Published in final edited form as:

J Magn Reson. 2011 April ; 209(2): 347–351. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2011.01.018.

Inhomogeneity-free Heteronuclear iMQC

Rosa T. Branca¹, Elizabeth R. Jenista¹, and Warren S. Warren¹

Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708

Abstract

Intermolecular dipolar interactions between proton and carbon spins can be used to indirectly detect carbon spectra with high sensitivity. In this communication, we present a modified sequence that, in addition to the high sensitivity of heteronuclear intermolecular multiple quantum coherence (iMQC) experiments, retains the line narrowing capability characteristic of homonuclear zero quantum coherences. We demonstrate that this sequence can be used to obtain high resolution ¹³C spectra in the presence of magnetic field inhomogeneities, both for thermal and hyperpolarized samples, and discuss applications to water-hyperpolarized carbon imaging.

Keywords

intermolecular multiple quantum coherence; CRAZED sequence; 13-C hyperpolarization; dynamic nuclear polarization

Introduction

Intermolecular multiple quantum coherences (iMQCs) have been used for the past 20 years to obtain high resolution spectra in the presence of magnetic field inhomogeneities (1–4), and to enhance contrast in MR imaging experiments (5,6). Coherences between all spins are excited at the same time as the standard NMR signal. In the standard NMR framework, these coherences are typically ignored, since they do not give rise to any observable signal. However, in CRAZED-like experiments (Figure 1a), where the longitudinal magnetization is modulated to produce an effective non-zero dipolar field, these coherences give rise to a signal which is typically 10–50% of the standard NMR signal and therefore cannot be ignored (7). Among all coherences, intermolecular zero-quantum coherences (iZQCs) are intrinsically insensitive to inhomogeneous broadening, but combinations of other types of iMQCs can be made to have this same insensitivity (8–10).

One intriguing potential application of iMQCs is in improving imaging with hyperpolarized reagents, specifically hyperpolarized carbon. Many different research groups have been examining potential uses of such hyperpolarized molecules both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (11–14). The DNP methodology, in particular, is very versatile, and hundreds of different molecules have been polarized. One limitation of virtually all *in vivo* work has been “incoherent imaging” (localized magnetic resonance spectroscopy after one pulse), which is dominated by T₂^{*} effects. For example, pyruvate and lactate in the TRAMP mouse prostate cancer

Corresponding Author: Rosa T. Brancatamara.branca@duke.edu, 919-660-1509, 124 Science Drive, 2213 FFSC, Box 90347, Durham, NC 27708.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

model were reported as having a ≈ 25 Hz linewidth (15). Recent work with smaller voxels shows that proton decoupling narrows lactate linewidths slightly *in vivo* (10.9 Hz versus 11.8 Hz) (16), but the pixel-to-pixel standard deviation of the lactate and pyruvate linewidths (4.9 Hz and 5.8 Hz respectively) clearly reflect a strong inhomogeneous component.

Can the intrinsic iZQC compensation of inhomogeneous broadening be used to overcome this problem? Without hyperpolarization, low γ nuclei give very weak iMQC signals. The initial growth rate of iDQCs or iZQCs is proportional to γM_o^2 , and M_o itself is proportional to γ^2 , leading to a net γ^5 dependence of the signal strength. In fact, the first carbon-carbon iMQCs have only recently been reported by groups using hyperpolarization (17). Heteronuclear iMQCs are a different story. The scaling relationship is much more favorable, and reference (9) shows that heteronuclear zero and double quantum coherences between ^1H and ^{13}C can be observed. For these experiments, the CRAZED sequence is slightly modified to take into account the difference in the gyromagnetic ratio between ^1H and ^{13}C (for example, since a ^1H - ^{13}C iZQC evolves at 3/4 the proton resonance frequency, it would be refocused by a 4:3 gradient ratio if ultimately detected as proton magnetization). Unfortunately, for this same reason, heteronuclear ^{13}C - ^1H iZQCs lead to resonance frequency lines that are only 25% narrower than those obtainable with ^1H , but three times broader than those obtainable in ^{13}C homonuclear iZQC experiments.

