Published in final edited form as:

Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz). 2010 December ; 58(6): 427–433. doi:10.1007/s00005-010-0101-2.

The Center of Accessibility: D β Control of V(D)J Recombination

Michael L. Sikes,

Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University, 100 Derieux Place, Campus Box 7615, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Ruth E. McMillan, and

Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University, 100 Derieux Place, Campus Box 7615, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Justin M. Bradshaw

Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University, 100 Derieux Place, Campus Box 7615, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Michael L. Sikes: mlsikes@ncsu.edu

Abstract

Developmental patterning of antigen receptor gene assembly in lymphocyte precursors correlates with decondensation of the chromatin surrounding individual gene segments. Ongoing V(D)J recombination is associated with hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4 and the expression of sterile germline transcripts across the region of recombinational accessibility. Likewise, histone acetyl-transferase and SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes each appear to be required for recombination, and the PHD-finger of RAG-2 preferentially associates with recombination signal sequence (RSS) chromatin that contains H3 trimethylated on lysine 4. However, the regulatory mechanisms that direct chromatin alteration and rearrangement have proven elusive, due in large part to the interdependency of individual stages in gene activation, our limited understanding of functional significance of changes to the histone code, and the difficulty of modeling recombinational accessibility in existing experimental systems. Examining *Tcrb* assembly in developing thymocytes, we review the central roles of RSS elements and germline promoters as foci for epigenetic reorganization of recombinationally accessible gene segments in light of recent findings and persistent questions.

Keywords

T cell receptor; *Tcrb*; Transcription; V(D)J recombination; Thymocytes; Double negative

Introduction

The genes that encode our antigen receptors undergo an elaborate and carefully orchestrated series of somatic rearrangements during lymphocyte development (Arstila et al. 1999; Rajewsky 1996). This process of antigen receptor gene assembly, dubbed V(D)J recombination after the Variable, Diversity and Joining gene segments that are recombined, provides for the enormous combinatorial and junctional diversity of antigen binding domains. Seven antigen receptor genes are each recombined by a conserved enzymatic complex centered around the lymphocyte-specific RAG1/2 proteins (Murre 2009; Oettinger 1990), which in turn recognize a conserved recombination signal sequence (RSS) that flanks

each V, D, and J gene segment. Yet proper lymphocyte development and function requires that V(D)J recombination follow a precise program of ordered gene assembly, imposed in part by genetic variations in the functionality of individual RSS elements and in part by epigenetic regulation of the transcriptional control elements that populate each antigen receptor gene locus. Here, we review recent findings regarding the regulatory architecture surrounding the T cell receptor β locus (*Tcrb*) D and J gene segments (Fig. 1), focusing on RSS and promoter elements and their role in controlling the order of V(D)J recombination.

Assembly of a functional antigen receptor gene requires that one of a pool of V segments be ligated to one of several Js, or in loci that contain D elements, one V to one D to one J. During rearrangement, RSSs that flank the targeted gene segments are bound by complexes of RAG1 and RAG2 (Oettinger 1990; Schatz et al. 1989), which introduce double-stranded DNA breaks precisely at the boundaries between the RSSs and coding sequences (Gellert 2002). Cleavage products are then ligated by ubiquitous DNA repair enzymes to form extrachromosomal signal joints and chromosomal coding joints (Gellert 2002).

B and T cells each develop from a common lymphoid progenitor (Murre 2009). T cell development begins when early thymocyte progenitors (ETPs) emigrate from the bone marrow to the subcapsular region of the thymus cortex. Progression of early thymocytes from ETP through pro-T or CD4⁻CD8⁻ double negative (DN) development is coincident with rearrangement of *Tcrb*, *Tcrg* and *Tcrd* (Krangel 2009). Assembly of *Tcrb* and *Tcrd* is a two-step process, involving initial D-to-J assembly, and then V recombination exclusively to DJ joints. Lacking D elements, *Tcrg* and T cell receptor α locus (*Tcra*) are assembled in one V-to-J joint. If cells assemble functional *Tcrg* and *Tcrd* joints before completing *Tcrb* assembly, they commit to the $\gamma\delta$ lineage (von Boehmer 2004). Conversely, expression of a rearranged *Tcrb* gene drives development to the pre-T or CD4⁺CD8⁺ double positive (DP) stage where *Tcra* rearrangement occurs. Importantly, *Tcra* recombination leads to deletion of the *Tcrd* locus embedded between the *Va* and *Ja* gene segments, regulating the ratio of $\alpha\beta$ to $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Krangel 2009).

