Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 March 4; 13(5): 980–983. doi:10.1021/ol103022m.

Pyrrole-based Scaffolds For Turn Mimics

Eunhwa Ko and Kevin Burgess*

Texas A & M University, Chemistry Department, P.O. Box 30012, College Station, Texas 77842, USA

Abstract

Two amino acid derived synthons were combined to give homopropargylic amines **2**. Platinum dichloride was used to cyclize these intermediates into pyrroles **3** which collapsed to the target secondary structure mimics **1** on treatment with base. Side chains of these compounds overlay with an idealized type I β -turn *and* with an inverse γ -turn.

Placement of a carbonyl group at the 2- or 5-position of a pyrrole arranges the $\underline{\text{CO}}$ and heterocyclic $\underline{\text{N}}$ in a 1,3-disposition, just like the main-chain $\underline{\text{CO}}$ and $\underline{\text{N}}$ of amino acids. Several groups have seen this as an opportunity to incorporate pyrrole 2-carboxylic acids into peptides as amino acid surrogates.¹ Simultaneously, others have used amino acid starting materials for syntheses of pyrroles with protein/peptide-like side chains.² However, to the best of our knowledge, none of these strategies have used *two* amino acids to give pyrrole derivatives with *two* amino acid derived side chains.

Our group is interested in molecules that resemble secondary structures by presenting pertinent amino acid side chains, *ie* minimalist secondary structure mimics.³ We saw the opportunity to build on the insights of others outlined above, to produce analogs of β -turns; specifically compounds **1**, that project *two* amino acid side chains in orientations corresponding to $i + 1$ and $i + 2$ residues of a type I β -turn. Moreover, it was anticipated that syntheses of these molecules could be facilitated by numerous new methods that have emerged recently for intramolecular amination of alkynes to produce *N*-heterocycles via the disconnections shown (Figure 1).⁴

Syntheses of the target compounds **1** began with Weinreb amides⁵ of Boc-protected amino acids (Scheme 1).⁶ These were reduced to the corresponding aldehydes, which, without isolation, were immediately reacted with dianionic, Boc-protected alkynes derived from amino acids.⁷ Several exploratory sets of conditions were employed to effect this transformation, and an alternative route involving formation of propargyl ketones was also investigated (see supporting). The conditions outlined in Scheme 1 were the most effective overall. This is a difficult reaction, because it involves combination of an anionic electrophile with a nucleophile dianion.

Sarpong's platinum (2+) mediated cyclizations of propargylic (2-pyridyl)alcohols⁸ were used as an initial paradigm for formation of pyrroles in this work (Scheme 2a). Scheme 2b reiterates the mechanistic hypothesis outlined by Sarpong *et al* for their transformation. It

burgess@tamu.edu.

Supporting Information Available Experimental procedures and characterization data for the new compounds reported. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

soon became evident that elimination of the NHBoc group on the newly formed pyrrole side chain would be problematic. Consequently, a series of microwave-mediated trial reactions were run to optimize the conversion and **3**:**4** product ratio. Attempts to use other catalysts did not give better results. Similarly, acidic or basic additives, or phosphine ligands, gave no benefits (see supporting). However, changing the solvent from toluene to 1,4-dioxane markedly increased the conversion, but gave approximately the same product selectivity (Table 1).

Scheme 2c shows the result of subjecting the isolated, purified product **3** to the same reaction conditions used to make it in Table 1 entry 2. Alkenyl pyrrole **4a** was formed in this experiment, but the **3a**:**4a** product ratio favored **3a** much more than in the synthesis of this material. This observation indicates some, but not all, of the elimination product **4** is derived from **3** via the process outlined in Figure 2. This competing mechanism could involve elimination of the NHBoc group to give an eneyne before pyrrole formation.

Table 2 shows data for a series of substrates **3** with the various amino acid side chains used. The methodology was therefore validated using Ile, Leu, Val, Tyr(Bn), Met, Thr(Bn). An adjustment had to be made for the glycine-containing substrate **2f**, because very little product was formed in this situation. Product was formed when the *bis*-Boc protected substrate **2f'** was used instead, but still the product yield was low.

Removal of the pyrrole-*N* protecting group under *basic* conditions led to simultaneous cyclization to the 1*H*-pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]imidazol-3(2*H*)-one scaffolds **1** as in Scheme 3a. Removal of *both* Boc-protecting groups without cyclization was also of interest, because the diamines corresponding to **3** can be regarded as β -turn mimics, though less rigid than the target compounds **1**. It proved difficult to do this; in the event, we only succeeded in removing the Boc-protection from the side chain in **3a** under the buffered conditions indicated.⁹ In fact, even this reaction was hard to control and reproduce.

