Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 May 6; 13(9): 2388–2391. doi:10.1021/ol2006242.

Copper-catalyzed Synthesis of 2,4-Disubstituted Allenates from α -Diazoesters

Matthew Hassink, Xiaozhong Liu, and Joseph M. Fox*

Brown Laboratories, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716

Abstract

A Cu-catalyzed method for coupling α -substituted- α -diazoesters with terminal alkynes to give substituted allenates is described. Key to the development of a selective method was the recognition that an adventitious base catalyzes the isomerization to form the allenate product. A plausible mechanism is proposed, based in part on evidence against a mechanism that involves a Cu(I)-acetylide as a low valent intermediate.

Allenates are building blocks for complex molecule synthesis that have found use in a broad array of reactivity,¹ including nucleophilic addition reactions,² electrophilic addition reactions,³ Morita-Baylis-Hillman reactions,⁴ rearrangements,⁵ cycloaddition and formal cycloaddition reactions.⁶ Of the varied ways to create allenates,⁷ among the most versatile are methods that involve the bimolecular construction of the allene framework. Previously, such methods had generally been based on Wittig⁸ or Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions⁹ of ketenes or ketene equivalents. Herein, we describe a complementary method for the preparation of allenates, in which the allene framework is constructed through the coupling of terminal alkynes with functionalized diazo compounds.

Copper complexes are known to catalyze the reaction between alkynes with alkyl diazoacetates or *N,N*-dimethyl- α -diazoacetamide to give 3-alkynoates and 3-alkynamides, respectively.¹⁰ The first example of such a coupling was originally described by Jones and Deutschman,^{10a} and improved catalytic systems have been described in subsequent years.¹⁰ Most recently, Suarez and Fu described the CuI/MeCN catalyzed coupling between alkynes with ethyl diazoacetate or *N,N*-dimethyl- α -diazoacetamide with high yield and efficiency to give 3-alkynoate and 3-alkynamide products, respectively (Scheme 1a).¹¹ In several cases, 2,3-allenates were formed as minor products (3–5% yield) in the reactions of ethyl diazoacetate with terminal alkynes.

jmf@udel.edu.

Supporting Information Available Full experimental details, ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Prior descriptions of coupling reactions between alkynes and diazo compounds have been limited to the reactivity of diazoacetates or diazoacetimides. No catalysts have been described that function in the coupling reactions of α -substituted diazoesters. Furthermore, while 3-alkynoates can be isomerized to allenates upon treatment with base,^{7b} a one-pot method for accessing 2,3-allenates from alkynes with diazo compounds had not been described. Herein, we describe a method that couples alkynes with α -aryl- α -diazoesters or α -alkyl- α -diazoesters to provide allenates directly in good yields (Scheme 1b).

To uncover an effective catalytic system for coupling α -substituted diazoesters with alkynes, the reaction between 5-chloro-1-pentyne (**1a**) and methyl α -phenyldiazoacetate (**2**) was studied. Selected screening results are displayed in Table 1. Most Cu(I)-catalyst systems, including CuI/CH₃CN (entry 1), did not catalyze formation of allenate **3a** or alkynoate **4a**. However, CuBr supported by bipyridyl or phenanthroline ligands did produce these coupling products. Of the ligands and solvents studied, 3,6-di(2-pyridyl)-s-tetrazine (**5**) and 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) were most effective. A number of Cu-sources were also studied, and the highest conversion and yield was obtained with Cu(II)(trifluoroacetylacetonate)₂. With Cu(II) (trifluoroacetylacetonate)₂/**5** in DCE, a 70% NMR yield of **3a** and **4a** was measured. This reaction proceeds smoothly at 45 °C: reactions at lower temperatures did not proceed to completion, and higher temperatures provided no advantage. A two-fold excess of **2** was required; conversion of the alkyne was incomplete when only one equivalent of the diazoester was employed.

Using **5**/Cu(II)(trifluoroacetylacetonate)₂, we were able to obtain allenate **3a** with high selectivity over **4a**. However, the ratios of **3a**/**4a** were inconsistent, and the selectivity was dependent on the equivalency of diazoester **2** (the selectivity increased dramatically when more than 2 equiv of diazoester **2** was used). These confusing observations prompted us to understand the factors that influence the ratio of **3a** and **4a**.

Our efforts began with characterizing isolable byproducts from the Cu-catalyzed reactions of **1a** and **2**. One of the compounds that was isolated was the azine **6** (Scheme 2).¹² To probe the function of the azine **6**, several control experiments were run. An attempt to catalyze reaction between **1a** and **2** with **6** (5 mol %)/CuBr (5 mol %) did not lead to either of the products **3a** or **4a**. However, under conditions that typically lead to poor selectivity (CuBr/ligand combinations), the addition of azine **6** greatly improved the selectivity for **3a**. As shown in Scheme 2, the inclusion of azine **6** dramatically improved the selectivity for allenate formation.

