Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 May 20; 13(10): 2686–2689. doi:10.1021/ol200782d.

Regioselective Hydroformylation of Allylic Alcohols

Thomas E. Lightburn, Omar A. De Paolis, Ka H. Cheng, and Kian L. Tan*

Boston College, Merkert Chemistry Center, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467-3860

Abstract

A highly regioselective hydroformylation of allylic alcohols is reported towards the synthesis of β -hydroxy-acid and aldehyde products. The selectivity is achieved through the use of a ligand that reversibly binds to alcohols in situ, allowing for a directed hydroformylation to occur. The application to trisubstituted olefins was also demonstrated, which yields a single diastereomer product consistent with a stereospecific addition of CO and hydrogen.

Hydroformylation is an efficient means of generating aldehyde products through the three component coupling of CO, H₂, and an olefin. Hydroformylation is a commercial process used to synthesize millions of tons of aldehyde products per year.^{1,2} The vast majority of these products are linear aldehydes due to their importance in commodity chemical synthesis. The more difficult formation of the aldehyde at a branched carbon can be achieved by using 1) a symmetrical olefin 2) an electronically activated olefin, and/or 3) an olefin containing a directing group.³ Although directing groups are highly effective at controlling regio- and stereoselectivity, this strategy is synthetically inefficient because the directing group, often phosphorous based,⁴ must be added and removed in two additional synthetic steps, and generates a stoichiometric by-product in the process. In Rh catalyzed hydroformylation we⁵ and others⁶ have reported a means of circumventing this problem by developing ligands that reversibly and covalently bind to organic substrates.⁷ We have termed these compounds as scaffolding ligands due to their functional similarity to scaffolding proteins,⁸ which are a class of proteins whose major role is to localize multiple proteins in a functional cluster. An important function of our scaffolding ligand is to bring together the substrate and catalyst. This induced intramolecularity allows for both acceleration of the reaction as well as control of regio- and stereochemistry.⁹

We recently reported that ligand **1** can be used in the hydroformylation of 1,1-disubstituted olefins with excellent regioselectivity for the quaternary aldehyde product.^{5c} Herein we report the extension of the methodology to 1,2- and trisubstituted olefins as an alternative to the formaldehyde aldol process.

kian.tan.1@bc.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental details, compound characterization. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.

We initially investigated the hydroformylation of cinnamyl alcohol. Similar to our observations in the hydroformylation of 1,1-disubstituted olefins, we found it necessary to oxidize the product in situ using Pinnick conditions because the β -hydroxy aldehyde was found to dimerize during hydroformylation.¹⁰ During our optimization studies we found that variation of the CO/H₂ pressure shows little effect on the conversion or selectivity (Table 1). Using 1 mol % Rh(acac)(CO)₂ and 10 mol % **1** the expected β -hydroxyacid product is formed in 83% isolated yield and with excellent regioselectivity (rs = 95:5, Table 1, entry 2). The selectivity is in contrast to other reports of hydroformylation of cinnamyl alcohol that yield the aldehyde α to the aromatic ring.¹¹

Both electron donating and withdrawing groups were tolerated in the reaction without affecting the regioselectivity (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). Ortho substitution on the aromatic ring led to excellent regioselectivity as well as an increase in the isolated yield of the desired carboxylic acid product (Table 2, entry 3). Both *E* and *Z* olefins substituted with alkyl groups afford the desired products with high regioselectivities (Table 2, entries 4–7). The hydroformylation of a substrate with a phthalimide group yields the γ -amino acid product. Phthalimides are known directing groups for Rh-catalyzed hydroformylation,^{2f,2g} so this result demonstrates that our scaffolding ligand can override internal substrate chelating groups even when employed in catalytic quantities. Hydroformylation of a mono-protected diol affords the desired product in good regioselectivity and yield (Table 2, entry 9). The TBDPS group was used to protect the alcohol because silyl migration was observed when a TBS group was used.

