Biochemistry-Moscow*. 2001; 66:1197–1209. [PubMed: 11743865]
3. Peteanu LA, Schoenlein RW, Wang Q, Mathies RA, Shank CV. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1993; 90:11762–11766. [PubMed: 8265623]
4. Wang Q, Schoenlein RW, Peteanu LA, Mathies RA, Shank CV. *Science*. 1994; 266:422–424. [PubMed: 7939680]
5. Kim JE, McCamant DW, Zhu L, Mathies RA. *J Phys Chem B*. 2001; 105:1240–1249. [PubMed: 16755302]
6. Eyring G, Curry B, Broek A, Lugtenburg J, Mathies R. *Biochem*. 1982; 21:384–393. [PubMed: 7074022]
7. Yan ECY, Ganim Z, Kazmi MA, Chang BSW, Sakmar TP, Mathies RA. *Biochem*. 2004; 43:10867–10876. [PubMed: 15323547]
8. Kandori H, Furutani Y, Nishimura S, Shichida Y, Chosrowjan H, Shibata Y, Mataga N. *Chem Phys Lett*. 2001; 334:271–276.
9. Haran G, Morlino EA, Matthes J, Callender RH, Hochstrasser RM. *J Phys Chem A*. 1999; 103:2202–2207.
10. Polli D, Altoe P, Weingart O, Spillane KM, Manzoni C, Brida D, Tomasello G, Orlandi G, Kukura P, Mathies RA, et al. *Nature*. 2010; 467:440–443. [PubMed: 20864998]
11. Kukura P, McCamant DW, Mathies RA. *Annu Rev Phys Chem*. 2007; 58:461–488. [PubMed: 17105414]
12. McCamant DW, Kukura P, Yoon S, Mathies RA. *Rev Sci Instrum*. 2004; 75:4971–4980. [PubMed: 17183413]
13. Lee SY, Zhang D, McCamant DW, Kukura P, Mathies RA. *J Chem Phys*. 2004; 121:3632–3642. [PubMed: 15303930]
14. Kim JE, Mathies RA. *J Phys Chem A*. 2002; 106:8508–8515. [PubMed: 16552447]
15. Wilson K, Lyons B, Mehlenbacher R, Sabatini R, McCamant DM. *J Chem Phys*. 2009; 131:214502. [PubMed: 19968346]
16. Mehlenbacher R, Lyons B, Wilson K, Yong D, McCamant DM. *J Chem Phys*. 2009; 131:244512. [PubMed: 20059084]
17. Wang Q, Schoenlein RW, Peteanu LA, Mathies RA, Shank CV. *Biophys J*. 1993; 64:A127–A127.
18. Weingart O, Schapiro I, Buss V. *J Phys Chem B*. 2007; 111:3782–3788. [PubMed: 17388554]
19. Tomasello G, Olaso-Gonzalez G, Altoe P, Stenta M, Serrano-Andres L, Merchan M, Orlandi G, Bottoni A, Garavelli M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:5172–5186. [PubMed: 19309158]
20. Garavelli M, Vreven T, Celani P, Bernardi F, Robb MA, Olivucci M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:1285–1288.
21. Garavelli M, Celani P, Bernardi F, Robb MA, Olivucci M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1997; 119:6891–6901.
22. Hahn S, Stock G. *J Phys Chem B*. 2000; 104:1146–1149.
23. Chen X, Batista VS. *J Photochem Photobiol A*. 2007; 190:274–282.
24. Virshup AM, Punwong C, Pogorelov TV, Lindquist BA, Ko C, Martinez TJ. *J Phys Chem B*. 2009; 113:3280–3291. [PubMed: 19090684]
25. Weingart O, Buss V, Robb MA. *Phase Transitions*. 2005; 78:17–24.
26. Weingart O, Schapiro I, Buss V. *J Mol Model*. 2006; 12:713–721. [PubMed: 16283119]
27. Hayashi S, Taikhorshid E, Schulten K. *Biophys J*. 2009; 96:403–416. [PubMed: 19167292]

28. Frutos LM, Andruniow T, Santoro F, Ferre N, Olivucci M. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104:7764–7769. [PubMed: 17470789]
29. Mukamel S, Biggs JD. J Chem Phys. 2011; 134:161101–4. [PubMed: 21528943]
30. Yoon S, McCamant DW, Kukura P, Mathies RA, Zhang D, Lee SY. J Chem Phys. 2005; 122:24505.

Figure 1.

