Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 April 15; 13(8): 2054–2057. doi:10.1021/ol200456y.

Chiral Magnesium BINOL Phosphate-Catalyzed Phosphination of Imines: Access to Enantioenriched α -Amino Phosphine Oxides

Gajendrasingh K. Ingle[†], Yuxue Liang[†], Michael G. Mormino[†], Guilong Li[‡], Frank R. Fronczek[§], and Jon C. Antilla^{*,†}

[†] Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 E. Fowler Ave., Tampa, Florida, 33620, USA

[‡] School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China

[§] Department of Chemistry X-Ray Laboratory, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 70803, USA

Abstract

A new method to synthesize chiral α -amino phosphine oxides is reported. The reaction combines *N*-substituted imines and diphenylphosphine oxide, and is catalyzed by a chiral magnesium phosphate salt. A wide variety of aliphatic and aromatic aldimines substituted by electron neutral benzhydryl or dibenzocycloheptene groups were excellent substrates for the addition reaction. The dibenzocycloheptene protected imines afforded improved enantioselectivity in the resulting products. Substituted diphenylphosphine oxide nucleophiles also showed good reactivity.

Chiral phosphorus containing compounds such as α -amino phosphonic acids and their derivatives have attracted considerable attention due to their promising biological properties.¹ They have found applications as antibacterials,² anti-viral agents,³ and enzyme inhibitors.⁴ The absolute configuration of phosphonyl compounds strongly influences their biological properties,⁵ therefore numerous methods for enantioselective synthesis of chiral α -amino phosphoric acids by hydrophosphonylation of imines (aza-Pudovik reaction) are reported.^{6,7} However, other α -amino phosphorus derivatives such as α -amino phosphine oxides have had much less attention for their biological properties due to the lack of a direct enantioselective synthetic route.

Recently, organocatalyzed hydrophosphination using secondary phosphines⁸ has also been demonstrated. Additionally, in 2007, Melchiorre⁹ and Córdova¹⁰ reported enantioselective organocatalytic hydrophosphination of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes with diphenylphosphine

*jantilla@usf.edu.

[†] University of South Florida.

[‡] Sun Yat-Sen University.

[§] Louisiana State University.

Supporting Information Available. Characterization, chiral HPLC conditions, experimental preparation and spectra is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

using a chiral secondary amine catalyst to provide enantioenriched aldehydes with β -phosphine substitution. However, very few examples exist with phosphine oxides as the nucleophile. In 1999, Shibasaki reported the first catalytic asymmetric addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to cyclic imines, catalyzed by a heterobimetallic lanthanoid complex.¹¹ The corresponding chiral amino phosphine oxide products were obtained in good yield and enantioselectivity, but the substrate scope of this reaction was limited to cyclic imines. In 2009, a chiral guanidinium salt-catalyzed phospho-Mannich reaction of *N*-tosyl imines with di-1-naphthyl phosphine oxide was reported.¹² The α -amino phosphine oxide products were obtained in high yield and high ee. However, only a single example of a diphenyl phosphine oxide as a nucleophile was reported, and with moderate ee. Lastly the addition of dialkyl phosphine oxides to *N*-acyl pyrroles was reported recently, obtaining products with excellent yield and enantioselectivity.¹³

The use of chiral phosphoric acids to activate imine substrates for nucleophilic addition is well studied.¹⁴ Recently, Ishihara and co-workers reported that purification of phosphoric acids on silica gel can be contaminated with alkali or alkaline earth metals, as their phosphate salts.¹⁵ These phosphate salts were found to be active catalysts for new transformations.¹⁶

Herein we report a highly enantioselective addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to *N*-substituted imines catalyzed by chiral BINOL magnesium phosphate.¹⁷ During the screening process we soon realized an electron-neutral, unactivated protecting group provided considerable enhancement in the yield and enantioselectivity, similar to that observed by Wulff and coworkers in an aziridination reaction.¹⁸