1*H*-Pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]imidazol-3(2*H*)-ones of different kinds have been associated with various types of characteristics in medicinal chemistry. These include molluscicidal,¹⁰ antidiabetic,¹¹ and 5HT₃ antagonist¹² activities. This indicates the scaffold itself is amenable to interactions in biological systems.

As turn mimics, compounds **1** are compact and overlay well with the class of β -turns most frequently encountered in protein and peptide conformations, *ie* type I.¹³ In our group, we refer to compounds like this, ones that achieve mimicry using *only* appropriately projected side-chains as *minimalist mimics*.^{3a} Though they did not use this term, Hamilton¹⁴ and Hirschmann/Smith,¹⁵ for instance, employed a similar concept before us. However, we have recently noted^{3b} that scaffolds described as *minimalist* tend to be superimposable on other elements of secondary structure, *ie* they tend to be promiscuous. In fact, this is true of compounds **1**. Figure 3a illustrates how scaffold **1** can be overlaid with the *i* + 1 and *i* + 2 side chains of an ideal type I β -turn *and* with *i* + 1 and *i* + 2 of an inverse γ -turn.

Peptidomimetic scaffolds that overlap more than one secondary structure may appear to be vulnerable to non-selective binding. This is not so, however, because proteins are targeted by proteins/peptides by choosing the corresponding side chains.¹⁶ Thus duality, or even multiplicity, of fit *increases* the value of scaffolds for secondary structure mimics because they can be applied more widely, particularly in libraries designed for high throughput screening against many diverse targets.^{3b} In our opinion, this is a concept that should become more widely applied in peptidomimetic design.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support for this project was provided by the National Institutes of Health (MH070040, GM076261), and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (A-1121). TAMU/LBMS-Applications Laboratory provided mass spectrometric support. The NMR instrumentation at Texas A&M University was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (DBI-9970232) and the Texas A&M University System.

References

1. (a) Chakraborty TK, Krishna Mohan B, Kiran Kumar S, Kunwar AC. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002; 2589 (b) Alongi M, Minetto G, Taddei M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005:7069. (c) Bonauer C, Koenig B. *Synthesis.* 2005:2367. (d) Minchev I, Vladimirova S, Vezekov L, Bijev A, Moussis V, Nikolaeva-Glomb L, Tsikaris V, Czeuz M, Galabov A. *Protein Pept. Lett.* 2007:917. [PubMed: 18045234]
2. (a) Yavari I, Kowsari E. *Synlett.* 2008:897. (b) Zhang Z, Zhang J, Tan J, Wang Z. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008:5180. [PubMed: 18512990] (c) Gouault N, Le Roch M, Cornee C, David M, Uriac P. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009:5614. [PubMed: 19514745] (d) Alizadeh A, Hosseinpour R, Rostamnia S. *Synthesis.* 2008:2462.
3. (a) Angell Y, Chen D, Brahimi F, Saragovi HU, Burgess K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008:556. [PubMed: 18088119] (b) Ko E, Ling J, Perez LM, Lu G, Schaefer A, Burgess K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011 ASAP.
4. (a) Alonso F, Beletskaya IP, Yus M. *Chem. Rev.* 2004:3079. [PubMed: 15186189] (b) Gorin DJ, Davis NR, Toste FD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005:11260. [PubMed: 16089452] (c) Seregin IV, Gevorgyan V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006:12050. [PubMed: 16967938] (d) Aponick A, Li C-Y, Malinge J, Marques EF. *Org. Lett.* 2009:4624. [PubMed: 19772313] (e) Egi M, Azechi K, Akai S. *Org. Lett.* 2009:5002. [PubMed: 19780532]
5. Balasubramaniam S, Aidhen IS. *Synthesis.* 2008:3707.
6. Palmer JT, Rasnick D, Klaus JL, Bromme D. *J. Med. Chem.* 1995:3193. [PubMed: 7650671]
7. Ghosh AK, Xi K, Ratia K, Santarsiero BD, Fu W, Harcourt BH, Rota PA, Baker SC, Johnson ME, Mesecar AD. *J. Med. Chem.* 2005:6767. [PubMed: 16250632]
8. Smith CR, Bunnelle EM, Rhodes AJ, Sarpong R. *Org. Lett.* 2007:1169. [PubMed: 17309277]
9. Sakaitani M, Ohfuné Y. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990:870.
10. Mishriky N, Asaad FM, Ibrahim YA, Girgis AS. *Pharmazie.* 1998:607.
11. Yamawaki I, Matsushita Y, Asaka N, Ohmori K, Nomura N, Ogawa K. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 1993:481.
12. Varasi, M.; Heidempergher, F.; Caccia, C.; Salvati, P. Imidazolylalkyl derivatives of imidazo[1,5-a]indol-3-one and their use as therapeutic CNS agents. US 5874457. 1995 May 2. 1995
13. Rose, GD.; Gierasch, LM.; Smith, JA. Turns in Peptides and Proteins. Vol. 37. Academic Press, Inc.; 1985. p. 1
14. (a) Kim IC, Hamilton AD. *Org. Lett.* 2006:1751. [PubMed: 16623542] (b) Yin H, Lee G, Sedey KA, Kutzki O, Park HS, Orner BP, Ernst JT, Wang H-G, Sebt SM, Hamilton AD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005:10191. [PubMed: 16028929] (c) Davis JM, Truong A, Hamilton AD. *Org. Lett.* 2005:5405. [PubMed: 16288517] (d) Ernst JT, Becerril J, Park H, Yin H, Hamilton AD. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003:535. (e) Kutzki O, Park HS, Ernst JT, Orner BP, Yin H, Hamilton AD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002:11838. [PubMed: 12358513] (f) Pecuh MW, Hamilton AD. *Chem. Rev.* 2000:2479. [PubMed: 11749292] (g) Wilson AJ, Hong J, Fletcher S, Hamilton AD. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2007:276. [PubMed: 17205171] (h) Davis JM, Tsou LK, Hamilton AD. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007:326. [PubMed: 17264933] (i) Becerril J, Hamilton AD. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007:4471. (j) Fletcher S, Hamilton AD. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2007:922. [PubMed: 17508923] (k) Yin H, Lee G-I, Hamilton AD. *Drug Discovery Res.* 2007:281. (l) Yin, H.; Hamilton, AD. Protein secondary structure mimetics as modulators of protein-protein and protein-ligand interactions. In: Schreiber, SL.; Kapoor, TM.; Wess, G., editors. *Chemical Biology: From Small Molecules to*

