Published in final edited form as:

Carbohydr Res. 2007 June 11; 342(8): 1044–1054. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2007.02.023.

A Karplus equation for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ in amino sugar derivatives

Bruce Coxon*

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 31 Center Drive, MSC 2423, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

Abstract

The ^1H – ^{15}N coupling constants of a suite of organic-soluble amino sugar derivatives have been measured by one-dimensional and two-dimensional $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ heteronuclear single quantum, multiple bond correlation (HSQMBC), and the values so obtained are compared with those measured by analysis of ^1H spectra of ^{15}N -labeled amino sugar derivatives. A number of bicyclic amino sugar models have been studied, including methyl 2- (and 3-)amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2- (and 3-)deoxy- α -D-hexopyranosides in chair or skew conformations, and methyl 2,6-anhydro-3-deoxy-3-phthalimido- α -D-mannopyranoside in a locked, almost classical boat conformation. The magnitudes of the vicinal ^1H – ^{15}N coupling constants $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ have been correlated with $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ dihedral angles φ computed for the favored conformations by molecular dynamics with molecular mechanics energy minimization. Nonlinear regression of the coupling constants on the dihedral angles has yielded a Karplus equation: $^3J_{\text{HCCN}} = 3.1\cos^2\varphi - 0.6\cos\varphi + 0.4$

The coefficients of the terms in this equation have been compared with those reported for 15 other pairs of nuclei, and the coefficient of the important $\cos^2\varphi$ term found to be numerically smallest for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$.

Keywords

Amino sugars; ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts; COSY; Cryoprobe; ^1H NMR chemical shifts; HMBC; HSQC; HSQMBC; Karplus equation; molecular dynamics; ^{15}N NMR coupling constants

1. Introduction

Amino sugar derivatives are widespread in nature, forming important structural elements of aminoglycoside antibiotics, blood-group substances, exoskeletal materials, and bacterial polysaccharides used in vaccine development.¹ We are interested in extending the use of ^{15}N NMR parameters for the structural, stereochemical, and conformational analysis of biological materials, in this instance, by definition of the dependence of vicinal ^1H – ^{15}N coupling constants ($^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$) on the $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ atomic dihedral angle in amino sugars. The dependence of vicinal ^1H – ^1H coupling constants on the dihedral angle^{2,3} and on a number of other molecular parameters is now well established for carbohydrates^{4–6} and other molecules.^{7–12} Considerable data exist also for the dependence of three-bond ^1H – ^{13}C coupling constants, for both the $\text{HCOC}^{13}\text{C}^{21}$ and $\text{HCCC}^{14}\text{C}^{22}\text{C}^{24}$ moieties. However, the dependence of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ on dihedral angle and on other molecular parameters is less well defined,²⁵ especially in amino sugars. Our approach has been to measure the $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ values

* Tel.: + 1-301-2954780; fax: + 1-301-2951435. E-mail address: coxonb@mail.nih.gov.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

of amino sugar derivatives in fixed or known conformations, in which the ^1H and ^{15}N nuclei have defined orientations. Many of our model compounds are methyl 2- (and 3-) amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2- (and 3-)deoxy- α -D-hexopyranosides, which are known for their conformational purity in chair conformations,²⁶ or occasionally a skew form.^{27–29} Like *trans*-decalin, *trans* fusion of the 4,6-*O*-benzylidene ring to the pyranose ring restricts the number of conformational possibilities, so that the latter ring cannot adopt an alternative chair form.

Also studied, was methyl 2,6-anhydro-3-deoxy-3-phthalimido- α -D-mannopyranoside, which exists in a locked, almost classical boat conformation.³⁰ $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ dihedral angles in the amino sugar models have been defined by molecular dynamics computations, followed by energy minimization by molecular mechanics.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Methyl 2-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2-deoxy- α -D-altropyranoside³¹ (**1**), methyl 4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido- α -D-altropyranoside³¹ (**2**), methyl *N*-2',4'-dinitrophenyl-3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranoside²⁶ (**3**), methyl *N*-2',4'-dinitrophenyl-3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy-2-*O*-methyl- α -D-altropyranoside²⁶ (**4**), methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-*N*-2',4'-dinitrophenyl-3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranoside²⁶ (**5**), bis(methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl)amine³² (**6**), methyl 3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside³¹ (**7**), methyl 4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy-3-phthalimido- α -D-altropyranoside²⁹ (**8**), methyl 2,6-anhydro-3-deoxy-3-phthalimido- α -D-mannopyranoside³⁰ (**9**) (see Figure 1). Compounds **2**, **8**, and **9** were also available in ^{15}N -labeled form.

2.2. NMR spectroscopy

NMR spectra were acquired at 300 K by use of a Bruker DRX-500 NMR spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm TXI HCN cryoprobe with z -gradient coil, and a Silicon Graphics (SGI) O2 workstation, changing to an HP xw4200 PC workstation. Bruker XWINNMR software, version 3.5.6 running under IRIX 6.5.27 was used for the SGI system, and TopSpin 1.3.4 running under Windows XP Pro SP2 for the HP workstation. ^1H NMR spectra were acquired using a spectral width of 5.48 kHz, and 32768 point data sets, zero-filled or linear predicted to 65536 points, a 30° pulse (2.4 μs), and a pulse recycle time of 6 s. NMR solvent volumes were 0.5 mL of CDCl_3 unless stated otherwise, and solute weights were ~1 mg (5–9 mM) for ^{15}N -labeled compounds, and 20–30 mg (90–215 mM) for unlabeled derivatives. Tetramethylsilane was used as an internal chemical shift reference ($\delta = 0$) for ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra. The resolution of the spectra was enhanced by Gaussian multiplication of the free induction decay, using a line-narrowing of -0.5 to -6.0 Hz, and a Gaussian truncation fraction of 0.3. Assignments of ^1H – ^1H coupling constants, including long-range couplings, were confirmed by digital homodecoupling. In order to obtain sharp ^1H spin-spin multiplets suitable for measurement of coupling constants, it was important that the OH and NH protons not be in an intermediate rate of exchange, since this led to broadening of the signals of nearby CH protons. Occasionally, traces of acid were removed from CDCl_3 solutions by the addition of up to four beads of molecular sieves to the NMR sample tube, without affecting field homogeneity significantly. Fully coupled hydroxyl proton spectra were obtained in this way. However, such spectra were more reliably acquired by use of acetone- d_6 as solvent. On the other hand, in CDCl_3 solution, the presence of a free amino group in derivatives **1** and **7** catalyzed an increased rate of proton exchange of NH_2 and OH groups, thus giving decoupled multiplets that were also good for the purpose.