Analogous rearrangement and development patterns are observed for the immunoglobulin (*Ig*) heavy and then light chain loci during B cell development (Alt et al. 1984). As with *Tcrb* in DN thymocytes, *IgH* genes are assembled in bone marrow CD117⁺CD25⁻ pro-B cells via a two-step process in which D-to-J recombination is followed by V-to-DJ recombination. Assembly of an in-frame V(D)J_H joint leads to a burst of proliferation and developmental progression to CD117⁻CD25⁺ pre-B cells where the *Igk* and *Igl* light chain genes are assembled.

RSS Regulation of Recombination

Early experiments demonstrated that the order of V(D)J recombination is not imposed at the level of recombinase expression. While ectopic expression of the RAG proteins in murine fibroblasts directed efficient recombination of transiently transfected substrates, endogenous antigen receptor genes remained refractory to recombinase until transcriptionally activated (Goebel et al. 2001; Schatz and Baltimore 1988). Similarly, chromatinizing double-stranded RSS templates was sufficient to impair in vitro RAG-mediated cleavage (Golding et al. 1999), suggesting that the developmental and cell type specificity of V(D)J recombination is regulated at the level of recombinase accessibility to individual RSS substrates. Though first proposed by Alt and colleagues in 1986 (Alt et al. 1986; Golding et al. 1999), a molecular definition for the so-called recombinational accessibility hypothesis has proved elusive.

Initially viewed as static docking sites for the RAG proteins, RSS elements have received considerable attention as recombinational accessibility control elements (ACEs). All

functional RSS elements share relatively conserved sequences including A/T-rich nonamer and palindromic heptamer elements, separated by a nonconserved spacer sequence of either 12 or 23 bp. In support of an accessibility model in which RAG binding requires chromatin “opening” and histone displacement, consensus RSS nonamers have been shown to contain elements that effectively position nucleosomes across the RAG binding sites, thereby limiting recombinase access until nucleosome removal (Baumann et al. 2003). In contrast, in vivo analyses of D and J gene segments in *Tcra* and *Tcrb* found no consistent nucleosomal positioning across individual RSSs (Kondilis-Mangum et al. 2010), a distinction the authors attribute to the dominant nucleosomal positioning effect of neighboring transcription control elements (discussed below). Consequently, though RSS nonamers may possess intrinsic nucleosome positioning activity, they are unlikely play more than a minimal role in establishing gene segment chromatin organization.

Efficient recombination only occurs under physiological conditions between RSSs of differing spacer size. This 12–23 restriction ensures D segment inclusion in *IgH* rearrangements, where V and J segments are each flanked by 23-RSSs, and D is flanked 5' and 3' by 12-RSSs. In contrast, *Tcrb* is organized such that 12-RSSs are found 5' of each D and *Jβ* segment, and 23-RSSs are positioned 3' of the V and *Dβ* segments. Despite the 12–23 compatibility of V and *Jβ* RSSs, no V-to-*Jβ* rearrangements are observed on wild-type *Tcrb*, nor do *Vβ* segments rearrange with germline *Dβ*s. Studies aimed at dissecting this restricted assembly regulation, dubbed “beyond 12–23” (B12–23), suggest a critical role for the *Dβ* RSSs and their flanking sequences (Tillman et al. 2004). For example, V-to-*Jβ* assembly can be induced when either RSS is replaced in kind with a *Dβ* RSS (e.g. replacing the *Vβ* 23-RSS with the *Dβ*1 23-RSS (Bassing et al. 2000, 2002; Hughes et al. 2003; Sleckman et al. 2000; Tillman et al. 2004)). However, studies using extrachromosomal substrates clearly demonstrate that much of the B12–23 restriction is directly encoded in the RSS components and flanking sequences themselves rather than through regulation of higher order epigenetic assemblies. Specifically, the Schatz laboratory has shown that RSS naked DNA templates recapitulate B12–23 assembly in non-lymphoid cells or in cell-free extracts with purified RAG proteins (Jung et al. 2003), and that *Dβ*1 12-RSS is nicked much faster than *Jβ* 12-RSSs (Drejer-Teel et al. 2007).

