Published in final edited form as:

Am J Phys Anthropol. 2011 February ; 144(2): 269–285. doi:10.1002/ajpa.21400.

A new approach to the study of Romanization in Britain: a regional perspective of cultural change in late Iron Age and Roman Dorset using the Siler and Gompertz-Makeham models of mortality

Rebecca C. Redfern^a and Sharon N. DeWitte^b

^aCentre for Human Bioarchaeology, Museum of London, 150 London Wall, London, EC2Y 5HN

^bUniversity at Albany, Albany NY 12222

Abstract

This is the first study of Romanization to use the Siler and Gompertz-Makeham models of mortality in order to investigate the health consequences of the 43 AD conquest of Britain. The study examined late Iron Age and Romano-British populations (N=518) from Dorset, England, which is the only region of Britain to display continuity in inhumation burial practice and cemetery use throughout the two periods. Skeletal evidence for frailty was assessed using cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, periosteal lesions, enamel hypoplasia, dental caries, tuberculosis, and rickets. These health variables were chosen for analysis because they are reliable indicators of general health for diachronic comparison (Steckel and Rose 2002) and are associated with the introduction of urbanism in Britain during the Roman period (Redfern 2007; Redfern 2008b; Roberts and Cox 2003). The results show that levels of frailty and mortality were lower in the late Iron Age period, and no sex differences in mortality were present. However, post-conquest, mortality risk increased for children and the elderly, and particularly for males. The latter finding challenges received wisdom concerning the benefits of Romanization and the higher status of the male body in the Roman world. Therefore, we conclude that the consequences of urbanism, changes in diet and increased population heterogeneity negatively impacted health, to the extent that the enhanced cultural buffering of males did not out-weigh underlying sex differences in biology that advantage females.

Keywords

Selective mortality; demography; nonspecific stress indicators; Romanization; Britain

Introduction

The gradual incorporation of Britain into the Roman Empire, began after the 54–55 BC invasion by Caesar, which established client-kingdoms in the southeast (Creighton 2000; Cunliffe 2007), but this connection was limited to a few communities who did not practice inhumation and/or an archaeologically recoverable burial rite (Mattingly 2006; Whimster 1981). Therefore, in order to examine the effects of cultural change, it is the larger-scale and more long-lasting conquest by the Emperor Claudius in 43 AD that must be investigated. The Iron Age communities (late 8th century BC to 1st century AD) of Britain show considerable regional variation in terms of material culture, settlement patterns and burial

practices, and these patterns continued into and underwent changes during the post-conquest Romano-British period, reflecting population movement and wider social trends (Haselgrove and Moore 2008; Haselgrove and Pope 2007; Mattingly 2006; Pearce 2008). Based on current archaeological evidence, the majority of Britain (e.g., Yorkshire) displays a break in funerary and cemetery continuity (Mattingly 2006). At present, Dorset county in the southwest of England (Fig. 1) is the only region to provide continuity in the practice of an inhumation burial rite and cemetery use from the late Iron Age to the end of the Romano-British period (1st century BC to the late 4th century AD) (Blackmore et al. 1979; Hamlin 2007; Putnam 2007; Whimster 1981).

Health changes post-conquest have been investigated at the national level by Roberts and Cox (2003) and at the regional level in Yorkshire (Peck 2009), where a recent diaspora study of individuals excavated from the city of York has shown to have been inhabited by people from many areas of the Empire (Leach et al. 2009) and thus reflects health-statuses also influenced by ethnicity (LaVeist 2002). In contrast, the study presented here is the first regional analysis of the relationship between patterns of health and mortality and colonization in Britain before and after the Claudian conquest, using bioarchaeological data from the unique region of Dorset. Published evidence and on-going research by the first author has demonstrated that in Dorset, the late Iron Age population were from Britain (confirming national trends) and the Romano-British communities were made-up of locals and migrants from the Northern Mediterranean (Redfern et al. 2010; Richards et al. 1998). Therefore, the influence of population diversity on health should be nominal as these populations show very little genetic differentiation (Lao et al. 2008)

The relationships between cultural change, general health and risk of death have been established by many studies (e.g. Hutchinson 2006; Stodder 1996). Considerable work has been undertaken in the Americas to assess the health statuses and demographic change within populations pre- and post-Contact (15th century AD) (i.e. Jones 2010; Larsen and Milner 1994; Snow and Lanphear 1988; Steckel and Rose 2006), which has demonstrated that this process can affect dental health, demography and the prevalence of disease. Importantly, this work has also shown that a uniform response will not be observed, because many communities successfully adapted to significant cultural and environmental transformations (Larsen and Milner 1994). Previous research by Redfern (2006; 2007; 2008b), Redfern et al. (2010; in press) and Richards et al. (1998) on pre- and post-conquest communities in Dorset has demonstrated that post-conquest dietary patterns change-evidenced by the increased consumption of marine resources and the presence of multiple food-ways; the prevalence of dental disease, infectious and metabolic diseases increased; evidence for trauma decreased; subadult growth declined and average male stature did not increase over time. These health changes could be directly associated with the introduction of urban living, changes in diet, and greater population variation as evidenced by archaeological and stable isotope data (Putnam 2007; Redfern et al. 2010; Richards et al. 1998). In order to further examine these patterns and to incorporate new data from the region, the authors employed the Siler and Gompertz-Makeham models of mortality to investigate the health consequences of the Roman conquest by examining demographic patterns and the risk of death associated with skeletal and dental markers of stress.

Archaeological background

The Roman Empire (3rd century BC to the 5th century AD), which at its height extended from North Africa into the Arab World and included most of Europe, incorporated existing communities by military conquest or political treaties (Wells 1992). Integration into this sophisticated political and military system frequently resulted in changes to the agrarian system and living environment, and implemented new social and economic structures (Todd

2007; Wells 1992). However, the Roman Empire was heterogeneous, i.e., communities were not uniform and instead, continued to express their ethnic and cultural identities through material culture, funerary practices and diet (Chenery et al. 2010; e.g. Hingley 2005; King 1999; Laurence and Berry 1998; Williams 2000). Recent archaeological studies have shown that life within the Empire displayed intense inter-regional differences, reflecting underlying socio-cultural variations (e.g. Keay and Terrenato 2001; Pearce 2000; Purcell 2003; Revell 2005).

In Britain, the Claudian conquest was traditionally viewed as shift towards towards civilization – the end of prehistory- marked by the use of writing, formal government and the building of metalled roads (e.g. Wachter 1979). In more recent years, this view has become more nuanced and comprehensive, because of studies that have examined environmental, funerary and material culture evidence (e.g., Cool 2006; Mattingly 2006). The process of incorporation into the Roman world is known as Romanization, a now controversial term because of its imperialist and colonial connotations (Gosden 2006; Hingley 2005). Recent debates have challenged how the term is understood and used in Roman archaeology, indeed many scholars have suggested that more neutral terms, such as ‘globalization’ (Hingley 2005) or ‘creolization’ (Webster 2001) should be used instead. However, in this study we employ the term Romanization but “...in its weakest sense, as a convenient denomination covering the events involved in the creation of Roman [Britain], with no cultural implications taken for granted.” (Terrenato 1998, 20).

During the Iron Age, Dorset formed part of the Durotriges tribal territory, a tribe who had probably lived in the region since the early Iron Age (9th to 7th centuries BC) (Cunliffe 2005; Gale 2003). By the late Iron Age, the Durotriges had developed into a close-knit tribal confederacy centered on modern Dorset (Gale 2003). The Durotriges were ethnically similar tribal groups, each of which had a hillfort or hillforts that served as trading or manufacturing centers, central storage facilities for cereal, and defensive structures in times of crisis (Cunliffe 2005; Gale 2003). These tribal units were represented by a common currency, had an extensive trade system for items of Durotrigian manufacture (both for regional use and for export), and likely banded together in defense of the confederacy’s territory (Cunliffe 2005; Sharples 1991b). These units lived in wattle-and-daub roundhouses, practiced a mixed agricultural economy set in a landscape of grasslands, woods, heathlands and fields (Dark and Dark 1998). Faunal analysis of diet shows that chickens and pigs were kept in small numbers, but the majority of meat and dairy products were derived from cattle and sheep herds; wild resources only contributed a small part of the diet (Albarella 2007; Hambleton 1999). Stable isotope analyses of late Iron Age diet supports these data and also demonstrate that no sex differences in dietary contributions existed (Redfern et al. 2010; Richards et al. 1998).

The Durotrigian use of an inhumation funerary rite developed from an earlier tradition of pit burial in the middle Iron Age (Whimster 1981, 37). This rite was not practiced by all communities in the Durotrigian confederacy, as based on current evidence it appears to have been used particularly by those living in the southern portion of the territory (Whimster 1981). As funerary rites were not homogenous across Dorset, it is not unexpected that the flat-grave cemeteries appear to have been used more frequently for males, with more females receiving an ‘invisible’ burial (Hamlin 2007). Inhumed individuals were accompanied grave-goods of pottery, jewelry, cuts of meat and utilitarian items, for which males and females had comparable inclusion rates (Hamlin 2007; Whimster 1981). As with any archaeologically derived sample, the extant Durotrigian sample available for research reflect the biases of their own funerary practices but also important factors such as, cemeteries not being completely excavated in response to development (e.g. Dorchester and Tolpuddle bypasses) (see discussion by Waldron 1994, 12–16). Despite these insoluble

issues, demographic analysis of these cemeteries shows that they conform to an attritional profile (Redfern and Chamberlain in review), with a male:female ratio of 1.2:1.