The observations of Scheme 2, and the inability of **6** to serve as a ligand for the coupling of **1a** and **2**, led us to hypothesize that the azine was functioning as a base that isomerizes an initially formed alkynoate to the allenate product. Accordingly, we screened a series of simpler bases, and determined that inclusion of K₂CO₃ improves the selectivity for allenate products. Further evidence that alkynoate formation precedes allenate formation was provided by monitoring the Cu(II) (trifluoroacetylacetonate)₂/**5** catalyzed reaction between 1-octyne (**1b**) and diazoester **2** (Scheme 3). The yields of products **3b** and **4b** were monitored as a function of time. After 15 min, only alkynoate **4b** (6%) is observed. After 1.5 h, **4b** is maximized (15%), but then decreases to 2% after 15 h. Concomitantly, the yield of **3b** increases from 8% after 1.5 h to 60% after 15 h.

Finally, evidence that alkynoate products rearrange to allenate products was obtained by including alkynoate **4b** in the Cu-catalyzed reaction between phenylacetylene (**1c**) and **2** (Scheme 4). Compound **4b** was isomerized (>95%) to **3b** with >85% mass recovery under in a reaction that coupled **1c** and **2**.

The optimized reaction conditions were applied to a variety of substrates (Scheme 5). The reactions of α -aryl diazoacetates with nine aliphatic alkynes were studied, and found to proceed with an average of 62% yield (44–71%). The protocol is straightforward, and syringe-pump addition of the diazoester was unnecessary. Halogen, ether, silyl and alkene functional groups were tolerated. α -Aryldiazoacetates with aromatic substituents (*p*-F, *o*-Me, *p*-OMe) could also be utilized to give products **3h–j**.

Under a modified protocol, both ethyl diazopropionate and ethyl diazobutanoate were effective in this coupling reaction (Scheme 5). Because these diazo compounds were more susceptible to azine formation, a larger excess of diazoester (6 equiv) and a syringe-pump addition protocol were necessary. With these modifications, products **3k–m** were obtained in 65–80% yield.

With propargyl sulfides a different mode of reactivity was observed. Putative ylide formation followed by a 2,3-sigmatropic rearrangement to give α -allenyl- α -thiophenyl esters (Doyle-Kirmse reaction¹³) was observed (see Supporting Information). Notably, α -alkyldiazo compounds with β -hydrogens function efficiently in the transformation catalyzed by Cu(II) (trifluoroacetylacetonate)₂/**5**.¹⁴ Previously, there had been few reports of using α -alkyldiazo compounds with β -hydrogens in the Doyle-Kirmse reaction,^{13c,f} as such compounds can undergo an undesirable, intramolecular elimination to produce γ,β -unsaturated esters.

To provide further insight into the mechanism, we also tested whether the preformed copper acetylide **7** would couple with **2** in the presence of ligand **5** (Scheme 6a). However, neither the allenolate nor alkynoate products were detected. The alkyne was converted to hexadeca-7,9-diyne, and diazoester **2** was converted into azine **6** and fumarate dimers.¹² This observation provides support for a mechanism that does not involve initial formation of a copper acetylide.

A plausible mechanism (Scheme 6b) involves the reaction of a low-valent Cu-chelate (**A**) with an α -diazoester to give carbenoid **B**. The reaction of **B** with the terminal acetylene may proceed via an intermediate **C**, which can undergo reductive elimination to regenerate **A** and the alkynoate, which isomerizes to the allenolate product.

In conclusion, a Cu-catalyzed method for coupling diazo compounds with terminal alkynes to give substituted allenolates has been developed. Key to the development of a selective method was the recognition that an adventitious base catalyzes the isomerization to form the allenolate product. A plausible mechanism is proposed, based on evidence against a mechanism that involves a Cu(I)-acetylide as a low valent intermediate.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

For financial support we thank NIGMS (NIH R01 GM068650). NMR spectra were from instruments supported by NSF CRIF:MU, CHE 0840401.

References

1. (a) Ma S. *Aldrichimica Acta*. 2007; 40:91.(b) Ma S. *Chem. Rev.* 2005; 105:2829. [PubMed: 16011326] (c) Dinesh CU, Nandan E, Khan FA, Zimmer R. *Chem. Rev.* 2000; 100:3067.

