Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2011 January 11; 50(1): 4–16. doi:10.1021/bi101665s.

Tripping the Light Fantastic: Blue-Light Photoreceptors as Examples of Environmentally-Modulated Protein:Protein Interactions†

Brian D. Zoltowski[§] and Kevin H. Gardner^{§,*}

[§] Department of Biochemistry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390-8816 USA

Abstract

Blue light photoreceptors play a pivotal role in detecting the quality and quantity of light in the environment, controlling a wide range of biological responses. Several families of blue-light photoreceptors have been characterized in detail using biophysics and biochemistry, beginning with photon absorption, through intervening signal transduction, to regulation of biological activities. Here we review the Light Oxygen Voltage (LOV), Cryptochrome (CRY) and sensors of Blue Light Using FAD (BLUF) families, three different groups of proteins that offer distinctly different modes of photochemical activation and signal transduction, yet play similar roles in a vast array of biological responses. We cover mechanisms of light activation and propagation of conformational responses that modulate protein:protein interactions involved in biological signaling. Discovery and characterization of these processes in natural proteins are now enabling the design of photoreglatable engineered proteins, facilitating the generation of novel reagents for biochemical and cell biological research.

Organisms have evolved elegant mechanisms devoted towards adapting to environmental changes. Alterations in an organism's exposure to sunlight provide cues of time of day, further coupled with information about positioning in aquatic or forest habitats (1–15). Found in all kingdoms of life, these pathways rely on non-visual photoreceptors that involve discrete families of proteins that paradoxically share photochemical mechanisms and architectures but regulate a wide array of signal transduction responses.

To biochemists and biophysicists, the ability to synchronously excite photoreceptors gives them a unique advantage for mechanistic studies of conformational changes and their effects on signal transduction. By simply applying photons to these proteins in solution, crystals or cellular environments, scientists have a facile way to control them with exquisite temporal and spatial resolution. Subsequent analyses can then be conducted at precise delays from the initial point of excitation to create “snapshots” of photoexcitation and subsequent activation processes that often alter protein:protein interactions. Such studies are difficult, if not impossible, to conduct in other sensory systems.

While nature has evolved numerous photoreceptors sensitive to all wavelengths of light (16), we focus here on a specific subset – those sensitive to wavelengths between roughly 400–480 nm, in the visible blue region of the EM spectrum – and discuss how they harness

†Work was funded by the NIH (R01 GM081875 to K.H.G.; F32 GM090671 to B.D.Z.) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (I-1424 to K.H.G.).

*address correspondence to: Kevin H. Gardner PhD., Department of Biochemistry, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390-8816, phone: 214.645.6365 FAX: 214.645.6353, Kevin.Gardner@utsouthwestern.edu.

photochemical changes to regulate protein:protein interactions that are critical for signal transduction. After presenting a brief overview, we comment in depth on the photochemistry and signal transduction mechanisms of four families of blue light photoreceptors (summarized for the flavin-binding photoreceptors in Table 1). For those interested in additional commentaries on these topics, we note that several other recent reviews of blue-light photoreceptors offer complementary viewpoints on the photochemistry, structure and biological function of blue-light photoreceptors (4,16–21).

Overview

The primary families of blue light photoreceptors fall into two categories: 1) Domain modules that serve as sensory elements in large multi-domain signaling proteins and 2) Self-contained photoreceptors that harbor both the sensory and signaling mechanisms in a single sequence conserved module (Figure 1). The first class is primarily made up of small domains (~110–120 amino acid residues) that constitute the Light Oxygen Voltage (LOV) (5), Blue Light Using FAD (BLUF) (14) and Photoactive Yellow Protein (PYP) (22,23) families. Notably, domains within each of these families share a single conserved photochemical mechanism but control diverse biochemical responses including kinase activity, phosphodiesterase activity, DNA binding, and protein degradation (5,14). The second class is comprised of the Cryptochrome (CRY) family (24,25), although some members of the LOV, BLUF and PYP families effectively fit this description.

Regardless of whether a given photoreceptor stands alone or as part of a bigger protein complex, it couples local conformational changes in the cofactor binding pocket to rearrangements of intraprotein contacts that modulate downstream protein:protein interactions. These conformational responses in photoreceptors can be classified in accordance with their proximity to the initial photochemical event, which we will divide into three different categories for the purposes of this review. First, we consider ‘proximal’ conformational responses to be those within the chromophore and any covalently-attached protein elements directly coupled to the photocycle. The exact nature of these changes depends on the chromophore and surrounding protein environment, as photoexcitation of these systems can alter redox chemistry through electron transfer reactions, form new chemical bonds, or isomerize existing bonds within a conjugated network (16). These local chemical changes, which are chiefly configurational in nature, trigger ‘intermediate’ structural responses, which alter the immediately-surrounding protein structure through rearrangements of non-covalent chromophore/protein interactions. In turn, changes in local structure often generate a ‘distal’ response by altering protein:protein interaction surfaces that either facilitate downstream signaling processes or release inhibition of signaling domains. Below we will characterize these structural changes and their affects on protein:protein interactions for blue light photoreceptors.

Most blue light photoreceptors, including those in the BLUF, LOV and CRY classes, utilize flavin chromophores in the form of either flavin mononucleotide (FMN) or flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD). The biochemistry of flavin binding proteins has been a rich subject for both photoreceptors and non-photoactive enzymes due to their role in one- and two-electron transfer reactions in biochemistry (26). Changes in the electronic properties of the flavin isoalloxazine ring induce local chemical reactions as well as rearrangements of hydrogen bonding networks involved in protein signaling cascades. Photoexcitation of flavins rapidly induces the formation of singlet and triplet excited states, which can induce direct electron transfer from nearby tryptophans or tyrosines (27). Flavins exist in five distinct redox states: fully oxidized (FAD), one electron reduced anionic (FAD^-), neutral (FADH) and fully reduced (FADH_2 or FADH^-) (28,29) (Figure 2). Shuttling between these redox states can be achieved either chemically or through direct photoreduction (26,28,30). Each redox state has

distinct chemical and electronic properties that dictate signaling mechanisms (29). For instance, the FAD⁻ and FADH states differ by protonation at the N5 position, which can distort the electron density at N5 and the adjacent C4a carbon (29). Moreover, the chemical identity of the redox species dictates reactivity in electron transfer reactions (electron donor/acceptor), covalent attachment of small molecules or side chains, and stability in oxygen environments (26,28,31). Taken together, these changes in the electron density and planarity of the flavin isoalloxazine ring alter steric and electronic interactions with surrounding protein residues (29,32,33). These changes can provide the impetus for signal propagation by inducing local conformational changes within the protein.

Below we will outline the role of flavin chemistry in specific blue-light photoreceptors and detail mechanisms for alterations in intraprotein interactions that affect downstream signaling partners. Coupling of initial photochemical events to networks of protein:protein interactions is an area rich in information; however, less is currently known at the atomic level as to how these changes control protein signaling networks. Finally, we will review how the recent advances in understanding these signaling processes at a basic level have led to efforts to manipulate photoreceptor signaling mechanisms for biotechnology.

Cryptochromes

Cryptochromes were initially postulated to exist as an unknown blue-light photoreceptor responsible for growth and development in plants (1). They were later found in a wide array of organisms including insects and mammals, where they function as both light-regulated or light-independent regulators of circadian function (11,34–36) (Table 1). The initial discovery of CRYs in *Arabidopsis thaliana* uncovered distinct homology between these proteins and photolyase DNA repair enzymes, although the former did not demonstrate any ability to repair DNA lesions (1). CRYs can be divided into three families based on their phylogeny: 1) plant CRYs, 2) animal CRYs and 3) CRY-DASH proteins (37,38). The latter group has recently been shown to have single strand DNA-specific photolyase activity and will not be discussed here (39). Also, animal CRYs have been divided into various subtypes depending on sequence homology and their respective roles in cellular signaling (40,41). For the scope of this review, we will focus on the Plant CRYs and type I and type II animal CRYs.

Cryptochromes are composed of two structural domains linked by a long disordered segment, bearing overall structural homology to photolyases (Figure 1). The N-terminal $\alpha\beta$ domain and the C-terminal helical domain comprise the photolyase homology region (PHR) and harbor the photoactive cofactors (25,42,43). The primary pigment, FAD, is sequestered in the C-terminal domain in a U-shaped conformation that places the adenine group directly beneath the isoalloxazine ring (25,42,43). On the other side of the isoalloxazine ring is a conserved salt bridge that is required for stability and function in animal CRYs (44). While CRY photochemistry is confined to the PHR, protein:protein interactions and signaling are dictated by variable C-terminal extensions (45–49). Current structural data on CRY C-termini (CCTs) are confined to limited proteolysis and qualitatively-interpreted solution NMR spectra (50,51), confirming predictions that CCTs are largely disordered but contain regions of residual structure with conserved signaling motifs. At present, the relevant photocycle and mechanism of transducing a signal from the PHR to the CCT is largely unknown; however, some insight can be garnered from biochemical assays and comparisons to photolyases.

While the photolyase photocycle has been well characterized, the corresponding CRY photocycle is less understood. This has been complicated by many factors, including differential outputs, alternative cofactors, various functional redox states and debate over the

ground state of the catalytic FAD (24,52–55). In photolyases, two photoactivation mechanisms exist. Excitation from UV-A light promotes energy transfer from the antennae pigment (MTHF, HDF, flavins) to FADH⁻, with subsequent electron transfer from FADH⁻ to DNA lesions resulting in DNA repair (25). A second electron transport pathway involving a conserved sequence of three Trp residues (Trp triad) exists as a reactivation mechanism to maintain population of the FADH⁻ ground state in photolyases (25,53,56,57). Importantly, in CRYs the Trp triad may play a direct role in photic signaling via direct electron transfer to the active site flavin (53,57).

