Published in final edited form as:

J Gay Lesbian Soc Serv. 2011 January 1; 23(2): 271–295. doi:10.1080/10538720.2011.560100.

Sex drugs, peer connections, and HIV: Use and risk among African American, Latino, and Multiracial young men who have sex with men (YMSM) in Los Angeles and New York

Matt G. Mutchler, Ph.D.¹, Tara McKay, M.A.², Norman Candelario, M.S.W.³, Honghu Liu, Ph.D.⁴, Bill Stackhouse, Ph.D.⁵, Trista Bingham⁶, and George Ayala, Psy.D.⁷

²Department of Sociology, University of California – Los Angeles, CA

³Gay Men's Health Crisis, New York, New York

⁴Department of Biostatistics, University of California – Los Angeles, CA

⁶Los Angeles County HIV Epidemiology Program—Los Angeles, CA

⁷The Global Forum on MSM & HIV—Oakland, CA

Abstract

African American and Latino young men who have sex with men are at high risk for HIV infection. We administered brief intercept surveys (N=416) at 18 Black and Latino gay pride events in Los Angeles and New York in 2006 and 2007. Ordinal logistic regressions were used to model the effects of substance use during sex, peer connectedness, relationship status, and homelessness on condom use. Alcohol use, crystal use, homelessness, and having a primary relationship partner were negatively associated with condom use, while peer connectedness and marijuana use during sex were positively associated with condom use. Implications for service providers and future research are discussed.

Keywords

HIV; sexual risk; condom use; substance use; sex drugs; young MSM; peer connectedness; homelessness; relationship status; African American; Latino; Multiracial

Introduction

Young adults represent one-third of new HIV infections in the United States of America (Hall, 2008). More than half of these new infections are among young men who have sex with men (YMSM), ages 13–29 years. As with adult MSM, African American and Latino YMSM are disproportionately infected with HIV (CDC, 2007; Koblin, Torian, Guilan, Ren, MacKellar, & Valleroy, 2000) and these disparities are increasing (CDC, 2009). These trends are also evident in Los Angeles County (HIV Epidemiology Program, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, 2008) and in New York City (New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2009). Young Men's Survey data collected between 1994 and 1998 from 15- to 22-year-old MSM showed that 14% of African Americans and 7% of Latinos were HIV positive, compared with 3% of white young MSM (MacKellar, Valleroy, Karon, Lemp, & Janssen, 1996). In the second phase of the Young

¹Corresponding author. Department of Sociology, California State University - Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA; Community Based Research, AIDS Project Los Angeles, 3550 Wilshire Blvd Ste 300, Los Angeles, CA mmutchler@csudh.edu.

⁵No affiliation

Men's Survey (1998–2000) among 23–29 year olds, racial/ethnic disparities in HIV prevalence persisted, with 32% of African Americans, 14% of Latinos and 7% of whites testing HIV positive (Valleroy et al. 2000).

Use of methamphetamine and other substances is prevalent among YMSM (Salomon, et al., 2009; Thiede, et al., 2003) and is associated with unprotected sex in YMSM populations in general (Clatts, Goldsamt, Neaigus, & Welle, 2003; Greenwood, et al., 2001; Harawa, et al., 2004; McNall & Remafedi, 1999; Rusch, Lampinen, Schilder, & Hogg, 2004; Stueve, O'Donnell, Duran, San Doval, & Geier, 2002; Waldo, McFarland, Katz, MacKellar, & Valleroy, 2000). In an analysis of the Young Men's Survey data conducted by Celentano and colleagues (2006), YMSM in seven major U.S. cities reported using multiple substances during sex in the past six months including alcohol (43%), marijuana (28%), amphetamines (9%), cocaine (9%), and poppers (8%). To date, very few recent studies of African American or Latino YMSM focus attention on factors that may be related to condom use for these two specific racial/ethnic populations (Agronick, O'Donnell, Stueve, Doval, Duran, & Vargo, 2004; Bingham, Harawa, Johnson, Secura, MacKellar, & Valleroy, 2003). Research on substance use and sexual risk among African American or Latino YMSM is particularly scarce. Therefore, understanding current trends related to substance use and sexual behaviors specifically among African American and Latino YMSM remains an important research agenda item.

Despite higher estimates of HIV prevalence among African American and Latino YMSM, the prevalence of unprotected anal sex does not seem to vary substantially by race/ethnicity (Valleroy, et al., 2000). Furthermore, there is some evidence that African American MSM do not report higher rates of substance use compared with white MSM (Harawa, et al., 2004; Millett, Flores, Peterson, & Bakeman, 2007). A number of recent studies have reported on the growing national problem of methamphetamine use and unprotected sex among adult MSM (Colfax, et al., 2005; Nanin & Parsons, 2006). However, scholars have documented variations in substance use patterns by race/ethnicity, sexual identity and geography (Irwin & Morgenstern, 2005; Thiede, et al., 2003). Diaz and colleagues (Diaz, Heckert, & Sanchez, 2005) also discuss specific motivations for stimulant use among some Latino MSM. Since substance use patterns and sexual risk behaviors may be different for African American and Latino YMSM compared with other YMSM, targeted social services, including HIV prevention and substance abuse treatment programs may need to reflect these differences as well. Since their HIV prevention needs may be unique, our study examines substance use and sexual risk behaviors among African American and Latino YMSM.

One goal of this study was to focus on the contexts in which unprotected sex and substance use occur in the lives of African American and Latino YMSM. Like other researchers on substance use and HIV risk behaviors (Pollock and Halkitis, 2009), we believe that is important to examine not just the individual-level factors that place individuals at risk for HIV infection, but also contextual factors that may increase HIV risk. Since we examined contextual factors such as relationship status and housing status found to be robustly associated with non-condom use in the literature, we draw on the ecological framework (Bronfenbrenner, 1979) as a theoretical foundation for our study.

In addition, our study was informed by preliminary research with the study population. For instance, primary themes emerging from this study's formative research included a sense that, despite public health warnings to the contrary, crystal methamphetamine was not the only important substance use issue mentioned by focus group participants and their peers. Also, African American and Latino YMSM reported that the sense of peer connections and other contextual factors were critical to explore. Based on these preliminary data, we focused our study on understanding substance use patterns among African American and

Latino YMSM and how contextual factors such as peer connection, relationship status, and homelessness might also influence their sexual risk behaviors. This is important to explore because, if such contextual factors affect substance use or sexual behavior, then addressing them in programs and services for YMSM might also be key to reducing risk in these populations.