More recent analyses of RAG-mediated RSS nicks on in vitro and in vivo substrates suggest a mechanism for the B12–23 restriction whereby *Dβ* 23-RSS and 12-RSS initiate recombination, binding RAGs and then capturing either *Jβ* or *Vβ* RSSs to form respective *DJβ* or *V-DJβ* synaptic complexes (Franchini et al. 2009). These same studies further indicated that the *Dβ*1 23-RSS impedes RAG-mediated cleavage at the *Dβ*1 12-RSS, thereby restricting *Vβ* assembly to *DJβ* joints. Finally, binding of the AP-1 transcription factor, c-Fos, to conserved sites in the 3' RSS of both *Dβ* segments has been shown to impair cleavage at the 5' *Dβ*1 12-RSS on extrachromosomal plasmids and thereby limit *Vβ*-to-*Dβ* recombination (Wang et al. 2008). Collectively then, the various studies that have assessed B12–23 would suggest a primarily genetic role for the *Dβ* RSS elements in guiding *Tcrb* recombination through preferential and sequential recruitment of RAG proteins, first the *Dβ* 23-RSS and then the *Dβ* 12-RSS, relative to the V and *Jβ* RSSs.

Because c-Fos is a transcription factor, remains unclear whether AP-1 binding at *Dβ* simply contributes to an allosteric mechanism of *Dβ* 23-RSS preference or whether it helps enforce *Dβ* usage by activating associated *Dβ* germline promoters. When chromosomal *Dβ*1 and *Jβ*1.1 segments were moved away from the *Dβ*1 promoter and into the location of downstream *Vβ*14, their assembly in mutant mice was delayed until *Vβ* segments gained accessibility (Yang-Iott et al. 2010), suggesting proximity to *PDβ*1 is critical. Sleckman and colleagues recently found that deletion of the *Jβ*1.1 RSS from a highly modified *Tcrb* allele severely attenuated transcription through the *DJβ*1 cassette, suggesting the presence of an

unidentified transcription control element within the *Jβ1.1* RSS (Khor et al. 2009). Finally, we have recently found that upstream stimulatory factor binds a conserved E-box positioned within the 5'D β 2 12-RSS spacer, repressing the activity of a promoter positioned immediately upstream of D β 2 (McMillan et al. in preparation). Given the role of promoters in directing recombinational accessibility (discussed below), these separate findings suggest that beyond their genetic impact on recombinational control, the ACE activities associated with *Tcrb* RSSs may primarily derive from their contributions to germline transcription.

Transcriptional Control of Recombinational Accessibility

The onset of recombination correlates with germline transcription of D and/or J gene segments in both Ig and TCR loci (Lennon and Perry 1990; Royer et al. 1985; Van Ness et al. 1981; Yancopoulos and Alt 1985). At individual loci, where one or a small number of enhancers must regulate the activity of multiple V-, D-, or J-associated promoters, targeted enhancer deletion impairs germline transcription (Bories et al. 1996; Bouvier et al. 1996; Chen et al. 1993; Monroe et al. 1999; Serwe and Sablitzky 1993; Sleckman et al. 1997; Xiong et al. 2002; Xu et al. 1996) and leads to a reduction in the levels of histone acetylation over large portions of the targeted locus (Mathieu et al. 2000). Significantly, enhancerless loci also show marked decreases in V(D)J recombination (Bories et al. 1996; Bouvier et al. 1996; Chen et al. 1993; Monroe et al. 1999; Serwe and Sablitzky 1993; Sleckman et al. 1997; Xiong et al. 2002; Xu et al. 1996), suggesting that enhancers help coordinate the epigenetic modifications that permit recombinase recruitment. For example, deletion of the *Tcrb* enhancer *Eβ* leads to a two- to threefold drop in histone acetylation levels over a 30 kb domain that contains both D-J-C β gene segment clusters (Mathieu et al. 2000) and blocks D β transcription and rearrangement (Bories et al. 1996; Bouvier et al. 1996).