Dorset was conquered early in the 1st century AD (c. 43/44 AD) by the Roman army who established the first town in the region (Dorchester) in 65–70 AD, which also acted as the native capital of the Durotriges (Royal Commission on the Historical Monuments (England) 1970; Woodward et al. 1993). This event is also likely to represent the consolidation of Roman power in southern England (Mattingly 2006), as there are no references in primary texts to show that the tribe continued to resist Roman occupation (Sharples 1991a; Sharples 1991b). Dorchester (*Durnovaria*) was built in a Roman style, with an aqueduct to provide water for the public bathhouse and fountain (Putnam 2007), and the streets were aligned in a grid, providing order to the town houses and forum (Woodward et al. 1993). The rectangular townhouses were built of wood and/or stone, with richer inhabitants incorporating drains and under-floor heating systems (hypocaust), small bathhouses and latrines (Putnam 2007).

Management of the landscape improved and agriculture continued to intensify, though these changes would not have been immediate (Dark and Dark 1998; Grant 2007). New technologies, such as the more efficient iron plough coulter, and developments in livestock size and management were implemented (Albarella et al. 2008; O'Connor and van der Veen 1998). The consumption of cattle, sheep and pigs continued but the range of species in the diet increased to include more wild game, fish and shellfish (Grant 2007; Locker 2007). Plant remains indicate the introduction of new species, and innovation in meat processing and cookery techniques are evident in new vessel types (Cool 2006; van der Veen et al. 2007; van der Veen et al. 2008). Greater quantities of freshwater and marine species were incorporated into the diet, derived from local and imported sources (Hamilton-Dyer 1993; 2001; Redfern et al. in press; Richards et al. 1998).

Settlements outside *Durnovaria*'s walls continued their late Iron Age rural features, and the extent to which these communities were "Romanized" was not a simple reflection of their proximity to the town, but involved a broad range of variables (Putnam 2007). Roundhouses continued to be built and occupied across Dorset, with Roman-style rectangular buildings constructed in some outlying settlements, and in other areas large tracts of the landscape were managed by villas, with their wealthy inhabitants also enjoying the similar luxuries of plumbing and hypocausts to their town dwelling peers (Hingley 1989; Putnam 2007).

Unfortunately, there is a paucity of environmental evidence that prevents us from using indicator group data (Kenward and Hall 1997) to reconstruct living environments in both periods, particularly the limited number of water-logged deposits recovered from the county (Bryant 1990; Gale 2003; Putnam 2007). We do not know what living conditions in Iron Age roundhouses were like, as a range of activities (metalworking, cereal storage and textile production) took place inside, and the deposits of rubbish that we do find, appear to have a ritual component and therefore, are unlikely to be reflective of daily activities (Hill 1995; Redfern 2008a). In Roman *Durnovaria*, domestic and personal waste was buried in backyards (Woodward et al. 1993), although, as in the Iron Age, this might have a ritual component (Woodward and Woodward 2004). An insight into personal hygiene is possible because of studies undertaken on the gypsum burials at Poundbury Camp; analysis of head and facial hair samples indicates that people suffered from head lice (Farwell and Molleson 1993, 205–206), and samples taken from the stomach area identified whipworm and roundworm ova (Jones 1993).

Evidence for industrial activities has shown that metal and bone working, fabric making and butchery all took place in the town, and an urban farmstead has been potentially identified, suggesting that the environs of the town were managed (Woodward et al. 1993). In

settlements elsewhere in Dorset, we must assume that domestic rubbish and industrial waste was also buried or burnt (For elsewhere in Britain, see Hurst 1999).

In the Roman period, the practice of inhumation continued in many cemeteries, and became more widespread throughout the region by the creation of new ones around settlements (Esmonde Cleary 1987; Esmonde Cleary 2000). The internment of individuals outside the official and religious boundary of a settlement served to uphold Roman law but also to avoid the ritual pollution of the community (Adkins and Adkins 1998, 181). Infants (usually those ≤ 3 years old) were not always placed in these cemeteries, instead they were often buried within settlements, particularly in rural areas (Scott 1999, 110–120). Pearce's (2001) review of infant burials concludes that temporal differences exist, with the majority of cemetery inhumations occurring in the 3rd and 4th centuries A.D.; and that inter-cemetery differences exist in the numbers of subadult internments excavated. In many Romano-British cemeteries, sex differences in the male-female ratio of burials has been identified, particularly those associated with urban centers e.g. Cirencester (Gloucestershire) (Crowe 2001; Davison 2000). Due to the close association of the military with many of these towns (e.g. Colchester, Essex), this result may reflect the reality of a large male population. However, the extent to which these assertions are 'real' or can be reliably investigated is problematic, because the majority of cemeteries have not been completely excavated, most date to the late Roman period (3rd to early 5th century A.D.) (Pearce 2008); and the ability to determine an individual's sex is not only influenced by the methods used, but is also influenced by skeletal completeness and preservation (Bello et al. 2006; Walker 1995). Furthermore, ratio differences are based on inhumation data predominantly excavated from the southeast of England in response to commercial archaeological projects (Pearce 2008). Unfortunately, this has led to cemetery data being strongly biased towards urban centers, with very few cemeteries excavated from rural, villa or military sites (Pearce 2008).

In Dorset, the factors identified by Pearce (2008) have resulted in the majority of the cemeteries in the environs of Dorchester being excavated (Table 1), with only a few burials located in a rural setting. Additionally, as Table 1 shows, there are inter-site differences in the numbers of subadults recovered, indicating that the youngest individuals were not always buried in formal burial grounds in either an urban or rural setting (e.g. County Hall vs. Alington Avenue). The demography of the sample available from Roman Dorset conforms to an attritional profile (Chamberlain 2006; Redfern 2008b), with the ratio of male-to-females being 1.5:1, a change of 0.2 from the preceding period.

The Romano-British period saw clearer evidence for status reflected in Dorset's funerary record, with individuals buried in an extended position, often within wood, stone or lead containers, which were sometimes placed in decorated mausoleums (e.g. Poundbury Camp); additionally, decapitation, prone and gypsum burial rites were practiced (Leech 1980; Millett 1997, 128). The range of grave-goods increases, reflecting cultural change, such as the inclusion of hobnail shoes and coins (Hamlin 2007). Greater differences between the sexes in the number and variety of grave-goods increases in this period, rural-urban disparities in the different type of grave-goods is also apparent, and a trend for 'dynamic conservatism' in rural female burials, with their emphasis on pre-Roman funerary traditions (Hamlin 2007).

Materials

The burial contexts are located throughout Dorset (Table 1), but as the county remains rural in character, the majority of individuals are derived from the environs of Dorchester because this has been subject to most road and settlement re-development. As the regional sample derives from Victorian to present-day excavations, it has not been possible to analyze the

dataset using a more nuanced chronology (Redfern 2006; 2007; 2008b). Therefore, the samples are divided into mid-to-late Iron Age (mid-to-late 4th century BC to 1st century AD) and Romano-British (1st to 5th centuries AD).

The sample consists of 518 inhumed individuals from the county of Dorset, England. Of these, 203 date from the middle and late Iron Age and 315 from the Romano-British period. These individuals derive from articulated inhumations and were between stages zero (excellent) to three (weathered compact bone) of preservation. For the Romano-British phase of the Poundbury Camp cemetery, 115 skeletons were randomly selected, as the total number of excavated individuals (N=1,442) (Farwell and Molleson 1993) was too large to be recorded within the parameters of Redfern's (2006; 2007) doctoral studies. No such sampling was done for the Iron Age burials. In addition to subadult and sexed adult data previously published, ambiguous and indeterminate sex individuals are also included in some of the analyses done for the present study. Furthermore, since the completion of Redfern's (2006) doctoral research, additional skeletal samples have been excavated and recorded using the widely accepted standards published by Brickley and McKinley (2004) and Buikstra and Ubelaker (1994). Therefore, because these were recorded similarly to Redfern (2006; 2007; 2008b) it has been possible to incorporate these data using the methodologies described below.

Methods

Age and Sex Estimation

Subadults (<20 years old) were aged using a combination of dental eruption, diaphyseal length and epiphyseal fusion methodologies (Scheuer and Black 2000; Ubelaker 1989). When both dental and skeletal estimates were available, the dental was used, as this has the strongest correlation to chronological age and provides the most reliable estimates (Lewis and Garn 1960). Age-at-death in adults was determined using degeneration of the pubic symphysis and iliac auricular surfaces, and sternal rib end morphology (Buikstra and Ubelaker 1994; İşcan and Loth 1986a; İşcan and Loth 1986b). Sex was estimated using morphology of the skull and pelvis (Buikstra and Ubelaker 1994), and the sex and age-group divisions devised by Buikstra and Ubelaker (1994) were employed.

Age Patterns of Mortality

The age patterns of mortality within the Iron Age and Romano-British samples were assessed by using age-at-death data to estimate the parameters of the Siler model of mortality, a parsimonious parametric model that fits a wide variety of human mortality patterns (Gage 1991; Wood et al. 2002):

$$h_{13}(a) = \alpha_1 e^{-\beta_1 a} + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 e^{\beta_3 a}.$$

The first component of the Siler model, $\alpha_1 e^{-\beta_1 a}$, describes the exponentially decreasing juvenile risk, where α_1 is the risk of death at birth associated with immaturity and β_1 is the rate at which this risk decreases with age a . The second component of the model, α_2 , is the constant age-independent risk that everyone within the population faces (i.e., the chance of dying from causes that are unrelated to aging). The third component of the model, $\alpha_3 e^{\beta_3 a}$, is the exponentially increasing senescent risk, where α_3 is the risk of death associated with senescence at birth and β_3 is the rate at which this risk increases with age (Gage 1988). The three components of the Siler model are independent, so surviving one component of mortality does not affect the risk of death from the others (Wood et al. 2002).

This study uses the Siler model rather than a more traditional life-table approach because the model requires the estimation of only five parameters (Gage 1990) and is therefore a more efficient way to use paleodemographic age-at-death data (Buikstra 1997; Hoppa and Vaupel 2002; Konigsberg and Frankenberg 2002; Milner et al. 2008; Wood et al. 2002). Life tables require the estimation of the central mortality rate for every age interval used in the study, a task that is difficult without huge samples and information about the original population size at risk, neither of which is available for this study (Wood et al. 2002). The parameters of the Siler model were estimated separately for the Iron Age and Romano-British samples using maximum likelihood estimation with the program *mle* (Holman 2005); maximum likelihood estimation, in general, finds values for model parameters that maximize the likelihood of the observed sample data.