In order to narrow the resonance frequency lines in the heteronuclear iZQC experiment without incurring in sensitivity loss, we apply a modified version of the CRAZED sequence that accommodates *ad hoc* both double and zero quantum evolutions. This sequence, shown in figure 1.b, resembles the heteronuclear iDQC (sequence in figure 1.a with $G_2/G_1=5/4$), with the exception of the addition of two selective inversion pulses during the iDQC evolution. These pulses are used to invert the proton magnetization in order to transform iDQC (I+S+) coherences into iZQC coherences (I-S+). With this transformation, this sequence can be made inhomogeneity free for the X- ^1H correlations if the iDQC evolution is carefully compensated by the iZQC evolution. This compensation can be achieved by keeping the t''' delay constant ($\Delta t''' = 0$) and stepping the t' and t'' delays so that:

$$(\gamma_X + \gamma_H)\Delta t'_1 + (\gamma_X - \gamma_H)\Delta t''_1 = 0,$$

which means by using a

$$\Delta t''_1 = \frac{(\gamma_X + \gamma_H)}{(\gamma_H - \gamma_X)} \Delta t'_1, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{with } \frac{1}{S W_{F1}} = \Delta t_1 = \Delta t'_1 + \Delta t''_1.$$

When this is accomplished, the apparent resonance frequency for the X- ^1H correlation will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\Omega_X + \Omega_H)\Delta t'_1 + (\Omega_X - \Omega_H)\Delta t''_1}{\Delta t' + \Delta t''_1} &= \frac{(\Omega_X + \Omega_H)\Delta t'_1 + (\Omega_X - \Omega_H)\frac{(\gamma_H + \gamma_X)}{(\gamma_H - \gamma_X)}\Delta t'_1}{\Delta t' + \frac{(\gamma_X + \gamma_H)}{(\gamma_H - \gamma_X)}\Delta t'_1} \\ &= \frac{(\Omega_X + \Omega_H)(\gamma_H - \gamma_X)\Delta t'_1 + (\Omega_X - \Omega_H)(\gamma_X + \gamma_H)\Delta t'_1}{(\gamma_H - \gamma_X)\Delta t' + (\gamma_X + \gamma_H)\Delta t'_1} = \Omega_X - \frac{\gamma_X}{\gamma_H}\Omega_H \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

while the echo will be refocused at a time

$$\Delta = \frac{\gamma_X + \gamma_H}{\gamma_H}(t'_1 + t''_1) + \frac{\gamma_X - \gamma_H}{\gamma_H}t''_1 \quad (3)$$

after the mixing pulse.

If the X nucleus is a ^{13}C nucleus, since the frequency of the ^{13}C - ^1H iDQC is $-5/3$ higher than that of the ^{13}C - ^1H iZQC, the t'_1 and t''_1 delays need to be stepped so that

$$\Delta t''_1 = \frac{5}{3}\Delta t'_1. \quad (4)$$

The apparent evolution frequency will then be:

$$\frac{(\Omega_C + \Omega_H)3\Delta t'_1 + (\Omega_C - \Omega_H)5\Delta t'_1}{3\Delta t' + 5\Delta t'_1} = \Omega_C - \frac{\Omega_H}{4} \quad (5)$$

and the signal will be refocused at a time

$$\Delta = \frac{5}{4}(t'_1 + t''_1) - \frac{3}{4}t''_1 \quad (6)$$

after the mixing pulse.

This means that, in the presence of one proton species, the indirectly detected spectrum will be equivalent to the ^{13}C spectrum, shifted by $-1/4$ of the proton resonance frequency offset Ω_H . In other words, the carbon resonance frequency offset, as well as its inhomogeneous resonance frequency spreading is renormalized by the proton resonance frequency offset rescaled by the gyromagnetic ratio between the carbon and proton nuclei.

Results and Discussion

For all experiments we use a 7T small animal magnetic resonance tomograph with a 210 mm inner bore diameter, interfaced to a Bruker Biospec console (Bruker BioSpin MRI GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany). The system is equipped with a gradient coil system (maximum gradient strength 42Gauss/cm) and a dual $^1\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}$ transmitter-receive coil with a 72mm inner diameter.