Gene targeting experiments suggest a similar though more localized role for promoter activity in directing V(D)J recombination (Chowdhury and Sen 2004; Krangel et al. 2004; Oltz 2001; Schlissel 2004). Whereas *Eβ* deletion abolishes all *Tcrb* assembly (Bories et al. 1996; Bouvier et al. 1996), deletion of the germline promoter associated with D β 1 (Sikes et al. 1998) impairs recombination of the DJ β 1 cassette (Sikes et al. 1999; Whitehurst et al. 1999), but not recombination at DJ β 2 (Whitehurst et al. 1999), which is under transcriptional control of separate promoters that flank D β 2 (McMillan and Sikes 2008, 2009). The mechanism(s) through which promoters regulate recombinational accessibility are as yet undetermined. Individual promoters assemble distinct and complex networks of regulatory factors and stimulate very different levels of transcription in response to homeostatic, metabolic, or developmental cues. Despite such diversity, a consensus framework for promoter activation generally involves transcription factor binding, recruitment of histone modifying enzymes and chromatin remodelers, assembly of the transcriptosome and subsequent transcription. Krangel et al. showed that a blockade of transcriptional elongation in the *Jα* array leads to suppression of TCR α recombination and to chromatin remodeling of *Jα* segments thus establishing a role for germline transcription in assembly of the *Tcra* locus (Abarrategui and Krangel 2006). Conversely, rearrangement of a *Tcrb* minilocus transgene was unaffected by inversion of the D β 1 promoter, which dramatically attenuated transcription through the D β 1 and J β 1 segments (Sikes et al. 2002).

Apparent differences between promoter contributions to *Tcra* recombination and that of *Tcrb* are also observed at the epigenetic level. Deletion of the D β 1 germline promoter had no measurable impact on histone acetylation levels of chromosomal *Tcrb* miniloci (Sikes et al. 2002), and only modest impact on H3 acetylation in PD β 1-deficient mice despite inhibition of D β 1 recombination and transcription (Whitehurst et al. 2000). In contrast, H3 and H4 acetylation levels across a region of *Tcra* that contains the most 5' *Jα* segments were

dramatically impaired in DP thymocytes of mice that lack the T early alpha (TEA) germline promoter (Hawwari et al. 2005).

Do promoters have discrete routes toward augmenting recombinational accessibility, or does accessibility derive from actions central to transcriptional initiation by combinatorially regulated promoters? By replacing the *Dβ1* promoter in *Tcrb* miniloci with a variety of alternative promoters, we previously showed that promoter activation rather than activity of a specific promoter is required for D-to-J β recombination (Sikes et al. 1999). Indeed, Oltz and colleagues found that recruitment of SWI/SNF to promoter-less miniloci was sufficient to restore *Dβ1* transcription and recombination (Osipovich et al. 2007). The need for promoter recruitment of SWI/SNF to displace histones from the *Dβ1* RSS could explain both the apparent transcriptional independence of *PDβ1* recombinational control and the sensitivity of *DJβ1* recombination to *PDβ1* repositioning away from the D. When *PDβ1* was moved from its native position 5' of *Dβ1* to locations between *Dβ1* and *Jβ1.1* or downstream of *Jβ1.2* in a *Tcrb* minilocus transgene, levels of transgene recombination were progressively attenuated with distance from the D (Sikes et al. 2002). The physiological impact of this position effect on promoter-dependent recombination is illustrated in D segment usage during *Tcrb* assembly, where rearrangement of the *DJβ1* cassette outpaces that of *DJβ2* (Born et al. 1985; Haars et al. 1986; Lindsten et al. 1987; Uematsu et al. 1988). Like *Dβ1*, *Dβ2* is flanked by a 5' promoter that presumably enforces *DJβ* accessibility during V-to-DJ recombination. However, in the germline locus, the 5'*PDβ2* is held inactive and transcription of the *DJβ2* cassette is instead dependent on a second promoter positioned between *Dβ2* and *Jβ2.1* (Fig. 1) that initiates transcription several hundred base-pairs downstream of the *Dβ2* RSS (McMillan and Sikes 2008). Because *DJβ2* joints accumulate more slowly than do *DJβ1* joints, initial V β arrangements preferentially target the upstream *DJβ*, allowing *DJβ2* joints to act as a reserve substrate for secondary V β rearrangements to rescue frame shifted V(D)J joints. This maximizes both β -selection survival and *Tcrb* repertoire diversity.