Many CRYs do not purify with an antennae pigment and studies differ on the wavelength specificity in action spectra and the role of the Trp triad in CRY function (53,54,58,59). Purified CRYs have been shown to be incapable of forming the FADH⁻ functional state of photolyases (52). Moreover, studies of *Arabidopsis* CRY1-2 and *Drosophila* CRY (dCRY) indicate that the Trp triad is required for function, and the signaling state is likely the semiquinone (53,55,57,58,60). In both cases, treatment with green light populates the FADH⁻ state and inhibits protein function (55,60). Notably, type II animal CRYs may not function directly as photoreceptors, but rather function as light-independent circadian regulators. Strong evidence for this is provided by the fact that mutation of the Trp triad does not affect function (35,53).

Importantly, unlike BLUF and LOV domains discussed below, photolyases do not undergo significant photo-induced conformational changes. Instead, photolyases bind DNA in a light-independent manner and act as a scaffold to orientate and sequester damaged DNA for reactivation (25). CRYs presumably differ in regards to blue-light induced conformational dynamics as they function in light-dependent protein:protein interactions that require the CCT for fidelity of the light-dark response (45–47,49,61).

Structural dynamics and light-induced signal propagation by CCTs have been validated in *Arabidopsis*, *Drosophila* and mammalian CRYs (45,49,62). Notably, CCT functions are species-specific, although cross-activation by *Arabidopsis* CRY1 and CRY2 via CCT swapping has been observed (63). In *Arabidopsis*, CRY1 and CRY2 form constitutive dimers that activate COP1-mediated, light-dependent degradation of HY5 (1,51,61,63,64) (Figure 3). CCT-fusion proteins indicate the CCT induces a constitutive response when presented as a dimeric species, indicating dark state CRY1 and CRY2 act by suppression of a COP1-WD40 interaction element (49,64–66). Similarly, light-activation of dCRY induces formation of a dCRY:Timeless heterodimer that leads to Jetlag dependent proteasomal mediated degradation (45,67,68). Degradation of Timeless is followed by light-dependent degradation of dCRY that is facilitated by CRY:Jetlag interactions (45,68). Notably, in dCRY, truncation of the CCT results in constitutive activity (45,46), indicating that the dCRY CCT inhibits a protein-docking interface, in contrast to *Arabidopsis* CRYs (Figure 3). In dCRY, additional CCT dependent interactions with the clock protein Period have been observed in yeast two hybrid experiments (48); the functional role of similar interactions within the *Drosophila* clock have not been validated.

Similar to dCRY, mammalian CRYs are involved in circadian regulation and protein:protein interactions. In mammals, CRYs may not directly sense blue-light but rather function in a light-independent mechanism. hCRY regulates the circadian clock through a CRY:PER heterodimer that interacts with BMAL:CLOCK transcription factors resulting in repression of BMAL activation (11,62). Notably, repression of BMAL requires elements within both the PHR and the CCT for functional activity. These elements include a coiled-coil like helix at the end of the PHR domain that appears to be required for interaction with Period and proper circadian output (62).

To better elucidate mechanisms of CRY function and signal propagation, clearer understanding of the CRY photocycle is required. Moreover, structures of CRY proteins incorporating C-terminal elements or in complex with physiological partners would aid in developing a model of conformational changes in CRYs. Regardless, distinct differences in *Arabidopsis* and dCRY signaling indicate that activation can proceed by either release of the signaling element (*Arabidopsis* CCT) or by release of a repressive element (dCRY CCT) (Figure 3). This theme of multiple activation pathways for a given class of homologous photoreceptors will be echoed in other groups of receptors, as seen below.

LOV Domains

LOV domains are present in prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, where they function as blue-light sensing modules regulating enzymatic activity and signaling cascades (5). LOV domains are found in multi-domain proteins, including histidine kinases (4,10,12), DNA binding domains (i.e. leucine zipper, bHLH, zinc finger) (2,69), STAS domains (70) as well as myriad others. Recently, “short” LOV proteins containing only the LOV core and N- or C-terminal extensions have been identified in bacteria and fungi (71–73). Most knowledge on LOV domain structure and signal propagation stems from biophysical studies of LOV domains from phototropins (74–77), the fungal photoreceptor Vivid (VVD) (78,79) and the isolated LOV domain from *Bacillus subtilis* YtvA (80,81) (Table 1).

LOV domains are members of the Per-ARNT-Sim (PAS) domain family of environmental sensors, and conserve the PAS fold and signaling elements. Primary surfaces involve an anti-parallel 2-1-5-4-3 β -sheet flanked on one side (A-FACE) by a series of short α -helices. The A-face comprises the photoreceptor core by binding FAD or FMN within a cavity formed between the α -helices and the β -scaffold. The other face (B-face) often mediates intraprotein and interprotein interactions in PAS/LOV domains (77,79,82), as observed in homo- and heterodimer interactions among PAS domains in the hypoxia response pathway (83,84) and Period proteins (85). Analogously, in LOV domains the β -scaffold forms dimeric contacts in structures of YtvA (80), and is implicated in dimerization of phototropin LOV1 and truncated LOV2 constructs (86–89). Alternatively, regions located to the N- or C-terminal side of the canonical LOV domain (called Ncap or Ccap elements) are bound to this surface in many LOV proteins (33,76,77). For instance, in *Avena sativa* phototropin 1 LOV2 (AsLOV2) an extended C-terminal helix (Ja) docks to the β -sheet. Similarly, the fungal photoreceptor VVD contains an N-terminal β -strand and helix that docks to the β -scaffold (33). In both cases, photoexcitation alters interactions between the core domain and additional structures, leading to functional implications for downstream signaling (33,90) (Figures 4,5).

Significant research has characterized the modes of coupling photon input to downstream signaling cascades. On the nanosecond timescale, blue-light rapidly induces the formation of the flavin singlet state that undergoes intersystem crossing to form a flavin triplet within picoseconds. Electron transfer from a cysteine within a conserved GRNCRFLQ motif, followed by radical recombination forms a long lived cysteinyl-C4a adduct (91–94) (Figure 2). The resulting C4a adduct disrupts the planarity of the flavin isoalloxazine ring, tilts the ring slightly within the frame of the surrounding protein, and leads to protonation of the adjacent N5 position (75,79,80). The N5 position is the focal point of the protein conformational response, where a conserved glutamine in the I β strand (Q513 in AsLOV2) rotates to hydrogen bond with the newly-protonated N5 (75,79,80). Importantly, mutations of the glutamine residue impair light-dark responses in LOV proteins (70,79,95).

In addition to rotation of the conserved glutamine, intermediate elements within the adjacent A β and I β strands have been implicated in signal transduction. The conserved glutamine

side chain H-bonds with elements within A β in structures of VVD and AsLOV2 (76,79) (Figure 4), allowing the rotation of Gln to propagate changes at long range to Ncap or Ccap elements. Additionally, other residues in I β are also in prime positions to respond to changes in planarity or electron density in the isoalloxazine ring itself (33). An H β strand phenylalanine unique to phototropin LOV2 domains (F494 in AsLOV2, often a leucine in other LOV domains) regulates conformational dynamics within the β -scaffold (96). This region is directly adjacent to Ncap or Ccap signaling elements, which are required for fidelity of light-dark responses in phototropins and VVD (79). Indeed, FTIR studies of LOV domains from phototropin, *Caulobacter crescentus* LovK and YtvA indicate the initial conformational response involves the β -sheet near the conserved Phe as well as neighboring loop regions (97–101). Importantly, the degree to which β -sheet elements undergo conformational changes in LOV1, LOV2 and bacterial LOV proteins differ according to LOV subtype (97,99,101). Recent studies of the histidine kinase LOVK indicate that the bacterial LOV domain undergoes modest conformational responses that correlate with changes in full-length proteins (97). Similarly, LOV1 and YtvA undergo modest rearrangements in β -sheet structure (99,101). Consistent with these studies, LOV1, YtvA and LOVK all contain a Leu at the equivalent Phe position. Moreover, Phe $\rightarrow$ Leu LOV2 variants confer a LOV1 type response to LOV2 domains (96). Similar to the phototropins, substitution of these residues along the β -sheet in VVD can lead to stabilization of the C4a adduct, increased propensity for dimerization and residual dark-state activity (33). These systems present a model for signal propagation in LOV domains that involves both H-bonding networks and conformational responses within the central β -sheet that can propagate to a conserved protein:protein interaction surface (Figure 4).

Similar to the β -scaffold dynamics, the distal conformational responses involved in regulation of kinase activity in phototropins are also divergent in LOV1 and LOV2 domains. Whereas LOV1 domains seem to be primarily involved in dimer formation that is suspected to occur in the full-length protein (87,102), LOV2 domains regulate kinase activity through blue-light induced displacement of the J α helix (4,90). Displacement of the J α helix requires disruption of the β -scaffold and the magnitude of J α movement is variable between phototropin species (98,103). In general, the phototropin model implies that a combination of Gln flipping with alterations in β -scaffold conformation disrupt interactions at the B-face of the β -sheet. Subsequent displacement of Ccap elements leads to rearrangements of phototropin domain architecture relieving repression of kinase activity (Figure 5).

A modified excitation pathway involving the β -scaffold was observed in the fungal photoreceptor VVD. Examination of light and dark treated crystals reveal alterations in H-bond distances stemming from the conserved Gln182 in the I β strand, through the β -scaffold to the Ncap (Figure 4). The alteration in H-bonding interactions induces a distal conformational response in the orientation of an Ncap Cys71 residue and displacement of N-terminal structural elements (78,79). Cys71 variants have been shown to abrogate function *in vivo* (79) or in the case of an activating Cys71Val variant result in hyper-activity as demonstrated by an extended N-termini in SAXS (104,105). Notably, these responses are required for *in vitro* light-induced dimer formation and *in vivo* formation of a VVD:White Collar 1 interaction that attenuates gene expression (78,79,106).

