Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2011 May 17; 50(19): 4011–4018. doi:10.1021/bi101664u.

Rate limiting domain and loop motions in arginine kinase

Omar Davulcu¹, Jack J. Skalicky², and Michael S. Chapman^{1,*}

¹ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon, 97239-3098, USA

² Department of Biochemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84112-5650, USA

Abstract

Arginine kinase catalyzes the reversible transfer of a phosphoryl group between ATP and arginine. It is the arthropod homolog of creatine kinase, buffering cellular ATP levels. Crystal structures of arginine kinase, in substrate-free and substrate-bound forms, have revealed large conformational changes associated with the catalytic cycle. Recent NMR identified movements of the N-terminal domain and a loop comprising residues I182-G209 with conformational exchange rates in the substrate-free enzyme similar to the turnover rate. Here, to understand whether these motions might be rate-limiting, activation barriers for both the intrinsic dynamics and enzyme turnover are determined using measurements over a temperature range of 15 to 30°C. ¹⁵N transverse relaxation dispersion yields activation barriers of 46 ± 8 and 34 ± 12 kJ/mol for the N-terminal domain and I182-G209 loop, respectively. An activation barrier of 34 ± 13 kJ/mol was obtained for enzyme turnover from steady-state kinetics. The similarity between the activation barriers is indeed consistent with turnover being limited by backbone conformational dynamics, and pinpoints the locations of potentially rate limiting motions.

Keywords

enzyme dynamics; conformational change; relaxation dispersion; arginine kinase

Arginine kinase (E.C. 2.7.3.3) is a member of the phosphagen kinase family of enzymes and catalyzes reversible transfer of a phosphoryl group between ATP and arginine. Found primarily in invertebrates, arginine kinase acts to buffer cellular levels of ATP in cells with high or variable demands for energy (1). In addition to its important physiological role, the phosphagen kinase family has been the focus of much research towards understanding bimolecular enzyme catalysis (1). Arginine kinase from the Atlantic horseshoe crab *Limulus polyphemus*, a 42 kDa monomer, is selected as a model system for investigating the link between enzyme dynamics and function, in part due to its amenability to both high resolution x-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (2–6).

Steady-state enzyme kinetics have revealed the arginine kinase kinetic mechanism to be a rapid equilibrium, random order bimolecular-bimolecular reaction, with a turnover number (k_{cat}) of approximately 135 s^{-1} at 25 °C (7–9). The enzyme has also been shown to undergo

*Corresponding author: Michael S. Chapman, Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 S.W. Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97239-3098, USA; chapmami@ohsu.edu; tel: (503) 494-1025; fax: (503) 494-8393.

Supporting Information Available:

Lists of NMR relaxation exchange data: R_2 , R_{ex} and α are provided in three supplementary tables. This material is available free of charge at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

large conformational changes during the catalytic cycle. Initially, x-ray solution scattering experiments revealed a decrease in the radius of gyration of the enzyme associated with substrate binding (10). The locations and degree of these protein conformational changes were not evident, however, until x-ray crystal structures of the enzyme became available.

Two crystal structures of *Limulus polyphemus* arginine kinase highlight the conformational changes associated with substrate binding. The first, in a substrate-free or “open” state, was initially solved at 2.4 Å resolution and recently extended to 1.7 Å (4, 11). The second structure, with a transition state analog bound to form a “closed” state, was solved at 1.2 Å resolution and contained enzyme-bound MgATP, arginine, and nitrate, with the latter mimicking the phosphoryl group being transferred (5, 6). Overall, these structures established arginine kinase as a two-domain enzyme, with a primarily α -helical N-terminal domain (NTD) spanning residues 1–90 and a primarily β -sheet C-terminal domain (CTD) spanning residues 120–357. These two domains are joined via a 29 residue flexible linker. Comparison of these crystal structures shows a closing of the NTD and CTD along with folding of a set of loops over the active site (Figure 1). In general terms, the substrate associated conformational changes have been described as a hand making a grabbing motion (4–6, 11). A similar set of conformational changes have been observed in creatine kinase, an arginine kinase homologue (12–14).

The open and closed crystal structures of arginine kinase provide “snapshots” of the enzyme during the catalytic cycle. They give insight into the amplitudes of conformational changes but not the associated rates. Recently, NMR-based ^{15}N spin relaxation experiments on substrate-free arginine kinase have highlighted the backbone dynamics intrinsic to the enzyme on a wide range of timescales (3). Lipari-Szabo analysis pinpointed loop L13, residues V308–V322, with intrinsic dynamics on the nanosecond timescale (15, 16). ^{15}N transverse relaxation dispersion experiments indicated that approximately 12% of the protein was involved in micro- to millisecond timescale dynamics in the absence of substrate (17–19). Residues exhibiting these slow dynamics localized to four regions in the enzyme: (1) the interface and (2) hinge between the NTD and CTD; (3) loop L8, spanning residues I182–G209; and (4) several segments of the primary structure that together form the center of the CTD β -sheet (Figure 1). Interestingly, the rates of motion for the NTD and loop L8 were commensurate with the turnover rate, suggesting that one or both of these conformational changes might be rate-limiting upon turnover (3).

The importance of protein motions for enzyme function has long been hypothesized; furthermore, there has been long-standing speculation that protein conformational changes could be rate limiting for some (20). It is only recently, however, that experimental techniques have been developed to probe conformational changes. Recent advances in NMR have allowed for the direct measurement of rates of protein motions at the residue level (21). This technique has allowed for determination of protein motions critical for turnover in systems such as triosephosphate isomerase, cyclophilin A, RNase A, and dihydrofolate reductase (21–24).