We began by examining the catalytic asymmetric addition of diphenylphosphine oxide **3a** to benzhydryl imine **2a** as a model reaction (Table 1). During optimization we found that acetonitrile and 'H(**1a**) purified on silica gel' were found to be the best solvent and catalyst for this reaction (entry 4). In the wake of the new results published by Ishihara,¹⁵ we screened alkali, and alkaline earth metal phosphate salts. Na(**1a**) allowed for only a 55% ee (entry 5), while Ca(**1a**)₂ resulted in a 91% ee (entry 6). Mg(**1a**)₂ was found to be the best catalyst, providing 93% asymmetric induction (entry 7). However, lowering the catalyst loading of Mg(**1a**)₂ to 2.5 mol% resulted in a decrease in ee (entry 8). It was interesting that 'H(**1a**) washed with hydrochloric acid' achieved only a 80% ee for the resulting product (entry 9).

A series of substituted imines were then investigated for the asymmetric hydrophosphination reaction in the presence of catalyst Mg(**1a**)₂ under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2). To our delight, all the imines underwent addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to afford α -amino phosphine oxide products in excellent yield and enantioselectivity. Electron donating substituents in the *para*- (entry 2), *ortho*- (entry 3), and *meta*- (entry 4) positions were all shown to be excellent substrates for the phospho-Mannich reaction. Likewise, the use of electron withdrawing substituents (entries 5–7) in the *para*-position also provided for high yield and excellent asymmetric induction. A sterically hindered 1-naphthyl substituted imine was also an excellent substrate, allowing preparation of **4h** in 95% yield with 96% ee (entry 8). A heteroaromatic (2-furyl) substitution also proved to be a good substrate with a high yield and ee for product **4i** being observed (entry 9). We also examined the aliphatic substrate scope; cyclohexyl and isopropyl imines gave good yield and enantioselectivity (entries 10 and 11). However, it was found that *n*-propyl, *n*-butyl and 3-phenyl propyl substituted imines afforded moderate yields and enantioselectivities when chosen as a substrate for the reaction (entries 12–14).

Next we chose to investigate the imine protecting group on the nucleophilic addition. We began this study by preparing **5a** from benzaldehyde and *5H*-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-amine.¹⁹ Optimization of the reaction conditions revealed the best selectivity when 2 equivalents of imine **5a** were treated with 1 equivalent of diphenylphosphine oxide in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature (Table 3). In order to determine the utility of the new protecting group, we synthesized four new imines (Table 3, **5b–e**). We opted to synthesize the imines which had induced relatively lower enantioselectivity with the benzhydryl protecting group in our previous study (see Table 2). When we subjected **5a** to the optimized reaction conditions, we found a 90% yield and 99% ee for the resulting product **6a**. For the benzhydryl protecting group, product **4a** was obtained with 96% yield and 93% asymmetric induction. For imine **5b**, 92% ee was obtained for product **6b**, while in case of the benzhydryl protected imine a 90% ee was observed in product **4b**. Imines **5c** and **5d** both underwent diphenylphosphine oxide addition with excellent yield and enantioselectivity. For the products **6c** and **6d**, an increased asymmetric induction was observed when compared to the benzhydryl protected counterpart **4c** and **4e** respectively. However, for the hetero-aromatic (2-furyl) imine, the product **6e** was obtained in lower enantiomeric excess (87%) than product **4i** (91%). In the case of aliphatic aldimines, imines derived from isobutyl aldehydes gave comparable results in terms of yield and enantioselectivity (**4k**, and **6f**). The products **6g**, **6h**, showed better asymmetric induction than products **4l**, **4m**. The dibenzocycloheptene protecting group was critical for asymmetric induction in **6i**, the product was obtained in 93% ee, while product **4n** gave only 48% ee. Overall *5H*-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-amine derived imines gave better asymmetric induction in the resulting products than benzhydryl imines, while yields for both protecting groups were comparable. We hypothesized that the dibenzocycloheptene protected imines could have enhanced CH- π ²⁰ and π - π ²¹ stacking interactions with catalyst Mg(**1a**)₂ in transition state, which lead to better asymmetric induction in the resulting products.