A third model of LOV domain signal propagation stems from structural studies of the bacterial photoreceptor YtvA. Structures of YtvA are composed of the minimal LOV domain fused to a C-terminal helix that forms an extended coiled-coil like structure with an adjacent molecule (80). As seen in phototropin and VVD, mutagenesis of the active site Gln results in impaired light-dark regulation in YtvA (107). Moreover, β -scaffold variants indicate that H β residues regulate the light-dark response (107). Comparisons of YtvA to PAS-STAS and studies of YtvA-histidine kinase fusion proteins suggest that light generates

distortions in the β -scaffold that rotate the $J\alpha$ helix activating a stress response (107,108). Thus, similar to VVD and phototropin the proximal and intermediate conformational responses at the conserved Gln and β -scaffold initiate chemical signaling; however, the distal structural effects differ depending on Ncap or Ccap elements. These variations according to LOV subtype are consistent with the observed variations in the magnitude of structural changes at the β -scaffold (97,99). Complex structures of light-activated full length proteins and protein complexes (*e.g.* VVD:WC-1) are required to elucidate a complete model of LOV protein:protein interaction networks.

BLUF Domains

Similar to LOV domains, BLUF domains couple blue-light absorption to effector domains or proteins (Table 1). The minimal BLUF structure consists of a ~100 aa ferredoxin-like fold with two helices packed to one side of a mixed 4-1-3-2-5 β -sheet (109,110). These two elements encompass the isoalloxazine ring of a bound FAD molecule, leaving the adenosine side chain protruding to the protein surface. While the minimal BLUF domains of some proteins are stable enough for biophysical study (111), this does not hold for all. NMR studies of truncated versions of several BLUF-containing proteins required a C-terminal helical extension of both *Klebsiella pneumoniae* BlrP1 and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* BlrB for proper folding (112,113)

As might be expected from this dependency of certain BLUF domains on auxiliary regions for stability, BLUF domain β -scaffolds often mediate either intra- (Ccap) or inter-protein interactions (109,110,112,114–116). For instance, *E. coli* YcgF exists as either a tetramer or pentamer when purified in the absence of its Ccap (117), but is dimeric when expressed with Ccap elements (112,117). These results are consistent with formation of large oligomeric complexes through the direct interaction of β -sheet regions as is found in the *Synechocystis* homolog PixD, which lacks Ccap elements (116,118). In the context of full-length proteins, the β -scaffold likely mediates inter-domain interactions. For instance, isolated structures of AppA form dimeric arrangements involving the β -sheet, however the C-terminal Cys-rich signaling domain is absent in all structures (109–111). In the dark, the AppA C-terminal domain is bound to the transcriptional repressor PpsR. Following blue-light treatment, PpsR is released and inhibits transcription of target genes (119–121). Similar mechanisms of activation involving release of C-terminal elements are likely and experimental evidence for coupling photon-input to signal propagation will be discussed below.

The initial photochemical events that comprise BLUF signaling are an area of intense research and much debate. BLUF domains are unique from other flavin-bound photoreceptors in that the ground and signaling state have their bound flavin cofactors in the same redox state (120,122–126). Evidence of this was provided by the early observation that photoexcitation of BLUF domains simply generated a 10 nm red shift in the visible absorbance spectrum (124,127). Time resolved spectroscopy, structural data, and QM/MM calculations have elaborated elegant models that depict rearrangements of H-bonds as the sole contributor of BLUF photochemistry (15,122,123,128–132). Importantly, several models have been proposed that depict the initial chemical events that induce intermediate and distal structural responses in BLUF domains and their effectors.

Briefly, all models incorporate three principal elements to BLUF photochemistry: the active site FAD and nearby conserved tyrosine and glutamine residues. The importance of these two residues has been repeatedly demonstrated by the ability of mutations at either site to prevent the formation of the 10 nm red-shifted active state and a corresponding loss of both *in vivo* and *in vitro* activity (124,127,133). Blue-light excitation induces a short-lived flavin excited state, which abstracts an electron from the conserved tyrosine forming a transient

semiquinone biradical (122,124,128,129,131). The following chemical events differ between two proposed models involving chemistry at the conserved glutamine: 1) a 180° flip in the side chain (128,134). 2) glutamine tautomerization (129,135,136) (Figure 6). Proponents of the latter tautomerization indicate that the short 10 ns photocycle is insufficiently long to allow for reorientation of the glutamine side chain within the constrained protein environment, but is consistent with a series of intricate proton transfers (129).

The intermediate structural responses, following radical recombination, hinge on chemical changes at the conserved glutamine position. Structural and biophysical studies provide models of conformational responses involving three structural elements conserved in BLUF domains: 1) Residues interacting with the adenosine side chain of FAD (137), 2) Residues lining the central β -sheet and the adjoining C-terminal helices (112), and 3) The β 4- β 5 loop and the β 5 strand (109,110,112,114,118) (Figure 6). While movement of residues interacting with the adenosine side chain have been observed in crystal structures (137), they are unlikely to play a substantial role in propagation of chemical signals (138). Below we will outline specific demonstrations of light-induced conformational responses with particular focus on the β 4- β 5 loop and the β 5 strand, as the ground and excited state structures of these elements have been the topic of much debate.

A common theme among structural studies of BLUF photoregulation is the proposed transmission of configurational changes near the FAD chromophore across the adjacent β -sheet, perturbing interactions with adjacent structural features. For BlrP1 and YcgF, NMR studies show such changes affect the docking of Ccap helices with the BLUF β -sheet surface (112,117). In particular, a three-residue sequence of hydrophobic residues in BlrP1 undergoes significant chemical shifts in response to blue-light. These residues directly interact with Ccap residues involved in large-scale conformational responses (112). The functional importance of these interactions is validated by the observation of reduced light-dependent conformational changes in proteins containing mutations at these sites (112). Similar, structural responses were observed in YcgF in the presence of the C-terminal helix and imply a conserved signal propagation path in BLUF-EAL proteins.

Models of PixD-PixE oligomerization also incorporate signal propagation across the β -sheet. The BLUF protein PixD is involved in blue-light induced phototaxis through direct interactions with the two-component signaling protein PixE. The two proteins form a decameric assembly in the dark, which is inhibited by blue-light. The decameric arrangement is likely composed of two pentameric rings demonstrating interactions between the β 4 and β 5 strands of the β -sheet (116,118). This region has been proposed to undergo a conformational response that disrupts PixD-PixE oligomerization and is implicated in structural responses of most BLUF domains.

The BLUF domain β 4- β 5 loop has been thought to play a particularly important role in this signaling process, triggered in part by the discovery of two different conformations in initial structures of BLUF domains (109,110). The AppA BLUF domain provided an excellent example of this, where two conformations of Trp 104 were observed (Figure 6) in constructs differing in their N-terminal sequences and mutations of Cys residues (Figure 6). The first crystal structure reported of a N-terminal truncated AppA BLUF domain had Trp104 in an internal conformation within the BLUF domain, abutting the conserved active site glutamine residue (110) (Trp_{in}). In contrast, in a subsequent structure with an intact N-terminus, the AppA Trp104 was solvent exposed (Trp_{out}) and replaced by Met106 (which formed direct H-bonds with the active site Gln63) (109). Despite initial reports that both states represented the dark state structure, later reports revealed that the Trp_{in} conformation was an artifact of N-terminal truncation (139). Notably, some reports still confirm a possible physiological role of the Trp_{in} conformation (140).

The two opposing structures revealed a dynamic structural entity in close proximity to both the signaling interface (β -sheet) and the internal photochemistry through interactions with Gln63 (AppA numbering). Fluorescence studies of Trp104 confirm a reduction in solvent exposure following blue-light treatment (139). Similarly, in depth spectroscopic and theoretical studies of the light-dark response demonstrate that Trp104 undergoes light dependent alterations in its chemical environment (129,136,139,141). Moreover, *in vivo* studies of Trp104 variants indicate it is required for fidelity of the light-dark response (142). These studies provide compelling evidence for the role of the β 4- β 5 region in mediating a blue-light response in BLUF domains.

The recent report of a dark state crystal structure of an intact full length BLUF-EAL protein, coupled with corresponding NMR studies of the BLUF domain from this protein, solidifies the Trp_{out} ground state conformation and directly implicates the β 4- β 5 region in eliciting blue-light regulated phosphodiesterase activity. Crystal structures of BlrP1 suggest blue-light dependent conformational changes in three regions (114) consistent with the three modes of conformational responses outlined here: 1) residues interacting with the phosphate backbone of the adenosine side chain, 2) the β 4- β 5 region in the BLUF domain, and 3) residues in the α 3- α 4 loop of the C-terminal cap. Light-induced changes in all of these regions were validated by solution NMR studies of the isolated BlrP1 BLUF domain, which demonstrated that illumination triggered changes in the α 3- α 4 helices of the C-cap, over 15 Å from the Gln residue (112). Importantly, the α 3- α 4 region directly contacts the EAL catalytic domain, providing a mode of signal propagation from sensor to effector (114).

Currently BlrP1 is the only BLUF-effector structure, and hence, may not be representative of the modes of excitation of all BLUF domains. In addition, similar to LOV domains the proximal (Gln tautomerization) and intermediate (disruption of β 4-5) conformational responses are conserved, however the distal output signal of BLUF domains may be dependent upon their mode of signal propagation and whether they primarily affect intraprotein interactions (inhibitory light-dark state) or whether they function directly as protein:protein interaction domains (PixE:PixD). To further evaluate the role of these sensors and the signal transduction elements more full-length structures and structures of BLUF-protein interactions are required.

PYP

Research on blue-light photoreceptors initiated with the discovery of a yellow pigmented photoactive protein (PYP) in 1985, while investigating different cytochromes (143). The discovery of PYP led to an onslaught of biophysical characterization, where spectroscopic and structural studies outlined the details of a distinct blue-light driven photocycle coupled to alterations in protein structure. Unfortunately, the biological role of PYP remains speculative, as it has been assigned only by similarities in the UV/visible absorption profile of this protein and the action spectrum of negative phototaxis by the host bacterium *Halorhodospira halophila* (144). This has limited knowledge about how conformational changes within the isolated domain are propagated in a functionally-useful biological environment. Nonetheless, PYP contains the most well characterized photocycle and conformational response within a blue light photoreceptor.