The downstream *Eβ* enhancer loops back to make physical contact with both *Dβ1* and *Dβ2* (Oestreich et al. 2006). Even longer range looping has been shown to reversibly contract both *Ig* and *Tcr* loci during lymphocyte development (Fitzsimmons et al. 2007; Fuxa et al. 2004; Sayegh et al. 2005; Skok et al. 2007), and facilitate reorganization of distal V elements away from or toward heterochromatic perinuclear or pericentromeric regions during rearrangement or allelic exclusion (Kosak et al. 2002; Roldan et al. 2005; Schlimgen et al. 2008). Short-range looping caused by *Eβ* contact with *Dβ* promoters might facilitate general chromatin opening across *Jβ*, while also stimulating *Dβ* promoter activity. However, such a model raises interesting questions. How would V β chromatin be recruited to newly formed *DJβ* assemblies if *Eβ* remains tethered to *DJβ*? Assuming V β promoters act like their *Dβ* counterparts to govern gene segment accessibility, are their actions similarly dependent on *Eβ* or on undefined enhancers in the upstream portion of the locus? Additionally, whereas V elements are generally hundreds of kb removed from D or J elements, the *Dβ*s are only no more than three or four nucleosomes upstream of their most proximal *Jβ* elements. Is epigenetic regulation of such proximal gene segments distinct from the mechanism that regulates assembly of widely separated segments?

RAG2 contains a C-terminal PHD-finger domain that sensitizes RAG binding to histone octamers in which histone 3 is covalently trimethylated on lysine 4 (H3K4me3) (Matthews et al. 2007), an epigenetic mark exclusively associated with transcriptionally active genes and predominantly deposited near the transcriptional start site. Paradoxically, while RAG2 has been shown to localize at chromatin sites enriched in H3K4me3 (Ji et al. 2010), in vitro chromatinization studies have demonstrated the inhibitory nature of nucleosomal assembly on RAG binding (Golding et al. 1999). In their recent survey of each antigen receptor locus,

Schatz and colleagues definitively showed that RAG2 binding directly correlates with H3K4me3 enrichment (Ji et al. 2010). For example, binding of RAG2 at sites along the *Tcrb* locus of pro-T thymocytes from RAG1-deficient mice is essentially restricted to the D β and J β gene segments, which unlike the V β segments in these cells are strongly enriched for H3K4me3.

How then, if histone occupancy is inhibitory for RAG binding, does H3K4me3 enrichment mesh with recombinational accessibility? The answer may lie in the nucleosomal architecture assumed across the rearranging gene segments. Transcriptional activation leads to the reorganization or phasing of nucleosomes proximal to the transcription start site. Using tiled PCRs to examine mono-nucleosomes, Krangel and colleagues found that both D β elements and J α 61 assume an ordered nucleosomal structure in the presence of enhancer activity, leaving their RSS elements relatively nucleosome-free (Kondilis-Mangum et al. 2010). Moreover, transcription from the upstream TEA promoter induces nucleosome repositioning relative to the J α 61 RSS. By extension, as polymerase passes through an RSS, recombinational accessibility could derive from the transient displacement of histones from the RSS and the recruitment of RAG complexes by H3K4me3-marked histones in newly reconstituted or repositioned nucleosomes.