^{13}C NMR spectra were acquired by using 65536 point data sets, a 45° pulse (6.7 μs), and a pulse recycle time of 2 s. Resolution was enhanced either by zero-filling to 65536 data points, or by forward, complex linear prediction to 131072 points, together with Gaussian multiplication by way of a line-narrowing of -0.5 to -4 Hz, and a Gaussian truncation fraction of 0.3. Values of $^1J_{\text{C1,H-1}}$ were measured either from ^1H -coupled, 1D ^{13}C NMR spectra acquired with the nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) by use of gated, WALTZ-16 irradiation at the ^1H frequency, or from ^1H -coupled 2D HSQC spectra obtained from $4096 (t_2) \times 512 (t_1)$ point data sets, zero-filled to $8192 (F_2) \times 2048 (F_1)$ points.

All 2D NMR spectra were acquired by pulsed field gradient-selected methods. 2D correlation spectroscopy (COSY) and homodecoupling were used to confirm ^1H assignments, and heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) were used for ^{13}C assignments. 2D COSY and HMBC NMR spectra were obtained by using $2048 (t_2) \times 512 (t_1)$ point data sets, zero-filled to $2048 (F_2) \times 2048 (F_1)$ points, whereas 2D HSQC spectra were taken from $2,048 (t_2) \times 512 (t_1)$ point data sets, linearly predicted to $4096 (F_2) \times 4096 (F_1)$ points. For 2D COSY, the read pulse was 300 (2.4 μs). 2D HSQC and HMBC were acquired with ^1H and ^{13}C spectral widths of 5.48 kHz (F_2) and 25.1 kHz (F_1), respectively.

1D and 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ heteronuclear single quantum, multiple bond correlation (HSQMBC) NMR spectra^{33,34} were acquired by using a Bruker *hsqcetf3gpjclrnd* pulse sequence, which included shaped, 180° chirp pulses of 500 μs and 2 ms for inversion and refocusing, respectively, at the ^{15}N frequency. A pulse sequence execution error in the form of non-progressive accumulation of data was eliminated by setting separate, but equal phase programs ($\text{ph}14 = 0\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 2$ and $\text{ph}4 = 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 2$) for the shaped ^{15}N refocusing pulse and the following ^{15}N 90° high power pulse, respectively. The $1/2J$ delay was calculated from $^1J_{\text{NH}}$ 93 Hz, whereas the evolution delay for long-range ^1H - ^{15}N coupling constants was 83 ms. 1D HSQMBC was conducted by using 16384 point data sets, linearly predicted to 65536 points.

For 2D HSQMBC, data sets of $16384 (t_2) \times 256 (t_1)$ points were used, which were linearly predicted to $32768 (F_2 = ^1\text{H}) \times 1024 (F_1 = ^{15}\text{N})$ points, with spectral widths of 5.48 kHz and 10.14 kHz, respectively. Display of the HSQMBC spectra was optimized by testing various window functions ranging from exponential filtering with a line-broadening of 0.2 Hz to Gaussian multiplication with line-narrowing of up to -2 Hz. 2D COSY and HMBC spectra were displayed in the magnitude mode, whereas the phase-sensitive, echo-anti-echo protocol was used for ^1H -coupled 2D HSQC, ^{13}C decoupled 2D HSQC, and 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBC. The anti-phase spectra obtained by the HSQMBC technique were analyzed by the "multiplet total width subtraction" method based on the fact that for first order multiplets, the total width of the multiplet, i.e., the distance in Hz between its outer maxima, is equal to the sum of the coupling constants in the multiplet. Therefore, the additional J_{HCCN} coupling constant that appears in the ^1H - ^{15}N HSQMBC multiplet may be calculated by subtracting the total width of the corresponding multiplet in a reference 1D ^1H NMR spectrum acquired under the same conditions from the total width of the HSQMBC multiplet.

2.3. Molecular modeling

Molecular modeling computations were performed with a Silicon Graphics, Inc. Octane workstation, equipped with an R-10000 250 MHz CPU and Accelrys Insight II/Discover software, version 2000.1. For each amino sugar derivative, the conformational type was identified from the values of the three-bond, four-bond, and five-bond coupling constants (Table 3), and this conformation was built in the Biopolymer module of the Insight II/Discover software. The initial models of compounds **3–5** were built with planar *N*-2,4-

dinitrophenylamino groups. At 300 K, each conformation was subjected to an initial steepest descent energy minimization for 5000 steps, until the r.m.s. derivative was < 0.001 . An AMBER forcefield was used that included Homans' parameters³⁵ for the anomeric atoms. The computations were performed with implicit solvent, by use of a distance dependent, effective dielectric constant of 4.0. At 300 K, 1000 equilibration steps of 1 fs each were run, followed by 5000 molecular dynamics steps of 1 fs each, and then VA09A energy minimization. The molecular dynamics and mechanics calculations were then repeated five times, until constancy of dihedral angles could be seen. Dihedral angles of interest were then measured from the energy-minimized model, using the Insight II/Discover program. For compounds **8** and **9**, the required geometries were obtained from data for previous publications.^{29,30}

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Multinuclear NMR data and molecular dynamics

Measurement of the coupling constants was performed by 1D ^1H NMR of ^{15}N -labeled amino sugars^{30,31,36–38} and/or 1D and 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMB^{33,34} of amino sugars having ^{15}N either enriched or at natural abundance. The latter experiments were facilitated by the enhanced sensitivity of a $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ inverse NMR cryoprobe which yielded excellent HSQMB spectra from 1 mg of ^{15}N -labeled derivative, or from 20–30 mg having ^{15}N at natural abundance. Several of the compounds **1–9** have been studied before, ^{26,29–32} but generally at lower magnetic field strengths. Re-examination of these compounds using a modern NMR spectrometer has resulted in complete ^1H and ^{13}C chemical shift and coupling constant assignments and more precise chemical shifts and coupling constants than heretofore, and the application of vigorous resolution enhancement methods has led to the discovery of a number of small long-range coupling constants that were not detected previously. Full spectral analyses have not been presented previously for compounds **3–5** and **8- ^{15}N** .