A 2D spectrum is then acquired from a sample of 1M ^{13}C enriched urea dissolved in water. This spectrum is acquired with the sequence in figure 1.b, with a number of averages

NA=80, a repetition time (TR) of 15s and a spectral bandwidth along the directly detected dimension F2 of $SW_{F2}=1602\text{Hz}$. The first excitation pulse and the mixing pulse is set on both ^{13}C and ^1H channels to a 90° Hermite pulses, with a duration of $900\mu\text{s}$, while a 1.9ms Hermite pulse is used to refocus both ^{13}C and ^1H spins during the t_2 delay. A 3.5ms hyperbolic secant pulse is used only on the ^1H channel to invert ^1H spins during the t_1 delay. The t'_1 , t''_1 , t_1''' and ξ delays are set to 10.25, 17, 1.15 and 60 ms, respectively. The t'_1 and t''_1 delays are then incremented 64 times (NR=64) such that $\Delta t'_1=937.5\mu\text{s}$, $\Delta t''_1=1562.5\mu\text{s}$, according to equation (4), leading to an indirect spectral bandwidth of

$SW_{F1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t_1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t'_1 + \Delta t''_1} = \frac{1}{2500\mu\text{s}} = 400\text{Hz}$. The water resonance frequency offset is then set at +400Hz, while the carbon offset is set at +200Hz.

We also acquire a ^{13}C - ^1H iZQC spectrum on the same sample with the sequence in figure 1.a, with $G_2/G_1 = -3/4$, $t_1=28.4\text{ms}$ and $\Delta t_1 = 2500\mu\text{s}$. All other parameters are the same as for the previous experiment except for the resonance frequency offset, which in this case is set at 400Hz for the proton and at 300Hz for the carbon. In both experiments, the magnetic field is intentionally de-shimmed to produce a linewidth of about 200Hz on the ^1H spectrum and 50Hz on the ^{13}C spectrum (Figure 2.c).

The spectrum acquired with the modified sequence is shown in Figure 2.a, while the spectrum acquired with the ^{13}C - ^1H iZQC sequence is shown in Figure 2.d. As expected,

$$(F1, F2) = \left(\Omega_c - \frac{\Omega_H}{4}, \Omega_H \right) = (100\text{Hz}, 400\text{Hz})$$

$\Omega_c = 200\text{Hz}$
 $\Omega_c = 400\text{Hz}$

the ^{13}C - ^1H correlation appears at as expected from equation (2), while for the iZQC sequence the peak appears at

$$(F1, F2) = (\Omega_c - \Omega_H, \Omega_H) = (-100\text{Hz}, 400\text{Hz})$$

$\Omega_c = 300\text{Hz}$
 $\Omega_H = 400\text{Hz}$

. A clear line narrowing can be observed between the indirectly detected spectrum of the modified sequence (Figure 2.b) and the standard 1D ^{13}C spectrum (Figure 2.f) and the ^{13}C - ^1H CRAZED iZQC spectrum (Figure 2.e). While the ^{13}C - ^1H iZQC resonance frequency line is much broader than the standard 1D ^{13}C resonance frequency line ($\sim 75\text{Hz}$), the ^{13}C - ^1H line for the modified sequence appears much narrower than both ($\sim 20\text{Hz}$). In this case the spreading of the ^{13}C resonance frequency is somewhat reduced by subtracting a “renormalized” spreading of the nearby proton spins.

Of course, when high resolution two-dimensional spectroscopy is possible, there are other methods to use iMQCs to effectively remove the inhomogeneous broadening, such as the IDEAL sequence (9). While 2-D spectroscopy with hyperpolarization has been demonstrated (18), the technique generally relies on uniform resonance frequencies within the sample region, and is thus challenging to extend to imaging.

Aside from 2-D spectroscopy, there is real value in cleaning up the homogeneity of points of the hyperpolarized compounds FID where large signals are expected from the scalar coupling, thereby differentiating nominally similar species. In this spirit, we use the same sequence to collect the echo from a 10mM hyperpolarized pyruvate sample. For this experiment, the sample is hyperpolarized using the Hypersense Hyperpolarizer from Oxford Instruments. The sample, dissolved in 4.5mL of DI water with 25mM EDTA, is polarized for 4 hours at a microwave frequency of 94.105 GHz. Just after polarization, the sample is inserted in a 7T small animal imager with a Bruker console. In this case, the delays are set at $t'=13\text{ms}$, $t''=20\text{ms}$, and $t'''=3\text{ms}$, while the time delay ξ between the mixing pulse and the

last 180 refocusing pulse is kept constant at 75ms. The same experiment is run twice, with and without the selective refocusing pulse on the proton spins (Figure 3. a–b). The different time at which these two signals refocused confirms the different coherence pathways traveled by the spins. Unlike in the iDQC CRAZED sequence, where the signal evolves at 5/4 of the ^1H resonance frequency, in the modified sequence the signal evolves during t'' at $-3/4$ of the ^1H resonance frequency. This leads to a different refocusing time, which is given by $(5/4 - (-3/4) \cdot t'' = 40\text{ms}$, as observed in our case (Figure 3. c–d). The same experiments are run again 5 minutes after dissolution, after the spin system has reached thermal equilibrium (Figure 3. e–f). In this case, almost no signal is observed for both sequences, as expected. This is because the loss of the ^{13}C polarization, for this very low concentration solution, leads to a longer dipolar demagnetization time on the order of several seconds (17), which inherently diminishes the observable signal.