We also investigated the hydroformylation of 3-methylbut-2-en-1-ol, a less reactive trisubstituted olefin. Increasing the catalyst loading (2 mol % Rh and 20 mol % **1**) and temperature (55 °C) affords the desired product in good yield (85%, Scheme 1). Hydroformylation of trisubstituted olefins is generally a challenging reaction that requires more forcing conditions. Ligand **1** operates under relatively mild conditions for these types of substrates, albeit using higher Rh loadings, suggesting it is one of the more reactive hydroformylation catalyst systems.^{12,13} Because the insertion of the Rh hydride into the olefin occurs through a *syn* addition, hydroformylation of trisubstituted olefins presents the opportunity for forming two stereocenters in a stereospecific fashion. This was confirmed through the hydroformylation of both *E*- and *Z*-3-methyloct-2-en-1-ol which form single diastereomers as the sole products (Scheme 1).¹⁴

In order to extend the synthetic utility of the hydroformylation reaction we investigated methods of isolating the product in the aldehyde oxidation state. This is readily achieved by forming the acetal of the product immediately following hydroformylation. Reaction of cinnamyl alcohol and subsequent protection with pinacol affords the acetal in 86% yield, and the selectivity is consistent with the oxidation results (Scheme 2, eq 1). These modified conditions also allowed for the hydroformylation of diene **4**. Previous attempts to hydroformylate and oxidize **4** resulted in a complex mixture of products. Using the acetal protection conditions the olefin proximal to the alcohol reacts selectively affording the desired product in 84% yield (Scheme 2, eq 2). This procedure was also used in the hydroformylation of allyl alcohol, which formed the desired product in 80% yield (rs = 87:13, Scheme 2, eq 3).

An attractive feature of hydroformylation is that it is an atom economical reaction such that no by-products are formed. In order to improve the synthetic utility of our method, we reoptimized the reaction conditions focusing on lowering the catalyst and ligand loadings as well as improving the volume efficiency. Under the optimal conditions the concentration of the reaction is increased from 0.1 M to 0.5 M, while the rhodium loading is reduced to 0.5 mol % and the ligand loading to 5 mol %. Under the modified conditions the same

regioselectivity is observed in the reaction with only a slight decrease in the isolated yield (83% vs. 86%, Scheme 2, eq 4).

In summary we have demonstrated that our scaffolding strategy can be extended to the hydroformylation of 1,2-di- and trisubstituted olefins, providing an alternative disconnection to the formaldehyde aldol reaction. These reactions are performed under mild conditions and yield highly regioselective reactions. The extension to trisubstituted olefins under mild conditions allows the generation of two stereocenters in a stereospecific fashion. Furthermore, we have improved the practicality of the reaction by reducing the ligand and catalyst loading as well as increasing the concentration of the reaction. We are currently investigating enantioselective variants of this transformation, and are continuing to develop ligands with increased reactivity and stability.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the ACS-PRF (DNI-5001400) and NIGMS (RO1GM087581) for funding this project. Mass spectrometry instrumentation at Boston College is supported by funding from the NSF (DBI-0619576).