The work presented here builds upon the previous work exploring the intrinsic dynamics of arginine kinase (3). To further test the hypothesis that protein conformational changes are rate-limiting on turnover, ^{15}N transverse relaxation dispersion experiments along with enzyme kinetic measurements are performed across a temperature range of 15 to 30 °C (17–19). Conformational exchange rates (k_{ex}) and turnover rates (k_{cat}) are obtained as a function of temperatures, thus allowing access to activation energies associated with intrinsic conformational changes and the entire catalytic cycle, respectively. The activation barriers of the NTD and loop L8 motions were found to be similar to that of the catalyzed reaction,

thus providing further evidence that one or both of these conformational changes are rate-limiting upon arginine kinase turnover.

Materials and Methods

Sample Preparation

Limulus polyphemus arginine kinase uniformly ^{15}N -enriched and ~80% ^2H -enriched was expressed and purified as previously described, using urea-based unfolding and refolding to allow for exchange of amide deuterons to protons in the core of the enzyme (2, 9). Enzyme to be used for NMR was concentrated to 1 mM (42 mg/ml) in a buffer containing 10 mM sodium citrate (pH=6.5), 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 50 mM potassium chloride, 50 μM sodium azide, and 10% $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Enzyme for use in kinetic assays was stored at 2 mg/ml in 10 mM tris (pH=8.0), 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 100 mM potassium chloride. Protein concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically with an extinction coefficient of $0.76 \text{ ml}(\text{mg}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1})$ at 280 nm.

NMR Data Collection and Analysis

NMR data were collected on Varian INOVA spectrometers operating at ^1H (^{15}N) Larmor frequencies of 599.7 (60.78), 719.9 (72.95), or 799.7 (81.04) MHz. Each spectrometer was equipped with a HCN triple resonance probe and Z-axis pulsed field gradient. Relaxation experiments were performed at 15, 20, 25, and 30 °C with temperature calibration achieved using a methanol standard (25). ^1H chemical shifts were referenced to the methyl protons of DSS at 0 ppm; ^{15}N chemical shifts were referenced indirectly (26). ^1H and ^{15}N backbone amide resonance assignments have previously been reported (2).

Chemical exchange on the μs -ms timescale was quantified using a constant-time ^{15}N spin CPMG relaxation dispersion approach with TROSY detection (18). These experiments were performed at the three static fields listed above. The CPMG effective field strength (ν) ranged from 0 to 1 KHz *via* modulation of the refocusing delay time. Individual relaxation dispersion datasets were collected with the following parameters: ^{15}N ω_0 = 60.78 MHz, constant relaxation time = 40 ms, ν = 0, 25, 50*, 100, 200, 250, 300, 400, 500, 625, 750, 875, and 1000 Hz; ^{15}N ω_0 = 72.95 and 81.04 MHz, constant relaxation time = 32 ms, ν = 0*, 31.25, 62.50, 93.75, 125*, 156.25, 187.50, 250, 375, 500*, 625*, 750, 875, and 1000* Hz. Asterisks denote points which were measured in duplicate and used in error estimation. Datasets at all three static fields were collected at 15 and 25 °C; datasets at ^{15}N ω_0 = 81.04 MHz were also collected at 20 and 30 °C. All relaxation data were recorded with ~100 ms ^1H acquisition time and 75 ms ^{15}N acquisition time ($t_{1\text{max}}$) and were processed with FELIX2004 (Accelrys, Inc.) to a final matrix dimension of $4096 (^1\text{H}) \times 1024 (^{15}\text{N})$ points.

^{15}N R_2^{eff} was determined at each CPMG field strength by a two-point logarithmic function: $R_2^{\text{eff}} = (-1/\tau_{\text{relax}}) \ln(I_\nu/I_0)$. In this relationship, τ_{relax} is the constant-time relaxation period, I_ν is the intensity of any given resonance at CPMG effective field strength ν , and I_0 is the intensity of the resonance in the reference experiment omitting the CPMG block. Measured ^{15}N R_2^{eff} values are tabulated in Supplementary Table 1. Subsequently, the Bloch-McConnell or Carver-Richards equations were fit to the resulting R_2^{eff} values at all four temperatures simultaneously with software provided by Dr. Lewis Kay and Dr. Dmitry Korzhnev (17). This fitting, in all cases, assumed two state exchange, yielding, for each temperature, an exchange rate constant k_{ex} , which is the sum of the forward and reverse rate constants. For some groups of residues it was also possible to estimate the relative populations of states A, p_A , and B, p_B ; and the ^{15}N chemical shift difference between states A and B, $\Delta\omega$. Errors on each of these parameters were obtained from the least-squares fitting. No assumption was made regarding the fast or slow exchange regime of k_{ex} relative

to $\Delta\omega$. Measured R_2^{eff} values at all static field strengths for those residues showing chemical exchange at 15, 20, 25 and 30°C are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