We have also shown that the benzhydryl group can be removed with good yield to provide the α -amino phosphine oxide **7a**, with preserved enantioselectivity (Scheme 1). Protection of the amine with a Boc group allowed for product **8a**, which was used to determine the absolute stereochemistry of the chiral phosphine oxide through X-ray crystallographic analysis (see Supporting Information).

The reactivity of various aliphatic/aromatic phosphine oxides²² was evaluated for hydrophosphination reaction (table 4). To our satisfaction, aromatic phosphine oxides bearing either electron withdrawing (entries 1 and 2) or electron donating substituent (entries 3 and 4) underwent nucleophilic addition to *N*-benzhydryl imine affording products in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity. However, aliphatic phosphine oxides such as benzyl, di *t*-butyl and diethyl phosphine oxide as well as sterically demanding aromatic phosphine oxide (2,6-dimethyl phosphine oxide) did not undergo phospho-Mannich reaction under optimized conditions.

In summary, we have developed a new method where diphenylphosphine oxides can be added to imines with excellent yield and enantioselectivity catalyzed by a chiral phosphate salt. This method provides simple and direct access to chiral α -amino phosphine oxides. In this study, we have examined addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to two different *N*-substituted imines. The imines synthesized from *5H*-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-amine provided better enantioselectivity than those derived from benzhydryl imines, while both imines gave comparable yields. Also, the substituted diphenylphosphine oxides were excellent nucleophiles obtaining chiral α -amino phosphine oxides in good yield and enantioselectivity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the National Institutes of Health (NIH GM-082935) and the National Science Foundation (NSF-0847108) for financial support.