The primary event in vision. When the 11-cis retinal chromophore in rhodopsin absorbs a visible photon, it isomerizes to a twisted all-trans conformation, which initiates the visual response. Only the central portion of the extended retinal molecule is shown, to highlight the hydrogens whose vibrations produce strong hydrogen-out-of-plane signals in the FSRS spectra.

Figure 2.

Schematic of theoretical treatment. The photochemistry is initiated by the actinic pump (green, top) that arrives at $t = -\Delta t$. Subsequently, the FSRS transition is initiated when the probe (black, top) arrives concurrent with the peak of the Raman pump pulse envelope (red, top) at the sample at $t = 0$. The molecular vibration displacement, $Q(t; \Delta t)$ (middle), is driven into coherence by the force of the Raman pump and probe and then dephases with an exponential decay. During the vibrational free-induction decay, the instantaneous frequency of the vibration shifts according to $\omega(t; \Delta t)$ (bottom). The changes in vibrational frequency within the free-induction decay induce phase changes that produce interference patterns in the detected FSRS spectral peak, which is detected in the optical frequency domain.

Figure 3.

The time-dependent frequency of the C₁₀H HOOP in the mathematical model of ref. 1. The nominal 325-fs shift from 774 at 200 fs to the 867 cm⁻¹ terminal frequency is shown as the dark solid line. The dashed curves show the actual instantaneous frequency calculated using eq. 7, initiating at $\Delta t = 200, 300, 400, 500, 750,$ and 1000 fs. Circles and squares indicate the instantaneous frequency evaluated by windowed Fourier transformation of equation 2 with $\Delta t = 200$ fs and 500 fs, respectively.

Figure 4.

Simulated lineshape of the C_{10} hydrogen out-of-plane (HOOP) mode using the old and new models, from reference 1 and equation 9, respectively. Dashed line: theory and parameters from reference 1: $\nu(200 \text{ fs}) = 774 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\nu(\infty) = 867 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\Gamma = 325 \text{ fs}$, $T_2 = 620 \text{ fs}$. Thin solid lines are calculated using the corrected theory (eq. 9) and the parameters from reference 1. Thick solid lines are calculated using the corrected theory (eq. 9) and the best parameters determined in this work: $\nu(200 \text{ fs}) = 757 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\nu(\infty) = 870 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\Gamma = 140 \text{ fs}$, $T_2 = 620 \text{ fs}$.

Figure 5. Simulated FSRS spectra of the photorhodopsin to bathorhodopsin transition for $\Delta t = 200 - 2000$ fs. Dashed lines are simulations using the original phase expression from reference 1 (eqs. 1 and 2) and the original parameters, solid lines are the revised theoretical treatment and the revised parameters (eq. 9 and Table 1). Gray circles are the experimental data. Each spectrum is offset vertically by 0.2 for clarity.

Figure 6.

A schematic diagram of the reaction coordinate of rhodopsin. The Franck-Condon state (I) is reached upon absorption of a photon. The conical intersection with the ground-state (II) is reached 80–110 fs after photon absorption, but the first appearance of the characteristic photoproduct absorption spectrum (III) does not occur until 150–200 fs after photon absorption. After its initial appearance, the photoproduct approaches its equilibrium backbone structure with a 140-fs time constant (IV^(FSRS)) that is probed in the FSRS experiments. Finally, after reaching the equilibrium backbone structure, the chromophore coherently oscillates around that equilibrium for ~2000 fs.

Table 1

Simulation parameters determined from fitting the spectra.

Simulation Parameter	Reference 1	This work
Time-constant for spectral upshift, Γ (fs)	325	140 ± 20
Vib. dephasing time, T_2 (fs)	620	620
C ₁₀ -HOOP frequency at 200 fs (cm ⁻¹)	772	757 ± 20
C ₁₀ -HOOP frequency at 2000 fs (cm ⁻¹)	867	870 ± 3
C ₁₁ -HOOP frequency at 200 fs (cm ⁻¹)	811	812 ± 20
C ₁₁ -HOOP frequency at 2000 fs (cm ⁻¹)	915	916 ± 3
C ₁₂ -HOOP frequency at 200 fs (cm ⁻¹)	762	751 ± 20
C ₁₂ -HOOP frequency at 2000 fs (cm ⁻¹)	851	852 ± 3

Additional parameters remained nearly the same in the new fits: Hot rho 11=12 HOOP frequency = 590 cm^{-1} , intensity = 0.0053, decay time, T_2 = 600 fs; Intensity of C₁₀-HOOP = 0.0042, C₁₁-HOOP = 0.0045, C₁₂-HOOP = 0.0044; Raman pump pulse = 800 nm, 3000 fs; Probe pulse = 900 nm, 20 fs.