With R_{ex} determined at multiple static fields, the timescale of chemical exchange can be determined from calculation of a parameter previously described as α (27). Note that this is distinct from the synergy constant, also α by convention, associated with determination of k_{cat} (see later). In the context of NMR chemical exchange, α is defined as:

$$\alpha = \frac{(B_{02} + B_{01})}{(B_{02} - B_{01})} * \frac{(R_{\text{ex}2} - R_{\text{ex}1})}{(R_{\text{ex}2} + R_{\text{ex}1})}$$

where, for any given residue, B_{01} and B_{02} are the static magnetic field strengths of the lower and higher fields, respectively, and $R_{\text{ex}1}$ and $R_{\text{ex}2}$ are the chemical exchange contributions to R_2^{eff} determined at two field strengths. Errors on α were calculated by propagation of the errors on $R_{\text{ex}1}$ and $R_{\text{ex}2}$. Ideally, α adopts values between 0 and 2, differentiating between slow exchange ($\alpha < 1$), intermediate exchange ($\alpha \sim 1$), and fast exchange ($\alpha > 1$). k_{ex} is typically well determined regardless of exchange timescale; however, for residues in fast exchange, the only other well-determined parameter is the $p_A \cdot p_B \cdot \Delta\omega$ product (27, 28). Individual p_A , p_B , and $\Delta\omega$ values can be determined, on the other hand, for residues in slow to intermediate exchange. Furthermore, in the slow to intermediate exchange regime, k_{ex} can be decomposed into k_{slow} and k_{fast} , where $k_{\text{slow}} = k_{\text{ex}} \cdot p_B$, $k_{\text{fast}} = k_{\text{ex}} \cdot p_A$, and $k_{\text{ex}} = k_{\text{slow}} + k_{\text{fast}}$.

To obtain activation barriers of intrinsic dynamics measured by NMR, non-linear least squares fitting of the Arrhenius equation to the temperature-dependent values of both k_{slow} and k_{ex} was performed in SigmaPlot (SPSS, Inc.). Errors on the activation barriers came from the least squares fitting.

Enzyme Kinetics and Data Analysis

Arginine kinase activity was measured using a coupled enzyme assay in the forward direction (synthesis of phosphoarginine) following standard procedures (29, 30). This assay couples production of ADP by arginine kinase to dephosphorylation of phosphoenolpyruvate by pyruvate kinase and reduction of pyruvate by NADH-dependent lactate dehydrogenase. The conversion of NADH to NAD^+ is measured through absorbance at 340 nm. Measurements were performed on a Varian Cary 100 UV-vis spectrophotometer capable of monitoring six samples simultaneously.

Final reaction conditions were: 100 mM tris-acetate, pH 8, 6 mM magnesium acetate, 133 mM potassium chloride, 1.2 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.5 mM NADH, and 6.5 EU each of pyruvate kinase and lactate dehydrogenase. Nominal ATP concentrations were 0.08, 0.16, 0.32, 0.64, 0.96, and 1.4 mM; nominal arginine concentrations were 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, and 7.0 mM. Thus, for each assay, 36 individual velocity measurements were obtained. ATP stock concentrations were standardized by measuring absorbance at 260 nm with an extinction coefficient of $15.4 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Arginine stock concentrations were standardized spectrophotometrically with the enzymatic assay and ATP in excess.

Reaction mixtures were allowed to incubate for 10 minutes to reach the desired temperature. The reaction was then initiated by addition of arginine kinase to the mixture and was allowed to proceed for five minutes. Initial velocities were obtained from the average slope of the linear range of the absorbance profile for each reaction. Each full assay was measured in triplicate and at nominal temperatures of 15, 20, 25, and 30°C, a total of 12 measurements. Actual temperatures were measured at six points during each full assay. The

largest intra-assay temperature variance was 0.5°C. For each assay, the average of these six temperature measurements was used in data analysis.

Initial velocities obtained from each assay were fit to the rate equation for a rapid equilibrium, random order, bi-molecular bi-molecular reaction (31, 32):

$$v = \frac{V_{\max} [\text{arg}] [\text{ATP}]}{\alpha K_{S(\text{arg})} K_{S(\text{ATP})} + \alpha K_{S(\text{arg})} [\text{ATP}] + \alpha K_{S(\text{ATP})} [\text{arg}] + [\text{arg}] [\text{ATP}]}$$

where K_s is the dissociation constant for a given enzyme-substrate complex and $\alpha = K_m/K_s$. $V_{\max}$ was fitted by non-linear least squares regression in SigmaPlot (SPSS, Inc.) to the 36 velocity measurements for each assay and thence converted to k_{cat} . Error estimates come from the least-squares fitting.

Activation barriers for the enzyme catalyzed reaction were determined by fitting the Arrhenius equation to k_{cat} determined at the 4 temperatures (see above), again using non-linear least squares regression in SigmaPlot (SPSS, Inc.). Errors on the activation barrier of the catalyzed reaction came from the least squares fitting.

Results

Slow, μs -ms time scale intrinsic dynamics

Summed rate constants, $k_{\text{ex}} = k_{\text{forward}} + k_{\text{reverse}}$, of intrinsic, backbone motions in arginine kinase were fitted using ^{15}N transverse relaxation dispersion data with the Carver-Richards or Bloch-McConnell equations and assuming a two state exchange model. This approach provides a direct measure of exchange kinetics, resulting from ^{15}N nuclei alternating between different magnetic environments, or chemical states (17, 18, 21). The activation barrier for exchange can be measured from the temperature dependence of the rate constant k_{ex} (33). Dynamics intrinsic to substrate-free arginine kinase at 25 °C have been previously described (3); here, similar experiments were conducted at three additional temperatures, thus allowing for determination of the activation energies.

Overlaid [^{15}N , ^1H] TROSY spectra of arginine kinase obtained at 15, 20, 25, and 30 °C are shown in Figure 2. Peak positions vary within the range of typical ^1H thermal coefficients (34) and full enzyme activity is retained (see below), indications that the protein is stable and not unfolding over the temperature range. Representative ^{15}N transverse relaxation dispersion profiles for two residues undergoing chemical exchange are shown in Figure 2. The number of amino acid residues with $R_{\text{ex}} > 2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 15, 20, 25 and 30°C are 33, 23, 34 and 28 respectively and are further analyzed below. As previously observed, residues with R_{ex} predominantly localize to four spatial regions of the enzyme: the hinge between the NTD and CTD, the interface between the NTD and CTD, the core β -sheet at the active site, and the substrate binding loop spanning residues I182-G209 (3). In the prior single-temperature analysis, it had been shown that unified chemical exchange parameters (single k_{ex} and p_B values determined collectively for sets of residues), appropriate for concerted group motion of each of the four regions, yielded an excellent fit, and the same proved true here. Robust fitting of activation barriers is possible for two of these four regions: loop L8 and the NTD-CTD interface.