References

1. For reviews on biological activity of α -Amino phosphonic acids and their derivatives, see: (a) Kafarski P, Lejczak B. Phosphorus sulfur silicon Relat Elem. 1991; 63:193–215.(b) Romanenko VD, Kukhar VP. Chem Rev. 2006; 106:3868–3965. [PubMed: 16967924]
2. (a) Atherton FR, Hassall RW, Lambert RW. J Med Chem. 1986; 29:29–40. [PubMed: 3510298] (b) Huber JW, Gilmore WF, Robertson LW. J Med Chem. 1975; 18:106–108. [PubMed: 803243] (c) Pratt RF. Science. 1989; 246:917–919. [PubMed: 2814513]
3. Huang J, Chen R. Heteroat Chem. 2000; 11:480–492.
4. (a) Allen MC, Fuhrer W, Tuck B, Wade R, Wood JM. J Med Chem. 1989; 32:1652–1661. [PubMed: 2661820] (b) Bird J, De Mello RE, Miles-Williams AJ, Rahman SS, Ward RW. J Med Chem. 1994; 37:158–169. [PubMed: 8289190] (c) Smith WW, Bartlett PA. J Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120:4622–4628.
5. Patel DV, Rielly-Gauvin K, Ryono DE, Charles AF, Rogers WL, Smith SA, DeForrest JM, Oehl RS, Petrillo EW Jr. J Med Chem. 1995; 38:4557–4569. [PubMed: 7473584]
6. For review, see: (a) Merino P, Marqués-López E, Herrera RP. Adv Synth Catal. 2008; 350:1195–1208.(b) Ordóñez M, Rojascabrera H, Cativiela C. Tetrahedron. 2009; 65:17–49. [PubMed: 20871799]
7. For Organocatalytic hydrophosphonylation, see: (a) Joly GD, Jacobsen EN. J Am Chem Soc. 2004; 126:4102–4103. [PubMed: 15053588] (b) Akiyama T, Morita H, Itoh J, Fuchibe K. Org Lett. 2005; 7:2583–2585. [PubMed: 15957896] For recent work on hydrophosphonylation, see: (c) Nakamura S, Nakashima H, Yamamura A, Shibata N, Toru T. Adv Synth Catal. 2008; 350:1209–1212.(d) Cheng X, Goddard R, Buth G, List B. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2008; 47:5079–5081.(e) Zhao D, Wang Y, Mao L, Wang R. Chem Eur J. 2009; 15:10983–10987.For hydrophosphoynlation of ketimines, see: (f) Nakamura S, Hayashi M, Hiramatsu Y, Shibata N, Funahashi Y, Toru T. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:18240–18241. [PubMed: 19958032] For therotical study on the chiral phosphoric acid catalyzed hydrophosphonylation, see: (g) Yamanaka M, Hirata T. J Org Chem. 2009; 74:3266–3271. [PubMed: 19388712] (h) Akiyama T, Morita H, Bachu P, Mori K, Yamanaka M, Hirata T. Tetrahedron. 2009; 65:4950–4956.
8. Bartoli G, Bosco M, Carlone A, Locatelli M, Mazzanti A, Sambri L, Melchiorre P. Chem Commun. 2007:722–724.
9. Carlone A, Bartoli G, Bosco M, Sambri L, Melchiorre P. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007; 46:4504–4506.
10. Ibrahem I, Rios R, Vesely J, Hammar P, Eriksson L, Himo F, Córdova A. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2007; 46:4507–4510.
11. Yamakoshi K, Harwood SJ, Kanai M, Shibasaki M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999; 40:2565–2568.
12. Fu X, Loh W, Zhang Y, Chen T, Ma T, Liu H, Wang J, Tan C. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2009; 48:7387–7390.
13. Zhao D, Mao L, Wang Y, Yang D, Zhang Q, Wang R. Org Lett. 2010; 12:1880–1882. [PubMed: 20337436]
14. For reviews on chiral phosphoric acid, see: (a) Akiyama T, Itoh J, Fuchibe K. Adv Synth Catal. 2006; 348:999–1010.(b) Akiyama T. Chem Rev. 2007; 107:5744–5758. [PubMed: 17983247] (c) Terada M. Chem Commun. 2008:4097–4112.
15. Hatano M, Moriyama K, Maki T, Ishihara K. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:3823–3826.
16. (a) Zhang Z, Zheng W, Antilla JC. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2011; 50:1135–1138.(b) Drouet F, Lalli C, Liu H, Masson G, Zhu J. Org Lett. 2011; 13:94–97. [PubMed: 21121643] For reports on metal

and Brønsted acid catalysis, see: (c) Rueping M, Koenigs RM, Atodiresei I. Chem- Eur J. 2010; 16:9350–9365.

17. Lv J, Li X, Zhong L, Luo S, Cheng JP. Org Lett. 2010; 12:1096–1099. [PubMed: 20131819]
18. Zhang Y, Lu Z, Desai A, Wulff WD. Org Lett. 2008; 10:5429–5432. [PubMed: 18989967]
19. Hjelmencrantz A, Friberg A, Berg U. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans. 2000; 2:1293–1300.
20. For a review, see: Nishio M. Tetrahedron. 2005; 61:6923–6950.
21. (a) Janiak C. J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans. 2000:3885–3896.(b) Roesky HW, Andruh M. Coord Chem Rev. 2003; 236:91–119.
22. Abell JP, Yamamoto H. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:10521–10523. [PubMed: 18642910]

Scheme 1. Deprotection of **4a** and determination of absolute configuration

Table 1

Catalytic reaction condition optimization for the enantioselective addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to *N*-benzhydryl imine.

entry ^a	catalyst (mol %) ^b	solvent	yield (%) ^c	ee (%) ^d
1	H(1b) Purified on silica gel (10)	toluene	68	0
2	H(1c) Purified on silica gel (10)	toluene	61	38
3	H(1a) Purified on silica gel (10)	toluene	72	82
4	H(1a) Purified on silica gel (10)	CH ₃ CN	97	91
5	Na(1a) (10)	CH ₃ CN	56	55
6	Ca(1a) ₂ (5)	CH ₃ CN	96	91
7	Mg(1a) ₂ (5)	CH ₃ CN	95	93
8	Mg(1a) ₂ (2.5)	CH ₃ CN	92	80
9	H(1a) washed with HCl (10)	CH ₃ CN	87	80

^a General conditions: 1.2 equiv of imine and 1.0 equiv of diphenylphosphine oxide.