The construct of peer connectedness as a contextual factor in this study was also informed by ideas in sociological theory. For instance, Shibutani (1986) has argued that individuals who feel rejected from society may experience social dislocations, reacting with drastic or self-defeating behaviors. Alternatively, individuals with strong peer reference groups may refer to them to define social norms and values supporting protective behaviors such as safer sex. Research on community involvement and attachment among MSM supports the idea that peer reference groups may have both a positive and negative influence on gay youth. For instance, previous studies have found that greater involvement in the community may dampen the negative effects of poverty, racism, and homophobia on safer sex practices among MSM through the production of positive self-identity and safer sex norms among social networks (Ramirez-Valles, 2002) and through the moderation of the effects of experiencing racism and homophobia on substance use and risky sexual behavior (Ramirez-Valles, Kuhns, Campbell, & Diaz, 2010). Greater involvement in gay communities and attachment to an ethnic community, as well as supportive peer norms, have been observed to be protective against unsafe sex behaviors among Latino and African American MSM and YMSM (Easton, Iverson, Cribbin, Wilson, & Weiss, 2007; Hart & Peterson, 2004; Mutchler, 2000; O'Donnell, et al., 2002; Ramirez-Valles, Zimmerman, & Newcomb, 1998). However, connection to gay community may not always provide a supportive environment that reduces risk behavior. Some research has found positive association between involvement in gay community and unprotected sex among YMSM (Hays, Kegeles, & Coates, 1997), suggesting that more involvement in some aspects of gay communities may provide more opportunities for YMSM to meet sexual partners and engage in unprotected sexual activities. Therefore, it may be important to better understand the effects of peer connectedness on sexual risk behaviors among African American and Latino YMSM in specific community contexts.

Another key contextual factor for understanding sexual risk and substance use in YMSM is relationship status. Relationship status is highly correlated with unprotected sex among YMSM (Davidovich, de Wit, & Stroebe, 2000; Dudley, Scales Rostosky, Korfhage, & Zimmerman, 2004; Hays, Kegeles, & Coates, 1997; Rusch, Lampinen, Schilder, & Hogg, 2004). Specifically, partner type (e.g., primary vs. casual) plays an important role for YMSM. Primary partners may perceive unprotected anal intercourse as a symbol of trust and, therefore, may be less likely to use condoms (Davidovich, de Wit, & Stroebe, 2004). Yet, YMSM may be at risk of HIV acquisition if they assume that their primary partners are HIV negative or monogamous without engaging in conversations geared toward negotiated safety.

Finally, homelessness, substance use, and sexual risk behaviors are interconnected among YMSM in New York and Los Angeles (Clatts, Goldsamt, Yi, & Gwadz, 2005; Kipke, Weiss, Dorey, Ramirez, Ritt-Olson, & Iverson, 2007). Compared with their heterosexual peers, YMSM are disproportionately represented among the homeless youth in large urban centers in the United States such as New York, Los Angeles, and Miami (Clatts, Goldsamt, Yi, & Gwadz, 2005; Kipke, Weiss, Dorey, Ramirez, Ritt-Olson, & Iverson, 2007). We included homelessness in our analyses to estimate its potential effect on condom use in our sample.

Our study was guided by two specific aims: (1) to describe substance use and sexual risk behaviors among young African American and Latino gay and bisexual men attending gay pride events in Los Angeles and New York, and (2) to examine associations between substance use and condom use behaviors while taking into account the effects of contextual factors including peer connectedness, relationship status, and homelessness. We hypothesized that African American and Latino YMSM who used substances during sex would be less likely to protect themselves by using condoms. We also hypothesized that African American and Latino YMSM who felt closer to their peers would be more likely to protect themselves by using condoms.

Methods

Participant Selection

We collected data from 18- to 24-year-old African American and Latino YMSM in New York and Los Angeles. Participants (N=416) were recruited for the brief, cross-sectional survey at 18 African American and Latino lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and/or queer (LGBTQ) -identified pride events throughout the 2006 and 2007 pride season in Los Angeles and New York. In Los Angeles, gay pride events included specific events for Black pride and also for Latino pride; In New York, there were also events specifically targeted for Black and Latino participants, but there were also even more targeted events such as for Puerto Rican participants. We selected pride events for recruitment because attendees tend to represent a diverse cross-section of gay and bisexual men that is different from those recruited from other public venues (Battle, Cohen, Warren, Fergusson, & Audam, 2002), such as gay-oriented bars and dance clubs. This method of recruitment was used to reduce the potential for selection bias that might exist at other gay venues such as bars and dance clubs where it is expected that many attendees consume alcohol (Bauer & Welles, 2001). Furthermore, agencies providing programs for gay and bisexual youth often advertise services and conduct outreach at gay pride events, indicating the probable relevance of findings from this sample to participants in these types of social service programs.

Participants were sampled from the pride events using a modified venue-based sampling method commonly used in other studies recruiting hard-to-reach populations such as young gay men (Kubicek, McDavitt, Carpineto, Weiss, Iverson, & Kipke, 2007; Wong, Kipke, & Weiss, 2008). The modified venue-based sampling scheme (MacKellar D., Valleroy, Karon, Lemp, & Janssen, 1996; Muhib, et al., 2001) used to obtain a probability sample of men who attend these events in the participating cities included the following: 1) two-hour time slots were randomly selected from a frame of potential sampling times and venues; 2) participant recruitment and interview locations were randomly selected from a pool of all possible entrance, exit and crossway sites at each venue; and 3) interviewers then approached every second person broadly appearing of eligible age and ethnicity. This strategy allowed the study team to sample participants entering the events at different points and at different times during the event, thereby increasing the likelihood that potential participants all had the same probability of being invited to participate in the study.

Potential participants were screened for sex, sexual orientation, age, and race/ethnicity. Young men who self identified as “gay”, “bisexual”, or “other” were eligible to participate, as were African American, Latino/Hispanic, and biracial or multiracial YMSM who identified as African American or Latino. Eligible participants completed an anonymous, interviewer-administered, pen-and-paper screener and survey in private or semi-private one-on-one settings at the event in order to maximize confidentiality. Approximately 65% of those who were eligible agreed to participate in the study. Participants received two movie passes (roughly \$20 value) as reimbursement for their time. The study protocol was approved by the AIDS Project Los Angeles (APLA) Institutional Review Board.

Measures

APLA and Gay Men's Health Crisis (GMHC) conducted formative research with members of the target group to inform the quantitative survey questions and the study's specific aims. We pilot tested the survey items with members of the target group to assess face validity. Our study focused on measuring how peer connections, partner variables, and other contextual factors, such as homelessness and substance use during sex, may be related to condom use.

Sociodemographic Characteristics—The survey included items for race/ethnicity, age, sexual orientation, income, education, HIV status, relationship status, and homelessness experienced in the past six months. Homelessness was defined as “having to stay with friends, on the street, in a shelter, car, or abandoned building” at any point during this period.

Substance Use—Data for lifetime substance use and recent substance use during sex were collected for the following substances: alcohol, cocaine, crack, methamphetamine, ecstasy (MDMA), GHB (gamma-hydroxybutyrate), heroin, marijuana, poppers (nitrites/inhalants), special K (ketamine), Viagra, and any other drugs the participant was currently using or had previously used. We used modified items from the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) to determine the frequency of alcohol and substance use during sex in the past three months; content validity has been well established for the ASI (McLellan, et al., 1985). All items were interviewer administered. YMSM who had ever used a given substance were asked if they had used that substance in the past three months immediately before or during sex. Frequency of substance use during sex in the past three months was measured using a Likert scale ranging from 0 (“Never”) to 5 (“Daily”). Since few YMSM reported high frequency of use, this scale was collapsed into a binary indicator of any use during sex in the past three months.