In addition to being the first blue light photoreceptor discovered, PYP was the first structurally characterized member of the PAS domain family of proteins that includes LOV domains (22). Consequently, the structure of PYP is similar to LOV domains and contains a central β -scaffold surrounded on one side by the α -helical segments that includes the 4-hydroxycinnamic acid chromophore covalently attached to a conserved cysteine (Figure 1). Time resolved spectroscopy and x-ray crystallography have mapped reaction pathways and

conformational responses in detail as have been reviewed elsewhere (145,146). Briefly, central to conformational responses in PYP is isomerization of a double bond in the 4-hydroxycinnamic acid that leads to global disruption of structure including an Ncap. The mode of signaling from this 'molten globule' state has yet to be resolved, but likely involves mechanisms similar to those outlined in other PAS/LOV domains.

Biotechnological Applications

Motivated by the ability to regulate cellular machinery with exquisite spatial and temporal specificity, researchers have modified photoreceptors for use as fluorescence reporters (147), transcriptional activation (148,149), and photoactivation of cellular responses (150). Keen understanding of the modes of molecular excitation and the modular nature of LOV domains afford them a unique opportunity to function in biotechnology applications. To date, focus on LOV-based biosensors has been primarily limited to the AsLOV2 and YtvA LOV domains.

Photocoupled unfolding of a J α helix in AsLOV2 allows for the construction of dark-state inhibited structures that are activated upon helical displacement (Figure 7). AsLOV2 fusion proteins function as blue-light photoswitches by coupling a target protein to the C-terminal helix of AsLOV2. Such an approach has been effective in construction of a photoactivated Trp repressor that can achieve up to a 5-fold dynamic switch (148). A LOV2-Rac fusion protein uses similar methodology to allow for photoinduced cell migration through relief of an inhibited Rac surface (150). Notably, structures of the LOV2-Rac fusion support a model of dark-state inhibition through sequestration of the Rac domain that can be relieved by unfolding of J α (Figure 7). Importantly, limited amplification of signal can be achieved by blue-light due to inherent thermodynamic properties of the LOV-J α construct (151,152). Solution NMR studies have shown that the LOV-J α helix interaction exists in an equilibrium between the bound and free J α states, with a bias of 98:2 in the dark and 10:90 in the light (152). The dynamic range of this conformational switch have been optimized by point mutations within the β -scaffold and J α helix (151).

Alternative photosensor scaffolds have been engineered to confer blue-light sensitivity onto a variety of targets. These scaffolds include other LOV domains that may switch through slightly different mechanisms than AsLOV2/J α , such as YtvA. Fusion of the YtvA C-terminal helix to signaling helices of a kinase domain of FixL allow for a light-activated kinase (108). Analysis of the fusion proteins reveals that effective photoswitches depend upon maintaining a proper heptad repeat within the helical connectors (108). YtvA has also been employed as a 'plug and play' enhancer of enzyme function in *Bacillus subtilis* lipase A (153). In these cases the mechanism of activation is not known, but the ability to enhance function by simply fusing two proteins is intriguing. Finally, non-LOV fusions have recently been reported in the form of PYP fusions to parts of the GCN4 transcription factor, providing blue-light regulated DNA binding proteins (154,155).

Notably, design of fusion proteins provides new tools for biologists, but also informs upon the mechanism of signal transduction and limitations of their modular design. Mutation of residues involved in the signaling mechanism allow for amplification of the maximum light-dark response (151). Alternatively, knowledge of the mechanism of adduct formation and adduct scission has allowed for modification of the LOV domain to act as a high quantum efficiency, oxygen insensitive fluorescent probe (147). Further, modification of residues important to adduct scission can allow for tunability of the lifetime of photoswitches over many orders of magnitude (33). Future tuning of the chemical reactivity of these sensors may allow for greater ranges of wavelengths of excitation and potentially for a photoreversible switch.

Future Directions

Since the initial discovery of PYP in 1985, blue-light photoreceptors have been characterized from the point of initial photon absorption to complete changes in organismal behavior. An emphasis on studying the minimal photoreceptor core consistent with full-length protein photocycles yield constructs amenable to structural studies, but may not incorporate all elements required for conformational responses. In fact, in some cases such as BlrP1, what was presumed to be minimal structural units are in fact incapable of photochemistry (112). Alternatively, analysis of isolated domains may provide spurious information due to the lack of key regulatory elements. For example, isolated LOV2 domains lacking their J α helices form constitutive dimers. To completely understand signaling networks including interdomain and interprotein interactions, complete sensor-effector proteins need to be examined by structural and biochemical methods.

From our perspective, three major questions are at the forefront of the photoreceptor field. 1). What do true atomic resolution light-state structures, obtained either in solution or through direct crystallization of light state species, look like? Currently, modes of signal propagation stem from extrapolation of conformational changes observed by illuminating dark-grown crystals, qualitatively analyzing solution NMR data or relying on other spectroscopic data that is difficult to convert into structural restraints. While these methods offer glimpses of conformational changes that lead to activation, each of them have caveats that lead to imprecise characterization of the details of these processes. 2). How do signals structurally and functionally propagate in full-length photoreceptors? Currently, BlrP1 is the only photoreceptor for which there is an atomic resolution structure of the full-length protein. As such, the generality of this model still remains to be proven for BLUF domains, and atomic resolution details of CRY and LOV-containing proteins and complexes remain a challenge. These structural studies will provide models of functional regulation that will require experimental validation, often in new pathways that are not yet characterized. 3). What is the functional Cryptochrome photocycle, and how is this coupled to CCT release? The CRY field is rich in biological information; however, little is currently known about the photochemistry or atomic level mechanisms of signal transduction. Notably, an additional relatively unexplored level of regulation in photoreceptors is posttranslational modification, which cannot be fully studied using isolated domains heterologously expressed in *E. coli*. Currently, atomic level details of such levels of control are not entirely tractable. However, as we gain new insight into the nature of molecular excitation of blue-light photoreceptors new avenues of research and new questions will emerge. Moreover, as we achieve a greater understanding of the molecular underpinnings of photoreceptor function even more flexible and useful biological tools can be constructed, ultimately affording the control of a wide array of biological responses with precise timing and positioning.

Abbreviations

LOV	Light Oxygen Voltage
BLUF	sensors of Blue Light Using FAD
PYP	Photoactive Yellow Protein
CRY	Cryptochrome
FMN	Flavin Mononucleotide
FAD	Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide
PHR	Photolyase Homology Region

CCT	CRY C-Termini
PER	Period
PAS	Per-ARNT-Sim domain
Ncap	N-terminal cap
Ccap	C-terminal cap
AsLOV2	<i>Avena sativa</i> phototropin 1 LOV2
WC-1	White Collar 1

References

- Ahmad M, Cashmore AR. Seeing blue: the discovery of cryptochrome. *Plant Mol Biol.* 1996; 30:851–861. [PubMed: 8639745]
- Ballario P, Vittorioso P, Magrelli A, Talora C, Cabibbo A, Macino G. White collar-1 a central regulator of blue light responses in *Neurospora*, is a zinc finger protein. *EMBO J.* 1996; 15:1650–1657. [PubMed: 8612589]
- Cashmore AR. Cryptochromes: enabling plants and animals to determine circadian time. *Cell.* 2003; 114:537–543. [PubMed: 13678578]
- Christie JM. Phototropin Blue-Light Receptors. *Annu Rev Plant Biol.* 2007; 58:21–45. [PubMed: 17067285]
- Crosson S, Rajagopal S, Moffat K. The LOV Domain Family: Photoresponsive Signaling Modules Coupled to Diverse Output Domains. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:2–10. [PubMed: 12515534]
- He Q, Cheng P, Yang Y, Wang L, Gardner KH, Liu Y. White Collar-1, a DNA Binding Transcription Factor and a Light Sensor. *Science.* 2002; 297:840–843. [PubMed: 12098705]
- Heintzen C, Loros JJ, Dunlap JC. The PAS Protein VIVID Defines a Clock-Associated Feedback Loop that Represses Light Input, Modulates Gating, and Regulates Clock Resetting. *Cell.* 2001; 104:453–464. [PubMed: 11239402]
- Lin C. Blue light receptors and signal transduction. *Plant Cell.* 2002; 14(Suppl):S207–225. [PubMed: 12045278]
- Lin FJ, Song W, Meyer-Bernstein E, Naidoo N, Sehgal A. Photic signaling by cryptochrome in the *Drosophila* circadian system. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 21:7287–7294. [PubMed: 11585911]
- Purcell EB, Siegal-Gaskins D, Rawling DC, Fiebig A, Crosson S. A Photosensory Two-component System Regulates Bacterial Cell Attachment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2007; 104:18241–18246. [PubMed: 17986614]
- Sancar A. Regulation of the mammalian circadian clock by cryptochrome. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:34079–34082. [PubMed: 15123698]
- Swartz TE, Tseng T-S, Frederickson MA, Paris G, Comerci DJ, Rajashekara G, Kim J-G, Mudgett MB, Splitter GA, Ugalde RA, Goldbaum F, Briggs AWR, Bogomolni RA. Blue-Light-Activated Histidine Kinases: Two Component Sensors in Bacteria. *Science.* 2007; 317:1090–1093. [PubMed: 17717187]
- Gomelsky M, Kaplan S. appA, a novel gene encoding a trans-acting factor involved in the regulation of photosynthesis gene expression in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.1. *J Bacteriol.* 1995; 177:4609–4618. [PubMed: 7642486]
- Gomelsky M, Klug G. BLUF: a novel FAD-binding domain involved in sensory transduction in microorganisms. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2002; 27:497–500. [PubMed: 12368079]
- Masuda S, Hasegawa K, Ishii A, Ono TA. Light-induced structural changes in a putative blue-light receptor with a novel FAD binding fold sensor of blue-light using FAD (BLUF); Slr1694 of *synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:5304–5313. [PubMed: 15122896]
- Möglich A, Yang X, Ayers RA, Moffat K. Structure and function of plant photoreceptors. *Annu Rev Plant Biol.* 2010; 61:21–47. [PubMed: 20192744]