The level to which R_{ex} can be interpreted, individual rate constants and state populations, or merely exchange rates, depends on the exchange regime, parameterized by α . α values, determined from the static field dependence of R_{ex} , are listed in Supplemental Table 3 for residues undergoing chemical exchange and indicate the residue's exchange regime (fast,

intermediate or slow; see Methods). In the NTD-CTD interface, α is ill-defined, due, in part, to high fractional errors in small values of R_{ex} . Without evidence that exchange is in the slow or intermediate regime at the interface, analysis is limited to k_{ex} which cannot be decomposed into k_{slow} and k_{fast} . For loop L8, errors in α similarly preclude designation of the exchange regime for many residues, but the three residues with well-determined α values all indicate an intermediate regime, even where α is expected highest at 25°C: E190 with $\alpha = 1.15 \pm 0.22$, D192 with $\alpha = 1.33 \pm 0.34$, and T206 with $\alpha = 1.12 \pm 0.21$. Thus, it is possible to fit p_A , p_B , and $\Delta\omega$ collectively to the relaxation dispersion profiles of the three residues with demonstrably slow-intermediate exchange. The fitting of k_{ex} is not subject to the same exchange regime constraints, and the successful modeling of the entire loop with a single k_{ex} indicated concerted motion. Combining the loop L8 k_{ex} with the sub-sample p_B gives an approximate estimate of k_{slow} for a concerted two-state motion, albeit based only on three residues. At this point, distinction should be emphasized between k_{slow} that, with the sparse data available, must be interpreted tentatively, and conformational activation barriers derived from more robust k_{ex} which are fit to data from larger groups of residues without restrictions on exchange regimes.

Should an enzyme's turnover be limited by conformational change, then one would expect correspondence of k_{cat} with k_{slow} , the slower of the conformational $k_{forward}$ or $k_{reverse}$. In cases where $k_{slow} \ll k_{fast}$ (where p_A and p_B are very different), k_{slow} can be regarded as the 'rate-limiting step' of an exchange process described by k_{ex} . As such, the *temperature dependence* of k_{ex} is dominated by k_{slow} . Thus, k_{ex} can be used as a proxy for k_{slow} in analysis of activation barriers for turnover and conformational change. Use of k_{ex} circumvents the assumption of slow-to-intermediate exchange in calculating k_{slow} and experimental errors in measuring small values of p_B . Activation barriers calculated from k_{ex} could be regarded as an approximation to those calculated from k_{slow} . For loop L8, activation barriers can be calculated both ways (see below), and they agree within experimental error, giving confidence that either k_{ex} or k_{slow} can be the basis of comparison to k_{cat} .

Table 1 summarizes k_{ex} values obtained from fitting R_2^{eff} with the Bloch-McConnell or Carver-Richards equations. The activation energy barrier, E_a , associated with the dynamic processes derives from an Arrhenius analysis (17). Residues in loop L8 and the NTD-CTD interface display a clear dependence of k_{ex} with temperature (Table 1). When residues of each cluster are fitted together, a similar range of k_{ex} values are observed between 15 and 30 °C: 500 – 1100 s⁻¹ for loop L8 and 600 to – 1400 s⁻¹ for the NTD-CTD interface.

Within the temperature range described above, the fitted minor state populations, p_B , obtained from collective fitting of E190, D192, and T206, are 3 – 6% for loop L8. The populations are correlated with temperature (Table 1) and likely reflect a real but modest temperature dependence of p_A & p_B (35). However, caution is needed, because the temperature-based differences in p_B are barely larger than the estimated experimental error. Arrhenius plots derived from the k_{ex} and k_{close} exchange rates for loop L8 and the NTD-CTD interface are shown in Figure 3.

For loop L8, with the caveats already noted, k_{ex} can be decomposed into $k_{forward}$ and $k_{reverse}$ defined as $p_A \cdot k_{ex}$ and $p_B \cdot k_{ex}$. It is assumed that, in the absence of substrates, the majority population resembles the open form of the enzyme and the minority population lies along the path towards the closed form. Thus, $k_{forward}$ refers to the rate of closure and is referred to as $k_{close} = p_B \cdot k_{ex}$. Conversely, $k_{reverse}$, the rate of opening of the minority population, is then $k_{open} = p_A \cdot k_{ex}$. Values of k_{close} are listed in Table 1 for loop L8.

Simultaneous, multi-temperature fitting of k_{ex} for two clusters of residues, the NTD-CTD hinge and those lying in the core β -sheet, did not converge, precluding further analysis of these residues. This appears to be due to relatively large fractional errors in some of the smaller values of R_{ex} , leading to ill-conditioned fitting. This is not unexpected. Relaxation dispersion is a derivative measurement, a change in relaxation as a function of field. With temperature dependence, the dependent variable is a 2nd derivative, small in value, and sensitive to errors especially if the temperature range is modest.