Conclusions

We have introduced a modified version of the heteronuclear CRAZED sequence that can be used to indirectly detect inhomogeneity-free spectra from low γ nuclei. We have demonstrated this technique in both thermally polarized samples and in hyperpolarized reagents. Although in the case of hyperpolarized reagents, the sequence needs to be modified to allow the acquisition of an entire 2D spectrum in a single shot, as in (19), our results suggest applications to improving specificity in the detection of hyperpolarized reagents at long echo times, in intrinsically inhomogeneous environments, such as those encountered in standard proton imaging.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH grant EB02122.

References

1. Lin Y, Chen Z, Cai C, Chen Z. High-resolution NMR spectra under inhomogeneous fields via intermolecular double-quantum coherences. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*. 2008; 70(5):1025–1028.
2. Vathyam S, Lee S, Warren WS. Homogeneous NMR Spectra in Inhomogeneous Fields. *Science*. 1996; 272(5258):92–96. [PubMed: 8600541]
3. Lin YY, Ahn S, Murali N, Brey W, Bowers CR, Warren WS. High-Resolution, >1GHz NMR in Unstable Magnetic Fields. *Physical Review Letters*. 2000; 85(17):3732. [PubMed: 11030993]
4. Balla D, Faber C. In vivo intermolecular zero-quantum coherence MR spectroscopy in the rat spinal cord at 17.6 T: a feasibility study. *Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine*. 2007; 20(4):183–191.
5. Warren WS, Ahn S, Mescher M, Garwood M, Ugurbil K, Richter W, Rizi RR, Hopkins J, Leigh JS. MR Imaging Contrast Enhancement Based on Intermolecular Zero Quantum Coherences. *Science*. 1998; 281(5374):247–251. [PubMed: 9657717]
6. Branca RT, Chen YM, Mouraviev V, Galiana G, Jenista ER, Kumar C, Leuschner C, Warren SW. iDQC anisotropy map imaging for tumor tissue characterization in vivo. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2009; 61(4):937–943. [PubMed: 19215050]
7. Warren WS, Richter W, Amy Hamilton A, Ii BTF. Generation of Impossible Cross-Peaks between Bulk Water and Biomolecules in Solution NMR. *Science*. 1993; 262(5142):2005–2009. [PubMed: 8266096]
8. Galiana G, Branca RT, Jenista ER, Warren WS. Accurate Temperature Imaging Based on Intermolecular Coherences in Magnetic Resonance. *Science*. 2008; 322(5900):421–424. [PubMed: 18927389]