Peer Connectedness—Peer connectedness was measured using the single item, “How connected do you feel to other young Black (Latino) gay (bisexual) men like you?” This question was asked according to the participants' race/ethnic and sexual identification, substituting their race/ethnicity and sexual identification based on each participant's response to the demographic questions. Responses ranged from 1 (“Not at all”) to 4 (“Very”). We engaged in extensive formative work with members of the target population in order to develop the most useful way to measure peer connectedness since African American and Latino YMSM felt that it was important to measure how connected they felt to their peers rather than how connected they felt to sexual or racial/ethnic communities.

Condom Use—Frequency of condom use during anal sex, “In the past three months, how often did you use a condom when having anal sex?” was measured using the response categories 0 (“No sex”), 1 (“Never”), 2 (“Some of the time”), 3 (“About half the time”), 4 (“Most of the time”), and 5 (“All of the time/Always”). The outcome variable was collapsed into three ordered categories and reverse coded for analyses: 1 (“Always”), 2 (“Sometimes”), and 3 (“Never”). Those who did not report anal sex in the past three months (n=53, 16% of the overall sample) and those who declined to report on sexual activities (n=1) were excluded from the analytical sample. The “sometimes” category was used to reflect inconsistent condom use among the participants.

Statistical Analyses

Data analyses were performed with the STATA statistical package Version 10 (StataCorp, 2007). Descriptive statistics were generated for all socio-demographic, substance use, peer connectedness, and sexual behavior variables overall, by city, and by race/ethnicity.

Bivariate tests of the distributions of categorical variables across condom use, city, and race/ethnicity were conducted using two-way chi-square statistics. Bivariate tests of the distributions of continuous variables, such as age and frequency of substance use during sex, across condom use, city, and race/ethnicity were conducted using a *t*-test or an ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustment. For multivariate modeling, variables were selected based on theoretical importance, relevant literature, and statistical significance in bivariate ordinal logistic regression. Since our outcome variable (frequency of condom use during anal sex) is measured by ordered categories [1 (“Always”), 2 (“Sometimes”), and 3 (“Never”)], we used a generalized ordered logit model; however, since one independent variable (relationship status) did not meet the proportional odds assumption of ordinal regressions, we used a partial proportional odds estimation that allowed the effect of this variable to vary across outcome categories (gologit2 in STATA) (Brant, 1990; Fu, 1999; Long & Freese, 2006; Williams, 2006). The model presented below shows estimates of the effects of using particular substances during sex on participants’ odds of condom use in the past three months, holding constant other factors known to affect condom use – peer connectedness, relationship status, and homelessness.

Results

Background Characteristics

Socio-demographic characteristics of survey participants are presented in Table 1 by city and by race/ethnicity. Overall, participants largely identified as gay (74%) or bisexual (22%) and had at least a high school education. Eligibility was restricted to YMSM identifying as Black/African American (40%) or Latino/Hispanic (47%). A substantial portion of YMSM identified as biracial or multiracial (13%), with at least one of their identities being a target population. The average age of participants was 20.7 years. About 3.4% self reported they were HIV positive. While a majority of YMSM felt very (41%) or somewhat (31%) connected to their peers, a substantial group felt only a little connected (19%) or not at all connected (10%) to their peers. African American YMSM felt less connected to their peers than multiracial YMSM ($\chi^2=9.97, p=.028$). There were a few notable differences across city in sample compositions that were identified with chi-square tests for categorical variables and independent sample t-tests for continuous variables. Specifically, a lower proportion of participants in New York had attended college compared with participants in Los Angeles ($\chi^2=22.91, p=.000$). The ethnic composition of the sample also varied by city ($\chi^2=8.47, p=.014$).

Substance Use

YMSM in the study reported previous use of a variety of substances in their lifetimes, including alcohol (86%), marijuana (57%), ecstasy (18%), cocaine (12%), crystal methamphetamine (11%), and poppers (11%). Self-reports of lifetime substance use generally did not vary for particular substances by city, with the exception of alcohol (90% Los Angeles, 82% New York; $\chi^2 = 5.18, p=.023$), crystal methamphetamine (17% Los Angeles, 2% New York; $\chi^2=22.24, p=.000$), and cocaine (14% Los Angeles, 8% New York; $\chi^2=3.84, p=.050$), all of which were higher in Los Angeles compared with New York. Similarly, few differences in lifetime use patterns were found across race/ethnicity, with the exception of crystal methamphetamine use ($\chi^2=14.70, p=.001$) which was more prevalent among Latino (16%) and multiracial (13%) YMSM compared with African American YMSM (4%).

YMSM reported using a variety of substances immediately before or during sex (see Table 2), with alcohol (58%) and marijuana (30%) use during sex being the most prevalent. The use of a few substances during sex varied by city: specifically, the use of alcohol ($\chi^2=4.57$,

$p=.033$) and methamphetamine ($\chi^2=8.53$, $p=.003$) during sex was more prevalent in Los Angeles compared with New York. Use of substances during sex was similar across African American, Latino, and multiracial YMSM with the exception of poppers ($\chi^2=6.19$, $p=.045$): Latino YMSM were more likely to use poppers during sex than multiracial YMSM. There were no statistically significant differences in substance use during sex when comparing Latinos in Los Angeles with Latinos in New York or when comparing African Americans in Los Angeles with African Americans in New York (data not shown).

Determinants of Condom Use Frequency

Overall, 66% report always using condoms during anal sex in the past three months, compared with 22% who used condoms sometimes and 10% who never used them. Table 3 presents unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios from the generalized ordered logit model with 95% confidence intervals obtained using robust standard errors adjusted for the clustering of observations by sampling city. This model indicates that several factors are predictive of inconsistent and noncondom use among these YMSM. In the adjusted model, YMSM surveyed in Los Angeles were 22% less likely than YMSM surveyed in New York to report inconsistent and noncondom use versus always using condoms (OR=0.78; CI=0.78–0.79). While additional factors such as age, race/ethnicity, and sexual orientation are not associated with the frequency of condom use during anal sex among participants in the adjusted model, the effect of peer connectedness was associated with condom use frequency. YMSM who reported being “Very” connected (OR=0.60; CI=0.53–0.68) and “a Little” connected (OR=0.73; CI=0.70–0.75) to their peers had significantly lower odds of inconsistent and noncondom use during anal sex compared with participants who reported being “Not at all” connected to their peers.

Whereas peer connectedness had a protective effect for YMSM, recent experiences of homelessness increased the likelihood of not always using condoms: YMSM who reported being homeless in the past three months were nearly two times more likely than YMSM who did not experience recent homelessness to report inconsistent or noncondom use compared with consistent condom use (OR=1.97; CI=1.88–2.06).