Enzyme-catalyzed reaction

Activation barriers for the reaction catalyzed by arginine kinase are determined by measurement of k_{cat} at varying temperatures. The same temperatures used for the NMR experiments described above, 15, 20, 25, and 30 °C, are used in the enzyme assay. Measured k_{cat} values are listed in Table 2. k_{cat} is observed to range from approximately 60 to 130 s⁻¹, with a clear dependence on temperature. The associated activation barrier for the enzyme catalyzed reaction is 34 ± 4 kJ/mol. Figure 3 shows the Arrhenius plot obtained for arginine kinase.

At 25 °C, the k_{cat} values determined here are in agreement with previously published results (8, 9). This is in spite of kinetics being performed on deuterated enzyme for compatibility with the NMR. k_{cat} was determined for the forward reaction (phosphoarginine production), but are consistent with previous measurements of the reverse reaction (ATP production) when phosphoarginine was available commercially. Furthermore, the kinetic parameters for the enzyme-catalyzed reaction determined here are also similar to those of orthologous enzymes (36–38).

Discussion

The conformational changes in arginine kinase associated with substrate binding have recently been described by rotations of five quasi-rigid groups (39). These clusters of residues are sometimes non-contiguous in primary sequence, but whose motion can be approximated by a common rotational and translational operation. Rotation of the five groups account for most of the differences between the open and closed forms of arginine kinase. Figure 1 maps these five quasi-rigid groups to the crystal structures of arginine kinase.

In addition to substrate-associated conformational changes, recent work has shown that arginine kinase is a highly dynamic enzyme in the absence of substrates (3). Using NMR spectroscopy, this previous work highlighted the intrinsic loop and rigid-body domain motions of the enzyme on timescales ranging from picoseconds to milliseconds. Interestingly, the rates of intrinsic rotation of the N-terminal domain (NTD; residues 1–90) and motion of a substrate binding loop (loop L8; residues 182–201) were similar to that of k_{cat} , indicating that a protein conformational change might be rate-limiting. It has been hypothesized for quite some time that some conformational change, as opposed to the chemical step of phosphoryl transfer, might be rate limiting on the arginine kinase reaction (36). However, identification of specific candidate conformational change(s) are a recent development (3).

Two of the previously described motions with turnover-commensurate rates are shown here to have activation barriers similar to that of the catalyzed reaction (3). These are overall motion of the N-terminal domain, implied from exchange in the NTD-CTD interface region, and loop L8. X-ray crystal structures of the open and closed states of arginine kinase show that these regions also undergo changes associated with substrate binding (4–6, 11). Upon substrate binding, the NTD was shown to undergo a hinged, rigid-body rotation of $\sim 14^\circ$

about residues 88–94. Loop L8 was shown to move over 5 Å in a manner more complicated than a simple, rigid-body motion (4–6). The motions of the NTD, loop L8, and other smaller movements bring catalytically important residues into the active site, likely align the substrates precisely for phosphoryl transfer, and shield reactive substrate atoms from water (13, 40–42). The rotation of the NTD brings the substrate specificity loop, residues L61–Y68, over the amino acid end of substrate arginine. Motion of loop L8 allows for formation of a hydrogen bond between the side chain of H185 and the ribose ring of substrate nucleotide. Furthermore, an interaction between the NTD and loop L8 is also created in the closed state of the enzyme in the form of a salt bridge between residues R193 and D62 (4–6, 11). It has been suggested that this interaction helps to stabilize the conformation of the substrate specificity loop, and perhaps the entire NTD, and disruption of this interaction has been shown to reduce enzymatic activity (43). Thus, while movement in loop L8 does not configure catalytic amino acids directly, it may coordinate some of the other conformational changes that do.

It is emphasized that the intrinsic motions probed by NMR, while bearing some qualitative similarity, likely do not sample the full extent of substrate-associated conformational changes seen crystallographically. Rigid-domain models fit to NMR residual dipolar coupling (RDC) data show the solution state average to be closer to the substrate-free crystal structure, than the substrate-bound form (39). Furthermore, the RDC data show that the sub-domains are rotated by different relative amounts. Thus, the likely partially-closed state sampled in the intrinsic motions draws from the same repertoire of motions, but is mixed together in different proportions.

As described above, previous work has indicated that a conformational change is likely rate limiting upon the arginine kinase reaction, and more recent work has highlighted the NTD and/or loop L8 motions as candidates (3, 36, 38). The similarity between activation energies of these motions and the enzyme catalyzed reaction provides further support for a model in which a conformational change of one or both of these regions is the rate limiting step of the enzyme reaction. The activation energy of the arginine kinase reaction is 34 ± 13 kJ/mol, similar to other phosphagen kinases: 49 ± 1 kJ/mol and 49 ± 1 kJ/mol for human heart and skeletal muscle creatine kinase, isoform MM (44); 45 kJ/mol for shrimp arginine kinase, isoform 2 (37); and 40 kJ/mol for lobster arginine kinase (38).

It is noted that k_{cat} and k_{ex} are determined at different pH values. Kinetic assays were performed in the standard way to minimize error, at the pH optimum (pH 8) (29, 30, 45). The NMR is performed where the protein is most stable, pH 6.5. pH-activity profiles indicate that the kinetic activity is 7-fold lower at pH 6.5 at 12°C (45). Applying a 7-fold normalization at all temperatures brings k_{cat} values within $\pm 60\%$ of the NMR-determined conformational k_{close} values for the motion of loop L8. A number of caveats apply, particularly that k_{close} is based on a collective fit of p_B to the dispersion profiles of just the three loop residues whose relaxation exchange can be shown to be in the slow-intermediate regime. Considering the many orders over which rates can range, notwithstanding the caveats, the observed order-of-magnitude agreement in the rates of conformational change and enzyme turnover is quite remarkable.