^1H chemical shifts of derivatives **1–9** are reported in Table 1, their ^{13}C chemical shifts in Table 2, and ^1H – ^1H coupling constants and $^1J_{\text{C-1,H-1}}$ values in Table 3. The latter values in the range 167.2–173.1 Hz served to confirm the α anomeric configuration of all the derivatives. The ^1H chemical shifts of the phenyl protons in **1–8** were obtained from listings of 2D $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}$ HSQC cross peaks, instead of by direct analysis of the complex ^1H NMR spectra.

For compounds **1–6**, the ranges of values $J_{1,2}$ 1.1–1.7, $J_{2,3}$ 2.3–3.1, $J_{3,4}$ 3.0–3.8, and $J_{4,5}$ 9.6–9.9 Hz (Table 3) indicate that the pyranose ring of all of these derivatives adopts the $^4\text{C}_1$ form, as depicted in Figure 1. Also consistent with this form is the W-type, long-range coupling $^4J_{1,3}$ 0.6–1.1 Hz observed for **1–6** (Table 3). A number of small, non-W long-range couplings were also measured for **1–6**: $^4J_{1,5}$ 0.5–0.7, $^4J_{2,4}$ 0.4, and $^4J_{3,5}$ 0.6 Hz. An extended W-type, long-range coupling $^5J_{3,6}$ 0.5–0.7 Hz was also detected for **1–6**, a coupling constant that appears to be characteristic of the in-plane arrangement of H-3, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, and H-6e in the $^4\text{C}_1$ form, when measured under high resolution. In derivatives **7** and **8**, H-3 is out of the plane defined by C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, and H-6e, and $^5J_{3,6}$ was not detected. Neither was it detectable for the 2,6-anhydro-mannoside **9**, in which H-3 is axial. As demonstrated previously from the vicinal ^1H – ^1H coupling constants, the pyranose rings of compounds **8** and **9** adopt the $^1\text{S}_5$ skew boat form²⁹ and slightly twisted $^0\text{B}^6$ boat form,³⁰ respectively.

^1H – ^{15}N and ^{13}C – ^{15}N coupling constants for compounds **1, 2- ^{15}N , 6, 7, 8- ^{15}N , and 9- ^{15}N** are reported in Table 4, together with dihedral angles for certain $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ pairs, as computed by molecular dynamics. These dihedral angles are comprised of two types: (a) single values that correspond to couplings over three bonds, and (b) dual values that represent the angles

subtended by four bonds.³⁹ Compounds **8** and **9** provide unusual dihedral angles over three bonds, $\phi_{4,N-3}$ 142° and 10°, respectively. The latter angle represents the slight degree of twist of the boat conformation of **9** away from the eclipsed orientation of N-3 and H-4 (compare the undistorted boat form of **9** shown in Figure 2 with the molecular dynamics result displayed in Figure 3a). The highly selective and anti-phase nature of HSQMBC NMR spectra are illustrated in Figure 2, in which only the H-1, H-2, H-3, and H-4 multiplets (resulting from ¹⁵N interactions) are detected in a 1D ¹H/¹⁵N HSQMBC spectrum of **9**. Compounds **7** and **9** exhibit uncommon examples of a heteronuclear, W-type long-range coupling (0.6–1.1 Hz) between H-1 and N-3 (Table 4). In most cases, the values of ³*J*_{HCCN} determined by HSQMBC agree with those measured from 1D ¹H NMR spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled compounds within ±0.2 Hz. However, the values of *J*_{2,N-3} measured for **8**-¹⁵N are a notable exception (Table 4). Because of this discrepancy, we regard the extraction of ³*J*_{HCCN} from 1D ¹H spectra of ¹⁵N-labeled derivatives as a more reliable method than HSQMBC. Nevertheless, the latter method was essential for measurement of couplings of ¹⁵N at natural abundance. It is possible that the presence of proton-exchange processes close to the coupled nuclei of interest affects their relaxation phenomena, thus modifying the *J* values measured by HSQMBC.

Molecular dynamics models of **1–8** suggest that the favored orientation of the phenyl ring is orthogonal to the plane of the 4,6-*O*-benzylidene ring, and that the methoxyl group is in the *exo* relationship to the pyranose ring (for example, see Figures 3b,3c). Also, the MD model of the ⁴C₁ pyranoid form of **2** (Figure 3b) suggests that there is some splaying of the large, axial phthalimido group away from the axial H-4 and an axial *p*-orbital on O-5, with the result that the $\phi_{1,N-2}$ and $\phi_{3,N-2}$ values in **2** are ~10° smaller than those in the 2-amino-2-deoxy derivative **1**. (see Table 4). This type of conformational distortion of **2** would cause enlargement of the ¹H/¹H dihedral angle $\phi_{1,2}$ to ~80°, thus giving a smaller *J*_{1,2}. However, experimentally, *J*_{1,2} 1.7 Hz is larger for **2** than that (1.1 Hz) for **1**. The reason for this inconsistency could be that compound **2** exists in a conformational equilibrium that contains a minor proportion of a ¹S₅ skew boat form in which $\phi_{1,2}$ is ~171°, for which by analogy with the 3-phthalimido derivative **8**, a value *J*_{1,2} ~7 Hz would be expected. Significantly, methyl 4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-*C*-pentachlorophenyl- α -D-altropyranoside (**10**) has been shown to adopt predominantly a boat form for its pyranoside ring,²⁷ and we have calculated that the molecular volume of **10** is 341 Å³, which is larger than the value 297 Å³ computed for the 2-deoxy-2-phthalimido derivative **2**, owing to the larger size of the pentachlorophenyl group, as compared with the phthalimido substituent.²⁹ An indication of the free-energy difference between the ⁴C₁ chair and ¹S₅ skew forms of **2** was obtained by molecular dynamics with simulated annealing from 1000 K to 300 K by 50 K decrements (CVFF forcefield with implicit solvent), which suggested that the ⁴C₁ form is 1.7 kcal/mol more stable than the ¹S₅ form. From $\Delta G = -RT \ln K$, this energy difference corresponds to a population of 95% for the ⁴C₁ form. Measurement of the molecular dynamics model of the ¹S₅ form of **2** indicated $\phi_{1,N-2}$ 70° and $\phi_{3,N-2}$ 36°, which at a population of 5% are expected to have a negligible effect on interpretation of *J*_{1,N-2} and *J*_{3,N-2}. However, if *J*_{1,2} is estimated to be 1.3 Hz for the ⁴C₁ form, and 7.0 Hz for the ¹S₅ form, then the weighted average for 95:5 proportions of these forms is 1.6 Hz, which is comparable with the observed value *J*_{1,2} 1.7 Hz for **2**. From molecular dynamics, the ⁴C₁ and ¹S₅ forms of **2** of lowest energy both appear to have HO-3 hydrogen bonded to O-4.