Next, we considered the effects of relationship status on condom use frequency among YMSM. In this model, relationship status did not meet the parallel odds assumption of ordinal logistic regressions. Thus, we used an estimation technique that allowed the parameter for relationship status to vary across outcome categories. In Table 3, Partnered—cut1 represents the parameter estimated to contrast condom use category 1 (“Always”) against categories 2 (“Sometimes”) and category 3 (“Never”) while Partnered—cut2 contrasts condom use categories 1 (“Always”) and 2 (“Sometimes”) against category 3 (“Never”). Partnered—cut1 is nonsignificant and indicates that YMSM who reported being single and YMSM who reported having a partner were equally likely to report “Always” using condoms compared with “Sometimes” and “Never” (OR=1.72; CI=0.87–3.42). However, when “Never” using condoms is contrasted with “Sometimes” and “Always” (Partnered—cut2) we see that YMSM who reported that they had a partner were 4.2 times more likely than single YMSM to report “Never” using condoms (OR=4.21; CI=3.10–5.71). Thus, YMSM with partners had higher odds than single YMSM of never using condoms. This relationship is shown in Figure 1 which presents the predicted probabilities from the estimated model of condom use across relationship status.

Last, Table 3 shows the odds ratios associated with the use of specific substances during sex. YMSM who used alcohol or crystal methamphetamine before or during sex were roughly 2 and 3 times more likely to inconsistently and never use condoms during anal sex, respectively (alcohol: OR=1.88, CI=1.73–2.05; crystal methamphetamine: OR=3.01, CI=2.56–3.54). YMSM who used ecstasy before or during sex were roughly one and a half

times more likely to inconsistently and never use condoms during anal sex in the last three months ($OR=1.58$; $CI=1.27-1.97$). In contrast, YMSM who reported using marijuana or poppers before or during sex were found to be 22% and 49% less likely to inconsistently and never use condoms compared with those who did not use marijuana or poppers during sex, respectively (marijuana: $OR=0.78$, $CI=0.69-0.89$; poppers: $OR=0.51$, $CI=0.39-0.66$). Figure 2 presents the predicted probabilities from the estimated model of condom use for alcohol and crystal use during sex. Here, we see the increase in predicted probabilities of inconsistent and noncondom use as well as a decrease in the predicted probability of always using condoms for YMSM who have used alcohol or crystal methamphetamine during sex in the last 3 months compared to YMSM who have not.

Discussion

The first aim of this paper was to investigate substance use patterns and associations with condom use frequency among YMSM, specifically focusing on the under-researched substance use patterns of African American and Latino YMSM. Overall, the results highlight the wide range of substances African American and Latino YMSM have been exposed to and have recently used during sex. The most prevalent substances reported by YMSM during sex were alcohol and marijuana, although participants also reported use of ecstasy, cocaine, poppers, and methamphetamine. Use of alcohol, methamphetamine, marijuana and poppers during sex is associated with condom use frequency for African American and Latino YMSM. In particular, alcohol use was associated with higher odds of inconsistent and noncondom use during sex. Alcohol use before or during sex in the past three months was quite high (58%) and may be overlooked as a risk factor for HIV among YMSM because it is more socially acceptable than use of stimulants or club drugs. Our findings on methamphetamine use support a large number of studies that have found a relationship between HIV risk behaviors and methamphetamine use during sex. African American and Latino YMSM using methamphetamine during sex may be at greater risk for HIV infection than non-users due to higher odds of both inconsistent and noncondom use. These findings therefore support a focus on prevention of alcohol and methamphetamine use as strategies to help reduce HIV infection rates among African American and Latino YMSM. While public health strategies and social service providers have recently emphasized the risks of crystal methamphetamine, increased attention to how alcohol use during sex impacts condom use may also be warranted as a component of interventions and counseling services for this population.

Not all of the substances used before or during sex were associated with higher odds of inconsistent and noncondom use during sex for our study participants. Use of marijuana and use of poppers before or during sex in the past three months were associated with lower odds of inconsistent and noncondom use during sex in the past three months. Other studies have found no relationship between marijuana use and condom use among young adults (Graves & Leigh, 1995), and differential situational effects of marijuana use (Rusch, Lampinen, Schilder, & Hogg, 2004), but we found no other literature reporting a protective effect of marijuana use before or during sex for African American or Latino YMSM. The effects of marijuana use on sexual drive are both dose-dependent and sex-dependent (Gorzalka & Hill, 2006); therefore, the relationship between marijuana and condom use may also vary by dose and across individuals. Similarly, we found no other study with a negative association of popper use and unprotected intercourse among African American or Latino YMSM. Another study of MSM living with HIV found popper use to be associated with unprotected sex among an older sample (mean age of 37); these authors speculated that the norms for older MSM may be related to a specific cohort effect, and therefore the use of poppers and other substances may be different among YMSM who are not living with HIV

(Purcell, Parson, Halkitis, Mizuno, & Woods, 2001). These speculative ideas should be further explored.

A second aim of this paper was to examine the associations of contextual factors identified by participants in formative work with frequency of condom use, drawing on ecological theories of risk (Bronfenbrenner, 1992). Consistent with the assumptions in this theoretical framework that contextual factors may influence risk behaviors, we found that contextual factors such as peer connectedness, relationship status, and recent homelessness were also associated with condom use frequency among African American and Latino YMSM. The protective effect of peer connectedness for condom use among YMSM attending pride events provides further evidence in support of previous studies that documented positive health associations between community involvement, peer connectedness, and peer norms (Detrie & Lease, 2008; Hart & Peterson, 2004; O'Donnell, et al., 2002; Ramirez-Valles, 2002; Ramirez-Valles, Kuhns, Campbell, & Diaz, 2010). This finding suggests the importance of addressing social networks and peer-based HIV prevention programs for African American and Latino YMSM attending gay pride events. Of course, peer connectedness may be more likely to have a protective effect where YMSM are integrated into networks with stronger norms for safer sex practices, while those with stronger norms for risky sexual and substance use behaviors may actually increase risk behavior through habituation of risk behaviors (Hays, Kegeles, & Coates, 1997; Rhodes, 1997). Thus, providing services that help young gay and bisexual men access social networks that are supportive of HIV prevention may be key to reducing risk in this population.

The YMSM in our study were encountered at LGBT-identified and race-specific events and, therefore, feelings of peer connectedness and their associated effects among these YMSM may be related to safer sex norms associated with service delivery and peer education provided by LGBT organizations at these events. This suggests that building on these social systems by providing culturally tailored sexual health programming, particularly at racial/ethnic-specific LGBT-identified pride events, may be useful. As suggested by earlier work focused on African American YMSM (Kraft, Beeker, Stokes, & Peterson, 2000), changing social norms to increase social support for YMSM in African American communities may also be achieved by working with community leaders and creating more opportunities for dialogue about sexuality and HIV issues in African American communities in order to address cultural issues such as sexual stigma and racism that may influence sexual risk behaviors. Other cultural factors found to be related to sexual risk behaviors among young African American and Latino men (Munoz et al., 2006) should be considered, in addition to the contextual factors we addressed, in future research with African American and Latino YMSM.