Sex Differentials in Mortality

To determine whether sex patterns of mortality (i.e., whether men and women faced similar risks of dying) differed between the Iron Age and Romano-British time periods, sex was modeled as a covariate affecting adult mortality within the two samples. Because of the difficulties associated with determining sex in juvenile skeletal remains, the analysis of sex differentials only examines patterns of mortality among adults. Adult mortality was modeled using the Gompertz-Makeham mortality function, which fits the general human pattern of relatively low mortality during the young adult ages and an increasing risk of death with senescence (i.e., the second two terms of the Siler model) (Wood et al. 2002):

$$h(a_i) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta a_i}$$

In this model, a_i is the age of the i^{th} skeleton in years, α_1 is the constant age-independent risk of mortality that everyone within the population faces, and $\alpha_2 e^{\beta a_i}$ is the exponentially increasing senescent risk of mortality (Gage 1988).

To evaluate whether men and women differed in their risks of mortality within each of the samples, sex was modeled as a covariate acting upon the parameters of the Gompertz-Makeham model. For this analysis, females were coded as 0, and males were coded as 1. Parameters were estimated using maximum likelihood analysis with the program *mle* (Holman 2005). The model was fit separately to the data from the Iron Age and Romano-British samples. A significant positive estimate for the parameter representing the effect of the covariate on the hazard would suggest men were at an increased risk of death compared to women. Conversely, a significant negative estimate would indicate the risk for men was lower than that for women.

Estimation of general health status

To evaluate the effect of Romanization on health patterns, the following pathologies were assessed in the Iron Age and Romano-British samples: cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, periosteal lesions, enamel hypoplasia, dental caries, tuberculosis, and rickets. The health variables chosen for analysis in the present study were selected because they have been proven to be reliable indicators of general health for diachronic comparison and are associated with the introduction of urbanism in Britain during the Roman period (Redfern 2007; Redfern 2008b; Roberts and Cox 2003; Steckel and Rose 2002). Several of these health variables reflect the impact of subadult stress events on health and longevity in adulthood, such as enamel hypoplastic defects (Humphrey and King 2000). Additionally, the dental health variables were found to be statistically significantly different between pre- and post-conquest communities in Dorset (Redfern 2008b).

The presence of cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis in archaeological populations is believed to reflect subadult episodes of iron deficiency because of factors such as parasitism and poor diet (Stuart-Macadam and Kent 1992); however, a recent study concluded that it could reflect a maternal and childhood deficiency in folic acid (vitamin B₉) and vitamin B₁₂ (Walker et al. 2009b). Tuberculosis is an infectious disease caused by members of the genus *Mycobacterium* and is transmitted by the consumption of infected meat and dairy products, between people via the respiratory route, or by nursing infants from their mother or wet-nurse (Roberts and Buikstra 2003). Although the disease is found in both rural and urban populations, it is a disease that has become synonymous with urban environments, as the nature of these locales influence its prevalence (Roberts and Buikstra 2003). Rickets is a disease of childhood (Lewis 2007). It is a metabolic disease caused by a deficiency in vitamin D, which is usually obtained by exposing the skin to sunlight and the consumption of certain foods, such as oily fish (Brickley and Ives 2008).

A person's dental health reflects their diet and status, which dictates the range, quality and quantity of foods prepared and eaten (Roberts and Manchester 2005). In order to provide an overview of dental health, this study focuses on carious lesions and enamel hypoplastic defects. Carious lesions are caused by dental plaque and their prevalence is related to the consumption of carbohydrates and dairy products, and dental hygiene practices (Hillson 1998). Enamel hypoplastic defects have multifactorial origins and are considered to be a non-specific indicator of systemic physiological stress (Guatelli-Steinberg and Lukacs 1999). Non-specific indicators of stress include, periosteal new bone formation, cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis, and enamel hypoplastic defects (Goodman and Martin 2002). The etiology of these indicators has undergone revision in recent years (e.g. Walker et al. 2009a) but they continue to be used by many researchers as reliable indicators of the general health of a population (Belcastro et al. 2007; Steckel and Rose 2006).

The frequencies of these various pathologies within the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples were compared using Chi-square analysis to determine if Romanization was associated with significant changes in markers of health, which might indicate improvements in or deterioration of general levels of health within the population. Analysis of the frequencies of skeletal pathologies might not, by itself, be totally informative about health differences between two populations, as we often do not know how those pathologies were associated with risks of death in the populations. A higher frequency of pathologies in one sample might mean lower general levels of health compared to another sample. However, such an interpretation might not be correct if the risks of death associated with skeletal pathologies are not the same in two populations. Perhaps, in one population, general levels of health were so poor that many people died from various physiological stressors before skeletal pathologies formed in response to those stressors. In such a case, the resulting skeletal sample would have a lower frequency of those pathologies than one from a comparatively healthier population in which people survived the stressors long enough to form skeletal pathologies (Wood et al. 1992). Without evaluating the risk of death associated with pathologies, one would conclude that the sample with the lower frequencies of pathologies was healthier, when in fact the opposite was true.

The possibility of variation among populations in the association between skeletal pathologies and risk of mortality requires the use of models that allow one to explicitly evaluate those associations. For these reasons, the multi-state model of morbidity and mortality developed by Usher (2000) was used in this study to determine if the excess mortality associated with skeletal pathologies differed between the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples as a means of assessing differences in frailty between the two populations. Frailty refers to an individual's relative risk of death compared to other members of the population (Vaupel et al. 1979). If average frailty was lower during the Iron

Age, individuals might have developed skeletal pathologies but still resisted death more successfully than did Romano-British individuals. The effects of exposure to physiological stress on risk of dying might have been stronger and thus increased risks of mortality to a greater extent in the Roman-British population than in the late Iron Age population. If so, the excess mortality associated with skeletal pathologies is expected to be higher in the Romano-British sample than the late Iron Age sample.

The Usher model, shown in Figure 5, has three, non-overlapping states: State 1 includes all those individuals in the sample without any visible skeletal pathology, State 2 includes those with visible pathology, and State 3 is death. Everyone in the skeletal sample used for this study is observed in State 3, and States 1 and 2 represent the possible living states the individuals could have been in immediately before they died. In the Usher model, individuals can move from State 1 to State 2 (i.e., suffer physiological stress and consequently develop a skeletal pathology), and individuals can die from either of the two living states (i.e., with or without skeletal pathology). The transitions from either of the two living states to death are determined by age-specific hazard rates. The model allows for (but does not require) variation in the hazard rates between each of the two living states and death; i.e., the hazard of making the transition from State 2 to death can be higher or lower than, or the same as the hazard of making the transition from State 1 to death. Thus, the model can be used to estimate the differential risk of death associated with the living states. Even though everyone in a cemetery sample is observed in State 3, data on age-at-death and the presence of skeletal pathologies can be used to estimate all of the parameters of the model described below.

For this study, the baseline risk of death from State 1, $h_{13}(a)$, was specified as the Siler model described above. The hazard of moving from State 1 to State 2, i.e., of developing a skeletal pathology, $h_{12}(a)$, was estimated as a constant k_1 . For this study, an age-specific hazard of moving from State 1 to State 2 was not included in the model because for most skeletal pathologies, one does not know the age at which an individual became ill or suffered some other physiological stress sufficient to cause the pathology, nor does one know precisely how long it took for skeletal pathology to develop. For simplicity, in this study, the age of onset of skeletal pathology was modeled as an exponential random variable. The hazard of dying from State 2, $h_{23}(a)$, was modeled as proportional to the baseline age-specific risk of dying from State 1. Under the proportional hazards specification, k_2 is a proportional term on the Siler function and is thus independent of age. The k_2 parameter value indicates the proportional difference in risk of death between individuals with and without skeletal pathologies. Estimated k_2 values significantly greater than one indicate that individuals with skeletal pathologies were at higher risks of dying compared to peers without them. Estimated k_2 values significantly lower than one indicate that individuals with skeletal pathologies face decreased risks of death compared to their peers. Estimated k_2 values equal to one indicate that individuals with and without skeletal pathologies were at approximately equal risks of dying.

For this analysis, the Usher model was fit to data on age, time period (i.e., late Iron Age or Romano-British), and the presence of skeletal pathologies using a pooled sample of all individuals in the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples. To evaluate differences in the excess mortality associated with skeletal pathologies between the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples, and thus whether previous exposure to stressors had the same effect on risk of death within the two samples, time period was modeled as a covariate affecting the k_2 parameter in the Usher model. In this analysis, late Iron Age individuals were coded as 0, and Romano-British individuals in the sample were coded as 1. A significant positive or negative estimate for the parameter representing the effect of the time period covariate would suggest that the excess mortality associated with stress markers was

higher or lower, respectively, for Romano-British individuals compared to late Iron Age individuals.

The model was fit separately to data on the presence of each of the following stress markers: cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, periosteal lesions, enamel hypoplasia, and dental caries. The model was not applied to data on tuberculosis and rickets, as there were too few individuals with those pathologies within the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples to allow for informative parameter estimation. Parameters were estimated using maximum likelihood analysis with the program *mle* (Holman 2005).

Results

Age Patterns of Mortality

The Siler model parameter estimates for the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples are shown in Table 2, and graphs of the corresponding hazard functions are shown in Figure 6. For both samples, the highest risks of mortality were faced by infants and by older adults. However, as can be seen in Figure 6, the hazards for children, adolescents, and older adults (individuals above the age of 50) are higher in the Romano-British sample than in the late Iron Age sample; the hazard for younger adults (ages 30–50) is slightly higher in the late Iron Age sample.