Dimer **6** has high symmetry, and only single ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained at 11.8 T for the two identical residues, as had also been observed³² at 8.5 T (360 MHz). The methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl-amino group on one pyranose ring does not count as a large substituent, and so both pyranosyl residues in **6** adopt the ⁴C₁ form. Molecular dynamics computations for **6** suggested the presence of a conformational equilibrium about the C-3–N-3 bonds, in which $\phi_{3,NH}$ values were 178° and

79° in one conformer, and 79° and 178° in another. Thus, the single value $^3J_{3,\text{NH}}$ 8.4 Hz that was observed is likely an averaged value.

A 2D HSQMBC method was necessary for measurement of the J_{HCCN} values for the *N*-2', 4'-dinitrophenyl derivatives **3–5**, because the amino sugar N-3 is coupled to protons in both the sugar and 2',4'-dinitrophenyl rings. Dispersion of the ^{15}N chemical shifts in the F_1 dimension allowed separation of the cross multiplets of H-3', H-5', and H-6' with the nitro N-2' and N-4' nuclei from the cross multiplets of these protons with N-3 (Figure 4). Measurement of the J_{HCCN} values was performed from multiplets in the 1D slices (for example, Figure 5) of the 2D HSQMBC spectra. The 1D and 2D HSQMBC spectra of **3–5** yielded a wealth of ^{15}N NMR data that are reported in Table 5, together with single and dual values of dihedral angles computed by molecular dynamics. In the MD models of **3–5** (for example, that of **5** in Figure 3c) the phenyl and 2',4'-dinitrophenyl rings are in a stacked orientation, perhaps more as a consequence of the physical space requirements of these large groups, rather than π - π interaction. However, the ^1H - ^1H coupling constants of **3–5** (Table 3) indicate a strong preference for the $^4\text{C}_1$ form of the pyranose ring, and, therefore, that the 2', 4'-dinitrophenylamino group is not so large as to cause conformational modification of this form. Hydrogen bonding of the NH with O-1 is also suggested by the MD models of **3–5**. Dihedral angles $\phi_{3',\text{N-2'}}$ and $\phi_{6',\text{N-3}}$ of 14°–15° for **3–5** (Table 5) indicate that the 2'-nitro group is twisted out of the plane of the *N*-(2',4'-dinitrophenyl)amino group. In Table 5 and Figure 4 may be noted the characteristic ^{15}N chemical shifts of the three types of ^{15}N nuclei in **3–5**. The values $^1J_{\text{HN-3}}$ 92.5–94.8 Hz for **3–5** are similar to those of acetamido-deoxy sugars, 36·38·40·41 and are typical of nitrogen atoms that are at least partially sp^2 hybridized. Also noteworthy in Table 5 are the large values 3.8–4.4 Hz observed for the trans coupling $^3J_{4,\text{N-3}}$ in **3–5**, which from MD corresponds to $\phi_{4,\text{N-3}}$ 171–172°.

3.2. A Karplus equation for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$

The values of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ and ϕ_{HCCN} that were used for a non-linear, least squares fit to the Karplus equation: $^3J = A\cos^2\phi + B\cos\phi + C$ are listed in Table 6, together with an indication of which compounds provided the values. These were judged to be the best values that could be extracted from Tables 4 and 5. Two outliers were eliminated, and the values from derivative **7** were not included because they appear to be examples of a dependence of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ on electronegativity orientation,⁷ a dependence that is not addressed by Karplus equations of the simple, three-parameter type. In the case of ^1H - ^1H couplings⁴² and ^1H - ^{19}F couplings,⁴³ their dependence on the orientation of electronegative substituents has been treated essentially by creating two equations by use of a “sign factor”, that is given values of either +1 or -1, depending on whether one of the coupled nuclei has a gauche orientation to an electronegative substituent on the attached vicinal carbon atoms, or a trans arrangement with it. This type of dependence can have the effect of doubling the $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ value of protons having a gauche, electronegative substituent.⁷ This effect was also observed for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ in the present study. For example, the values of $J_{2,\text{N-3}}$ 2.8 Hz and $J_{4,\text{N-3}}$ 2.3 Hz found for **7** are about twice the values $J_{1,\text{N-2}}$ 1.2 Hz and $J_{3,\text{N-2}}$ 1.4 Hz observed for **1** (Table 4), in a case where the group electronegativities are similar. For ^1H - ^1H couplings, some workers have performed Karplus fits containing as many as 11 mutually independent structural terms and 22 adjustable parameters.¹¹ However, such fits require very large data sets that are beyond the scope of the present study, and in the past, have yielded the conclusion that only four molecular properties are really significant, of which the dihedral angular term is the most important.¹¹

Fitting of the data in Table 6 yielded the equation: $^3J_{\text{HCCN}} = 3.1\cos^2\phi - 0.6\cos\phi + 0.4$, which is graphed in Figure 6. In Table 7, the A, B, and C parameters for this equation are compared with those for 15 other pairs of nuclei, based on equations of the three-parameter type described in the literature. It is seen that the most important variant for different pairs of

nuclei is the A term, which is numerically smallest of all for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$. However, the magnitudes of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ do not scale down from $^3J_{\text{HCCH}}$ by as much as a factor of 9.87 ($\gamma_{\text{H}}/\gamma_{\text{N}}$), which means that the measurement of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ is not as difficult as might be expected. Although the signs of the $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ couplings have not been determined in the present work, they are likely to be negative, because of the negative γ_{N} of the ^{15}N nucleus. In this event, the signs of the parameters A, B, and C might have to be reversed, which would cause the significant A parameter for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ couplings to be negative, like that for $^3J_{\text{HC}\alpha\text{C}'\text{N}}$ (see Table 7). However, these couplings are not directly comparable, because $^3J_{\text{HC}\alpha\text{C}'\text{N}}$ includes an sp^2 hybridized carbonyl carbon atom (C') in the coupling pathway, which could change the magnitude and/or sign of the coupling significantly via a p-orbital contribution. The magnitudes and signs of ^{15}N coupling constants can also be influenced by the presence and orientation of electron lone pairs on nitrogen atoms.^{9,55}