Outlook

The current epigenetic model of accessibility involves initial nucleation of histone modifying and chromatin remodeling proteins at germline promoters and enhancers, likely through recruitment by defined transcription factor assemblies. Subsequent germline transcription would then extend histone reorganization, particularly H3K4me3 deposition downstream of the transcription start site, relieving any final epigenetic barriers to accessibility at transcribed RSS elements. Though individual RSS elements show clear and remarkable sequence-specific impact on the order of gene segment accessibility, such genetic regulation is imposed within the context of a chromatin dynamism that appears to be primarily governed by the components of germline transcription. It should be noted that even prior to germline transcription and locus assembly, separate studies have demonstrated that promoters and enhancers of *Tcra* and *Tcrb* are already marked by transcription factor binding and histone modifications (Hernandez-Munain et al. 1999; Weishaupt et al. 2010), suggesting that the pathway to recombinational accessibility starts before lineage identity is established. Such epigenetic priming is common among developmental control genes, and its persistence at the TCR genes is consistent with the regulatory role recombination plays in thymocyte development. In light of the various chromatin and transcriptional regulators currently implicated in accessibility control, a true understanding recombinational accessibility will require dissecting their mechanistic hierarchy at separate loci, and perhaps for individual rearrangements. Consequently, the rich regulatory landscape that has been defined for D β 1 and D β 2 make *Tcrb* assembly a powerful model system for unraveling the epigenetics of V(D)J recombination.

Acknowledgments

ML Sikes is supported by grants from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R56AI070848-01A1) and GSK.

Abbreviations

RSS	Recombination signal sequence
<i>Tcrb</i>	T cell receptor β locus

<i>Tcra</i>	T cell receptor α locus
DN	Double negative
DP	Double positive
ACEs	Accessibility control elements
<i>Eβ</i>	<i>Tcrb</i> enhancer, PD β 1, D β 1 promoter
<i>Rag</i>	Recombination activating gene

References

- Abarrategui I, Krangel MS. Regulation of T cell receptor- α gene recombination by transcription. *Nat Immunol.* 2006; 7:1109–1115. [PubMed: 16936730]
- Alt FW, Yancopoulos GD, Blackwell TK, et al. Ordered rearrangement of immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region segments. *EMBO J.* 1984; 3:1209–1219. [PubMed: 6086308]
- Alt FW, Blackwell TK, DePinho RA, et al. Regulation of genome rearrangement events during lymphocyte differentiation. *Immunol Rev.* 1986; 89:5–30. [PubMed: 3081433]
- Arstila TP, Casrouge A, Baron V, et al. A direct estimate of the human α β T cell receptor diversity. *Science.* 1999; 286:958–961. [PubMed: 10542151]
- Bassing CH, Alt FW, Hughes MM, et al. Recombination signal sequences restrict chromosomal V(D)J recombination beyond the 12/23 rule. *Nature.* 2000; 405:583–586. [PubMed: 10850719]
- Bassing CH, Swat W, Alt FW. The mechanism and regulation of chromosomal V(D)J recombination. *Cell.* 2002; 109(suppl):S45–S55. [PubMed: 11983152]
- Baumann M, Mamais A, McBlane F, et al. Regulation of V(D)J recombination by nucleosome positioning at recombination signal sequences. *EMBO J.* 2003; 22:5197–5207. [PubMed: 14517257]
- Bories JC, Demengeot J, Davidson L, et al. Gene-targeted deletion and replacement mutations of the T-cell receptor β -chain enhancer: the role of enhancer elements in controlling V(D)J recombination accessibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1996; 93:7871–7876. [PubMed: 8755569]
- Born W, Yague J, Palmer E, et al. Rearrangement of T-cell receptor β -chain genes during T-cell development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1985; 82:2925–2929. [PubMed: 3873070]
- Bouvier G, Watrin F, Naspetti M, et al. Deletion of the mouse T-cell receptor β gene enhancer blocks $\alpha\beta$ T-cell development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1996; 93:7877–7881. [PubMed: 8755570]
- Chen J, Young F, Bottaro A, et al. Mutations of the intronic IgH enhancer and its flanking sequences differentially affect accessibility of the JH locus. *EMBO J.* 1993; 12:4635–4645. [PubMed: 8223473]
- Chowdhury D, Sen R. Regulation of immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene rearrangements. *Immunol Rev.* 2004; 200:182–196. [PubMed: 15242405]
- Drejer-Teel AH, Fugmann SD, Schatz DG. The beyond 12/23 restriction is imposed at the nicking and pairing steps of DNA cleavage during V(D)J recombination. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2007; 27:6288–6299. [PubMed: 17636023]
- Fitzsimmons SP, Bernstein RM, Max EE, et al. Dynamic changes in accessibility, nuclear positioning, recombination, and transcription at the Ig kappa locus. *J Immunol.* 2007; 179:5264–5273. [PubMed: 17911612]
- Franchini DM, Benoukraf T, Jaeger S, et al. Initiation of V(D)J recombination by Dbeta-associated recombination signal sequences: a critical control point in TCR β gene assembly. *PLoS ONE.* 2009; 4:e4575. [PubMed: 19238214]
- Fuxa M, Skok J, Souabni A, et al. Pax5 induces V-to-DJ rearrangements and locus contraction of the immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:411–422. [PubMed: 15004008]
- Gellert M. V(D)J recombination: RAG proteins, repair factors, and regulation. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2002; 71:101–132. [PubMed: 12045092]