Determination of the activation energies depends on k_{ex} and is not subject to the caveats of p_B fitting. The activation energy for closure of the NTD and loop L8, calculated from k_{ex} , are 46 ± 8 and 34 ± 12 kJ/mol respectively (Figure 4), while the estimate from k_{close} for L8 is 47 ± 17 kJ/mol. Thus, conformational activation barriers are not only similar to catalytic turnover barriers, but consistent with each other, assuming identical transmission coefficients. Possibilities for the interplay between the NTD and loop L8 motions range from complete independence to a concerted motion in which they are linked. Attempts at

fitting a single, two-state concerted-motion model for both regions result in k_{ex} values that are similar to the individually fit models. However, the fitted p_B and $\Delta\omega$ values are quite different. The combined p_B is substantially larger, and, in contrast to the separately fit values, shows marked temperature dependence, ranging from 13.0 to 26.4% over the 15°C temperature range. Unlike the k_{ex} analysis, this comparison of p_B values is subject to the caveats over exchange regime already discussed. Thus, the data available are insufficient for a definitive determination of whether the NTD and loop L8 motions are coupled or independent.

As the activation barriers of the enzyme motions were obtained for substrate-free enzyme, it is tempting to speculate that pre-formation of an arginine kinase conformation that is amenable to binding substrate is the rate-limiting step (3). Such a conclusion would be consistent with the enzyme's substrate-associated conformational changes resulting (in part) from conformational selection (46). Recent analysis of NMR residual dipolar couplings indicate that, in solution, the time-averaged substrate-free arginine kinase has a conformation more like the open-form crystal structure than the closed transition state, but somewhat intermediate (39). With the full extent of changes seen upon substrate binding, the enzyme wraps around the substrates, occluding the active site, so conformational selection cannot account for all of the changes. It is possible that formation of the encounter-complex involves selection among conformations sampled dynamically in the substrate-free state, with additional changes occurring by induced fit (47). The results here indicate that the rate-limiting step may be the conformational changes involved in selection.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by National Institutes of Health (GM77643; M.S.C.), the National Science Foundation through the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory Institute for Health Research and Policy (DMR-0084173; J.J.S. and M.S.C.), and the American Heart Association (0415115B; O.D.).

The authors would like to thank W. Ross Ellington and Gregg Hoffman of Florida State University for assistance with the steady-state kinetic assay.

Abbreviations

NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
CPMG	Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill
TROSY	transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy
NTD	N-terminal domain
CTD	C-terminal domain
DSS	4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
NADH/NAD⁺	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide

References

1. Ellington WR. Evolution and Physiological Roles of Phosphagen Systems. *Annual Review of Physiology*. 2001; 63:289–325.

2. Davulcu O, Clark SA, Chapman MS, Skalicky JJ. Main chain (1)H, (13)C, and (15)N resonance assignments of the 42-kDa enzyme arginine kinase. *J Biomol NMR*. 2005; 32:178. [PubMed: 16034675]
3. Davulcu O, Flynn PF, Chapman MS, Skalicky JJ. Intrinsic domain and loop dynamics commensurate with catalytic turnover in an induced-fit enzyme. *Structure*. 2009; 17:1356–1367. [PubMed: 19836335]
4. Yousef MS, Clark SA, Pruett PK, Somasundaram T, Ellington WR, Chapman MS. Induced fit in guanidino kinases-comparison of substrate-free and transition state analog structures of arginine kinase. *Protein Sci*. 2003; 12:103–111. [PubMed: 12493833]
5. Yousef MS, Fabiola F, Gattis J, Somasundaram T, Chapman MS. Refinement of Arginine Kinase Transition State Analogue Complex at 1.2 Å resolution; mechanistic insights. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*. 2002; 58:2009–2017.
6. Zhou G, Somasundaram T, Blanc E, Parthasarathy G, Ellington WR, Chapman MS. Transition state structure of arginine kinase: Implications for catalysis of bimolecular reactions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*. 1998; 95:8449–8454.
7. Blethen SL. Kinetic Properties of the Arginine Kinase Isoenzymes of *Limulus polyphemus*. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*. 1972; 149:244–251. [PubMed: 5017253]
8. Gattis JL, Ruben E, Fenley MO, Ellington WR, Chapman MS. The active site cysteine of arginine kinase: structural and functional analysis of partially active mutants. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:8680–8689. [PubMed: 15236576]
9. Pruett PS, Azzi A, Clark SA, Yousef M, Gattis JL, Somasundaram T, Ellington WR, Chapman MS. The putative catalytic bases have, at most, an accessory role in the mechanism of arginine kinase. *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278:26952–26957. [PubMed: 12732621]
10. Dumas C, Janin J. Conformational changes in arginine kinase upon ligand binding seen by small-angle X-ray scattering. *FEBS Letters*. 1983; 153:128–130.
11. Chapman MS, Somasundaram T. De-icing: recovery of diffraction intensities in the presence of ice rings. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 2010; 66:741–744. [PubMed: 20516627]
12. Fritz-Wolf K, Schnyder T, Wallimann T, Kabsch W. Structure of Mitochondrial Creatine Kinase. *Nature*. 1996; 381:341–345. [PubMed: 8692275]
13. Lahiri SD, Wang PF, Babbitt PC, McLeish MJ, Kenyon GL, Allen KN. The 2.1 Å Structure of *Torpedo californica* Creatine Kinase Complexed with the ADP-Mg²⁺-NO₃⁻-Creatine Transition-State Analogue Complex. *Biochemistry*. 2002; 41:13861–13867. [PubMed: 12437342]
14. Ohren JF, Kundracik ML, Borders CL Jr, Edmiston P, Viola RE. Structural asymmetry and intersubunit communication in muscle creatine kinase. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 2007; 63:381–389. [PubMed: 17327675]
15. Lipari G, Szabo A. Model-Free approach to the interpretation of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Relaxation in Macromolecules. 1. Theory and Range of Validity. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1982; 104:4546–4559.
16. Lipari G, Szabo A. Model-Free Approach to the Interpretation of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Relaxation in Macromolecules. 2. Analysis of Experimental Results. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1982; 104:1559–4570.
17. Cavanagh, J.; Fairbrother, WJ.; Palmer, AG., III; Skelton, AJ. *Protein NMR Spectroscopy*. Academic Press; San Diego: 1996.
18. Loria JP, Rance M, Palmer AG 3rd. A TROSY CPMG sequence for characterizing chemical exchange in large proteins. *J Biomol NMR*. 1999; 15:151–155. [PubMed: 10605088]
19. Palmer AG 3rd, Kroenke CD, Loria JP. Nuclear magnetic resonance methods for quantifying microsecond-to-millisecond motions in biological macromolecules. *Methods Enzymol*. 2001; 339:204–238. [PubMed: 11462813]
20. Koshland DE Jr. Application of a theory of enzyme specificity to protein synthesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*. 1958; 44:98–104.
21. Boehr DD, Dyson HJ, Wright PE. An NMR perspective on enzyme dynamics. *Chem Rev*. 2006; 106:3055–3079. [PubMed: 16895318]
22. Eisenmesser EZ, Bosco DA, Akke M, Kern D. Enzyme dynamics during catalysis. *Science*. 2002; 295:1520–1523. [PubMed: 11859194]