4. Summary and conclusions

Vicinal ^1H – ^{15}N coupling constants have been measured for amino sugar derivatives in known or fixed chair, boat, or skew conformations, either from the 1D ^1H NMR spectra of ^{15}N -labeled molecules, or by 1D or 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBC NMR spectroscopy of labeled or unlabeled compounds. Complete spectral assignments are reported for all the subject derivatives, the conformations of which are defined by their ^1H – ^1H coupling constants. The geometry of the conformations has been computed by molecular dynamics followed by molecular mechanics energy minimization, and the computed dihedral angles ϕ_{HCCN} correlated with the values of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$. Non-linear regression of the $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ values on the ϕ_{HCCN} values has yielded a Karplus equation of the simple, three-parameter type: $^3J_{\text{HCCN}} = 3.1\cos^2\phi - 0.6\cos\phi + 0.4$. The coefficients in this equation have been compared with literature values for 15 other pairs of coupled nuclei, and the coefficient of the important $\cos^2\phi$ term found to be the numerically smallest of all for $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$. Based on the history of interpretations of ^1H – ^1H coupling constants,^{11,42} Karplus equations of the simple type represent the first stage of a more complex definition of the dependence of such couplings on several molecular parameters, some of which would require a much larger data set, if applied to interpretation of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ values.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due Dr. Wolfgang Bermel for his advice.

References

1. Pozsgay V, Coxon B, Glaudemans CPJ, Schneerson R, Robbins JB. *Synlett*. 2003;743–767.
2. Karplus M. *J Chem Phys*. 1959; 30:11–15.
3. Karplus M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1963; 85:2870–2871.
4. Durette PL, Horton D. *Org Magn Reson*. 1971; 3:417–427.
5. Altona C, Haasnoot CAG. *Org Magn Reson*. 1980; 13:417–429.
6. Haasnoot CAG, de Leeuw FAAM, de Leeuw HPM, Altona C. *Org Magn Reson*. 1981; 15:43–52.
7. Williams DH, Bhacca NS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1964; 86:2742–2743.
8. Hamman S, Beguin C, Charlon C, Cuong LD. *Org Magn Reson*. 1983; 21:361–366.
9. Gil VMS, von Philipsborn W. *Magn Reson Chem*. 1989; 27:409–430.
10. Altona C, Ippel JH, Hoekzema AJAW, Erkelens C, Groesbeek M, Donders LA. *Magn Reson Chem*. 1989; 27:564–576.
11. Imai K, Osawa E. *Magn Reson Chem*. 1990; 28:668–674.
12. Altona C, Francke R, de Haan R, Ippel JH, Daalmans GJ, Hoekzema AJAW, van Wijk J. *Magn Reson Chem*. 1994; 32:670–678.

13. Lemieux RU, Nagabhushan TL, Paul B. *Can J Chem*. 1972; 50:773–775.
14. Schwarz JA, Perlin AS. *Can J Chem*. 1972; 50:3667–3676.
15. Hamer GK, Balza F, Cyr N, Perlin AS. *Can J Chem*. 1978; 56:3109–3116.
16. Coxon, B. *Developments in Food Carbohydrate-2*. Lee, CK., editor. Applied Science Publishers Ltd; Barking, England: 1980. p. 351-390.
17. Cano FH, Foces-Foces C, Jiménez-Barbero J, Alemany A, Bernabé M, Martín-Lomas M. *J Org Chem*. 1987; 52:3367–3372.
18. Mulloy B, Frenkiel TA. *Carbohydr Res*. 1988; 184:39–46. [PubMed: 3242815]
19. Tvaroska I, Hricovini M, Petrakova E. *Carbohydr Res*. 1989; 189:359–362.
20. Cloran F, Carmichael I, Serianni AS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1999; 121:9843–9851.
21. Zhu Y, Pan Q, Thibaudeau C, Zhao S, Carmichael I, Serianni AS. *J Org Chem*. 2006; 71:466–479. [PubMed: 16408953]
22. Perlin AS, Casu B. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1969:2921–2924.
23. De Marco A, Llinas M. *Biochemistry*. 1979; 18:3846–3854. [PubMed: 486399]
24. Aydin R, Günther H. *Magn Reson Chem*. 1990; 28:448–457.
25. Lichter RL, Roberts JD. *J Org Chem*. 1970; 35:2806–2807. [PubMed: 5449225]
26. Coxon B. *Tetrahedron*. 1965; 32:3481–3503.
27. Lee JB, Scanlon. *J Chem Soc, Chem Commun*. 1969:955–956.
28. Picq D, Carret G, Anker D. *Carbohydr Res*. 1986; 149:458–463.
29. Coxon B, Reynolds RC. *Carbohydr Res*. 2001; 331:461–467. [PubMed: 11398989]
30. Coxon B. *Carbohydr Res*. 1999; 322:120–127.
31. Coxon B, Reynolds RC. *Carbohydr Res*. 1982; 110:43–54.
32. Coxon B, Hough L. *Carbohydr Res*. 1979; 73:47–57.
33. Williamson RT, Marquez BL, Gerwick WH, Kover KE. *Magn Reson Chem*. 2000; 38:265–273.
34. Marquez BL, Gerwick WH, Williamson RT. *Magn Reson Chem*. 2001; 39:499–530.
35. Homans SW. *Biochemistry*. 1990; 29:9110–9118. [PubMed: 2271581]
36. Coxon B. *Carbohydr Res*. 1969; 11:153–155.
37. Coxon B. *Carbohydr Res*. 1971; 19:197–210.
38. Coxon B, Reynolds RC. *Carbohydr Res*. 1980; 78:1–16.
39. Barfield M. *J Chem Phys*. 1964; 41:3825–3832.
40. Coxon B, Johnson LF. *Carbohydr Res*. 1971; 20:105–122. [PubMed: 5151184]
41. Coxon B. *Pure Appl Chem*. 1977; 49:1151–1168.
42. Haasnoot CAG, de Leeuw FAAM, Altona C. *Tetrahedron*. 1980; 36:2783–2792.
43. Thibaudeau C, Plavec J, Chattopadhyaya J. *J Org Chem*. 1998; 63:4967–4984.
44. Hammer CF, Chandrasegaran S. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1984; 106:1543–1552.
45. Mooren MMW, Wijmenga SS, van der Marel GA, van Boom JH, Hilbers CW. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1994; 22:2658–2666. [PubMed: 8041628]
46. Fraser RR, Kaufman M, Morand P, Govil G. *Can J Chem*. 1969; 47:403–409.
47. Plavec J, Chattopadhyaya J. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1995; 36:1949–1952.
48. Bystrov VF, Gavrilov YD, Solkan VN. *J Magn Reson*. 1975; 19:123–129.
49. Vuister GW, Bax A. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1993; 115:7772–7777.
50. Carleer R, Anteunis MJO. *Org Magn Reson*. 1979; 12:673–678.
51. Berger S. *Org Magn Reson*. 1980; 14:65–68.
52. Tvaroska I, Mazeau K, Blanc-Muesser M, Lavaitte S, Driguez H, Taravel FR. *Carbohydr Res*. 1992; 229:225–231.
53. Milton MJ, Harris R, Probert MA, Field RA, Homans SW. *Glycobiology*. 1998; 8:147–153. [PubMed: 9451024]
54. Bose B, Zhao S, Stenutz R, Cloran F, Bondo PB, Bondo G, Hertz B, Carmichael I, Serianni AS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:11158–11173.