Sex Differentials in Mortality

The estimated values of the parameter representing the effect of the sex covariate within the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples are shown in Table 3. The estimate for the late Iron Age sample is not significantly different from zero, which suggests that in the late Iron Age population, sex did not affect risk of death (i.e., men and women faced approximately equal risks of mortality). However, the estimate of the parameter representing the effect of the sex covariate within the Romano-British sample is significantly higher than zero. This suggests that within the Romano-British population, men were at higher risks of mortality than women.

Health Status

Table 4 shows the frequencies of skeletal pathologies within the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples, and the results of the Chi-square tests for each pathology. The maximum likelihood estimates of k_2 , the estimated values of the parameter representing the effect of the time period covariate, and the standard errors associated with these estimates are shown in Table 5.

The results of the Chi-square tests indicate that cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, and periosteal lesions were at significantly higher frequencies ($p < 0.05$) in the late Iron Age sample. Enamel hypoplasia, dental caries, rickets and tuberculosis were more common in the Romano-British sample, but the differences between the two samples are not statistically significant. Overall, analysis of the frequencies of these skeletal variables does not reveal a consistent pattern with respect to changes in general health status from the late Iron Age to the Romano-British periods.

However, the estimates of the excess mortality associated with the skeletal pathologies do indicate a change in health patterns following Roman conquest. The maximum likelihood estimates of k_2 , the excess mortality associated with the pathologies used in this study (with the exception of rickets and tuberculosis, for reasons provided above), are all greater than one and thus indicate that these pathologies are associated with increased risks of death in the pooled sample of both late Iron Age and Romano-British individuals. These results

suggest that in both time periods, individuals with these pathologies were at higher risks of death than their peers without them. Furthermore, the estimated values of the parameter representing the effect of the time period covariate are positive for all pathologies analyzed (note, however, that for porotic hyperostosis and dental caries, the estimated value is not significantly different from zero). These results suggest that the excess mortality associated with stress markers was higher for individuals in the Romano-British sample than for late Iron Age individuals.

Discussion

The age patterns of mortality within the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples, as revealed by the Siler model parameter estimates, suggest that Romanization had deleterious effects on the age groups that are typically the most vulnerable, i.e., very young children and the elderly. In the Roman world, these age groups were on the margins of society, because of the way age and gender hierarchies were structured over the life course, and bound up in legislation and public duties (Harlow and Laurence 2002; Parkin 2003). In addition to the well-established health risks of infancy (Kelmar et al. 1995), it has been proposed by the first author (Redfern 2007) that the introduction of new settlement types increased mortality risk in this period, as evidenced by a lower burden of disease in the late Iron Age subadults and the lower mortality risk of the adult population in that period. Additionally, we also suggest that a change in cultural buffering, such as the use of wet-nurses, choice of weaning foods and practices such as swaddling, put in place by many care givers actually increased the risk of developing metabolic and infectious diseases during this period, as evidenced by the presence of these skeletal changes in the infant sample (Rawson 2003; Redfern 2007; Wiedemann 1989). Although the current study examines the consequences of Romanization at the broad regional view, it should be noted that subadult health in Roman Dorset shows considerable inter-cemetery differences, with the majority of those with evidence for disease buried at Poundbury Camp (cf Lewis 2010; Redfern 2007). Therefore, it is considered that the results obtained in this and other studies of health in Dorset underscore the nature and variability of Romanization in the region, and by extension Britain, reflecting both underlying cultural traditions, including child-rearing choices – as seen today in societies where indigenous and Western/European practices co-exist (e.g. Abel et al. 2001), and also variations population origin, settlement type and living environment (Gowland and Redfern in press)

For older individuals during the Romano-British period, the primary sources such as censuses, legislation, letters and dramatic texts allow us to understand the cultural factors beyond the normal processes of senescence (Masoro and Austad 2001) which can influence mortality risk (Parkin 1992; Scheidel 2006). It is recognized that these sources are biased towards large urban Mediterranean communities and elite males; however, as individuals from the Mediterranean were buried in Dorset (Richards et al. 1998) and in the absence of evidence specific to Britain, they are employed to provide an insight into factors potentially influencing male health outcomes in this study. Men over 45 ‘retired’ from military service, and after the age of 60 their role as citizens changed as they were no longer able to vote, but were still expected to undertake their public duties and offices until roughly the age of 70. Those who were too poor or without family help had to support themselves, and thus continued to work at late ages or became reliant on charity for survival (Parkin 2003). Due to the male bias in primary sources, our knowledge of the lives of older women, especially those who without a family and were unable to support themselves, is very limited, leading Harlow and Laurence (2002:129) to conclude that, “without the resources or children to care for [them] once the infirmities of age arrived [women] probably did not survive for long.”

The estimates of the parameter representing the effect of the sex covariate indicate that in the late Iron Age population, men and women did not differ significantly in their risks of mortality. This result conforms to status information derived from funerary evidence, which shows parity between the sexes in Dorset during this period (Hamlin 2007). This in turn supports primary textual information about Iron Age communities, whereby women were active social agents, able to own property and other goods, and were not barred from positions of authority (Green 1997). However, following the Roman conquest, men were apparently at significantly higher risks of dying than women. This finding questions many traditional and often implicit assumptions about Romanization and life in the Roman Empire, fundamentally that this cultural change and social environment would be more advantageous for men (Birley 1964; Scheidel 1996; Scheidel 2006; Watts 2005). It also identifies a mismatch between the Roman concept and ideal of maleness, masculinity and corporeality, which ranked male status and bodies higher than those of females (Flemming 2000; Foxhall and Salmon 1998) and the realities of male health-statuses (cf Scheidel 2009; 2010). Consequentially, the proposed cultural buffering afforded by having a male body in the Roman world (Foxhall and Salmon 1998) may not have been sufficient protection, particularly for non-elite males against factors such as migration (forced and economic), hazardous male occupations (e.g., soldier or metalworker) and the nature of Roman/Romanized living environments (Birley 1979; Scobie 1986). Furthermore, this finding may potentially reflect differences in the nature of Romanization between locales (Gowland and Redfern in press; Keay and Terrenato 2001; Laurence and Berry 1998).

Other factors that may have influenced the higher risk for men include male risk-taking behavior, dietary differences, and underlying biological differences between the sexes. Clinical studies demonstrate that males are more likely to engage in risky activities, such as violence, that increase their mortality and morbidity risk (Courtenay 2002; Wilson and Daly 1985) - this aspect of male behavior is attested in the Roman world, particularly in military contexts (e.g. Baker 2009). However, in post-conquest Dorset, the overall frequency of trauma decreases, although healed assault fractures (Johansen et al. 2008) and weapon injuries are present in a minority of male individuals, who potentially may have been retired army veterans (Dobson 1995; Mann 2002; McKinley and Egging Dinwiddy in press; Redfern in press; 2006). Therefore, we do not believe that violence played a significant factor in male mortality patterns in Roman Dorset (see Chamberlain 2006).

Post-conquest, stable isotope evidence of dietary changes does not suggest that either sex was more privileged or that diet improved in such a way that enhanced underlying female biological advantages (Redfern et al. 2010); however, stable isotopes are not informative about the quantity or quality of foods eaten. No adults included in the first author's doctoral study (Redfern 2006) showed evidence for osteomalacia or scurvy (Brickley and Ives 2008); although the presence of cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis may suggest that some adults continued to suffer from childhood dietary deficiencies (Walker et al. 2009a).

Though sex differences in risk of mortality were likely multifactorial, we argue that the observed differences in risk were strongly influenced by underlying biological differences between the sexes, such as enhanced female immune response, increased male environmental sensitivity and genetic differences that lead to the sexes showing variations in disease prevalence and severity, particularly with respect to infectious disease (Langley 2003; Ortner 1998; Stinson 1985). Such biological advantages may have provided women with a buffer that was sufficient enough for them to more successfully adapt to Romanization in Dorset, despite the cultural buffering suggested to exist for men in the Roman world (Stinson 1985). This hypothesis, is supported by the funerary, dietary and wider health data indicating that female status in Dorset did not decline under Roman rule

(Hamlin 2007; Redfern 2006; Redfern et al. in press), as has been suggested by many authors (Allason-Jones 2005; Watts 2005).

The results of the Chi-square analyses of the differences in the frequency of various pathologies failed to reveal a consistent pattern of changes in these health markers from the late Iron Age to Romano-British period. However, the results from analyses using the Usher model are more informative about health patterns in the two populations. The k_2 estimates (i.e., the excess mortality associated with skeletal pathologies) for all pathologies analyzed using the Usher model (i.e., cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, periosteal lesions, enamel hypoplasia, and dental caries) are greater than one. These results indicate that individuals in both the late Iron Age and Romano-British samples with these skeletal pathologies were at elevated risks of death compared to their peers without them. These results are consistent with findings from previous studies, using the same model, that such pathologies are informative about frailty (DeWitte and Bekvalac 2010; DeWitte and Wood 2008).

As shown in Table 5, the estimates of the parameter representing the effect of the time period covariate for cribra orbitalia, periosteal lesions, and enamel hypoplasia are significantly different from zero. The positive estimated values of the covariate effect indicate that the excess mortality associated with these pathologies was even higher for individuals in the Romano-British sample compared to the late Iron Age sample. These results suggest that previous physiological stress increased the risk of death for individuals after the Roman conquest to a greater extent than was true before it. Late Iron Age individuals might have been less frail and thus able to resist death better than Romano-British individuals, despite development of skeletal pathologies in response to physiological stressors. We suggest that this finding reflects their pre-urban living conditions – particularly the low density of settlements, a smaller and less mobile population, parity in cultural buffering between the sexes, and better health during childhood.