Homelessness has been identified as a strong determinant of noncondom use among MSM in previous research (Clatts, Goldsamt, & Yi, 2005; Clatts, Goldsamt, Yi, & Gwadz, 2005; Warren, Fernandez, Harper, Hidalgo, Jamil, & Torres, 2008). In the present study, 8% of YMSM reported recent homelessness. As with prior studies (Clatts, Goldsamt, Yi, & Gwadz, 2005), homelessness among YMSM was found to be a risk factor for YMSM over and above other factors controlled for in the regression models. YMSM who reported recent homelessness had twice the odds of inconsistent or never-use of condoms compared with their stably housed peers. The significant impact that homelessness appears to have on HIV risk further underscores the importance of housing support services and family supportiveness for Latino and African American gay and bisexual young men.

Partner type and relationship status variables have also been identified as key factors in predicting noncondom use among MSM in previous research (Adams, Sears, & Schellenberg, 2000; Diaz & Ayala, 1999; Worth, Reid, & McMillan, 2002). While

unprotected anal intercourse between uninfected monogamous partners is not risky sexual behavior for HIV transmission, gay and bisexual men (and others) who negotiate noncondom use with primary partners are still potentially at risk for HIV infection if partners are not exclusive (Doll, et al., 1994). We found that relationship status was associated with noncondom use for YMSM in this study, with those in primary partnerships having four times greater odds of never using condoms compared with single YMSM. Approximately one-fourth (23%) of the participants reported having a primary partner. The association between having a primary partner and engaging in unprotected sex remains true for African American and Latino YMSM; other research has shown a relationship between long-term relationship status and unprotected sex among African American YMSM (Warren, Fernandez, Harper, Hidalgo, Jamil, & Torres, 2008).

Focusing on condom use with primary and casual partners remains an important primary issue for this population since many YMSM may not know the HIV status of their sexual partners. In a study of gay men who seroconverted between 1984 and 2000 in the Netherlands, Davidovich and colleagues (Davidovich, de Wit, & Stroebe, 2000) reported that younger gay men are much more likely to have been infected by a primary partner than a casual partner. Gay and bisexual men may initiate noncondom use with primary partners to demonstrate love and trust or because they use other cues to intuit their partner's HIV status (Adams, Sears, & Schellenberg, 2000). This may happen quite early in a relationship: in a study of gay men aged 18–34, 55% of those having unprotected anal intercourse with primary partners initiated noncondom use within the first three months of the relationship (Davidovich, de Wit, & Stroebe, 2004), a time period when HIV antibodies may not yet be detectable in persons newly infected with HIV by standard routine tests (Petersen, et al., 1994). Gay and bisexual young men should be supported in enhancing their ability to advocate condom use within primary partner relationships, and counseled that such relationships are not a risk-free context.

There are limitations to be considered when interpreting these data. The data are self-reported, retrospective accounts of stigmatized and, in some cases, illegal behaviors. These data were collected via interviewer-administered questionnaires in semi-private areas of public events; efforts to maximize confidentiality were made by removing the participant from the public crossways and from groups of friends. Still, participants may have provided responses they perceived to be more socially desirable and may have resulted in underestimating substance use or noncondom use behaviors. However, reported levels of noncondom use were similar to other studies with this population (Dudley, Scales Rostosky, Korfhage, & Zimmerman, 2004; Valleroy et al., 2000). Further, the level of detail of information collected from participants was limited by the functional lengths of administering brief intercept surveys in public event venues.

The sample consisted of YMSM who racially or sexually self-identify to the extent that they would participate in a racially or sexually identified pride event. The findings, therefore, may not be generalizable outside of the study population: African American and Latino YMSM attending racial/ethnic specific LGBT pride events in Los Angeles and New York. Individuals who were very “closeted”, choose not attend such events, or are hard to reach (such as those who are institutionalized) were not included in this sample and their experiences of sex-drug use and related issues may vary from those reported by individuals in our sample. Although we did not find many significant differences in substances used during sex that were associated with noncondom use among our study participants by race/ethnicity, we did find differences by city. Further research is needed to explore these possible variations within the racial/ethnic groups.

The experiences of African American and Latino YMSM in different geographic regions may also explain the variations we found by city. Looking at geographic differences, the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2009), for instance, found that current illicit drug use and alcohol use was similar in the West compared to the Northeast, but somewhat lower in the South and Midwest. Also, the NSDUH found that the rate of current illicit drug use and alcohol use was higher in metropolitan areas than in nonmetropolitan areas. Our data, though focused on substance use *during sex*, is consistent with some of the findings from the NSDUH, i.e., that rates of most illicit substance use during sex were similar among participants in our study between Los Angeles and New York. However, since the NSDUH found differences between metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan areas as well as some geographic regions, it is possible that studies of young African American and Latino gay men's substance use during sex may be similarly lower in those regions compared to what we found in large metropolitan areas such as Los Angeles and New York, due to the potential influences of differing geographic regions. Consistent with the NSDUH data on young adults, we found relatively little crystal use reported among YMSM compared to alcohol and marijuana use, but like other studies of YMSM (Thiede et al, 2003; Celentano et al., 2005), we found higher crystal use in Los Angeles compared to New York; we also found higher crystal use *during sex* in Los Angeles compared to New York. We found a higher rate of alcohol use during sex in Los Angeles compared to New York; this is consistent with results from a study that also measured alcohol use during sex among YMSM (Celentano et al., 2005). This finding could also be due the particular venues from which we sampled. The issues of substance and alcohol use during sex are, nevertheless, still clearly important to further address among African American and Latino YMSM in different regions and venues.

While it is very difficult to obtain a representative sample of populations such as gay youth who are difficult to define and reach with probabilistic sampling techniques, we believe that our sample represents an important contribution to the numerous studies recruiting primarily at gay bars and clubs, since such sampling procedures represent a selection bias toward alcohol use. Venue-based sampling strategies were used to approximate a representative sample of participants attending targeted venues (Kipke, Weiss, Dorey, Ramirez, Ritt-Olson, & Iverson, 2007). Consistent with similar studies conducted at gay pride events (Bauer & Welles, 2001), our sampling strategy was developed to increase the representativeness as much as possible of the study population in the respective geographic areas. We targeted African American and Latino gay pride events for recruitment because pride attendees tend to represent a diverse cross-section of African American and Latino gay and bisexual men, and they provide opportunities to culturally tailor HIV prevention efforts by building on strengths and resiliencies that exist within YMSM communities (Battle, Cohen, Warren, Fergusson, & Audam, 2002).

Conclusions

The results suggest that substance use during sex, particularly the use of alcohol and methamphetamine, may increase the risk of HIV infection for African American and Latino YMSM by increasing the likelihood of inconsistent and noncondom use behaviors. Therefore, it is important for HIV prevention and sexual health programs to broadly address alcohol use among African American and Latino YMSM. It is also critical to focus specifically on African American and Latino YMSM using methamphetamine before or during sexual encounters with other men. Relationship status, recent homelessness, and peer connectedness were also associated with condom use behavior. Facilitating connections to peers and addressing homelessness and primary relationship issues may also be important when tailoring prevention programs specifically with and for African American, Latino, and Multiracial YMSM. Since we found that homelessness was associated with noncondom use

among participants at these venues, it also makes sense to ensure that condoms are made available to homeless YMSM, both at these pride events and also at other venues where they can be reached. Given our findings of a higher association between noncondom use and having a primary partner, addressing relationship issues may be particularly relevant for YMSM. This study suggests that it is important to continue to investigate the idea of closeness to peers as a protective factor in HIV prevention for YMSM. It also recommends further exploration into the relationships between peer connectedness and other constructs found to be relevant for YMSM such as community involvement, cultural factors, and social norms. Our findings suggest the importance of continuing to assess the effects of substance use during sex, relationship status, homelessness, and peer connectedness for African American, as well as Latino YMSM in multiple contexts. The findings are of particular use for service providers working with YMSM at pride events where they may be able to address substance use, peer connectedness, homelessness, relationship, and other issues associated with condom use behaviors among African American and Latino YMSM.