55. Levy, GC.; Lichter, RL. Nitrogen-15 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Wiley; New York: 1979. p. 117
56. Coxon B. Carbohydr Res. 2005; 340:1714–1721. [PubMed: 15936003]
57. Doddrell D, Burfitt I, Kitching W, Bullpitt M, Lee CH, Mynott RJ, Considine JL, Kuivila HG, Sarma RH. J Am Chem Soc. 1974; 96:1640–1642.

Figure 1.
Target compounds (1-9) for measurement of ^1H - ^{15}N coupling constants.

Figure 2. Natural abundance 1D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBC NMR spectrum of methyl 2,6-anhydro-3-deoxy-3-phthalimido- α -D-mannopyranoside (**9**), (30 mg) in CDCl_3 (0.5 mL) at 500.1/50.7 MHz.

Figure 3.

Stick and CPK space-filling models resulting from molecular dynamics/molecular mechanics simulations. (a) methyl 2,6-anhydro-3-deoxy-3-phthalimido- α -D-mannopyranoside (**9**), (b) methyl 4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido- α -D-altropyranoside (**2**), and (c) methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-*N*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranoside (**5**).

Figure 4. Natural abundance 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMB NMR spectrum of methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-*N*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranoside (**5**) (30 mg) in CDCl_3 (0.5 mL) at 500.1/50.7 MHz; 160 scans/FID over 18.3 h.

Figure 5.
1D slices (F_2) of the 2D HSQMBBC spectrum of **5** shown in Figure 4.

Figure 6.

Graph of Karplus equation $^3J_{\text{HCCN}} = 3.1\cos^2\phi - 0.6\cos\phi + 0.4$ obtained by fitting of experimental values of $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ to dihedral angles ϕ_{HCCN} computed by molecular dynamics simulation.

Table 1

¹H chemical shifts (ppm) of amino sugar derivatives

Derivative	R-2	R-3	H-1	H-2	H-3	H-4	H-5	H-6	H-6'	OMe	PhCH	Ph H-2,6	H-3,5	H-4	PHTH H-2,5	H-3,4	DNP H-3	H-5	H-6	Others
1	NH ₂	OH	4.553	3.290	3.960	3.891	4.213	4.331	3.809	3.419	5.624	7.501	7.362	7.358	---	---	---	---	---	---
2	PHTHN ^a	OH	4.838	4.731	4.312	4.605	4.356	4.434	3.932	3.431	5.744	7.498	7.394	7.385	7.883	7.767	---	---	---	HO-3 2.704
3	OH	DNPNH ^b	4.783	4.141	4.429	4.353	4.134	4.330	3.888	3.585	5.617	7.185	7.236	7.281	---	---	9.113	8.118	7.214	HO-2 2.459
4	OMe	DNPNH	4.880	3.638	4.473	4.267	4.104	4.313	3.872	3.590	5.596	7.190 ^c	7.240 ^c	7.285 ^c	---	---	9.115	8.126	7.246 ^c	OMe-2 3.554
5	OAc	DNPNH	4.798	5.099	4.421	4.231	4.180	4.353	3.893	3.576	5.628	7.201	7.229	7.279	---	---	9.121	8.139	7.211	OAc 2.226
6 ^d	OAc	AlpNH ^e	4.599	5.026	3.326	3.846	4.174	4.238	3.767	3.416	5.624	7.470	7.337	7.329	---	---	---	---	---	OAc 1.989
7	OH	NH ₂	4.710	3.574	3.202	3.353	3.770	4.256	3.701	3.424	5.502	7.475	7.356	7.351	---	---	---	---	---	HO-2 3.153 H ₂ N-3 3.153 HO-2 2.322
8- ¹⁵ N	OH	PHTHN	4.685	4.954	4.804	4.053	4.672	4.400	3.662	3.511	5.458	7.209	7.159	7.206	7.843	7.704	---	---	---	---
9	OH (R-4)	PHTHN	5.094	3.784	4.763	4.912	4.146	4.400	4.050	3.574	---	---	---	---	7.837	7.727	---	---	---	HO-4 3.469

^a Phthalimido.^b *N*-(2',4'-dinitrophenyl)amino.^c From ref. 56.^d In acetone-*d*₆^e Methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl-amino.

Table 2

¹³C chemical shifts (ppm) of amino sugar derivatives

Table 2. ¹³C chemical shifts (ppm) of amino sugar derivatives

Derivative	R-2	R-3	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6	OMe	PhCH	Ph C-1	C-2,6	C-3,5	C-4	C-1,6 ^a	C-2,5 ^a	C-3,4 ^a	C=O	DNP C-1,2,3 C-4,5,6
1	NH ₂	OH	103.29	54.38	70.67	76.40	58.51	69.24	55.68	102.31	137.28	126.25	128.30	129.17	---	---	---	---	---
2- ¹⁵ N	PHTHN ^b	OH	99.71d ^b	55.21d	67.79d	76.10	57.95	69.49	55.59	102.04	137.19	126.24	128.38	129.30	131.49d	123.58d	134.46	167.69d	---
3	OH	DNPNH	100.74	69.69	53.50	76.27	59.44	68.98	55.35	102.03	136.67	125.73	128.22	129.22	---	---	---	---	148.88 130.75 124.03 136.07 129.18 115.76
4 ^a	OMe	DNPNH	98.20	78.58	51.13	76.54	59.23	68.96	55.23	101.94	136.76	125.74	128.19	129.17	---	---	---	---	148.84 130.80 123.96 136.14 129.14 115.78
5	OAc	DNPNH	98.32	70.08	51.09	76.22	59.11	68.89	55.47	102.08	136.56	125.76	128.23	129.26	---	---	---	169.42	148.56 130.98 123.93 136.38 129.30 115.62
6	OAc	AlpNH ^c	99.68	73.50	56.66	78.73	59.18	69.59	55.39	102.66	139.39	127.35	128.78	129.44	---	---	---	169.78	---
7	OH	NH ₂	99.58	72.31	53.39	81.08	62.73	69.00	55.45	101.77	137.19	126.23	128.32	129.19	---	---	---	---	---
8- ¹⁵ N	OH	PHTHN	101.92d	67.81	50.72d	73.99d	63.48	70.07	55.96	101.76	137.10	126.15	128.06	128.94	131.81d	123.32d	134.00	168.61d	---
9- ¹⁵ N	OH (R-4)	PHTHN	100.21d	69.75	55.94d	66.65	71.80d	65.59	56.08	---	---	---	---	---	131.70d	123.35d	134.19	168.50d	---