It should be noted that the survival of a greater proportion of a population to later ages, and thus the accumulation of more age-associated skeletal pathologies, could potentially elevate the estimates of the excess mortality associated with some pathologies. This could occur if the risk of death associated with these pathologies was higher for older adults than for younger individuals. For this study, we used the proportional hazards specification, where k_2 is a proportional term on the baseline mortality function and is thus independent of age. By using the proportional hazards specification, we did not explicitly examine whether, within each sample, the excess mortality with pathologies varied with age. It is possible that such variation with age does occur, and that is a phenomenon that deserves further study. However, this is beyond the scope of our current study given that it would require much larger sample sizes for each pathology (because of the addition of parameters this type of analysis would necessitate) than we currently have available. We are confident, however, that such a possible effect does not explain the difference observed between the Iron Age and Romano-British samples used for this study, as there is actually a higher proportion of adults over the age of 20 (particularly in the 50+ age category) in the Iron Age sample compared to the Romano-British sample.

The greater difference in the risks of death between those with and without skeletal pathologies in the Romano-British sample compared to the late Iron Age sample might indicate that disparities in health within the population increased after conquest. This might, in turn, suggest that Romano-British individuals were frailer, on average, than late Iron Age individuals. The reasons for this increase in frailty we consider to be multifactorial and derive from three main sources: living environment, migration and social status. The introduction of urban settlements, increase in population density and new living environments would have impacted health, because these changes would have altered the

type and degree of exposure to environmental stressors and due to greater population density in settlements, increased the ability of diseases to be transmitted and thrive (MacMahon and Price 2005; Scheidel 2010; Storey 2006; Storey 1992). It should also be noted that post-conquest, an additional influence on frailty would have been a change in the quality and size of living environment with greater evidence for status differences in housing in terms of size, construction materials (stone vs. wood) and the use of plumbing/sanitation.

We suggest that the apparent increased level of frailty in the Romano-British period also reflects a rise in population heterogeneity. The small number of carbon and nitrogen stable isotope studies from Iron Age Britain shows that their diets relied on local food contributions, and it is considered that this reflects a population who were not highly mobile and did not contain many (if any) individuals from outside the United Kingdom, despite accessing continentally derived food and material culture (Jay 2006; Jay 2008; Jay and Richards 2007; Redfern et al. 2010). Currently, only one faunal study has published strontium isotope data from Britain, and this raises the possibility for greater human mobility than expected. Bendrey et al.'s (2008) pilot study of horse remains in southern Britain identified locally bred animals but also one horse, that potentially could have travelled from Wales, Scotland or Continental Europe.

Post-conquest, *Britannia* became home to people from all over the Empire, as attested by material culture, stable isotopes and epigraphic evidence (i.e. Adams and Laurence 2005; Birley 1979; Leach et al. 2009). Exposure to new environments and to people and products from other regions increases the risk of exposure to diseases and parasites that have never before been encountered (McMichael 2004). Individuals native to Britain could have been immunologically naïve and thus at elevated risk of morbidity and mortality from pathogens brought by immigrants to Britain, and similarly, the new immigrants to Britain were exposed and potentially vulnerable to pathogens to which they were immunologically naïve. An exchange of pathogens might have increased frailty in the post-conquest population of Britain. Further, people who migrated to Britain might have been adapted to different environmental conditions elsewhere in Europe, and once living in Britain, they might not have been as well adapted to the new environment and suffered increased risks of morbidity and mortality as a result.

In Dorset, stable isotope evidence from Poundbury Camp has identified people from the Mediterranean, and dietary analysis shows that multiple food-ways existed, supporting the limited isotope evidence for migration (Redfern et al. 2010; Richards et al. 1998), and potentially suggests that frailty may also be linked to dietary practices. Dietary data also shows evidence for status differences in food consumption, with the higher-status individuals buried in mausoleums at Poundbury Camp having greater quantities of marine resources in their diet (Richards et al. 1998). Importantly, this pattern has not been identified in other cemeteries in the region (Redfern et al. 2010), and therefore, the extent to which status, as evidenced through diet, influenced frailty in Roman Dorset is not clear. If in the Romano-British population, diet varied by social status to a greater degree than in the Iron Age population, this could have increased the differences in general health between those with and without access to certain foods in the post-conquest population. Differential access to certain foods might have made the individuals with limited access even more vulnerable to death following physiological stress than they would have been under the conditions existing in the Iron Age population. This would increase the differences in risk of death between those with and without skeletal pathologies in the Romano-British sample compared to the Iron Age sample. Unfortunately, it was not possible to test the relationship between frailty, diet and funerary status in the present study, as the number of individuals with stable isotope results was too small to be measured. In Roman Dorset, the increase in population mobility, combined with the creation of new settlement types, introduction of

new foods and the adoption and hybridization of Roman culture could all have contributed to increased frailty heterogeneity.

In addition to clarifying the consequences of Romanization in Britain, the results of our analyses contribute to an understanding of the health effects of major societal transitions in general. Romanization appears to have negatively impacted health, and negative effects on general levels of health within populations have also been observed in societies that made the transition from foraging to sedentary agriculture (e.g. Cohen and Armelagos 1984; Keita 2003; Oxenham et al. 2005; Papathanasiou 2005; Starling and Stock 2007), and those that experience urbanization and industrialization (e.g. Armelagos et al. 2005; Lewis 2002; Lewis and Gowland 2007; Mays et al. 2008; Nagaoka and Hirata 2007; Saunders and Keenleyside 1999; Schell 1991).

Conclusions

In order to understand the health consequences of Romanization, we used the Siler and Gompertz-Makeham models of mortality for data derived from the only region of Britain to show archaeological continuity from the late Iron Age to the end of the Roman period, employing a population that had not been subject to client-kingdom status in the late Iron Age, and therefore had little to no contact with Roman culture before the Claudian conquest in 43 AD (Creighton 2000; Cunliffe 2005). The results of the study conformed to the findings of previous studies by the first author, which could not identify risk, but did observe a post-conquest decline in health, particularly for subadults, which was considered to relate to cultural change, migration and the introduction of urban environments (Redfern 2006; Redfern 2007; Redfern 2008b). The results of this more nuanced study have enhanced these conclusions and raised four important findings: it supported other funerary and bioarchaeological evidence for parity between the sexes in the late Iron Age Durotriges tribe; demonstrated that Romanization negatively impacted health; showed that subadults and older adults were more vulnerable to the Romanized living environment; provided further data indicating that female status did not decline in Roman Dorset; and for the first time in Romano-British studies, statistically established that males had a higher risk of morbidity and mortality in this period, a finding that conflicts with many perspectives on life in the Roman Empire (e.g. Scheidel 1996).

In conclusion, we consider that the detrimental health impacts on the late Iron Age communities in Britain were primarily caused by the introduction of new settlements and living environments, and the adoption of 'Roman' cultural practices. Our findings suggest that the impact of Romanization on these and other communities in the Empire were not limited to the period of conquest and colonization, but continued throughout the time of incorporation, reflecting the diverse environments and ways in which people experienced the Roman world.

Acknowledgments

RR is most grateful for the help and encouragement of Christine Hamlin, Jenny Hall, and her doctoral tutors Megan Brickley and Simon Esmonde Cleary; also Simon Mays, AC Archaeology, AOC Archaeology, Jacqui McKinley and Wessex Archaeology, Peter Woodward and Dorset County Museum, Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society, The Natural History Museum (London), the Duckworth Laboratory (Cambridge University) and The Priest's House Museum (Wimborne Minster). SD is grateful for support from the University at Albany Center for Social and Demographic Analysis and the University at Albany Research Foundation.

Grant sponsorship

- a. Doctoral funding from the University of Birmingham and Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society

- b. University at Albany Center for Social and Demographic Analysis (CSDA), and the University at Albany Research Foundation

Bibliography

- Abel S, Park J, Tipene-Leach D, Finau S, Lennan M. Infant care practices in New Zealand: a cross-cultural qualitative study. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2001; 53(9):1135–1148. [PubMed: 11556605]
- Adams, C.; Laurence, R., editors. *Travel and geography in the Roman Empire*. London: Routledge; 2005.
- Adkins, L.; Adkins, RA. *Handbook to life in Ancient Rome*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.
- Albarella, U. The end of the sheep age: people and animals in the late Iron Age. In: Haselgrove, CC.; Moore, T., editors. *The later Iron Age in Britain and beyond*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007. p. 389–402.
- Albarella U, Johnstone C, Vickers K. The development of animal husbandry from the late Iron Age to the end of the Roman period: a case study from south-east England. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2008; 35(7):1828–1848.
- Allason-Jones, L. *Women in Roman Britain*. York: Council for British Archaeology; 2005.
- Armstrong GJ, Brown PJ, Turner B. Evolutionary, historical and political economic perspectives on health and disease. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2005; 61(4):755–765. [PubMed: 15950089]
- Baker, PA. Medicine, death and military virtues. In: Simón, FM.; Polo, FP.; Rodríguez, JR., editors. *Formae mortis: el tránsito de la vida a la muerte en las sociedades antiguas*. Barcelona: Publicacions i Edicions de la Unniversitat de Barcelona; 2009.
- Belcastro G, Rastelli E, Mariotti V, Consiglio C, Facchini F, Bonfiglioli B. Continuity or discontinuity of the life-style in central Italy during the Roman imperial age-early middle ages transition: Diet, health, and behavior. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2007; 132:381–394. [PubMed: 17154361]
- Bello SM, Thomann A, Signoli M, Dutour O, Andrews P. Age and sex biases in the reconstruction of past population structures. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2006; 129(1):24–38. [PubMed: 16161146]
- Bendrey R, Hayes TE, Palmer MR. Patterns of Iron Age horse supply: an analysis of strontium isotope ratios in teeth. *Archaeometry*. 2008; 51(1):140–150.
- Birley, A. *Life in Roman Britain*. London: BT Batsford Ltd; 1964.
- Birley, A. *The people of Roman Britain*. London: BT Batsford Ltd; 1979.
- Blackmore, C.; Braithwaite, M.; Hodder, I. Social and cultural patterning in the late Iron Age in southern England. In: Burnham, BC.; Kingsbury, J., editors. *Space, hierarchy and society Interdisciplinary studies in social area analysis*. Oxford: BAR International Series; 1979. p. 93–111.
- Brickley, M.; Ives, R. *The bioarchaeology of metabolic bone disease*. London: Academic Press; 2008.
- Brickley, M.; McKinley, J., editors. *Reading: Institute of Field Archaeologists, British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology*. 2004. *Guidance to the standards for recording human skeletal remains*.
- Bryant, L. Dorset. In: Coles, BJ.; Bryant, L., editors. *Organic archaeological remains in southwest Britain*. Exeter: University of Exeter; 1990. p. 35–56.
- Buikstra, JE. Paleodemography: Context and promise. In: Paine, RR., editor. *Integrating archaeological demography : multidisciplinary approaches to prehistoric population*. Carbondale, Ill: Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale; 1997.
- Buikstra, JE.; Ubelaker, DH. *Proceedings of a seminar at the Field Museum of Natural History organized by Jonathan Haas*. Arkansas: Arkansas Archaeological Survey Research Series No 44; 1994. *Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains*.
- Chamberlain, A. *Demography in archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.
- Chenery C, Muldner G, Eckardt H, Lewis M. Strontium and stable isotope evidence for diet and mobility in Roman Gloucester, UK. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010; 37(1):150–163.