^b See Supporting Information for details on the synthesis of the catalysts and for the optimization table.

^c Isolated yields.

^d Ee determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

Table 2

The catalytic asymmetric addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to *N*-benzhydryl imines.

entry ^a	R	product	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	Ph (2a)	4a	95	93
2	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (2b)	4b	95	90 ^d
3	2-MeC ₆ H ₄ (2c)	4c	96	89
4	3-MeC ₆ H ₄ (2d)	4d	95	92
5	4-FC ₆ H ₄ (2e)	4e	95	90
6	4-BrC ₆ H ₄ (2f)	4f	97	92
7	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄ (2g)	4g	97	95
8	1-Naph (2h)	4h	95	96
9	2-Furyl (2i)	4i	93	91
10	<i>c</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁ (2j)	4j	96	91
11 ^e	<i>iso</i> -propyl	4k	92	86
12 ^e	<i>n</i> -Propyl	4l	88	62
13 ^e	<i>n</i> -Butyl	4m	65	52
14 ^e	Ph-(CH ₂ CH ₂)	4n	73	48

^a General conditions: 1.2 equiv of imine and 1.0 equiv of the phosphine oxide with 5 mol % Mg(**1a**)₂.

^b Isolated yields.

^c Ee determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

^d Using the optimized conditions, with Ca(**1a**)₂ as the catalyst a 96% yield and 81% ee of **4** could be obtained.

^e Imine was generated *in situ* (see Supporting Information).

Table 3The catalytic asymmetric addition of diphenylphosphine oxide to imines **5**

entry ^a	R	product	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	Ph (5a)	6a	90	99 ^d
2	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (5b)	6b	92	92
3	2-MeC ₆ H ₄ (5c)	6c	92	96
4	4-FC ₆ H ₄ (5d)	6d	95	96
5	2-Furyl (5e)	6e	98	87
6 ^{e,f}	<i>iso</i> -Propyl	6f	72	85
7 ^d	<i>n</i> -Propyl	6g	73	80
8 ^d	<i>n</i> -Butyl	6h	84	74
9 ^d	Ph-(CH ₂ CH ₂)	6i	86	93

^a General conditions: 2.0 equiv of imine and 1.0 equiv of the phosphine oxide with 5 mol % Mg(**1a**)₂.^b Isolated yield.^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.^d Using the optimized conditions, with Ca(**1a**)₂ as the catalyst a 95% yield and 90% ee of **6** was obtained, and with 'H(**1a**) washed with HCl' a 90% yield and 79% ee of **6** was found.^e Imine was generated in situ.^f (*S*)-H(**1a**) was used to synthesize Mg(**1a**)₂.

Table 4

The catalytic asymmetric addition of aromatic phosphine oxides (**3b–e**) to *N*-benzhydryl imine.

entry ^a	R	product	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ (3b)	9b	93	84 ^d
2	4-FC ₆ H ₄ (3c)	9c	88	84
3	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (3d)	9d	79	87
4	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (3e)	9e	63	89

^a General conditions: 1.2 equiv of imine and 1.0 equiv of oxide **3b–e** with 5 mol % Mg(**1a**)₂.

^b Isolated yield.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

^d With CH₂Cl₂ as the solvent a 78% yield and 80% ee of **9b** was obtained, while using Ca(**1a**)₂ as the catalyst resulted in a 77% yield and 79% ee of **9b**.