^a Phthalimido.^b d. doublet.^c *N*-(2',4'-dinitrophenyl)amino.^d Methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl-amino.

Table 3

¹H-¹H and ¹H-¹³C coupling constants (Hz) of amino sugar derivatives

Derivative	R-2	R-3	<i>J</i> _{1,2}	<i>J</i> _{1,3}	<i>J</i> _{1,5}	<i>J</i> _{2,3}	<i>J</i> _{2,4}	<i>J</i> _{3,4}	<i>J</i> _{3,5}	<i>J</i> _{3,6}	<i>J</i> _{4,5}	<i>J</i> _{5,6}	<i>J</i> _{5,6'}	<i>J</i> _{6,6'}	<i>J</i> _{C-1,H-1}	Others
1	NH ₂	OH	1.1	1.1	0.6	2.9	0.4	3.0	0.6	0.7	9.8	5.1	10.4	10.2	168.5	⁴ <i>J</i> _{PhCH, Ph H-2,6} 0.5
2	PTHN ^a	OH	1.7	0.6	0.6	2.3	<0.5	3.8	-	0.7	9.8	5.1	10.3	10.2	172.6	³ <i>J</i> _{3,HO-3} 2.4
3	OH	DNPNH ^b	1.4	0.7	0.5	3.1	<0.5	3.7	-	0.5	9.9	5.1	10.3	10.4	169.9	³ <i>J</i> _{2,HO-2} 5.9 ³ <i>J</i> _{3,NH} 8.7
4	OMe	DNPNH	1.2	0.7	0.5	3.1	<0.5	3.6	-	0.5	9.8	5.1	10.3	10.3	170.2 ^c	³ <i>J</i> _{3,NH} 8.5
5	OAc	DNPNH	1.2	0.7	0.7	2.9	<0.5	3.5	-	0.6	9.8	5.0	9.8	10.4	172.6	³ <i>J</i> _{3,NH} 8.5
6	OAc	AlpNH ^d	1.1	1.1	0.6	2.9	<0.5	3.8	-	0.7	9.6	5.2	10.2	9.8	171.0 ^e	³ <i>J</i> _{3(3'),NH} 8.4
7	OH	NH ₂	3.7	<0.5	0.6	9.8	<0.5	9.9	-	<0.5	9.1	4.6	10.4	9.9	169.3	⁴ <i>J</i> _{PhCH, Ph H-2,6} 0.7
8- ¹⁵ N	OH	PTHN	6.9	<0.5	<0.5	8.8	0.3	9.3	0.4	<0.5	10.1	5.0	9.8	10.5	167.2	³ <i>J</i> _{2,HO-2} 3.6
9	OH(R-4)	PTHN	2.8	0.3	<0.5	1.9	1.1	2.6	0.8	<0.5	2.5	0.9	3.0	9.7	173.1	³ <i>J</i> _{4,HO-4} 11.5

^aPhthalimido.^b*N*-(2',4'-dinitrophenyl)amino.^cFrom ref. 56.^dMethyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl-amino.^eMeasured by ¹H-coupled 2D HSQC.

Table 4

^1H - ^{15}N and ^{13}C - ^{15}N coupling constants (J , Hz) and ^1H - ^{15}N dihedral angles ($\phi_{\text{X,N-Y}}$, deg.)^a of amino sugar derivatives

Table 4. ^1H - ^{15}N and ^{13}C - ^{15}N coupling constants (J , Hz) and ^1H - ^{15}N dihedral angles ($\phi_{\text{X,N-Y}}$, deg.)^a of amino sugar derivatives

	R-2	R-3	$J_{1\text{H},2}$ $\phi_{1\text{H},2}$	$J_{2\text{H},2}$	$J_{1\text{H},3}$ $\phi_{1\text{H},3}$	$J_{2\text{H},3}$ $\phi_{2\text{H},3}$	$J_{3\text{H},3}$	$J_{4\text{H},3}$ $\phi_{4\text{H},3}$	$J_{\text{C}1,\text{H}2}$	$J_{\text{C}2,\text{H}2}$	$J_{\text{C}3,\text{H}2}$	$J_{\text{C}1,\text{H}3}$ ^b	$J_{\text{C}2,\text{H}3}$	$J_{\text{C}3,\text{H}3}$	$J_{\text{C}4,\text{H}3}$	$J_{\text{C}5,\text{H}3}$	$J_{\text{C}1,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}2,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}3,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}4,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}5,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}6,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}7,\text{H}4}$	$J_{\text{C}8,\text{H}4}$	Others	$\phi_{3\text{NH}}$	
1	NH ₂	OH	1.2 46	0.9 47	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
2- ¹⁵ N	PHTHN	OH	1.2 (1.5) ^c 36	2.1 (2.1) 38	1.7 (nd) ^d	---	---	---	1.5	9.7	1.4	8.0	0.8	12.2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
6	OAc	AlpNH ^e	---	---	---	---	1.3 50	3.4 172	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	¹ $J_{\text{NH},3}$ 81.5 ² $J_{\text{NH},3}$ 8.4	178, 79 ^f
7	OH	NH ₂	---	---	---	1.1 171,175 ^g	2.8 57	nd	2.3 57	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
8- ¹⁵ N	OH	PHTHN	---	---	---	---	3.9 (2.0) 39	1.4 (1.3) 142	2.1 (2.3) 142	---	---	---	---	---	1.8	nd	10.0	0.6	---	6.1	1.1	12.7	---	---	---		
9- ¹⁵ N	OH (R-4)	PHTHN	---	---	---	0.8 (0.6) 172,174 ^g	1.1 (1.0) 52	1.3 (1.3)	2.6 (2.5) 10	---	---	---	---	---	1.5	nd	9.3	nd	0.9	7.8	0.8	12.4	---	---	---		

^a Dihedral angles were computed by molecular dynamics/molecular mechanics with an estimated error of $\pm 1^\circ$.