- Cohen, MN.; Armelagos, GJ., editors. *Paleopathology at the origins of agriculture*. New York: Academic Press; 1984.
- Cool, HEM. *Eating and drinking in Roman Britain*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.
- Courtenay W. Behavioral factors associated with disease, injury and death. *International Journal of Men's Health*. 2002; 1(3):281–342.
- Creighton, J. *Coins and power in late Iron Age Britain*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000.
- Crowe, F. Women, burial data and issues of inclusion. In: Dixon, S., editor. *The problems and potentials of Romano-British cemeteries*. London: Routledge: Childhood, class and kin in the Roman world; 2001. p. 144-162.
- Cunliffe, B. *An account of England, Scotland and Wales from the Seventh Century BC until the Roman Conquest*. London: Routledge; 2005. *Iron Age communities in Britain*.
- Cunliffe, B. Britain and the continent: networks of interaction. In: Todd, M., editor. *A companion to Roman Britain*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2007. p. 1-11.
- Dark, K.; Dark, P. *The landscape of Roman Britain*. Stroud: Sutton Publishing Ltd.; 1998.
- Davison, C. Gender imbalances in Romano-British cemetery populations: a re-evaluation of the evidence. In: Pearce, J.; Millett, M.; Struck, M., editors. *Burial, society and context in the Roman World*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2000. p. 231-237.
- DeWitte SN, Bekvalac J. Oral health and frailty in the medieval English cemetery of St Mary Graces. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2010; 142(3):341–354. [PubMed: 19927365]
- DeWitte SN, Wood JW. Selectivity of the Black Death with Respect to Preexisting Health. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2008; 105(5): 1436–1441. [PubMed: 18227518]
- Dobson, B. The Roman army in Britain and Britons in the Roman army. In: Mann, JC., editor. *Britain and the Roman Empire*. Great Britain: Variorum; 1995. p. 39-53.
- Esmonde Cleary, S. *Extra-mural areas of Romano-British towns*. Oxford: BAR British Archaeological Reports; 1987.
- Esmonde Cleary, S. Putting the dead in their place: burial location in Roman Britain. In: Pearce, J.; Millett, M.; Struck, M., editors. *Burial, society and context in the Roman world*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2000. p. 127-142.
- Farwell, DE.; Molleson, TL. *Excavations at Poundbury 1966-80, Volume II: the cemeteries*. Dorchester: Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society Monograph Series Number; 1993.
- Flemming, R. *Medicine and the making of Roman women: gender, nature and authority from Celsus and Galen*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000.
- Foxhall, L.; Salmon, J., editors. *When men were men. Masculinity, power and identity in Classical antiquity*. London: Routledge; 1998.
- Gage TB. Mathematical hazard models of mortality: an alternative to model life tables. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 1988; 76(4):429–441. [PubMed: 3218669]
- Gage TB. Variation and classification of human age patterns of mortality: analysis using competing hazards models. *Human Biology*. 1990; 62:589–617. [PubMed: 2227907]
- Gage TB. Causes of death and the components of mortality: Testing the biological interpretations of a competing hazards model. *Human Biology*. 1991; 3:289–300.
- Gale, J. *Prehistoric Dorset*. Stroud: Tempus Publishing Ltd.; 2003.
- Goodman, AH.; Martin, DL. Reconstructing health profiles from skeletal remains. In: Steckel, RH.; Rose, JC., editors. *The backbone of history: health and nutrition in the Western hemisphere*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002. p. 11-60.
- Gowland RL, Redfern RC. Childhood health in the Roman World: perspectives from the centre and margin of the Empire. *Childhood in the Past: An International Journal*. in press.
- Grant, A. Domestic animals and their uses. In: Todd, M., editor. *A companion to Roman Britain*. London: Blackwell Publishing; 2007. p. 371-392.
- Green, M. *Celtic goddesses*. London: British Museum Press; 1997.
- Guatelli-Steinberg D, Lukacs J. Interpreting sex differences in enamel hypoplasia in human and non-human primates: developmental, environmental and cultural considerations. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology*. 1999; 42:73–126.

- Hambleton, E. Animal husbandry regimes in Iron Age Britain. Oxford: BAR British Series; 1999.
- Hamlin, C. Material expression of social change: the mortuary correlates of gender and age in late Pre-Roman Iron Age and Roman Dorset. Milwaukee: University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee; 2007.
- Hamilton-Dyer, S. Animal bone. In: Smith, RJC., editor. Excavations at County Hall, Dorchester, Dorset. Salisbury: Wessex Archaeology Report; 1993. p. 77-82.
- Hamilton-Dyer S. Fish bones. 2001
- Harlow, M.; Laurence, R. Growing up and growing old in ancient Rome: a life course approach. London: Routledge; 2002.
- Haselgrove, CC.; Moore, T., editors. The later Iron Age in Britain and beyond. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2008.
- Haselgrove, CC.; Pope, RE., editors. The earlier Iron Age in Britain and the near Continent. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2007.
- Hill, JD. Ritual and rubbish in the Iron Age of Wessex. Oxford: British Archaeological Report, British Series; 1995.
- Hillson, S. Dental anthropology. London: Routledge; 1998.
- Hingley, R. Rural settlement in Roman Britain. London: B.A. Seaby Ltd.; 1989.
- Hingley, R. Unity, diversity and Empire. London: Routledge; 2005. Globalizing Roman culture.
- Holman, DJ. mle: A programming language for building likelihood models. 2.1 ed.. WA: Seattle; 2005. Version
- Hoppa, RD.; Vaupel, JW. Paleodemography : age distribution from skeletal samples. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.
- Humphrey LT, King T. Childhood stress: a lifetime legacy. *Anthropologie*. 2000; 38(1):33–49.
- Hurst H. The Coloniae of Roman Britain: new studies and a review. Papers of the conference held at Gloucester on the 5–6 July, 1997. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary Series*. 1999
- Hutchinson, DL. Tatham Mound and the bioarchaeology of European Contact. Disease and depopulation in the Central Gulf Coast Florida. Florida: University of Florida Press; 2006.
- İşcan M, Loth S. Determination of age from the sternal rib in white males: a test of the phase method. *Journal of Forensic Science*. 1986a; 31:122–132.
- İşcan M, Loth S. Determination of age from the sternal rib in white females: a test of the phase method. *Journal of Forensic Science*. 1986b; 31:990–999.
- Jay M. Diet in the Iron Age cemetery population at Wetwang Slack, East Yorkshire, UK: carbon and nitrogen stable isotope evidence. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2006; 33:653–662.
- Jay M. Iron Age diet at Glastonbury lake village: the isotopic evidence for negligible aquatic resource consumption. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2008; 27(2):201–216.
- Jay M, Richards MP. British Iron Age diet: stable isotopes and other evidence. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 2007; 73:169–190.
- Johansen VA, Wahl AK, Weisaeth L. Assaulted victims of nondomestic violence in Norway- injury, crime characteristics and emotions during the assault. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*. 2008; 22(3):445–454. [PubMed: 18840228]
- Jones, AKG. Parasitological investigations. In: Farwell, DE.; Molleson, T., editors. Excavations at Poundbury 1966-80. 1993. p. 197-198. Volume II: the cemeteries. Dorchester: Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society Monograph Series Number
- Jones EE. Population History of the Onondaga and Oneida Iroquois, A.D. 1500–1700. *American Antiquity*. 2010; 75(2) ####.
- Keay, S.; Terrenato, N., editors. Italy and the West. Comparative issues in Romanization. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2001.
- Keita SOY. A study of vault porosities in early Upper Egypt from the Badarian through Dynasty I. *World Archaeology*. 2003; 35(2):210–222.
- Kelmar, CJH.; Harvey, D.; Simpson, C. The sick newborn baby. London: Bailliere Tindall; 1995.
- Kenward H, Hall A. Enhancing bioarchaeological interpretation using indicator groups: stable manure as a paradigm. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1997; 24(7):663–673.