Acknowledgments

The research for this article was completed with the support of the Sally Casanova Memorial Awards Program/Mini Grant, CSU-Dominguez Hills Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activities Program; The Network for AIDS Researchers in Los Angeles Seed Grant Award (1568 G HB020), and the Institute for Community Health Research (a collaboration between Charles R. Drew University, the RAND Corporation and the Los Angeles Department of Public Health) Seed Grant Award #2007 EGB D2235B-APLA and #CH05-LAC-617. The preparation of this manuscript was also supported by Award Number 1R03DA026731-01 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute on Drug Abuse or the National Institutes of Health. We would also like to acknowledge the volunteers and interns at AIDS Project Los Angeles and Gay Men's Health Crisis who helped make this community research possible.

References

- Adams BD, Sears A, Schellenberg EG. Accounting for unsafe sex: Interviews with men who have sex with men. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2000; 37:24–36.
- Agronick G, O'Donnell L, Stueve A, Doval AS, Duran R, Vargo S. Sexual behaviors and risks among bisexually- and gay-identified young Latino men. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2004; 8(2):185–197. [PubMed: 15187480]
- Battle, J.; Cohen, GJ.; Warren, D.; Fergerson, G.; Audam, S. Say it loud I'm Black and I'm proud: Black pride survey 2000. Washington DC, USA: Policy Institute of the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force; 2002.
- Bauer GR, Welles SL. Beyond Assumptions of Negligible Risk: Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Women Who Have Sex With Women. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2001; 91(8):1282–1286. [PubMed: 11499119]
- Bingham TA, Harawa NT, Johnson DF, Secura GM, MacKellar DA, Valleroy LA. The effect of partner characteristics on HIV infection among African American men who have sex with men (MSM) in the Young Men's Survey, Los Angeles, 1999–2000. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2003; 15 Suppl 1:39–52. [PubMed: 12630598]
- Brant R. Assessing proportionality in the proportional odds models for ordinal logistic regression. *Biometrics*. 1990; 46(4):1171–1178. [PubMed: 2085632]
- Bronfenbrenner, U. The ecology of the human development: Experiments by nature and design. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1979.
- Celentano DD, Valleroy LA, Sifakis F, MacKellar DA, Hylton J, Theide H, et al. Associations between substance use and sexual risk among very young men who have sex with men. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 2006; 33:1–7.
- Centers for Disease Control. CDC HIV/AIDS Factsheet: HIV/AIDS among Men Who Have Sex with Men. Atlanta, GA: 2007.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV/AIDS and Young Men who Have Sex with Men. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2009.
- Clatts MC, Goldsamt LA, Yi H. Drug and sexual risk in four men who have sex with men populations: Evidence for a sustained HIV epidemic in New York City. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2005; 82(1 Suppl 1)
- Clatts MC, Goldsamt LA, Yi H, Gwadz M. Homelessness and drug abuse among young men who have sex with men in New York City: A preliminary epidemiological trajectory. *Journal of Adolescence*. 2005; 28(2):201–214. [PubMed: 15878043]
- Clatts MC, Goldsamt L, Neaigus A, Welle DL. The social course of drug injection and sexual activity among YMSM and other high-risk youth: An agenda for future research. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2003; 80(4 Suppl 3):iii26–iii29. [PubMed: 14713669]
- Colfax G, Coates TJ, Husnik MJ, Huang Y, Buchbinder S, Koblin B, et al. Longitudinal patterns of methamphetamine, popper (amyl nitrate), and cocaine use and high-risk sexual behavior among a cohort of San Francisco men who have sex with men. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2005; 82 Suppl 1:i62–i70. [PubMed: 15738319]
- Davidovich U, de Wit JB, Stroebe W. Assessing sexual risk behavior of young gay men in primary relationships: The incorporation of negotiated safety and negotiated safety compliance. *AIDS*. 2000; 14(6):701–706. [PubMed: 10807193]
- Davidovich U, de Wit JB, Stroebe W. Behavioral and cognitive barriers to safer sex between men in steady relationships: implications for prevention strategies. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2004; 16(4):304–314. [PubMed: 15342333]
- Detrie PM, Lease SH. The relation of social support, connectedness, and collective self-esteem to the psychological well-being of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Journal of Homosexuality*. 2008; 53(4):173–199. [PubMed: 18689197]
- Diaz RM, Ayala G. Love, passion and rebellion: Ideologies of HIV risk among Latino gay men in the USA. *Culture, Health, and Sexuality*. 1999; 1(3):277–293.
- Diaz RM, Heckert AL, Sanchez J. Reasons for stimulant use among Latino gay men in San Francisco: A comparison between methamphetamine and cocaine users. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2005; 82(1 Suppl 1):i71–i78. [PubMed: 15738318]
- Doll LS, Harrison JS, Frey RL, McKirnan D, Barholow BN, Douglas JM, et al. Failure to disclose HIV risk among gay and bisexual men attending sexually transmitted disease clinics. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1994; 10(3):125–129.
- Dudley MG, Scales Rostovsky S, Korfhage BA, Zimmerman RS. Correlates of high-risk sexual behavior among young men who have sex with men. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2004; 16(4):328–340. [PubMed: 15342335]
- Easton D, Iverson E, Cribbin M, Wilson E, Weiss G. Space: the new frontier in HIV prevention for young men who have sex with men. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2007; 19(6):465–478. [PubMed: 18190272]
- Fu V. Estimating generalized ordered logic models. *Stata Technical Bulletin*. 1999; 8(44):27–30.
- Gorzalka BB, Hill MN. Cannabinoids, reproduction, and sexual behavior. *Annual Review of Sex Research*. 2006; 17:132–161.
- Graves KL, Leigh BC. The relationship of substance use to sexual activity among young adults in the United States. *Family Planning Perspectives*. 1995; 27(1):18–22. [PubMed: 7720848]
- Greenwood GL, White EW, Page-Shafer K, Bein E, Osmond DH, Paul J, et al. Correlates of heavy substance use among young gay and bisexual men: The San Francisco Young Men's Health Study. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2001; 61:105–112. [PubMed: 11137274]
- Hall HR. Estimation of HIV incidence in the United States. *JAMA*. 2008; 300:520–529. [PubMed: 18677024]
- Harawa NT, Greenland S, Bingham T, Johnson DF, Cochran SD, Cunningham WE, et al. Associations of Race/Ethnicity with HIV prevalence and HIV-related behaviors among young men who have sex with men in 7 Urban Centers in the United States. *Epidemiology and Social Science*. 2004; 35(5):526–536.