References

1. (a) van Leeuwen, PWNM.; Claver, C., editors. *Rhodium Catalyzed Hydroformylation*. Vol. 22. Springer-Verlag; New York: 2002. p. 1(b) Frohning, CD.; Kohlpainter, CW.; Gaub, A.; Seidel, A.; Torrence, P.; Heymanns, P.; Hohn, A.; Beller, M.; Knifton, JF.; Klausener, A.; Jentsch, JD.; Tafesh, AM. *Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds*. Cornils, B.; Herrmann, WA., editors. Vol. 1. Wiley; Weinheim: 2002.
2. For recent examples of hydroformylation applications see: 2(a) Dydio P, Dzik WI, Lutz M, de Bruin B, Reek JNH. *Angew Chem Int, Ed*. 2011; 50:396–400.2(b) Vasylyev M, Alper H. *Synthesis*. 2010; 17:2893–2900.2(c) Takahashi K, Yamashita M, Ichihara T, Nakano K, Nozaki K. *Angew Chem Int, Ed*. 2010; 49:4488–4490.2(d) Simaan S, Marek I. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:4066–4067. [PubMed: 20205421] 2(e) Gual A, Godard C, Castillon S, Claver C. *Tetrahedron-Asymmetry*. 2010; 21:1135–1146.2(f) Zhang X, Cao B, Yu S, Zhang X. *Angew Chem Int, Ed*. 2010; 49:4047–4050.2(g) McDonald RI, Wong GW, Neupane RP, Stahl SS, Landis CR. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:14027–14029. [PubMed: 20845958] 2(h) Chercheja S, Nadakudity SK, Eilbracht P. *Adv Synth Catal*. 2010; 352:637–643.2(i) Noonan GM, Newton D, Cobley CJ, Suarez A, Pizzano A, Clarke ML. *Adv Synth Catal*. 2010; 352:1047–1054.2(j) Sherrill WM, Rubin M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:13804–13809. [PubMed: 18803386] 2(k) Eilbracht P, Schmidt A. *Catalytic Carbonylation Reactions. Topics in Organometallic Chemistry*. Springer-VERLAG Berlin 2006; 18:65–95.
3. (a) Breit B, Seiche W. *Synthesis*. 2001; 1:1–36.3(b) Rousseau G, Breit B. *Angew Chem Int, Ed*. 2011; 50:2450–2494.
4. For selected examples of phosphorous-based directing groups in hydroformylation see: 4(a) Burke SD, Cobb JE, Takeuchi K. *J Org Chem*. 1985; 50:3420–3421.4(b) Burke SD, Cobb JE, Takeuchi K. *J Org Chem*. 1990; 55:2138–2151.4(c) Jackson WR, Perlmutter P, Tasdelen EE. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun*. 1990; 10:763–764.4(d) Jackson WR, Perlmutter P, Tasdelen EE. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1990; 31:2461–2462.4(e) Breit B. *Acc Chem Res*. 2003; 36:264–275. [PubMed: 12693924] 4(f) Breit B, Breuninger D. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:10244–10245. [PubMed: 15315427] 4(g) Breit B, Breuninger D. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2005; 18:3916–3929.4(h) Breit B, Breuninger D. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2005; 18:3930–3941.4(i) Rein C, Demel P, Outten RA, Netscher T, Breit B. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2007; 46:8670–8673.4(j) Bruch A, Gebert A, Breit B. *Synthesis*. 2008; 14:2169–2176.4(k) Bigot A, Breuninger D, Breit B. *Org Lett*. 2008; 10:5321–5324. [PubMed: 19006319] 4(l) Breit B, Bigot A. *Chem Commun*. 2008; 48:6498–6500.4(m) Laemmerhold KM, Breit B. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2010; 49:2367–2370.4(n) Krauss IJ, Wang CCY, Leighton JL. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123:11514–11515. [PubMed: 11707144]