- King A. Diet in the Roman world: a regional inter-site comparison of the mammal bones. *Journal of Roman Archaeology*. 1999; 12:168–202.
- Konigsberg LW, Frankenberg SR. Deconstructing death in paleodemography. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 2002; 117(4):297–309. [PubMed: 11920365]
- Langley, RL. Sex and gender differences in health and disease. Durham: Carolina Academic Press; 2003.
- Lao O, Lu TT, Nothnagel M, Junge O, Freitag-Wolf S, Caliebe A, Balasckakova M, Bertranpetit J, Bindoff LA, Comas D, et al. Correlation between genetic and geographic structure in Europe. *Current Biology*. 2008; 18(16):1241–1248. [PubMed: 18691889]
- Larsen, CS.; Milner, GR. In the wake of contact: biological responses to conquest. New York: Wiley-Liss Inc; 1994.
- Laurence, R.; Berry, J. Cultural identity in the Roman empire. London: Routledge; 1998.
- LaVeist, TA., editor. Race, ethnicity and health. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2002.
- Leach S, Lewis M, Chenery C, Muldner G, Eckardt H. Migration and diversity in Roman Britain: a multidisciplinary approach to the identification of immigrants in Roman York, England. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2009; 140(3):546–561. [PubMed: 19530143]
- Leech, R. Religion and burials in south Somerset and north Dorset. In: Rodwell, W., editor. Temples, churches and religion: recent research in Roman Britain. Oxford: BAR British Archaeological Reports; 1980. p. 329–366.
- Lewis AB, Garn SM. The relationship between tooth formation and other maturational factors. *Angle Orthodontist*. 1960; 30:70–77.
- Lewis ME. Impact of industrialization: Comparative study of child health in four sites from medieval and postmedieval England (A.D. 850–1859). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2002; 119(3):211–223. [PubMed: 12365033]
- Lewis, ME. The bioarchaeology of children. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
- Lewis ME. Life and Death in a Civitas Capital: Metabolic Disease and Trauma in the Children from Late Roman Dorchester, Dorset. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2010; 142(3):405–416. [PubMed: 20027610]
- Lewis ME. Life and Death in a Civitas Capital: Metabolic Disease and Trauma in the Children from Late Roman Dorchester, Dorset. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. early view.
- Lewis ME, Gowland R. Brief and precarious lives: Infant mortality in contrasting sites from medieval and post-medieval England (AD 850–1859). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2007; 134(1):117–129. [PubMed: 17568449]
- Locker A. In piscibus diversis: the bone evidence for fish consumption in Roman Britain. *Britannia*. 2007; XXXVIII:141–180.
- MacMahon, A.; Price, J., editors. Roman working lives and urban living. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2005.
- Mann JC. The settlement of veterans discharged from auxiliary units stationed in Britain. *Britannia*. 2002; 33:183–188.
- Masoro, EJ.; Austad, SN., editors. Handbook of the biology of aging. 5th ed.. London: Academic Press; 2001.
- Mattingly, D. An imperial possession: Britain in the Roman Empire. London: Penguin Books Ltd; 2006.
- Mays S, Brickley M, Ives R. Growth in an English population from the Industrial Revolution. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2008; 136(1):85–92. [PubMed: 18186509]
- Mq JI, Egging Dinwiddy K. 'Deviant' burials from a late Romano-British cemetery at Little Keep, Dorchester. *Proceedings of the Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society*. in press.
- McMichael AJ. Environmental and social influences on emerging infectious diseases: past, present and future. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2004; 359(1447):1049–1058. [PubMed: 15306389]
- Millett, M. English Heritage book of Roman Britain. London: B.T. Batsford; 1997.
- Milner, GR.; Wood, JW.; Boldsen, JL. Paleodemography. In: Katzenberg, M.; Saunders, S., editors. *Biological Anthropology of the Human Skeleton*. NY: Wiley-Liss; 2008. p. 561–600.

- Nagaoka T, Hirata K. Reconstruction of paleodemographic characteristics from skeletal age at death distributions: Perspectives from Hitotsubashi, Japan. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2007; 134(3):301–311. [PubMed: 17632803]
- O'Connor, T.; van der Veen, M. The expansion of agricultural production in later Iron Age and Roman Britain. In: Bayley, J., editor. *Science in archaeology: an agenda for the future*. London: English Heritage; 1998. p. 127-143.
- Ortner, D. Male-female immune reactivity and its implications for interpreting evidence in human skeletal paleopathology. In: Grauer, AL.; Stuart-Macadam, P., editors. *Sex and gender in paleopathological perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998. p. 79-92.
- Oxenham MF, Thuy NK, Cuong NL. Skeletal evidence for the emergence of infectious disease in bronze and iron age northern Vietnam. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 2005; 126(4):359–376. [PubMed: 15386222]
- Papathanasiou A. Health status of the Neolithic population of Alepotrypa Cave, Greece. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 2005; 126(4):377–390. [PubMed: 15386282]
- Parkin, TG. *Demography and Roman society*. London: The John Hopkins University Press; 1992.
- Parkin, TG. *Old age in the Roman world. A cultural and social history*. London: The John Hopkins University Press; 2003.
- Pearce, J. Burial, society and context in the provincial Roman world. In: Pearce, J.; Millett, M.; Struck, M., editors. *Burial, society and context in the Roman world*. Oxford: Oxbow Books; 2000. p. 1-10.
- Pearce, J. In: Davies, G.; Gardner, A.; Lockyear, K., editors. *Infants, cemeteries and communities in the Roman provinces*; Oxford. TRAC 2000 Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, London 2000; Oxbow Books; 2001. p. 125-142.
- Pearce, J. Burial evidence from Roman Britain. *The un-numbered dead. Pour une archéologie du rite Nouvelles perspectives de l'archéologie funéraire Etudes réunies par John Scheid*. Paris: Collection de L'Ecole Française de Rome; 2008. p. 29-42.
- Peck, JJ. *The biological impact of culture contact: a bioarchaeological study of Roman colonialism in Britain*. Ohio: Ohio State University; 2009.
- Purcell N. The way we used to eat: diet, community, and history at Rome. *The American Journal of Philology*. 2003; 124(3):329–358.
- Putnam, B. *Roman Dorset*. Stroud: Sutton Publishing Ltd.; 2007.
- Rawson, B. *Children and childhood in Roman Italy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.
- Redfern R. New evidence for Iron Age secondary burial practice and bone modification from Gussage All Saints and Maiden Castle (Dorset, England). *Oxford Journal of Archaeology*. 2008a; 27(3): 281–301.
- Redfern R. A regional examination of surgery and fracture treatment in Iron Age and Roman Britain. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*. in press.
- Redfern, RC. A gendered analysis of health from the Iron Age to the end of the Romano-British period in Dorset, England (middle and late 8th century B.C. to the end of the 4th century A.D.). University of Birmingham; 2006.
- Redfern, RC. The influence of culture upon childhood: an osteological study of Iron Age and Romano-British Dorset. In: Harlow, M.; Laurence, R., editors. *Age and ageing in the Roman Empire: Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary Series*. 2007. p. 171-194.
- Redfern RC. A bioarchaeological investigation of cultural change in Dorset, England (mid to late 4th century B.C. and to the end of the 4th century A.D.). *Britannia*. 2008b; XXXIX:161–191.
- Redfern RC, Chamberlain A. Demographic evidence for the Roman conquest of Britain: a case-study from Maiden Castle hillfort, Dorset. *Antiquity*. in review.
- Redfern RC, Hamlin C, Beavan Athfield N. Temporal changes in diet: a stable isotope analysis of late Iron Age and Roman Dorset, Britain. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 2010; 37(6):1149–1160.
- Redfern RC, Hamlin C, Beavan Athfield N. Temporal changes in diet: a stable isotope analysis of late Iron Age and Roman Dorset, Britain. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. in press.
- Revell L. The Roman life course: a view from the inscriptions. *European Journal of Archaeology*. 2005; 8(1):43–63.

- Richards MP, Molleson TL, Vogel JC, Hedges REM. Stable isotope analysis reveals variations in human diet at the Poundbury Camp cemetery site. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 1998; 25:1247–1252.
- Roberts, C.; Cox, M. *Health and disease in Britain: from prehistory to the present day*. Stroud: Sutton Publishing Ltd; 2003.
- Roberts, C.; Manchester, K. *The archaeology of disease*. Stroud: Sutton Publishing Ltd; 2005.
- Roberts, CA.; Buikstra, JE. *The bioarchaeology of tuberculosis. A global view on a reemerging disease*. Florida: University Press of Florida; 2003.
- Royal Commission on the Historical Monuments (England). *An inventory of historical monuments in the County of Dorset*. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office; 1970.
- Saunders SR, Keenleyside A. Enamel hypoplasia in a Canadian historic sample. *American Journal of Human Biology*. 1999; 11(4):513–524. [PubMed: 11533971]
- Scheidel W. Measuring sex, age and death in the Roman empire: explorations in ancient demography. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplement Volume 21*. 1996
- Scheidel, W. Stratification, deprivation and quality of life. In: Atkins, M.; Osborne, R., editors. *Poverty in the Roman world*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006. p. 40-59.
- Scheidel, W. Disease and death in the ancient city of Rome. *Princeton/Stanford Working Papers in Classics*; 2009.
- Scheidel, W. Physical wellbeing in the Roman world. *Princeton/Stanford Working Papers in Classics*; 2010.
- Schell LM. Effects of pollutants on human prenatal and postnatal growth: Noise, lead, polychlorobiphenyl compounds, and toxic wastes. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 1991; 34(S13):157–188.
- Scheuer, L.; Black, S. *Developmental juvenile osteology*. London: Academic Press; 2000.
- Scobie A. Slums, sanitation, and mortality in the Roman world. *Klio*. 1986; 68:399–433.
- Scott, E. *The archaeology of infancy and infant death*. Oxbow: BAR International Series; 1999.
- Sharples, N. *English Heritage Book of Maiden Castle*. London: BT Batsford Ltd; 1991a.
- Sharples N. Warfare in the Iron Age of Wessex. *Scottish Archaeological Review*. 1991b; 8:79–89.
- Snow DR, Lanphear KM. European Contact and Indian Depopulation in the Northeast: The Timing of the First Epidemics. *Ethnohistory*. 1988; 35(1):15–33.
- Starling AP, Stock JT. Dental indicators of health and stress in early Egyptian and Nubian agriculturalists: a difficult transition and gradual recovery. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 2007; 134(4): 520–528. [PubMed: 17786997]
- Steckel, RH.; Rose, JC., editors. *The backbone of history. Health and nutrition in the western hemisphere*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.
- Steckel, RH.; Rose, JC., editors. *The backbone of history. Health and nutrition in the western hemisphere*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.
- Stinson S. Sex differences in environmental sensitivity during growth and development. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology*. 1985; 28:123–147.
- Stodder, ALW. Paleoepidemiology of eastern and western Pueblo communities in protohistoric and early historic New Mexico. In: Baker, BJ.; Kealhofer, L., editors. *Bioarchaeology of Native American adaptation in the Spanish borderlands*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida; 1996. p. 148-176.
- Storey, GR., editor. *Urbanism in the preindustrial world. Cross-cultural approaches*. Tuscaloosa: The University of Alabama Press; 2006.
- Storey, R. Preindustrial urban lifestyle and health. In: Huss-Ashmore, R.; Schall, J.; Hediger, M., editors. *Health and lifestyle change*. 1992. p. 33-42.
- Stuart-Macadam, P.; Kent, S., editors. *Diet, demography and disease. Changing perspectives on anemia*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter; 1992.
- Todd, M., editor. *A companion to Roman Britain*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2007.
- Ubelaker, DH. *Human skeletal remains: excavation, analysis and interpretation*. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company; 1989.