- Hart T, Peterson JL. Predictors of risky sexual behavior among young African American men who have sex with men. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2004; 94(7):1122–1124. [PubMed: 15226130]
- Hays RB, Kegeles SM, Coates TJ. Unprotected sex and HIV risk taking among young gay men within boyfriend relationships. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 1997; 9(4):314–329. [PubMed: 9376206]
- HIV Epidemiology Program, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. HIV/AIDS Surveillance Summary, July 2008. Los Angeles: County Department of Public Health; 2008.
- Irwin TW, Morgenstern J. Drug-Use patterns among men who have sex with men presenting for alcohol treatment: Differences in ethnic and sexual identity. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2005; 82 Suppl 1:i127–i133.
- Kipke MD, Weiss G, Dorey F, Ramirez M, Ritt-Olson A, Iverson E. Club drug use in Los Angeles among young men who have sex with men. *Substance Use and Misuse*. 2007; 42(11):1723–1743. [PubMed: 17934992]
- Koblin BA, Torian LV, Guilin V, Ren L, MacKellar DA, Valleroy LA. High prevalence of HIV infection among young men who have sex with men in New York City. *AIDS*. 2000; 14(12):1793–1800. [PubMed: 10985317]
- Kraft JM, Beeker C, Stokes JP, Peterson JL. Finding the "Community" in community-level HIV/AIDS interventions: Formative research with young African American men who have sex with men. *Health Education & Behavior*. 2000; 27(4):430–441. [PubMed: 10929751]
- Kubicek K, McDavitt B, Carpineto J, Weiss G, Iverson EF, Kipke MD. Making informed decisions: How attitudes and perceptions affect the use of crystal, cocaine, and ecstasy among young men who have sex with men. *Journal of Drug Issues*. 2007; 37(3):643–672. [PubMed: 18852843]
- Long, SJ.; Freese, J. *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata*. College Station, TX: Stata Press; 2006.
- MacKellar D, Valleroy L, Karon J, Lemp G, Janssen R. The Young Men's Survey: Methods for estimating HIV seroprevalence and risk factors among young men who have sex with men. *Public Health Reports*. 1996; 111 Suppl 1:138–144. [PubMed: 8862170]
- McLellan AT, Luborsky L, Cacciolo J, Griffith J, Evans F, Barr HL, et al. New data from the Addiction Severity Index: Reliability and validity in three centers. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1985; 173(7):412–423. [PubMed: 4009158]
- McNall M, Remafedi G. Relationship of amphetamine and other substance use to unprotected intercourse among men who have sex with men. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*. 1999; 153(11):1130–1135. [PubMed: 10555713]
- Millett GA, Flores SA, Peterson JL, Bakeman R. Explaining disparities in HIV infection among black and white men who have sex with men: A meta-analysis of HIV risk behaviors. *AIDS*. 2007; 21(25):2083–2091. [PubMed: 17885299]
- Muhib F, Lin L, Stueve A, Miller R, Ford W, Johnson W, et al. A venue-based method for sampling hard-to-reach populations. *Public Health Reports*. 2001; 116 Suppl 1:216–222. [PubMed: 11889287]
- Munoz-LaBoy MA, et al. Condom use and hip hop culture: The case of urban young men in New York City. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2006; 98(6):1081–1085. [PubMed: 18445799]
- Mutchler MG. Making space for safer sex. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2000; 12(1):1–14. [PubMed: 10749382]
- Nanin JE, Parsons JT. Club drug use and risky sex among gay and bisexual men in New York City. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Psychotherapy*. 2006; 10(3):111–122.
- New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. New York City HIV/AIDS Annual Surveillance Statistics. New York: 2009.
- O'Donnell L, Agronick G, Doval S, A, Duran R, Myinth-U A, et al. Ethnic and gay community attachments and sexual risk behaviors among urban Latino men who have sex with men. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2002; 14(6):457–471. [PubMed: 12512847]
- Petersen LR, Satten GA, Dodd R, Busch M, Kleinman S, Grindon A, et al. Duration of time from onset of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infectiousness to development of detectable antibody. The HIV Seroconversion Study Group. *Transfusion*. 1994; 34(4):282–289.

- Pollock JA, Halkitis PN. Environmental factors in relation to unprotected sexual behaviors among gay, bisexual, and other MSM. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2009; 21(4):340–355. [PubMed: 19670969]
- Purcell DW, Parson JT, Halkitis PN, Mizuno Y, Woods WJ. Substance use and sexual transmission risk behaviors of HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *Journal of Substance Abuse*. 2001; 13:185–200. [PubMed: 11547619]
- Ramirez-Valles J. The protective effects of community involvement for HIV risk behavior: A conceptual framework. *Health Education Research*. 2002; 17(4):389–403. [PubMed: 12197585]
- Ramirez-Valles J, Kuhns LM, Campbell RT, Diaz RM. Social integration and health: Community involvement, stigmatized identities, and sexual risk in latino sexual minorities. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2010; 51(1):30–47. [PubMed: 20420293]
- Ramirez-Valles J, Zimmerman MA, Newcomb MD. Sexual risk behavior among youth: Modeling the influence of prosocial activities and socioeconomic factors. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 1998; 39(3):237–253. [PubMed: 9785696]
- Rhodes T. Risk theory in epidemic times: Sex, drugs, and the social organization of 'risk behavior'. *Sociology of Health and Illness*. 1997; 19(2):208–227.
- Rusch M, Lampinen TM, Schilder A, Hogg RS. Unprotected anal intercourse associated with recreational drug use among young men who have sex with men depends on partner type and intercourse role. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 2004; 31(8):492–498. [PubMed: 15273583]
- Salomon EA, Mimiaga MJ, Husnik MJ, Welles SL, Manseau MW, Montenegro AB, et al. Depressive symptoms, utilization of mental health care, substance use and sexual risk among young men who have sex with men in EXPLORE: Implications for age-specific interventions. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2009; 13(4):811–821. [PubMed: 18709453]
- Shibutani, T. *Social Processes: An Introduction to Sociology*. Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press; 1986.
- Stueve A, O'Donnell L, Duran R, San Doval A, Geier J. Being high and taking sexual risks: Findings from a multi-site survey of urban young men who have sex with men. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2002; 14(6):482–495. [PubMed: 12512849]
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2009 National survey on drug use and health: Volume 1. Summary of National Findings (Office of Applied Studies, NSUDH Series H-38A, HHS Publication Number SMA 10-4568Findings). Rockville, MD: 2009.
- Thiede H, Valleroy LA, MacKellar DA, Celentano DD, Ford WL, Hagan H, et al. Regional Patterns and Correlates of Substance Use Among Young Men Who Have Sex With Men in 7 US Urban Areas. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2003; 93(11):1915–1921. [PubMed: 14600066]
- Valleroy LA, MacKellar DA, Karon JM, Rosen DH, McFarland W, Shehan DA, et al. HIV prevalence and associated risks in young men who have sex with men. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2000; 284(2):198–204. [PubMed: 10889593]
- Waldo CR, McFarland W, Katz MH, MacKellar D, Valleroy LA. Very young gay and bisexual men are at risk for HIV infection: The San Francisco Bay Area Young Men's Survey II. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2000; 24(2):168–174. [PubMed: 10935693]
- Warren JC, Fernandez MI, Harper GW, Hidalgo MA, Jamil OB, Torres RS. Predictors of unprotected sex among young sexually active African American, Hispanic and White MSM: the importance of ethnicity and culture. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2008; 12(3):459–468. [PubMed: 17721725]
- Williams R. Generalized ordered logit/partial proportional odds models for ordinal dependent variables. *Stata Journal*. 2006; 6(1):58–82.
- Wong CF, Kipke MD, Weiss G. Risk factors for alcohol use, frequent use, and binge drinking among young men who have sex with men. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2008; 33:1012–1020. [PubMed: 18495364]
- Worth H, Reid A, McMillan K. Somewhere over the rainbow: Love, trust and monogamy in gay relationships. *Journal of Sociology*. 2002; 38(3):237–253.