System Biology and Drug Design. Vol. Vol. 1. Wiley-VCH; 2007. p. 250 (m) Becerril J, Rodriguez JM, Saraogi I, Hamilton AD. Foldamers. 2007:195. (n) Rodriguez JM, Hamilton AD. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007:8614. (o) Fletcher S, Hamilton AD. J. Royal. Soc., Interface. 2006:215.

15. (a) Hirschmann R, Nicolaou KC, Pietranico S, Salvino J, Leahy EM, Sprengeler PA, Furst G, Smith AB III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992:9217. (b) Hirschmann R, Nicolaou KC, Pietranico S, Leahy EM, Salvino J, Arison B, Cichy MA, Spoor PG, Shakespeare WC, Sprengeler PA, Hamley P, Smith AB III, Reisine T, Raynor K, Maechler L, Donaldson C, Vale W, Freidinger RM, Cascieri MR, Strader CD. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993:12550. (c) Hirschmann R, Sprengeler PA, Kawasaki T, Leahy JW, Shakespeare WC, Amos B, Smith I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992; 9699 (d) Mowery BP, Prasad V, Kenesky CS, Angeles AR, Taylor LL, Feng J-J, Chen W-L, Lin A, Cheng F-C, Smith AB III. Org. Lett. 2006:4397. [PubMed: 16986909]
16. (a) Conte LL, Chothia C, Janin J. J. Mol. Biol. 1999:2177. [PubMed: 9925793] (b) Keskin O, Ma B, Nussinov R. J. Mol. Biol. 2005:1281. [PubMed: 15644221] (c) Moreira IS, Fernandes PA, Ramos MJ. Proteins Struct., Funct., Bioinf. 2007:803.

Figure 1.
Conceptual basis of 1*H*-pyrrolo[1,2-*c*]imidazol-3(2*H*)-ones as β -turn mimics.

Figure 2.

A possible mechanism for elimination of the NHBoc group.

a

overlay with a type I β -turn

b

overlay with an inverse γ -turn

Figure 3.

Overlay with the $i+1$ and $i+2$ side chains of an idela type I β -turn (a) and with the $i+1$ and $i+2$ side chains of an inverse γ -turn (b).

Scheme 1.
Syntheses of the target compounds **1**.

Scheme 2.
Hydroamination/cyclization.

Scheme 3.
Cyclization to the β -turn mimics.

Table 1

Pt(II)-catalyzed Pyrrole Formation through Hydroamination (solvent effect)

entry	solvent	3a:4a	conversion yield (%)
1	benzene	4.0:1.0	85
2	1,4-dioxane	4.3:1.0	80
3	THF	2.4:1.0	61
4	1,2-dichloroethane	2.1:1.0	50
5	toluene	4.8:1.0	46
6	EtOH	1.1:1.0	21

Table 2

Pt(II)-catalyzed Pyrrole Formation through Hydroamination

2	R ¹	R ²	3 (%)	4 (%)
a			82	12
b			72	3
c			21	10
d			61	17
e			30	trace
f ^a		H	13	trace

^aSubstrate for **2f'** was used for this reaction.