- Usher, BM. A multistate model of health and mortality for paleodemography : Tirup cemetery [Dissertation]. Pennsylvania State University; 2000.
- van der Veen M, Livarda A, Hill A. The archaeobotany of Roman Britain: current state and identification of research priorities. *Britannia*. 2007; XXXVIII:181–210.
- van der Veen M, Livarda A, Hill A. New plant foods in Roman Britain: dispersal and social access. *Environmental Archaeology*. 2008; 13(1):11–36.
- Vaupel JW, Manton KG, Stallard E. The impact of heterogeneity in individual frailty on the dynamics of mortality. *Demography*. 1979; 16:439–454. [PubMed: 510638]
- Wacher, J. *The coming of Rome*. London: Book Club Associates; 1979.
- Waldron, T. *Counting the dead: the epidemiology of skeletal populations*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 1994.
- Walker, PL. Problems of preservation and sexism in sexing: some lessons from historical collections for palaeodemographers. In: Saunders, SR.; Herring, A., editors. *Grave reflections: portraying the past through cemetery studies*. Toronto: Canadian Scholars' Press Inc.; 1995. p. 31–48.
- Walker PL, Bathurst RR, Richman R, Gjerdrum T, Andrushko VA. The causes of porotic hyperostosis and cribra orbitalia: a reappraisal of the iron-deficiency-a hypothesis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2009a; 139:109–125. [PubMed: 19280675]
- Walker PL, Bathurst RR, Richman R, Gjerdrum T, Andrushko VA. The causes of porotic hyperostosis and cribra orbitalia: A reappraisal of the iron-deficiency-anemia hypothesis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 2009b; 139(2):109–125. [PubMed: 19280675]
- Watts, D. *Boudicca's heirs. Women in early Britain*. London: Routledge; 2005.
- Wells, C. *The Roman empire*. London: Fontana Press; 1992.
- Whimster, R. *Burial practices in Iron Age Britain. A discussion and gazetteer of the evidence c. 700B.c.-A.D. 43*. Oxford: 1981.
- Wiedemann, T. *Adults and children in the Roman Empire*. London: Routledge; 1989.
- Williams, M. Jews and Jewish Communities in the Roman Empire. In: Huskinson, J., editor. *Experiencing Rome Culture, Identity and Power in the Roman Empire*. London: Routledge; 2000. p. 305–334.
- Wilson M, Daly M. Competitiveness, risk taking and violence. *Ethnology and Socio-biology*. 1985; 6:59–73.
- Wood, JW.; Holman, DJ.; O'Connor, KA.; Ferrell, RJ. Mortality models for paleodemography. In: Hoppa, RD.; Vaupel, JW., editors. *Paleodemography: Age distributions from skeletal samples*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002. p. 129–168.
- Wood JW, Milner GR, Harpending HC, Weiss KM. The Osteological Paradox: Problems of Inferring Prehistoric Health from Skeletal Samples. *Current Anthropology*. 1992; 33:343–370.
- Woodward, PJ.; Davies, SM.; Graham, AH., editors. *Excavations at the Old Methodist Chapel and Greyhound Yard, Dorchester, 1981–1984*. Dorchester: Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society Monograph Series; 1993.
- Woodward PJ, Woodward A. Dedicating the town: urban foundation deposits in Roman Britain. *World Archaeology*. 2004; 36(1):68–86.

Figure 1.
Location map of the county of Dorset in Britain

Figure 2.
Location of sites outside the environs of Dorchester

Figure 3.
Location of sites in the immediate environs of Dorchester (after Davies et al. 2002)

Site 3 = Flagstones; Site 6 = Maiden Castle Road; Site 10 = Western Link
 All sites in the Dorchester environs are marked ○

Figure 4.

Location of sites in the environs of Dorchester (after Smith et al. 1997)

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Sample used in the study presented by date and site (M-LIA- middle and late Iron Age; LIA – late Iron Age and RB – Romano-British period)

Table 1

Date	Site	Male	Female	Ambiguous sex	Undetermined sex	Subadult	Total N
M-LIA	1. Gussage All Saints	4	4	-	-	21	29
LIA	2. Alington Avenue	4	4	-	-	-	8
	3. Broadmayne	1	-	-	-	-	1
	4. Dorchester Bypass	4	6	2	-	5	17
	5. Hod Hill	-	1	-	-	-	1
	6. Kimmeridge	-	1	-	-	1	2
	7. Maiden Castle	30	24	-	1	9	64
	8. Newfoundland Wood	1	-	-	-	-	1
	9. Portesham	-	1	-	-	-	1
	10. Portesham (Manor Farm)	3	-	-	-	3	6
	11. Poundbury Camp	8	5	-	9	27	49
	12. Rope Lake Hole	-	-	-	-	1	1
	13. Tarrant Hinton	1	2	-	-	-	3
	14. Tolpuddle Bypass	3	2	1	-	3	9
	15. Whitcombe	6	2	-	-	2	10
	16. Wyke Regis	-	1	-	-	-	1
	PERIOD TOTAL	65	53	3	10	72	203
RB	17. Albert Road	8	2	-	1	10	21
	18. Alington Avenue	24	15	5	-	13	58
	19. County Hall	-	-	-	-	6	6
	20. Crown Building	1	-	-	-	-	1
	21. Dorchester Bypass	11	9	1	-	14	35

Date	Site	Male	Female	Ambiguous sex	Undetermined sex	Subadult	Total N
	22. Fordington	5	1	-	-	6	12
	23. Greyhound Yard	-	-	-	-	8	8
	24. Gussage All Saints	1	-	-	-	-	1
	25. Hod Hill	1	-	-	-	2	3
	26. Little Keep	17	9	-	-	3	29
	27. Littlewood Farm	1	-	-	-	-	1
	28. Poundbury Camp	33	23	4	-	55	115
	29. Poundbury Pipeline	-	3	1	-	1	5
	30. Southfield House	-	1	-	-	-	1
	31. Tarrant Hinton	-	-	-	-	8	8
	32. Tolpuddle Bypass	2	3	-	-	2	7
	33. Wyke Regis	-	3	-	1	-	4
	PERIOD TOTAL	104	69	11	2	128	315
	TOTAL SAMPLE	169	122	14	12	201	518

1. and 24. Wainwright 1979; 2 and 18. Davies et al. 2002; 3. Young 1974; 4 and 21. Smith et al. 1997; 5 and 25. Richmond 1968; 6. O'Connell 2000; 7. Wheeler 1943; 8. Toms 1970; 9. Fitzpatrick 1997; 10. Valentin 2004; 11 and 28. Farwell and Molleson 1993; 12. Maw 1970; 13 and 31. Graham 2007; 14 and 32. Hearne and Birkbeck 1999; 15. Aitken and Aitken 1991; 16. Leonard 2008; 17. Stacey 1987; 19. Smith 1993; 20. Sparey-Green et al. 1981; 22. Startin 1982; 23. Woodward et al. 1993; 26. Egging-Dinwiddy 2009; 27. Punam 1998; 29. Davies and Grieve 1986; 30. Davies and Thompson 1987.

Table 2

Maximum likelihood estimates of the Siler model parameters with associated standard errors.

Parameter	Iron Age (s.e.)	Romano-British (s.e.)
α_1	0.41 (0.06)	0.34 (0.05)
β_1	0.9 (0.20)	0.9 (0.21)
α_2	0.0005 (0.008)	0.02 (0.004)
α_3	0.004 (0.003)	3.6×10^{-5} (0.0001)
β_3	0.07 (0.02)	0.16 (0.07)

Table 3

Maximum likelihood estimates of the effect of the sex covariate with associated standard errors.

	Iron Age (s.e.)	Romano-British (s.e.)
Sex Covariate	0.07 (0.19)	0.38 (0.17)

Table 4

Frequencies of skeletal pathologies in each sample and results of the Chi-Square tests (df = 1).

Pathology	Iron Age %	Romano-British %	χ^2	<i>p</i>
Cribra orbitalia	35	25	5.56	0.02
Porotic hyperostosis	25	10	17.3	<0.001
Periosteal lesions	49	36	5.99	0.01
Enamel hypoplasia	18	20	0.33	0.57
Dental Caries	43	46	0.35	0.55
Rickets	0.5	3	3.29	0.07
Tuberculosis	0.5	1.3	0.88	0.35

Table 5

Maximum likelihood estimates of k_2 and the effect of the time period covariate with associated standard errors.

Pathology	$\hat{k}_2$ (s.e.)	Time Period (s.e.)
Cribra orbitalia	1.80 (0.29)	0.18 (0.17)
Porotic hyperostosis	2.69 (0.55)	0.06 (0.22)
Periosteal lesions	1.64 (0.25)	0.19 (0.15)
Enamel hypoplasia	1.30 (0.21)	0.31 (0.20)
Dental Caries	1.25 (0.19)	0.03 (0.16)