Figure 1.
Predicted Probability of Condom Use by Relationship Status

Figure 2.
Predicted Probability of Condom Use by Substance Use during Sex, Last 3 Months

Table 1

Percentage Distribution of Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Sample of African American, Latino, and Multiracial YMSM, by City and Race/Ethnicity (N=416)

	Overall	City		p -value	Race/Ethnicity			p -value
		New York (N=176)	Los Angeles (N=240)		African Am (N=167)	Latino (N=196)	Multiracial (N=53)	
<u>Male</u>	100							
<u>City</u>								
New York	42							
Los Angeles	58							
<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>				0.014				
African American	40	48	34					
Latino	47	40	52					
Bi/Multiracial	13	11	14					
<u>Sexual Orientation</u>				0.165				0.103
Gay	74	71	77		72	85	67	
Bisexual	22	27	19		26	13	27	
Other	4	3	4		3	2	6	
<u>Age (mean, in years)</u>	20.7	20.6	20.8	0.213	21.0	20.5	20.6	0.057
<u>HIV Status</u>				0.751				0.059
Positive	3.4	4.0	2.9		5.4	2.6	0.0	
Negative	92.0	92.0	92.1		92.8	91.3	92.5	
Unknown	4.6	4.0	5.0		1.8	6.2	7.5	
<u>Homeless, last 6 mo.</u>	8.3	8.0	8.5	0.840	6.7	8.8	11.3	0.527
<u>Education</u>				0.000				0.338
11th grade or less	4	5	4		4	5	3	
High school, GED	31	42	23		33	31	36	
Some college	48	38	56		43	48	42	
College degree	13	13	13		15	15	12	
Graduate degree	2	1	3		4	1	0	
Other, vocational	1	0	2		1	0	6	
<u>Relationship Status</u>				0.082				0.796

	City			Race/Ethnicity				
	Overall	New York (N=176)	Los Angeles (N=240)	p -value	African Am (N=167)	Latino (N=196)	Multiracial (N=53)	p -value
Single	71	67	75		71	70	77	
Partnered	23	28	19		23	25	17	
Other	6	5	6		5	5	6	
Peer Connectedness				0.593				0.028
Not at all	10	8	11		10	9	11	
A little	19	20	18		25	14	11	
Somewhat	31	33	29		30	33	21	
Very	41	39	42		34	40	57	
Don't know	3	2	3		2	4	0	

Table 2

Percentage Distribution of Any Substance Use Immediately Before or During Sex in the Last Three Months, by City and Race/Ethnicity (N=338)¹

Substance	Overall	City		Race/Ethnicity ²			
		New York (N=144)	Los Angeles (N=194)	p -value	African Am (N=141)	Latino (N=154)	Multiracial (N=43)
Alcohol	58	51	63	0.033	56	61	54
Cocaine	2	1	3	0.128	1	3	2
Crack	<1	0	1	0.388	1	0	0
Crystal	3	0	6	0.003	1	5	2
Ecstasy	5	6	5	0.529	4	6	7
GHB	0	0	0	--	0	0	0
Heroin	1	1	1	0.832	1	0	0
Marijuana	30	35	26	0.067	30	27	37
Poppers	6	5	7	0.478	4 ^{ab}	9 ^a	0 ^b
Special K	1	0	1	0.222	1	1	0
Viagra	2	2	2	0.712	2	1	2
Other	1	1	1		1	2	0

¹ Participants who reported no sex during the last 3 months are not included in this table.

² Race/Ethnicity categories that do not have superscript letters in common are significantly different from each other at $p < .05$.

Table 3

Unadjusted and Adjusted Odds Ratios for Determinants of Inconsistent and Noncondom Use compared to Consistent Condom Use among YMSM of Color (N=290)

Independent variables	Unadjusted Odds		Adjusted Odds	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
<u>City</u>				
New York (reference)	1.00		1.00	
Los Angeles	0.89	0.88 -- 0.90 ***	0.78	0.78 -- 0.79 ***
<u>Age (years)</u>	0.96	0.85 -- 1.09	0.96	0.78 -- 1.17
<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>				
African American (reference)	1.00		1.00	
Latino	1.26	0.90 -- 1.77 †	1.27	0.98 -- 1.63 †
Multiracial	1.03	0.78 -- 1.37	1.04	0.53 -- 2.05
<u>Sexual Orientation</u>				
Gay (reference)	1.00		1.00	
Bisexual	0.82	0.73 -- 0.92 **	0.86	0.60 -- 1.25
<u>Relationship Status</u>				
Single (reference)	1.00		1.00	
Partnered---cut1 [†]	1.59	0.98 -- 2.58 †	1.72	0.87 -- 3.42
Partnered---cut2 ²	3.92	2.56 -- 6.00 ***	4.21	3.10 -- 5.71 ***
<u>Homeless</u>	1.95	1.59 -- 2.39 ***	1.97	1.88 -- 2.06 ***
<u>Peer Connectedness</u>				
Not at all (reference)	1.00		1.00	
A little connected	0.67	0.47 -- 0.97 *	0.73	0.70 -- 0.75 ***
Somewhat connected	0.72	0.42 -- 1.23	0.77	0.52 -- 1.13
Very connected	0.58	0.46 -- 0.72 ***	0.60	0.53 -- 0.68 ***
<u>Substance Use During Sex</u>				
Alcohol	1.64	1.25 -- 2.16 ***	1.88	1.73 -- 2.05 ***
Methamphetamine	2.09	1.93 -- 2.27 ***	3.01	2.56 -- 3.54 ***
Ecstasy	1.18	0.77 -- 1.82	1.58	1.27 -- 1.97 ***
Marijuana	1.02	1.01 -- 1.03 ***	0.78	0.69 -- 0.89 ***
Poppers	0.79	0.39 -- 1.61	0.51	0.39 -- 0.66 ***

Note:

† p<.10;

* p<.05;

** p<.01;

*** p<.001

¹Partnered1 contrasts outcome condom use categories 1 (Always) versus 2 (Sometimes) and 3 (Never).

²Partnered2 contrasts outcome condom use categories 1 (Always) and 2 (Sometimes) versus 3 (Never).