23. Wang Y, Berlow RB, Loria JP. Role of loop-loop interactions in coordinating motions and enzymatic function in triosephosphate isomerase. *Biochemistry*. 2009; 48:4548–4556. [PubMed: 19348462]
24. Watt ED, Shimada H, Kovrigin EL, Loria JP. The mechanism of rate-limiting motions in enzyme function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104:11981–11986. [PubMed: 17615241]
25. Raiford DS, Fisk CL, Becker ED. Calibration of methanol and ethylene glycol nuclear magnetic resonance thermometers. *Analytical Chemistry*. 1979; 51:2050–2051.
26. Markley JL, Bax A, Arata Y, Hilbers CW, Kaptein R, Sykes BD, Wright PE, Wuthrich K. Recommendations for the presentation of NMR structures of proteins and nucleic acids. IUPAC-IUBMB-IUPAB Inter-Union Task Group on the Standardization of Data Bases of Protein and Nucleic Acid Structures Determined by NMR Spectroscopy. *J Biomol NMR*. 1998; 12:1–23. [PubMed: 9729785]
27. Millet O, Loria JP, Kroenke CD, Pons M, Palmer AG. The Static Magnetic Field Dependence of Chemical Exchange Linebroadening Defines the NMR Chemical Shift Time Scale. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2000; 122:2867–2877.
28. Schlegel J, Armstrong GS, Redzic JS, Zhang F, Eisenmesser EZ. Characterizing and controlling the inherent dynamics of cyclophilin-A. *Protein Sci*. 2009; 18:811–824. [PubMed: 19319933]
29. Ellington WR. Phosphocreatine represents a thermodynamic and functional improvement over other muscle phosphagens. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 1989; 143:177–194. [PubMed: 2543728]
30. Morrison JF, James E. The Mechanism of the Reaction Catalysed by Adenosine Triphosphate-Creatine Phosphotransferase. *Biochemistry Journal*. 1965; 97:37–52.
31. Segel, IH. Wiley Classics Edition. 1993. Wiley; New York: 1975. *Enzyme Kinetics - Behaviour and Analysis of Rapid Equilibrium and Steady-State Enzyme Systems*.
32. Segel, IH. Wiley Classics Edition. 1993. Wiley; New York: 1975. Cpt IV: *Rapid Equilibrium Bireactant and Terreactant Systems. Enzyme Kinetics - Behaviour and Analysis of Rapid Equilibrium and Steady-State Enzyme Systems*.
33. Mandel AM, Akke M, Palmer AG 3rd. Dynamics of ribonuclease H: temperature dependence of motions on multiple time scales. *Biochemistry*. 1996; 35:16009–16023. [PubMed: 8973171]
34. Baxter NJ, Williamson MP. Temperature dependence of ¹H chemical shifts in proteins. *J Biomol NMR*. 1997; 9:359–369. [PubMed: 9255942]
35. Beach H, Cole R, Gill ML, Loria JP. Conservation of mus-ms enzyme motions in the apo- and substrate-mimicked state. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:9167–9176. [PubMed: 15969595]
36. Barman TE, Travers F, Bertrand R, Roseau G. Transient-phase studies on the arginine kinase reaction. *Eur J Biochem*. 1978; 89:243–249. [PubMed: 212269]
37. Iwanami K, Iseno S, Uda K, Suzuki T. A novel arginine kinase from the shrimp *Neocaridina denticulata*: the fourth arginine kinase gene lineage. *Gene*. 2009; 437:80–87. [PubMed: 19268694]
38. Travers F, Bertrand R, Roseau G, Van Thoai N. Cryoenzymologic studies on arginine kinase: solvent, temperature and pH effects on the overall reaction. *Eur J Biochem*. 1978; 88:523–528. [PubMed: 28946]
39. Niu X, Brunschweiler-Li L, Davulcu O, Skalicky JJ, Brunschweiler R, Chapman MS. Arginine Kinase. Joint Crystallographic & NMR RDC Analyses link Substrate-Associated Motions to Intrinsic Flexibility. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 2011; 405:479–496. [PubMed: 21075117]
40. Jencks, WP. *Catalysis in Chemistry and Enzymology*. McGraw-Hill; New York: 1969.
41. Jencks WP. Binding energy, specificity, and enzymic catalysis: the circe effect. *Adv Enzymol Relat Areas Mol Biol*. 1975; 43:219–410. [PubMed: 892]
42. Jencks WP, Mage MI. “Orbital Steering”, Entropy and Rate Accelerations. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*. 1974; 57:887–892. [PubMed: 4827839]
43. Suzuki T, Kawasaki Y, Furukohri T, Ellington WR. Evolution of phosphagen kinase. VI. Isolation, characterization and cDNA-derived amino acid sequence of lombricine kinase from the earthworm *Eisenia foetida*, and identification of a possible candidate for the guanidine substrate recognition site. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1997; 1343:152–159. [PubMed: 9434106]
44. Hagelauer U, Faust U. The catalytic activity and activation energy of creatine kinase isoenzymes. *J Clin Chem Clin Biochem*. 1982; 20:633–638. [PubMed: 7142920]