17. Demarsy E, Fankhauser C. Higher plants use LOV to perceive blue light. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*. 2009; 12:69–74. [PubMed: 18930433]
18. Losi A. Flavin-based blue-light photosensors: A photobiophysics update. *Photochemistry and Photobiology*. 2007; 83:1283–1300. [PubMed: 18028200]
19. Losi A, Gartner W. Bacterial bilin- and flavin-binding photoreceptors. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*. 2008; 7:1168–1178. [PubMed: 18846280]
20. Ozturk N, Song SH, Ozgur S, Selby CP, Morrison L, Partch C, Zhong D, Sancar A. Structure and function of animal cryptochromes. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol*. 2007; 72:119–131. [PubMed: 18419269]
21. Tokutomi S, Matsuoka D, Ziklhar K. Molecular structure and regulation of phototropin kinase by blue light. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and Proteomics*. 2008; 1784:133–142.
22. Borgstahl GEO, Williams DR, Getzoff ED. 1.4 Angstrom Structure of Photoactive Yellow Protein, a Cytosolic Photoreceptor: Unusual Fold, Active Site, and Chromophore. *Biochemistry*. 1995; 34:6278–6287. [PubMed: 7756254]
23. Kort R, Hoff WD, Van West M, Kroon AR, Hoffer SM, Vlieg KH, Crieleand W, Van Beeumen JJ, Hellingwerf KJ. The xanthopsins: a new family of eubacterial blue-light photoreceptors. *EMBO J*. 1996; 15:3209–3218. [PubMed: 8670821]
24. Partch CL, Sancar A. Photochemistry and photobiology of cryptochrome blue-light photopigments: the search for a photocycle. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2005; 81:1291–1304. [PubMed: 16164372]
25. Sancar A. Structure and function of DNA photolyase and cryptochrome blue-light photoreceptors. *Chem Rev*. 2003; 103:2203–2237. [PubMed: 12797829]
26. Massey V. The chemical and biological versatility of riboflavin. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2000; 28:283–296. [PubMed: 10961912]
27. Crovetto L, Braslavsky SE. Photoinduced electron transfer to triplet flavins. Correlation between the volume change-normalized entropic term and the Marcus reorganization energy. *J Phys Chem A*. 2006; 110:7307–7315. [PubMed: 16759118]
28. Edmonson DE, Tollin G. Semiquinone Formation in Flavo- and Metalloflavoproteins. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 1985; 13:593–600. [PubMed: 2993069]
29. Zheng YJ, Ornstein RL. A theoretical study of the structures of flavin in different oxidation and protonation states. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 1996; 118:9402–9408.
30. Kao YT, Saxena C, He TF, Guo L, Wang L, Sancar A, Zhong D. Ultrafast dynamics of flavins in five redox states. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:13132–13139. [PubMed: 18767842]
31. Huvaere K, Skibsted LH. Light-induced oxidation of tryptophan and histidine. Reactivity of aromatic N-heterocycles toward triplet-excited flavins. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:8049–8060. [PubMed: 19459626]
32. Druhan LJ, Swenson RP. Role of Methionine 56 in the Control of the Oxidation-Reduction Potentials of the *Clostridium beijerinckii* Flavodoxin: Effects of Substitutions by Aliphatic Amino Acids and Evidence for a Role of Sulfur-Flavin Interactions. *Biochemistry*. 1998; 37:9668–9678. [PubMed: 9657679]
33. Zoltowski BD, Vaccaro B, Crane BR. Mechanism-based tuning of a LOV domain photoreceptor. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2009; 5:827–834. [PubMed: 19718042]
34. Emery P, So WV, Kaneko M, Hall JC, Rosbash M. CRY, a Drosophila clock and light-regulated cryptochrome, is a major contributor to circadian rhythm resetting and photosensitivity. *Cell*. 1998; 95:669–679. [PubMed: 9845369]
35. Griffin EA Jr, Staknis D, Weitz CJ. Light-independent role of CRY1 and CRY2 in the mammalian circadian clock. *Science*. 1999; 286:768–771. [PubMed: 10531061]
36. Young MW, Kay SA. Time Zones: A Comparative Genetics of Circadian Clocks. *Nat Rev Gen*. 2001; 2:702–715.
37. Brudler R, Hitomi K, Daiyasu H, Toh H, Kucho K, Ishiura M, Kanehisa M, Roberts VA, Todo T, Tainer JA, Getzoff ED. Identification of a new cryptochrome class. Structure, function, and evolution. *Mol Cell*. 2003; 11:59–67. [PubMed: 12535521]
38. Lin C, Todo T. The cryptochromes. *Genome Biol*. 2005; 6:220. [PubMed: 15892880]

39. Selby CP, Sancar A. A cryptochrome/photolyase class of enzymes with single-stranded DNA-specific photolyase activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:17696–17700. [PubMed: 17062752]
40. Ozturk N, Selby CP, Song SH, Ye R, Tan C, Kao YT, Zhong D, Sancar A. Comparative photochemistry of animal type 1 and type 4 cryptochromes. *Biochemistry*. 2009; 48:8585–8593. [PubMed: 19663499]
41. Yuan Q, Metterville D, Briscoe AD, Reppert SM. Insect cryptochromes: gene duplication and loss define diverse ways to construct insect circadian clocks. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2007; 24:948–955. [PubMed: 17244599]
42. Brautigam CA, Smith BS, Ma Z, Palnitkar M, Tomchick DR, Machius M, Deisenhofer J. Structure of the photolyase-like domain of cryptochrome 1 from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; 101:12142–12147. [PubMed: 15299148]
43. Deisenhofer J. DNA photolyases and cryptochromes. *Mutat Res*. 2000; 460:143–149. [PubMed: 10946225]
44. Stanewsky R, Kaneko M, Emery P, Beretta B, Wager-Smith K, Kay SA, Rosbash M, Hall JC. The cryb mutation identifies cryptochrome as a circadian photoreceptor in *Drosophila*. *Cell*. 1998; 95:681–692. [PubMed: 9845370]
45. Busza A, Emery-Le M, Rosbash M, Emery P. Roles of the two *Drosophila* CRYPTOCHROME structural domains in circadian photoreception. *Science*. 2004; 304:1503–1506. [PubMed: 15178801]
46. Dissel S, Codd V, Fedic R, Garner KJ, Costa R, Kyriacou CP, Rosato E. A constitutively active cryptochrome in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat Neurosci*. 2004; 7:834–840. [PubMed: 15258584]
47. Parth CL, Clarkson MW, Ozgur S, Lee AL, Sancar A. Role of structural plasticity in signal transduction by the cryptochrome blue-light photoreceptor. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:3795–3805. [PubMed: 15751956]
48. Rosato E, Codd V, Mazzotta G, Piccin A, Zordan M, Costa R, Kyriacou CP. Light-dependent interaction between *Drosophila* CRY and the clock protein PER mediated by the carboxy terminus of CRY. *Curr Biol*. 2001; 11:909–917. [PubMed: 11448767]
49. Yang HQ, Wu YJ, Tang RH, Liu D, Liu Y, Cashmore AR. The C termini of *Arabidopsis* cryptochromes mediate a constitutive light response. *Cell*. 2000; 103:815–827. [PubMed: 11114337]
50. Hemsley MJ, Mazzotta GM, Mason M, Dissel S, Toppo S, Pagano MA, Sandrelli F, Meggio F, Rosato E, Costa R, Tosatto SC. Linear motifs in the C-terminus of *D. melanogaster* cryptochrome. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2007; 355:531–537. [PubMed: 17306225]
51. Lin C, Shalitin D. Cryptochrome structure and signal transduction. *Annu Rev Plant Biol*. 2003; 54:469–496. [PubMed: 14503000]
52. Berndt A, Kottke T, Breitzkreuz H, Dvorsky R, Hennig S, Alexander M, Wolf E. A novel photoreaction mechanism for the circadian blue light photoreceptor *Drosophila* cryptochrome. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:13011–13021. [PubMed: 17298948]
53. Froy O, Chang DC, Reppert SM. Redox potential: differential roles in dCRY and mCRY1 functions. *Curr Biol*. 2002; 12:147–152. [PubMed: 11818067]
54. Glas AF, Maul MJ, Cryle M, Barends TR, Schneider S, Kaya E, Schlichting I, Carell T. The archaeal cofactor F0 is a light-harvesting antenna chromophore in eukaryotes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:11540–11545. [PubMed: 19570997]
55. Hoang N, Schleicher E, Kacprzak S, Bouly JP, Picot M, Wu W, Berndt A, Wolf E, Bittl R, Ahmad M. Human and *Drosophila* cryptochromes are light activated by flavin photoreduction in living cells. *PLoS Biol*. 2008; 6:e160. [PubMed: 18597555]
56. Kavakli IH, Sancar A. Analysis of the role of intraprotein electron transfer in photoreactivation by DNA photolyase in vivo. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:15103–15110. [PubMed: 15568802]
57. Zeugner A, Byrdin M, Bouly JP, Bakrim N, Giovani B, Brettel K, Ahmad M. Light-induced electron transfer in *Arabidopsis* cryptochrome-1 correlates with in vivo function. *J Biol Chem*. 2005; 280:19437–19440. [PubMed: 15774475]