- Goebel P, Janney N, Valenzuela JR, et al. Localized gene-specific induction of accessibility to V(D)J recombination induced by E2A and early B cell factor in nonlymphoid cells. *J Exp Med*. 2001; 194:645–656. [PubMed: 11535632]
- Golding A, Chandler S, Ballestar E, et al. Nucleosome structure completely inhibits in vitro cleavage by the V(D)J recombinase. *EMBO J*. 1999; 18:3712–3723. [PubMed: 10393186]
- Haars R, Kronenberg M, Gallatin WM, et al. Rearrangement and expression of T cell antigen receptor and gamma genes during thymic development. *J Exp Med*. 1986; 164:1–24. [PubMed: 3487610]
- Hawwari A, Bock C, Krangel MS. Regulation of T cell receptor alpha gene assembly by a complex hierarchy of germline Jalpha promoters. *Nat Immunol*. 2005; 6:481–489. [PubMed: 15806105]
- Hernandez-Munain C, Sleckman BP, Krangel MS. A developmental switch from TCR delta enhancer to TCR alpha enhancer function during thymocyte maturation. *Immunity*. 1999; 10:723–733. [PubMed: 10403647]
- Hughes MM, Tillman RE, Wehrly TD, et al. The B12/23 restriction is critically dependent on recombination signal nonamer and spacer sequences. *J Immunol*. 2003; 171:6604–6610. [PubMed: 14662863]
- Ji Y, Resch W, Corbett E, et al. The in vivo pattern of binding of RAG1 and RAG2 to antigen receptor loci. *Cell*. 2010; 141:419–431. [PubMed: 20398922]
- Jung D, Bassing CH, Fugmann SD, et al. Extrachromosomal recombination substrates recapitulate beyond 12/23 restricted VDJ recombination in nonlymphoid cells. *Immunity*. 2003; 18:65–74. [PubMed: 12530976]
- Khor B, Mahowald GK, Khor K, et al. Functional overlap in the cis-acting regulation of the V(D)J recombination at the TCRbeta locus. *Mol Immunol*. 2009; 46:321–326. [PubMed: 19070901]
- Kondilis-Mangum HD, Cobb RM, Osipovich O, et al. Transcription-dependent mobilization of nucleosomes at accessible TCR gene segments in vivo. *J Immunol*. 2010; 184:6970–6977. [PubMed: 20483751]
- Kosak ST, Skok JA, Medina KL, et al. Subnuclear compartmentalization of immunoglobulin loci during lymphocyte development. *Science*. 2002; 296:158–162. [PubMed: 11935030]
- Krangel MS. Mechanics of T cell receptor gene rearrangement. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2009; 21:133–139. [PubMed: 19362456]
- Krangel MS, Carabana J, Abbarategui I, et al. Enforcing order within a complex locus: current perspectives on the control of V(D)J recombination at the murine T-cell receptor alpha/delta locus. *Immunol Rev*. 2004; 200:224–232. [PubMed: 15242408]
- Lennon GG, Perry RP. The temporal order of appearance of transcripts from unrearranged and rearranged Ig genes in murine fetal liver. *J Immunol*. 1990; 144:1983–1987. [PubMed: 2106560]
- Lindsten T, Fowlkes BJ, Samelson LE, et al. Transient rearrangements of the T cell antigen receptor alpha locus in early thymocytes. *J Exp Med*. 1987; 166:761–775. [PubMed: 3040885]
- Mathieu N, Hempel WM, Spicuglia S, et al. Chromatin remodeling by the T cell receptor (TCR)-beta gene enhancer during early T cell development: implications for the control of TCR-beta locus recombination. *J Exp Med*. 2000; 192:625–636. [PubMed: 10974029]
- Matthews AG, Kuo AJ, Ramon-Maiques S, et al. RAG2 PHD finger couples histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation with V(D)J recombination. *Nature*. 2007; 450:1106–1110. [PubMed: 18033247]
- McMillan RE, Sikes ML. Differential activation of dual promoters alters Dbeta2 germline transcription during thymocyte development. *J Immunol*. 2008; 180:3218–3228. [PubMed: 18292546]
- McMillan RE, Sikes ML. Promoter activity 5' of Dbeta2 is coordinated by E47, Runx1, and GATA-3. *Mol Immunol*. 2009; 46:3009–3017. [PubMed: 19592096]
- Monroe RJ, Sleckman BP, Monroe BC, et al. Developmental regulation of TCR delta locus accessibility and expression by the TCR delta enhancer. *Immunity*. 1999; 10:503–513. [PubMed: 10367896]
- Murre C. Developmental trajectories in early hematopoiesis. *Genes Dev*. 2009; 23:2366–2370. [PubMed: 19833763]
- Oestreich KJ, Cobb RM, Pierce S, et al. Regulation of TCRbeta gene assembly by a promoter/enhancer holocomplex. *Immunity*. 2006; 24:381–391. [PubMed: 16618597]