45. Rao BD, Cohn M. ^{31}P nuclear magnetic resonance of bound substrates of arginine kinase reaction: chemical shifts in binary, ternary, quaternary, and transition state analog complexes. *J Biol Chem.* 1977; 252:3344–3350. [PubMed: 16895]
46. Ma B, Kumar S, Tsai CJ, Nussinov R. Folding funnels and binding mechanisms. *Protein Eng.* 1999; 12:713–720. [PubMed: 10506280]
47. Bakan A, Bahar I. The intrinsic dynamics of enzymes plays a dominant role in determining the structural changes induced upon inhibitor binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:14349–14354. [PubMed: 19706521]

Figure 1.

Conformational changes associated with substrate binding in arginine kinase. The stereo pair shows the substrate-free (opaque) and transition state analogue (transparent) crystal structures of arginine kinase. Sub-domains 1–5 are colored blue, red, green, cyan, and orange, respectively; flexible residues which are not a member of any quasi-rigid sub-domain are grey. Axes of rotation between sub-domains are shown with arrows. Residues previously shown by NMR relaxation dispersion experiments to be undergoing μ s-ms timescale dynamics are shown in thicker trace (3).

Figure 2.

(A) 2D [^{15}N , ^1H] TROSY of arginine kinase recorded at 800 MHz at 15 °C (blue), 20 °C (cyan), 25 °C (green), and 30 °C (red). The boxed out region is expanded in the inset. (B) Collectively fit ^{15}N transverse relaxation dispersion curves for T206 (left) and N137 (right), representative of loop L8 and the NTD-CTD interface, respectively. L31, a motionless control, is also shown. Data shown were collected at ^{15}N Larmor frequency of 81.04 MHz at 15 °C (blue), 20 °C (cyan), 25 °C (green), and 30 °C (red). Errors are estimated from duplicate measurements of R_2^{eff} .

Figure 3.

Arrhenius plots of k_{cat} (circles, solid line), collective k_{ex} for loop L8 (triangles, dotted line) and the NTD-CTD interface (squares, dashed line), and k_{close} for loop L8 (diamonds, dashed-and-dotted line). Errors are obtained from least-squares fitting (see Materials and Methods). The similarity of the slopes is indicative of similar activation barriers. Data shown here are for illustrative purposes; activation barriers were determined from least-squares fitting of the Arrhenius equation.

Figure 4.

Rate-limiting conformational changes in arginine kinase. Shown is a ribbon diagram of the substrate-free crystal structure of arginine kinase, with N- and C-terminal domains labeled (39). Residues exhibiting chemical exchange, and used to determine activation barriers of intrinsic backbone dynamics, lie in two regions of the enzyme: loop L8 (I182-G209, red) and the interface between the N- and C-terminal domains, denoted by a dotted line (blue). The activation barriers for these two intrinsic motions are similar to that of enzyme turnover (34 ± 13 kJ/mol), indicating that one or both of these motions are likely involved in the rate-limiting step of catalytic turnover.

Chemical exchange parameters and activation barriers for intrinsic motions in arginine kinase.

Table 1

Cluster	Temperature (°C)	k_{ex} (s ⁻¹)	pB (%)	k_{close} (s ⁻¹)	E_a (kJ/mol)
NTD-CTD interface	15	570 ± 120	-	-	46 ± 8
	20	790 ± 170			
	25	1070 ± 230			
	30	1440 ± 310			
Loop L8	15	530 ± 80	3.5 ± 0.3	19 ± 3	34 ± 12
	20	680 ± 100	4.1 ± 0.5	28 ± 5	
	25	860 ± 120	4.9 ± 0.5	42 ± 7	
	30	1080 ± 150	5.7 ± 1.6	62 ± 19	

pB and k_{close} for the NTD-CTD interface are indeterminate due to uncertainty in chemical shift timescale. pB for loop L8 is assumed to be the value obtained by collectively fitting residues in slow to intermediate exchange (E190, D192, and T206; see Materials and Methods, Results).

Table 2

Temperature dependence of turnover number (k_{cat}) for arginine kinase obtained from steady-state kinetics.

Temp (°C)	k_{cat} (s^{-1})
15.9	66 ± 15
15.6	78 ± 25
15.8	58 ± 13
20.5	96 ± 30
20.2	94 ± 23
20.2	96 ± 22
24.6	120 ± 35
24.3	112 ± 28
24.5	114 ± 23
28.8	133 ± 30
29.1	132 ± 28
29.1	128 ± 24