58. Ahmad M, Grancher N, Heil M, Black RC, Giovani B, Galland P, Lardemer D. Action spectrum for cryptochrome-dependent hypocotyl growth inhibition in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 2002; 129:774–785. [PubMed: 12068118]
59. VanVickle-Chavez SJ, Van Gelder RN. Action spectrum of Drosophila cryptochrome. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:10561–10566. [PubMed: 17284451]
60. Banerjee R, Schleicher E, Meier S, Viana RM, Pokorny R, Ahmad M, Bittl R, Batschauer A. The signaling state of Arabidopsis cryptochrome 2 contains flavin semiquinone. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:14916–14922. [PubMed: 17355959]
61. Yang HQ, Tang RH, Cashmore AR. The signaling mechanism of Arabidopsis CRY1 involves direct interaction with COP1. *Plant Cell.* 2001; 13:2573–2587. [PubMed: 11752373]
62. Chaves I, Yagita K, Barnhoorn S, Okamura H, van der Horst GT, Tamanini F. Functional evolution of the photolyase/cryptochrome protein family: importance of the C terminus of mammalian CRY1 for circadian core oscillator performance. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2006; 26:1743–1753. [PubMed: 16478995]
63. Ahmad M, Jarillo JA, Cashmore AR. Chimeric proteins between cry1 and cry2 Arabidopsis blue light photoreceptors indicate overlapping functions and varying protein stability. *Plant Cell.* 1998; 10:197–207. [PubMed: 9490743]
64. Rosenfeldt G, Viana RM, Mootz HD, von Arnim AG, Batschauer A. Chemically induced and light-independent cryptochrome photoreceptor activation. *Mol Plant.* 2008; 1:4–14. [PubMed: 20031911]
65. Yang J, Zhang L, Erbel PJA, Gardner KH, Ding KM, Garcia JA, Bruick RK. Functions of the Per/ARNT/Sim (PAS) Domains of the Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF). *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280:26047–36054.
66. Yu XH, Shalitin D, Liu XM, Maymon M, Klejnot J, Yang HY, Lopez J, Zhao XY, Bendehakalu KT, Lin CT. Derepression of the NC80 motif is critical for the photoactivation of Arabidopsis CRY2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2007; 104:7289–7294. [PubMed: 17438275]
67. Ceriani MF, Darlington TK, Staknis D, Mas P, Petti AA, Weitz CJ, Kay SA. Light-dependent sequestration of TIMELESS by CRYPTOCHROME. *Science.* 1999; 285:553–556. [PubMed: 10417378]
68. Peschel N, Chen KF, Szabo G, Stanewsky R. Light-Dependent Interactions between the Drosophila Circadian Clock Factors Cryptochrome, Jetlag, and Timeless. *Current Biology.* 2009; 19:241–247. [PubMed: 19185492]
69. Kataoka H, Takahashi F. AUREOCHROME: a newly found bZIP-LOV photoreceptor is a common blue light receptor of heterokonts. *Plant and Cell Physiology.* 2007; 48:S14–S14.
70. Avila-Perez M, Hellingwerf KJ, Kort R. Blue light activates the sigma(B)-dependent stress response of Bacillus subtilis via YtvA. *Journal of Bacteriology.* 2006; 188:6411–6414. [PubMed: 16923909]
71. Jentsch K, Wirtz A, Circolone F, Drepper T, Losi A, Gartner W, Jaeger KE, Krauss U. Mutual Exchange of Kinetic Properties by Extended Mutagenesis in Two Short LOV Domain Proteins from Pseudomonas putida. *Biochemistry.* 2009; 48:10321–10333. [PubMed: 19772355]
72. Krauss U, Losi A, Gartner W, Jaeger KE, Eggert T. Initial characterization of a blue-light sensing, phototropin-related protein from Pseudomonas putida: a paradigm for an extended LOV construct. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics.* 2005; 7:2804–2811. [PubMed: 16189596]
73. Schwerdtfeger C, Linden H. VIVID is a flavoprotein and serves as a fungal blue light photoreceptor for photoadaptation. *The EMBO Journal.* 2003; 22:4846–4855. [PubMed: 12970196]
74. Crosson S, Moffat K. Structure of a flavin-binding plant photoreceptor domain: Insights into light mediated signal transduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2001; 98:2995–3000. [PubMed: 11248020]
75. Crosson S, Moffat K. Phototexcited structure of a plant photoreceptor domain reveals a light-driven molecular switch. *Plant Cell.* 2002; 14:1067–1075. [PubMed: 12034897]

76. Halavaty A, Moffat K. N- and C-Terminal Flanking Regions Modulate Light-Induced Signal Transduction in the LOV2 Domain of the Blue Light Sensor Phototropin 1 from *Avena Sativa*. *Biochemistry*. 2007; 46:14001–14009. [PubMed: 18001137]
77. Harper SM, Neil LC, Gardner KH. Structural Basis of a Phototropin Light Switch. *Science*. 2003; 301:1541–1544. [PubMed: 12970567]
78. Zoltowski BD, Crane BR. Light Activation of the LOV Protein VVD Generates a Rapidly Exchanging Dimer. *Biochemistry*. 2008; 47:7012–7019. [PubMed: 18553928]
79. Zoltowski BD, Schwerdtfeger C, Widom J, Loros JJ, Bilwes AM, Crane BR. Conformational Switching in the Fungal Light Sensor Vivid. *Science*. 2007; 316:1054–1057. [PubMed: 17510367]
80. Moglich A, Moffat K. Structural Basis for Light-dependent Signalling in the Dimeric LOV Domain of the Photosensor YtvA. *J Mol Biol*. 2007; 373:112–126. [PubMed: 17764689]
81. Tang YF, Cao Z, Livoti E, Krauss U, Jaeger KE, Gartner W, Losi A. Interdomain signalling in the blue-light sensing and GTP-binding protein YtvA: A mutagenesis study uncovering the importance of specific protein sites. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*. 2010; 9:47–56. [PubMed: 20062844]
82. Taylor B, Zhulin IB. PAS Domains: Internal Sensors of Oxygen, Redox Potential, and Light. *Micro Mol Biol Rev*. 1999; 63:479–506.
83. Card PB, Erbel PJA, Gardner KH. Structural Basis of ARNT PAS-B Dimerization: Use of a Common Beta-sheet Interface for Hetero- and Homodimerization. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 2005; 353:664–667. [PubMed: 16181639]
84. Erbel PJA, Card PB, Karakuzu O, Bruick RK, Gardner KH. Structural basis for PAS domain heterodimerization in the basic helix-loop-helix PAS transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor. 2003; 100:15504–15509.
85. Yildiz Ö, Doi M, Ujnovsky I, Cardone L, Berndt A, Hennig S, Schulze S, Urbanke C, Sassone-Corsi P, Wolf E. Crystal Structure and Interactions of the PAS Repeat Region of the Clock Protein PERIOD. *Mol Cell*. 2005; 17:69–82. [PubMed: 15629718]
86. Nakasako M, Iwata T, Matsuoka D, Tokutomi S. Light-induced structural changes of LOV domain-containing polypeptides from *Arabidopsis* phototropin 1 and 2 studied by small-angle X-ray scattering. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:14881–14890. [PubMed: 15554695]
87. Nakasako M, Zikihara K, Matsuoka D, Katsura H, Tokutomi S. Structural basis of the LOV1 dimerization of *Arabidopsis* phototropins 1 and 2. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 2008; 381:718–733. [PubMed: 18585389]
88. Nakasone Y, Eitoku T, Matsuoka D, Tokutomi S. Dynamics of Conformational Changes of *Arabidopsis* Phototropin 1 LOV2 with the Linker Domain. *J Mol Biol*. 2007; 367:432–442. [PubMed: 17275025]
89. Nakasone Y, Eitoku T, Matsuoka D, Tokutomi S, Terazima M. Kinetic Measurement of Transient Dimerization and Dissociation Reactions of *Arabidopsis* Phototropin 1 LOV2 Domain. *Biophys J*. 2006; 91:645–653. [PubMed: 16679373]
90. Harper SM, Christie JM, Gardner KH. Disruption of the LOV-Jalpha Helix Interaction Activates Phototropin Kinase Activity. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:16184–16192. [PubMed: 15610012]
91. Dittrich M, Freddolino PL, Schulten K. When light falls in LOV: A quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical study of photoexcitation in Phot-LOV1 of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*. 2005; 109:13006–13013.
92. Domratcheva T, Fedorov R, Schlichting I. Analysis of the Primary Photocycle Reactions occurring in the Light, Oxygen, and Voltage Blue-Light Receptor by Multiconfigurational Quantum-Chemical Methods. *J of Chem Theory and Comp*. 2006; 2:1565–1574.
93. Kennis JTM, Crosson S, Gauden M, van Stokkum IHM, Moffat K, van Grondelle R. Primary Reactions of the LOV2 Domain of Phototropin, a Plant Blue-Light Photoreceptor. *Biochemistry*. 2003; 42:3386–3392.
94. Schleicher E, Kowalczyk RM, Kay CWM, Hegemann P, Bacher A, Fischer M, Bittl R, Richter G, Weber S. On the Reaction Mechanism of Adduct Formation in LOV Domains of the Plant Blue-Light Receptor Phototropin. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:11067–11076. [PubMed: 15339193]