^b Atoms labeled with primes refer to the aromatic ring of the phthalimido group (PHTHN).

^c Values of ^1H - ^{15}N coupling constants in parentheses (± 0.1 Hz) were measured from 1D ^1H NMR spectra of ^{15}N -labeled derivatives, and unparenthesized values (± 0.2 Hz) by 1D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBC of unlabeled compounds

^d Not detected.

^e Methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl-amino.

^f $\phi_{3,\text{NH}}$ in the two residues alternated between these two values in the molecular dynamics calculations.

^g Dihedral angles $\phi_{1,\text{C}-3}$ and $\phi_{\text{C}-1,\text{N}-3}$, respectively.

Table 5

^{15}N NMR parameters and interatomic dihedral angles ϕ of methyl *N*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-3-amino-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosides **3–5**

Parameter	3, R=H		4, R=Me		5, R=Ac	
	<i>J</i> , Hz	ϕ , deg ^a	<i>J</i> , Hz	ϕ , deg.	<i>J</i> , Hz	ϕ , deg.
$^3J_{2,\text{N}-3}^b$	1.4	48	0.5	48	1.8	48
$^2J_{3,\text{N}-3}^b$	2.5	-	2.3	-	3.7	-
$^3J_{4,\text{N}-3}^b$	4.4	171	4.2	172	3.8	172
$^1J_{\text{HN}-3}^b$	94.8	-	92.5	-	94.5	-
$^4J_{3',\text{N}-3}^c$	1.1	169, 150	1.2	169, 150	1.2	170, 150
$^3J_{6',\text{N}-3}^c$	2.6	15	2.6	15	2.7	15
$^3J_{3',\text{N}-2}^c$	2.5	14	2.5	14	2.6	14
$^3J_{3',\text{N}-4}^c$	2.6	5	2.2	5	2.4	5
$^3J_{5',\text{N}-4}^c$	0.9	4	1.3	4	0.6	4
$^4J_{6',\text{N}-2}^c$	2.4	171, 152	2.1	171, 152	2.5	171, 152
$^4J_{6',\text{N}-4}^c$	2.1	173, 173	2.0	173, 173	2.0	173, 173
	δ_{N} , ppm ^d		δ_{N} , ppm		δ_{N} , ppm	
$\delta_{\text{N}-3}^c$	89.5	-	89.5	-	87.5	-
$\delta_{\text{N}-2}^c$	168.8	-	168.9	-	168.6	-
$\delta_{\text{N}-4}^c$	165.9	-	165.9	-	165.6	-

^aInteratomic dihedral angles ϕ were determined by molecular dynamics/molecular mechanics computations with an estimated error of $\pm 1^\circ$.

^bMeasured by 1D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBBC.

^cMeasured by 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBBC.

^d ^{15}N chemical shifts δ_{N} are reported in ppm relative to an external reference of aqueous $^{15}\text{NH}_4^{15}\text{NO}_3$ set to 20.68 ppm, and were measured with a digital resolution of 0.2 ppm/point.

Table 6

Vicinal ^1H – ^{15}N coupling constants $^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ and dihedral angles ϕ_{HCCN} used for construction of a Karplus equation. The angles are listed in increasing order.

Derivative	R-2	R-3	$^3J_{\text{HCCN}}$ (Hz)	ϕ_{HCCN} (deg.) ^a
9	OH (R-4)	PTHN ^b	2.6 ^c	10
2	PTHN	OH	1.5	36
			1.7	38
8	OH	PTHN	1.9	39
1	NH ₂	OH	1.2	46
			1.4	47
3	OH	DNPNH ^d	1.4	48
5	OAc	DNPNH	1.8	48
6	OAc	AlpNH ^e	1.3	50
9	OH (R-4)	PTHN	1.1	52
8	OH	PTHN	2.3	142
3	OH	DNPNH	4.4	171
5	OAc	DNPNH	3.8	172
4	OMe	DNPNH	4.2	172

^a Computed by molecular dynamics/molecular mechanics with an estimated error of $\pm 1^\circ$.

^b Phthalimido.

^c Coupling constants were measured with an estimated error of ± 0.1 Hz by 1D ^1H NMR of ^{15}N -labeled compounds, and ± 0.2 Hz by 1D and 2D $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQMBC.

^d *N*-(2',4'-dinitrophenyl)amino.

^e Methyl 2-*O*-acetyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-3-deoxy- α -D-altropyranosid-3-yl-amino.

Table 7

Comparison of Karplus equations of the three-parameter type for different pairs of nuclei separated by three formally saturated bonds, based on the general form of the equation: $^3J = A \cos^2\phi + B \cos\phi + C$, and ranked according to the magnitude of A.

3J	A	B	C	Reference
$^3J_{\text{HCNF}}$	70.8	-44.1	-7.2	44
$^3J_{\text{CCCSn}}^a$	50.4	-7.6	5.2	57
$^3J_{\text{HCOP}}$	15.3	-6.2	1.5	45
$^3J_{\text{HCOH}}$	10.4	-1.5	0.2	46
$^3J_{\text{CCOP}}$	9.1	-1.9	0.8	47
$^3J_{\text{HCCH}}$	9.0	-0.5	-0.3	3
$^3J_{\text{HCaNC}^*}$	9.0	-4.4	-0.8	48
$^3J_{\text{HCCC}}$	8.06	-0.87	0.47	24
$^3J_{\text{HCaNH}}$	6.51	-1.76	1.60	49
$^3J_{\text{HCSiH}}$	5.83	-2.59	0.84	50
$^3J_{\text{HCOC}}$	5.7	-0.6	0.5	19
$^3J_{\text{CCCC}}$	4.48	0.18	-0.57	51
$^3J_{\text{HCSC}}$	4.44	-1.06	0.45	52
$^3J_{\text{CCOC}}$	4.4	1.1	0.5	53
	3.70	0.18	0.11	54
$^3J_{\text{HCCN}}^b$	3.1	-0.6	0.4	This work
$^3J_{\text{HCaCN}}^b$	-4.6	3.0	0.8	48

a ^{119}Sn .

b ^{15}N .