- [PubMed: 11749314] (d) Wang KK. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:207. [PubMed: 11848751] (e) Hashmi ASK. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2000; 39:3590.
2. (a) Li C, Trost BM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:3167. (b) Li C, Trost BM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:10819. (c) Zhang C, Lu X. *Synlett.* 1995:645. (d) Dake GR, Trost BM. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997; 62:5670.
  3. (a) Kurtz P, Gold H, Disselinkötter H. *Justus Liebigs Ann.* 1959; 624:1. (b) Natsias K, Hopf H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982; 23:3673. (c) Wolf MA, Marshal JA. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996; 61:3238. (d) Ma S, Shi Z, Yu Z. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999; 40:2393. (e) Xie H, Ma S. *Org. Lett.* 2000; 2:3801. [PubMed: 11101423]
  4. (a) Tsuboi S, Kuroda H, Takatsuka S, Fukawa T, Sakai T, Utaka M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1993; 58:5952. (b) Guan X, Wei Y, Shi M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 74:6343. [PubMed: 19624114] (c) Cowen BJ, Saunders LB, Miller SJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:6105. [PubMed: 19354290]
  5. [1,5] rearrangements (a) Iglesias B, Torrado A, de Lera AR. *J. Org. Chem.* 2000; 65:2696. [PubMed: 10808442] (b) Okamura WH, Shen YG, Tapia R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986; 108:5018. [3,3] rearrangements (c) Lmabert TH, MacMillan DWC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:13646. [PubMed: 12431073] (d) Baudin J, Commenil M, Julia SA, Wang Y. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1996; 133:515. [2,3] rearrangements (e) Kirsch R, Hopf H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985; 26:3327. (f) Meinhardt NA, Boisselle AP. *J. Org. Chem.* 1962; 27:1828. (g) Banert K, Fendel W, Schlott J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 1998; 37:3289. Prototropic rearrangement (h) Baudin JB, Julia SA, Lorne R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1992; 129:440. Intramolecular ene-reactions (i) Bintz-Giudicelli C, Uguen D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997; 38:2973.
  6. [3+2] cycloadditions (a) Mercier E, Fonovic C, Henry C, Dudding T, Kwon O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007; 48:3617. (b) Wilson JE, Fu GC. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:1426. [3+3] cycloadditions (c) Guo H, Xu Q, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:6318. [PubMed: 19374356] [4+2] cycloadditions (d) Tran YS, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:12632. [PubMed: 17914823] (e) Zhu X, Lan J, Kwon O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:4716. [PubMed: 12696883] For a review Ma S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2003; 36:701. [PubMed: 12974653]
  7. Synthesis of allenates. Review: (a) Banert K, Lehman J, Krause N, Hashmi ASK. *Acceptor-Substituted Allenes. Modern Allene Chemistry.* 2004 Federal Republic of Germany Wiley-VCH: 359–424. Isomerizations of alkynoates: (b) Liu H, Leow D, Huang K, Tan C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:7212. [PubMed: 19422238] (c) Jung ME, Node M, Pfluger RW, Lyster MA, Lowe JA III. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982; 47:1150. (d) Jung ME, Lowe JA III, Lyster MA, Node M, Pfluger RW, Brown RW. *Tetrahedron.* 1984; 40:4751. (e) Yasukouchi T, Kanematsu K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989; 30:6559. (f) Ma D, Yu Y, Lu X. *J. Org. Chem.* 1989; 54:1105. Substitution of propargylic compounds: (g) Pu X, Ready JM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:10874. [PubMed: 18652467] (h) Kobayashi K, Naka H, Wheatley AEH, Kondo Y. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:3375. [PubMed: 18582072] (i) Xu B, Hammond GB. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008; 120:701. Sigmatropic rearrangements of propargylic compounds: (j) Banert K. *Liebigs Ann-Recl.* 1997:2005. (k) Horner L, Binder V. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1972; 757:33. (l) Baudin J, Julia SA, Wang Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989; 30:4965. Alkoxyacylation of propargylic compounds or haloallenes: (m) Marshal JA, Liao J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998; 63:5962. [PubMed: 11672200] (n) Marshall JA, Bartley GS, Wallace EM. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996; 61:5729. (o) Piotti ME, Alper H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997; 62:8484. [PubMed: 11671990] Electrocyclic rearrangements: (p) Banert K, Melzer A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001; 42:6133. Fragmentation reactions: (q) Sano S, Shimizu H, Nagao Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005; 46:2883. (r) Node M, Fujiwara T, Ichihashi S, Nishide K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:6331.
  8. (a) Lang RW, Hansen H. *Org. Synth.* 1984; 62:202. (b) Li C, Sun X, Jing Q, Tang Y. *Chem. Commun.* 2006:2980. (c) Li C, Zhu B, Ye L, Jing Q, Sun X, Tang Y, Shen Q. *Tetrahedron.* 2007; 63:8046. (d) Lang RW, Hansen H. *Helv. Chim. Acta.* 1980; 63:438. (e) Himbert G, Fink D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1985; 26:4363. (f) Silveira CC, Boeck P, Braga AL. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000; 41:1867. (g) Tömösközi I, Bestmann HJ. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1964; 5:1293.
  9. (a) Tanaka K, Otsubo K, Fuji K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996; 37:3735. (b) Musierowicz S, Wróblewski AE. *Tetrahedron.* 1980; 36:1375. (c) Wadsworth WS, Emmons WD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1961; 83:1733. (d) Musierowicz S, Wróblewski A, Krawczyk H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975; 16:437.
  10. (a) Jones VK, Deutschman A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1965; 30:3978. (b) Arnaud P, Vincens M, Vidal M. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1972; 2:657. (c) Nefedov OM, Dolgii IE, Shapiro EA. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR*

- Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.). 1980; 29:1493.(d) Nefedov OM, Dolgii IE, Shapiro EA. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.). 1974; 23:929.
11. Suarez A, Fu GC. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004; 43:3580.
12. Azine dimer **6**, was independently synthesized by treating **2** with *N*-hydroxyphthalimide in the presence of rhodium(II) acetate dimer. Dimethyl 2,3-diphenylfumarate was also formed in this reaction.
13. (a) Kirmse W, Kapps M. Chem. Ber. 1968; 101:994.(b) Doyle MP, Griffin JH, Chinn MS, Van Leusen D. J. Org. Chem. 1984; 49:1917.(c) Ma M, Peng L, Li C, Zhang X, Wang J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:15016. [PubMed: 16248627] (d) Zhang X, Ma M, Wang J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2003; 14:891.(e) Liao M, Wang J. Green Chem. 2007; 9:184.(f) Peng L, Zhang X, Ma M, Wang J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007; 46:1905.(g) Shi G, Xu M, Xu Y. Tetrahedron. 1991; 47:1629.(h) Nishibayashi Y, Ohe K, Uemura S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995; 1245(i) Assanelli G, Albrecht SJ, Davies PW. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009; 7:1276. [PubMed: 19300808]
14. With phenyl propargyl sulfide, ethyl α -diazopropionate gave ethyl α -allenyl- α -(phenylthio)propionate (85%); ethyl α -diazobutyrate gave ethyl α -allenyl- α -(phenylthio)butyrate (95%); methyl α -diazo- α -phenylacetate gave methyl α -allenyl- α -(phenyl)propionate (77%).

a Fu (2004) **b** This work **Scheme 1.**

Cu-catalyzed coupling reactions of alkynes with diazo compounds

ligand

with **6:3a/4a** = 50:1
without **6:3a/4a** = 1:1^a

with **6:3a/4a** = 4:1
without **6:3a/4a** = 1:1^b

with **6:3a/4a** = 9:1
without **6:3a/4a** = 1:1^b

^a 2 equiv of **2** were used. ^b 4 equiv of **2** were used.

Scheme 2.

Byproduct azine **6** improves the ratio of **3a/4a**

^aYields were determined by GC analysis (vs. internal standard).

Scheme 3.
Time dependent product distribution^a

^aCompound **4b** was added to the reaction as an inseparable 1:1 mixture with **3b**. ^bThe yield of **3c** was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, as this compound is unstable to chromatography.

Scheme 4.

Alkynoate **4b**^a isomerizes under coupling conditions

Scheme 5.
Substrate scope for allenoate formation

Scheme 6.

(a) Cu-acetylide **7** does not couple with **2**. (b) Possible catalytic cycle.

Table 1

Selected Screening Results

entry	copper catalyst	solvent	ligand	equiv of 2	conv of 1	yield of 3a + 4a
1	CuI	MeCN	—	3	78% ^b	0% ^a
2	CuBr	DCE ^c		3	50% ^a	38% ^a
3	Cu(OTf) ₂	DCE	5	4	52% ^b	14% ^b
4	Cu(acac) ₂	DCE	5	4	95% ^b	59% ^b
5		DCE	5	4	98% ^b	64% ^a
6		DCE	5	2	98% ^b	70% ^a
7		DCE	5	1	50% ^a	40% ^a

^a NMR conversion or yield.

^b GC conversion or yield.

^c Inferior yields of **3a** + **4a** were obtained for analogous reactions run in toluene (<1%), MeCN (32%), THF (7%), ether (1%), or hexane (29%). Inferior yields were also obtained with bipyridine (13%), phenanthroline (10%), or 4,7-dihydroxyphenanthroline (13%).