5. (a) Lightburn TE, Dombrowski MT, Tan KL. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:9210–9211. [PubMed: 18576619] 5(b) Worthy AD, Gagnon MM, Dombrowski MT, Tan KL. Org Lett. 2009; 11:2764–2767. [PubMed: 19489593] 5(c) Sun X, Frimpong K, Tan KL. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:11841–11843. [PubMed: 20687551] 5(d) Worthy AD, Joe CL, Lightburn TE, Tan KL. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:14757–14759. [PubMed: 20882977]
6. (a) Grünanger CU, Breit B. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2008; 47:7346–7349. 6(b) Grünanger CU, Breit B. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2010; 49:967–970. 6(c) Usui I, Nomura K, Breit B. Org Lett. 2011:612–615. [PubMed: 21229981] 6(d) Rousseau G, Breit B. Angew Chem Int, Ed. 2011; 50:2450–2494.
7. For examples of the use of reversible covalent interactions in other metal-catalyzed reactions see: 7(a) Lewis LN. Inorg Chem. 1985; 24:4433–4435. 7(b) Lewis LN, Smith JF. J Am Chem Soc. 1986; 108:2728–2735. 7(c) Preston SA, Cupertino DC, Palma-Ramirez P, Cole-Hamilton DJ. J Chem Soc Chem Commun. 1986:977–978. 7(d) Iraqi A, Fairfax NR, Preston SA, Cupertino DC, Irvine DJ, Cole-Hamilton DJ. J Chem Soc Dalton Trans. 1991:1929–1935. 7(e) Park YJ, Park JW, Jun CH. Acc Chem Res. 2008; 41:222–234. [PubMed: 18247521] 7(f) Bedford RB, Coles SJ, Hursthouse MB, Limmert ME. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2003; 42:112–114. 7(g) Bedford RB, Limmert ME. J Org Chem. 2003; 68:8669–8682. [PubMed: 14575501] 7(h) Bedford RB, Haddow MF, Webster RL, Mitchell CJ. Org Biomol Chem. 2009; 7:3119–3127. 7(i) Oi S, Watanabe S I, Fukita S, Inoue Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003; 44:8665–8668. 7(j) Carrion MC, Cole-Hamilton DJ. Chem Commun. 2006:4527–4529. 7(k) Lewis JC, Wu J, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. Organometallics. 2005; 24:5737–5746.
8. (a) Sheng M, Sala C. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2001; 24:1–29. [PubMed: 11283303] 8(b) Bhattacharyya RP, Remenyi A, Yeh BJ, Lim WA. Annu Rev Biochem. 2006; 75:655–680. [PubMed: 16756506] 8(c) Mishra P, Socolich M, Wall MA, Graves J, Wang Z, Ranganathan R. Cell. 2007; 131:80–92. [PubMed: 17923089]
9. Pascal R. Eur J Org Chem. 2003:1813–1824.
10. Boeckman RK, Miller JR. Org Lett. 2009; 11:4544–4547. [PubMed: 19757801]
11. Nozaki K, Li W, Horiuchi T, Takaya H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997; 38:4611–4614. And see ref. 2(g)
12. For examples of hydroformylation of trisubstituted olefins see: 12(a) da Silva JG, Barros HJV, Balanta A, Bolanos A, Novoa ML, Reyes M, Contreras R, Bayon JC, Gusevskaya EV, dos Santos EN. Appl Catal, A. 2007; 326:219–226. 12(b) Clarke ML, Roff GL. Chem—Eur J. 2006; 12:7978–7986. 12(c) Breit B, Winde R, Mackewitz T, Paciello R, Harms K. Chem—Eur J. 2001; 7:3106–3121. 12(d) Siegel H, Himmele W. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 1980; 19:178–183. 12(e) Himmele W, Siegel H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976; 17:907–910.
13. For examples of hydroformylation of trisubstituted olefins using a stoichiometric phosphine directing group see: Breit B, Grünanger CU, Abillard O. Eur J Org Chem. 2007; 15:2497–2503.
14. The ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR show that the products are nonidentical (see supporting information for details). Based on a *syn* addition of hydrogen and CO the *E*-olefin will form the *anti* product and the *Z*-olefin will form the *syn* product.

Scheme 1.
Hydroformylation of Trisubstituted Olefins

Scheme 2.
Acetal protection of hydroformylation products

Table 1

Pressure optimization

entry	pressure (psi)	regioselectivity (2:3)	conversion (%)
1	50	96:4	85
2	100	95:5	90 (83) ^b
3	200	95:5	89
4	400	95:5	88

^ai) 10 mol % **1**, 1 mol % Rh(acac)(CO)₂, 45 °C, CO/H₂, 0.05 mol % *p*-TsOH benzene; ii) NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄, 2-methyl-2-butene, H₂O/*t*-BuOH

^bisolated yield of **2**, and H₂O₂ used in place of 2-methyl-2-butene

Table 2

Regioselective Hydroformylation of Allylic Alcohols

entry	substrate	product	regioselectivity
1 ^a			>95:5
2 ^a			>95:5
3 ^a			94:6
4 ^b			>95:5
5 ^b			>95:5

entry	substrate	product	regioselecti
6 ^c			>95:5
7 ^d			>95:5
8 ^e			88:12
9 ^f			85:15

^a i) 1 mol % Rh(acac)(CO)₂, 10 mol % **1**, 45 °C, 50 psi CO/H₂, 0.0125 mol % *p*-TsOH ii) NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄, 2-methyl-2-butene, H₂O/*t*-BuOH.

^b reaction performed 2 mol % Rh(acac)(CO)₂, 20 mol % **1**, 0.025 mol % *p*-TsOH.

^c reaction performed at 35 °C and 200 psi CO/H₂

^d reaction performed at 35°C, 200 psi CO/H₂, 0.025 mol % *p*-TsOH

^e reaction performed at 45 °C, 100 psi CO/H₂, 0.025 mol % *p*-TsOH.

^f reaction performed with 0.2 mol % *p*-TsOH, 100 psi CO/H₂.

^g Regioselectivities were determined by taking the crude ¹H NMR after oxidation. The authentic lactone products were independently synthesized and characterized by performing the hydroformylation with PPh₃ as the ligand.