95. Nash AI, Ko WH, Harper SM, Gardner KH. A conserved glutamine plays a central role in LOV domain signal transmission and its duration. *Biochemistry*. 2008; 47:13842–13849. [PubMed: 19063612]
96. Yamamoto A, Iwata T, Tokutomi S, Kandori H. Role of Phe1010 in Light-Induced Structural Changes of the neo1-LOV2 Domain of *Adiantum*. *Biochemistry*. 2008; 47:922–928. [PubMed: 18163650]
97. Alexandre MTA, Purcell EB, van Grondelle R, Robert B, Kennis JTM, Crosson S. Electronic and Protein Structural Dynamics of a Photosensory Histidine Kinase. *Biochemistry*. 2010; 49:4752–4759. [PubMed: 20459101]
98. Alexandre MTA, van Grondelle R, Hellingwerf KJ, Kennis JTM. Conformational Heterogeneity and Propagation of Structural Changes in the LOV2/J alpha Domain from *Avena sativa* Phototropin 1 as Recorded by Temperature-Dependent FTIR Spectroscopy. *Biophysical Journal*. 2009; 97:238–247. [PubMed: 19580761]
99. Bednarz T, Losi A, Gartner W, Hegemann P, Heberle J. Functional variations among LOV domains as revealed by FT-IR difference spectroscopy. *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*. 2004; 3:575–579. [PubMed: 15170487]
100. Iwata T, Nozaki D, Tokutomi S, Kagawa T, Wada M, Kandori H. Light-Induced Structural Changes in the LOV2 Domain of *Adiantum* Phytochrome3 Studied by Low-Temperature FTIR and UV-Visible Spectroscopy. *Biochemistry*. 2003; 42:8183–8191. [PubMed: 12846567]
101. Iwata T, Nozaki D, Tokutomi S, Kandori H. Comparative Investigation of the LOV1 and LOV2 Domains In *Adiantum* Phytochrome3. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:7427–7434. [PubMed: 15895986]
102. Salomon M, Lempert U, Rudiger W. Dimerization of the plant photoreceptor phototropin is probably mediated by the LOV1 domain. *FEBS Lett*. 2004; 572:8–10. [PubMed: 15304315]
103. Koyama T, Iwata T, Yamamoto A, Sato Y, Matsuoka D, Tokutomi S, Kandori H. Different Role of the J alpha Helix in the Light-Induced Activation of the LOV2 Domains in Various Phototropins. *Biochemistry*. 2009; 48:7621–7628. [PubMed: 19601589]
104. Lamb JS, Zoltowski BD, Pabit SA, Crane BR, Pollack L. Time-resolved dimerization of a PAS-LOV protein measured with photocoupled small angle X-ray scattering. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:12226–12227. [PubMed: 18715002]
105. Lamb JS, Zoltowski BD, Pabit SA, Li L, Crane BR, Pollack L. Illuminating solution responses of a LOV domain protein with photocoupled small-angle X-ray scattering. *J Mol Biol*. 2009; 393:909–919. [PubMed: 19712683]
106. Chen CH, Demay BS, Gladfelter AS, Dunlap JC, Loros JJ. Physical interaction between VIVID and white collar complex regulates photoadaptation in *Neurospora*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
107. Avila-Perez M, Vreede J, Tang YF, Bende O, Losi A, Gartner W, Hellingwerf K. In Vivo Mutational Analysis of YtvA from *Bacillus subtilis* MECHANISM OF LIGHT ACTIVATION OF THE GENERAL STRESS RESPONSE. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2009; 284:24958–24964. [PubMed: 19581299]
108. Moglich A, Ayers RA, Moffat K. Design and Signaling Mechanism of Light-Regulated Histidine Kinases. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 2009; 385:1433–1444. [PubMed: 19109976]
109. Jung A, Reinstein J, Domratcheva T, Shoeman RL, Schlichting I. Crystal structures of the AppA BLUF domain photoreceptor provide insights into blue light-mediated signal transduction. *J Mol Biol*. 2006; 362:717–732. [PubMed: 16949615]
110. Anderson S, Dragnea V, Masuda S, Ybe J, Moffat K, Bauer C. Structure of a novel photoreceptor, the BLUF domain of AppA from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:7998–8005. [PubMed: 15924418]
111. Grinstead JS, Hsu ST, Laan W, Bonvin AM, Hellingwerf KJ, Boelens R, Kaptein R. The solution structure of the AppA BLUF domain: insight into the mechanism of light-induced signaling. *Chembiochem*. 2006; 7:187–193. [PubMed: 16323221]
112. Wu Q, Gardner KH. Structure and insight into blue light-induced changes in the BlrP1 BLUF domain. *Biochemistry*. 2009; 48:2620–2629. [PubMed: 19191473]
113. Wu Q, Ko WH, Gardner KH. Structural requirements for key residues and auxiliary portions of a BLUF domain. *Biochemistry*. 2008; 47:10271–10280. [PubMed: 18771279]

114. Barends TR, Hartmann E, Griesse JJ, Beitlich T, Kirienko NV, Ryjenkov DA, Reinstein J, Shoeman RL, Gomelsky M, Schlichting I. Structure and mechanism of a bacterial light-regulated cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase. *Nature*. 2009; 459:1015–1018. [PubMed: 19536266]
115. Kita A, Okajima K, Morimoto Y, Ikeuchi M, Miki K. Structure of a cyanobacterial BLUF protein, Tli0078, containing a novel FAD-binding blue light sensor domain. *J Mol Biol*. 2005; 349:1–9. [PubMed: 15876364]
116. Yuan H, Anderson S, Masuda S, Dragnea V, Moffat K, Bauer C. Crystal structures of the *Synechocystis* photoreceptor Slr1694 reveal distinct structural states related to signaling. *Biochemistry*. 2006; 45:12687–12694. [PubMed: 17042486]
117. Schroeder C, Werner K, Otten H, Kratzig S, Schwalbe H, Essen LO. Influence of a joining helix on the BLUF domain of the YcgF photoreceptor from *Escherichia coli*. *Chembiochem*. 2008; 9:2463–2473. [PubMed: 18792053]
118. Yuan H, Bauer CE. PixE promotes dark oligomerization of the BLUF photoreceptor PixD. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:11715–11719. [PubMed: 18695243]
119. Han Y, Braatsch S, Osterloh L, Klug G. A eukaryotic BLUF domain mediates light-dependent gene expression in the purple bacterium *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; 101:12306–12311. [PubMed: 15292515]
120. Masuda S, Bauer CE. AppA is a blue light photoreceptor that antirepresses photosynthesis gene expression in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *Cell*. 2002; 110:613–623. [PubMed: 12230978]
121. Jager A, Braatsch S, Habertzettl K, Metz S, Osterloh L, Han Y, Klug G. The AppA and PpsR proteins from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* can establish a redox-dependent signal chain but fail to transmit blue-light signals in other bacteria. *J Bacteriol*. 2007; 189:2274–2282. [PubMed: 17209035]
122. Dragnea V, Waegle M, Balascuta S, Bauer C, Dragnea B. Time-resolved spectroscopic studies of the AppA blue-light receptor BLUF domain from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:15978–15985. [PubMed: 16331957]
123. Laan W, Gauden M, Yeremenko S, van Grondelle R, Kennis JT, Hellingwerf KJ. On the mechanism of activation of the BLUF domain of AppA. *Biochemistry*. 2006; 45:51–60. [PubMed: 16388580]
124. Laan W, van der Horst MA, van Stokkum IH, Hellingwerf KJ. Initial characterization of the primary photochemistry of AppA, a blue-light-using flavin adenine dinucleotide-domain containing transcriptional antirepressor protein from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*: a key role for reversible intramolecular proton transfer from the flavin adenine dinucleotide chromophore to a conserved tyrosine? *Photochem Photobiol*. 2003; 78:290–297. [PubMed: 14556317]
125. Zirak P, Penzkofer A, Mathes T, Hegemann P. Absorption and emission spectroscopic characterization of BLUF protein Slr1694 from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 with roseoflavin cofactor. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2009; 97:61–70. [PubMed: 19758819]
126. Zirak P, Penzkofer A, Schiereis T, Hegemann P, Jung A, Schlichting I. Photodynamics of the small BLUF protein BlrB from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2006; 83:180–194. [PubMed: 16495071]
127. Kraft BJ, Masuda S, Kikuchi J, Dragnea V, Tollin G, Zaleski JM, Bauer CE. Spectroscopic and mutational analysis of the blue-light photoreceptor AppA: a novel photocycle involving flavin stacking with an aromatic amino acid. *Biochemistry*. 2003; 42:6726–6734. [PubMed: 12779327]
128. Gauden M, van Stokkum IH, Key JM, Luhrs D, van Grondelle R, Hegemann P, Kennis JT. Hydrogen-bond switching through a radical pair mechanism in a flavin-binding photoreceptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:10895–10900. [PubMed: 16829579]
129. Sadeghian K, Bocola M, Schutz M. A conclusive mechanism of the photoinduced reaction cascade in blue light using flavin photoreceptors. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:12501–12513. [PubMed: 18722438]
130. Unno M, Sano R, Masuda S, Ono TA, Yamauchi S. Light-induced structural changes in the active site of the BLUF domain in AppA by Raman spectroscopy. *J Phys Chem B*. 2005; 109:12620–12626. [PubMed: 16852561]