9. Chen Z, Chen Z, Zhong J. High-Resolution NMR Spectra in Inhomogeneous Fields via IDEAL (Intermolecular Dipolar-Interaction Enhanced All Lines) Method. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2003; 126(2):446–447. [PubMed: 14719924]
10. Zhong C, Shuhui C, Zhiwei C, Jianhui Z. Fast acquisition of high-resolution NMR spectra in inhomogeneous fields via intermolecular double-quantum coherences. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 2009; 130(8):084504. [PubMed: 19256612]
11. Kurhanewicz J, Bok R, Nelson SJ, Vigneron DB. Current and Potential Applications of Clinical ^{13}C MR Spectroscopy. *J Nucl Med*. 2008; 49(3):341–344. [PubMed: 18322118]
12. Golman K, Zandt Rit, Lerche M, Pehrson R, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH. Metabolic Imaging by Hyperpolarized ^{13}C Magnetic Resonance Imaging for In vivo Tumor Diagnosis. *Cancer Research*. 2006; 66(22):10855–10860. [PubMed: 17108122]
13. Merritt ME, Harrison C, Storey C, Jeffrey FM, Sherry AD, Malloy CR. Hyperpolarized ^{13}C allows a direct measure of flux through a single enzyme-catalyzed step by NMR. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2007; 104(50):19773–19777.
14. Day IJ, Mitchell JC, Snowden MJ, Davis AL. Co-acquisition of hyperpolarised ^{13}C and ^{15}N NMR spectra. *Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry*. 2007; 45(12):1018–1021. [PubMed: 18044802]
15. Chen AP, Albers MJ, Cunningham CH, Kohler SJ, Yen Y-F, Hurd RE, Tropp J, Bok R, Pauly JM, Nelson SJ, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB. Hyperpolarized C-13 spectroscopic imaging of the TRAMP mouse at 3T—Initial experience. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2007; 58(6):1099–1106. [PubMed: 17969006]
16. Chen AP, Tropp J, Hurd RE, Crieckinge MV, Carvajal LG, Xu D, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB. In vivo hyperpolarized ^{13}C MR spectroscopic imaging with ^1H decoupling. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*. 2009; 197(1):100–106. [PubMed: 19112035]
17. Jenista ER, Branca RT, Warren WS. Hyperpolarized carbon-carbon intermolecular multiple quantum coherences. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*. 2009; 196(1):74–77. [PubMed: 18926750]
18. Giraudeau P, Shrot Y, Frydman L. Multiple Ultrafast, Broadband 2D NMR Spectra of Hyperpolarized Natural Products. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2009; 131(39):13902–13903. [PubMed: 19743849]
19. Galiana G, Branca RT, Warren. Ultrafast Intermolecular Zero Quantum Spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2005; 127(50):17574–17575. [PubMed: 16351065]

Figure 1.

(a) CRAZED sequence used to detect the signal from intermolecular multiple quantum coherences. The ratio of the area between the second and the first pulsed field gradient designates the selected coherence. For ^{13}C - ^1H iDQCs, the ratio G_2/G_1 must be set to $\pm 5/4$, while for ^{13}C - ^1H iZQCs, it needs to be set to $\pm 3/4$.

(b) Modified CRAZED sequence for the detection of inhomogeneity-free heteronuclear iMQCs. The sequence allows evolution of both iDQC and iZQC coherences during the first evolution time $t_1=t'+t''+t'''$. During t_1 , the iDQCs are transformed into iZQCs by a selective inversion of the ^1H spins. A second selective inversion pulse on the ^1H spins transforms iZQCs back into iDQCs. A mixing pulse on both the ^{13}C and ^1H spins transform the iDQCs into iSQC, which is then refocused as ^1H signal by the long-range dipolar field created by the modulated ^{13}C longitudinal magnetization.

Figure 2.

Experimental demonstration of inhomogeneity-free heteronuclear ^{13}C - ^1H iMQCs on the urea deshimmmed sample. (a) Spectrum acquired with the sequence in figure 1.b (b) Projection along the indirectly detected dimension F1. (c) Standard 1D ^{13}C and ^1H spectrum of the urea de-shimmmed sample. (d) 2D ^{13}C - ^1H iZQC spectrum acquired with the pulse sequence in figure 1.a with $G_2/G_1 = -3/4$. (e) Projection of (d) along the indirectly detected dimension F1. (f) Comparison between the standard 1D ^{13}C spectrum and the inhomogeneity-free ^{13}C - ^1H iMQC spectrum.

Figure 3.

Comparison between the signal acquired with the ^{13}C - ^1H iDQC sequence and the signal acquired with the modified sequence for the pyruvate sample under DNP-enhanced and normal thermal polarization conditions. The ^{13}C - ^1H iDQC sequence (a) and the modified sequence (b) have the same iMQC evolution time $t_1=t'+t''+t'''$ and the same delay ξ between the mixing pulse and the 180 refocusing pulse. Unlike in (a), the sequence in (b) presents two extra inversion pulses on the ^1H that are used to transform iDQCs into iZQCs and vice-versa. (c) Signal acquired with the sequence in figure (a) which refocuses at

$\xi - \Delta = \xi - \frac{5}{4}(t' + t'' + t''') = 30\text{ms}$ after the last refocusing pulse. (d) Signal acquired with the

sequence in figure (b) which refocuses at $\xi - \Delta = \xi - \left(\frac{5}{4}(t' + t''') - \frac{3}{4}t'' \right) = 70\text{ms}$ after the last refocusing pulse. A difference in the refocusing time of 40ms is noticeable. (e) and (f) are analogous to (c) and (d), but recorded after the sample's magnetization has returned to equilibrium.