131. Zirak P, Penzkofer A, Lehmpfuhl C, Mathes T, Hegemann P. Absorption and emission spectroscopic characterization of blue-light receptor Slr1694 from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2007; 86:22–34. [PubMed: 16996275]
132. Hasegawa K, Masuda S, Ono TA. Structural intermediate in the photocycle of a BLUF (sensor of blue light using FAD) protein Slr1694 in a *Cyanobacterium Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:14979–14986. [PubMed: 15554705]
133. Unno M, Masuda S, Ono TA, Yamauchi S. Orientation of a key glutamine residue in the BLUF domain from AppA revealed by mutagenesis, spectroscopy, and quantum chemical calculations. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:5638–5639. [PubMed: 16637622]
134. Gauden M, Grinstead JS, Laan W, van Stokkum IH, Avila-Perez M, Toh KC, Boelens R, Kaptein R, van Grondelle R, Hellingwerf KJ, Kennis JT. On the role of aromatic side chains in the photoactivation of BLUF domains. *Biochemistry*. 2007; 46:7405–7415. [PubMed: 17542622]
135. Stelling AL, Ronayne KL, Nappa J, Tonge PJ, Meech SR. Ultrafast structural dynamics in BLUF domains: transient infrared spectroscopy of AppA and its mutants. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:15556–15564. [PubMed: 18031038]
136. Domratcheva T, Grigorenko BL, Schlichting I, Nemukhin AV. Molecular models predict light-induced glutamine tautomerization in BLUF photoreceptors. *Biophys J*. 2008; 94:3872–3879. [PubMed: 18263659]
137. Jung A, Domratcheva T, Tarutina M, Wu Q, Ko WH, Shoeman RL, Gomelsky M, Gardner KH, Schlichting I. Structure of a bacterial BLUF photoreceptor: insights into blue light-mediated signal transduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005; 102:12350–12355. [PubMed: 16107542]
138. Masuda S, Hasegawa K, Ono TA. Adenosine diphosphate moiety does not participate in structural changes for the signaling state in the sensor of blue-light using FAD domain of AppA. *FEBS Lett*. 2005; 579:4329–4332. [PubMed: 16055119]
139. Dragnea V, Arunkumar AI, Yuan H, Giedroc DP, Bauer CE. Spectroscopic studies of the AppA BLUF domain from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*: addressing movement of tryptophan 104 in the signaling state. *Biochemistry*. 2009; 48:9969–9979. [PubMed: 19746968]
140. Unno M, Kikuchi S, Masuda S. Structural refinement of a key tryptophan residue in the BLUF photoreceptor AppA by ultraviolet resonance Raman spectroscopy. *Biophys J*. 2010; 98:1949–1956. [PubMed: 20441759]
141. Obanayama K, Kobayashi H, Fukushima K, Sakurai M. Structures of the chromophore binding sites in BLUF domains as studied by molecular dynamics and quantum chemical calculations. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2008; 84:1003–1010. [PubMed: 18435699]
142. Masuda S, Tomida Y, Ohta H, Takamiya K. The critical role of a hydrogen bond between Gln63 and Trp104 in the blue-light sensing BLUF domain that controls AppA activity. *J Mol Biol*. 2007; 368:1223–1230. [PubMed: 17399741]
143. Meyer TE. Isolation and characterization of soluble cytochromes, ferredoxins and other chromophoric proteins from the halophilic phototrophic bacterium *Ectothiorhodospira halophila*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1985; 806:175–183. [PubMed: 2981543]
144. Sprenger WW, Hoff WD, Armitage JP, Hellingwerf KJ. The eubacterium *Ectothiorhodospira halophila* is negatively phototactic, with a wavelength dependence that fits the absorption spectrum of the photoactive yellow protein. *J Bacteriol*. 1993; 175:3096–3104. [PubMed: 8491725]
145. Ihee H, Rajagopal S, Srajer V, Pahl R, Anderson S, Schmidt M, Schotte F, Anfinrud PA, Wulff M, Moffat K. Visualizing Reaction Pathways in Photoactive Yellow Protein from Nanoseconds to Seconds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005; 102:7145–7150. [PubMed: 15870207]
146. Imamoto Y, Kataoka M. Structure and Photoreaction of Photoactive Yellow Protein, a Structural Prototype of the PAS Domain Superfamily. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2007; 83:40–49. [PubMed: 16939366]
147. Chapman S, Faulkner C, Kaiserli E, Garcia-Mata C, Savenkov EI, Roberts AG, Oparka KJ, Christie JM. The photoreversible fluorescent protein iLOV outperforms GFP as a reporter of plant virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:20038–20043. [PubMed: 19060199]
148. Strickland D, Moffat K, Sosnick TR. Light-activated DNA binding in a designed allosteric protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:10709–10714. [PubMed: 18667691]

149. Morgan SA, Al-Abdul-Wahid S, Woolley GA. Structure-based design of a photocontrolled DNA binding protein. *J Mol Biol.* 2010; 399:94–112. [PubMed: 20363227]
150. Wu YI, Frey D, Lungu OI, Jaehrig A, Schlichting I, Kuhlman B, Hahn KM. A genetically encoded photoactivatable Rac controls the motility of living cells. *Nature.* 2009; 461:104–108. [PubMed: 19693014]
151. Strickland D, Yao X, Gawlak G, Rosen MK, Gardner KH, Sosnick TR. Rationally improving LOV domain-based photoswitches. *Nat Methods.* 2010; 7:623–626. [PubMed: 20562867]
152. Yao X, Rosen MK, Gardner KH. Estimation of the Available Free Energy in a LOV2-Jα Photoswitch. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2008; 4:491–496. [PubMed: 18604202]
153. Krauss U, Lee J, Benkovic SJ, Jaeger K-E. LOVely enzymes - towards engineering light-controllable biocatalysts. *Microbial Biotechnology.* 2010; 3:15–23. [PubMed: 21255302]
154. Morgan SA, Woolley GA. A photoswitchable DNA-binding protein based on a truncated GCN4-photoactive yellow protein chimera. *Photochem Photobiol Sci.* 9:1320–1326. [PubMed: 20835493]
155. Morgan SA, Al-Abdul-Wahid S, Woolley GA. Structure-based design of a photocontrolled DNA binding protein. *J Mol Biol.* 399:94–112. [PubMed: 20363227]

Figure 1. Representative structures of the four families of blue light photoreceptors

A) *Arabidopsis* CRY1 (1U3C) (42), with the two domains (helical in yellow, $\alpha\beta$ in blue) linked by an extended linker. The FAD chromophore is depicted in magenta. B) AsLOV2 (2VOU) (76) contains a typical PAS domain fold with the PAS core (blue) β -sheet flanked by Ncap (yellow) and Ccap (red) elements. C) Structure of AppA 1–125 (2IYJ) (109). The dynamic $\beta4-5$ loop is depicted in blue. D) PYP (2PHY) (22) is also comprised of a typical PAS fold with covalently-attached 4-hydroxycinnamic acid chromophore (green). Similar to AsLOV2 an Ncap is present (yellow).

Figure 2. Flavin chemistry

FAD or (FMN) can undergo a series of electron and proton transfers to form FAD (oxidized), FAD^{•-} (anionic semiquinone), FADH (neutral semiquinone) and FADH^{•-} (hydroquinone). Flavin species often perform chemistry at the C4a and N5 positions, including the generation of covalent adducts to both locations.

Figure 3. Schematic of cryptochrome activation and protein:protein interactions

The CRY CCT can either act as a directly repressive element inhibiting interactions at the PHR domain (top *Drosophila* model) or as a recognition element (bottom *Arabidopsis* model). In *Drosophila*, dCRY has multiple recognition partners, while the active state of *Arabidopsis* CRY is a dimer that interacts with COP1.

Figure 4. Models of signal propagation in LOV domains

Both AsLOV2 (A, B) and VVD (C) initiate signal propagation from rotation of a conserved Gln in the I β strand (Q513 in AsLOV2, Q182 in VVD). Rotation induces interactions with residues at the beginning of the adjacent A β strand, which induces alterations of H-bonds between Asp414 (AsLOV2) or induces rotation of Cys71 (VVD). Direct observation of movement of the Ncap was seen in VVD light-state crystals.

Figure 5. Schematic of LOV domain signaling

LOV domains sequester signaling elements via Ccap or Ncap elements. In VVD, conformational changes at the Ncap induce dimerization involving Ncap elements. In AsLOV2, Ccap elements lock the signaling domain (kinase blue) in an inactive conformation. Changes in Ja docking relieve inhibition.

Figure 6. BLUF domain activation and signaling

A) Mechanism of BLUF activation. Light induces radical transfer from Tyr21 to FAD, which induces tautomerization of Gln63. B) Comparison of Trp_{in} (Blue) and Trp_{out} (yellow) conformations. Movement of Trp results in alteration of the $\beta 5$ loop and adjoining helix. These perturb the conformation of Ccap regions bound on the opposite side of the central β -sheet (grey), altering effector function.

Figure 7. Structure of a photoactivatable LOV-Rac fusion

The dark state inhibits the activity of Rac (grey) via interactions between AsLOV2 (blue and red) and Rac (2WKP) (150). Light-induced unfolding of the $J\alpha$ helix (red) can relieve inhibition, presumably by allowing freedom between the two domains.

Table 1

Overview of flavin-binding blue light photoreceptors

Representative Proteins	Chromophore	Interaction Partners	Function	References
Cryptochromes				3,24,37,38,39,41,43,47,51
<i>D. melanogaster</i> (dCRY)	FAD, MTHF	Timeless (TIM), Period (PER), Jetlag	Circadian Photoreception	9,34,44,45,46,48,50,52,53,54,55,59,67,68
<i>A. thaliana</i> (AtCRY)	FAD, MTHF	COP-1	Hypocotal elongation	1,42,49,57,58,60,61,63,64,65
<i>H. sapiens</i> (hCRY)	FAD, MTHF	Period (PER), Clock, BMAL	Circadian regulator Crosstalk with diverse pathways	11,35,53,55,62
LOV Domains				5,92,94
Phototropins <i>A. sativa</i> (AsLOV) <i>A. thaliana</i> (AtLOV)	FMN	Unknown	Ser/Thr kinase Phototropism Chloroplast movement	4,74–77,86–91,93,95,96,98–103,152
Vivid (VVD)	FAD	WC-1	Carotenoid expression	7,33,73,78,79,104–106
White Collar 1 (WC-1)	FAD	VVD, WC-2, Frequency (FRQ)	Circadian Photoreceptor, Transcription Factor	2,6,106
YtvA	FMN	Unknown	Stress response	70,80–81,107
LOVK	FMN	Unknown	His kinase	10,97
BLUF Domains				14,128,129,130,136
BlrP1	FAD	Unknown	Phosphodiesterase	112,114
BlrB	FAD	Unknown	Unknown	113,126,137
AppA	FAD	PpsR	Regulation of photosynthesis	13,109–111,119–124,127,130,133,135,138–140,142
YcgF	FAD	Unknown	Phosphodiesterase	117
PixD	FAD	PixE	Phototaxis	118