- Oettinger MA. RAG-1 and RAG-2, adjacent genes that synergistically activate V(D)J recombination. *Science*. 1990; 248:1517–1523. [PubMed: 2360047]
- Oltz EM. Regulation of antigen receptor gene assembly in lymphocytes. *Immunol Res*. 2001; 23:121–133. [PubMed: 11444378]
- Osipovich O, Cobb RM, Oestreich KJ, et al. Essential function for SWI-SNF chromatin-remodeling complexes in the promoter-directed assembly of Tcrb genes. *Nat Immunol*. 2007; 8:809–816. [PubMed: 17589511]
- Rajewsky K. Clonal selection and learning in the antibody system. *Nature*. 1996; 381:751–758. [PubMed: 8657279]
- Roldan E, Fuxa M, Chong W, et al. Locus ‘decontraction’ and centromeric recruitment contribute to allelic exclusion of the immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene. *Nat Immunol*. 2005; 6:31–41. [PubMed: 15580273]
- Royer HD, Ramarli D, Acuto O, et al. Genes encoding the T-cell receptor alpha and beta subunits are transcribed in an ordered manner during intrathymic ontogeny. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1985; 82:5510–5514. [PubMed: 2410928]
- Sayegh CE, Jhunjhunwala S, Riblet R, et al. Visualization of looping involving the immunoglobulin heavy-chain locus in developing B cells. *Genes Dev*. 2005; 19:322–327. [PubMed: 15687256]
- Schatz DG, Baltimore D. Stable expression of immunoglobulin gene V(D)J recombinase activity by gene transfer into 3T3 fibroblasts. *Cell*. 1988; 53:107–115. [PubMed: 3349523]
- Schatz DG, Oettinger MA, Baltimore D. The V(D)J recombination activating gene, RAG-1. *Cell*. 1989; 59:1035–1048. [PubMed: 2598259]
- Schlingens RJ, Reddy KL, Singh H, et al. Initiation of allelic exclusion by stochastic interaction of Tcrb alleles with repressive nuclear compartments. *Nat Immunol*. 2008; 9:802–809. [PubMed: 18536719]
- Schlissel MS. Regulation of activation and recombination of the murine Igkappa locus. *Immunol Rev*. 2004; 200:215–223. [PubMed: 15242407]
- Serwe M, Sablitzky F. V(D)J recombination in B cells is impaired but not blocked by targeted deletion of the immunoglobulin heavy chain intron enhancer. *EMBO J*. 1993; 12:2321–2327. [PubMed: 8508765]
- Sikes ML, Gomez RJ, Song J, et al. A developmental stage-specific promoter directs germline transcription of D beta J beta gene segments in precursor T lymphocytes. *J Immunol*. 1998; 161:1399–1405. [PubMed: 9686603]
- Sikes ML, Suarez CC, Oltz EM. Regulation of V(D)J recombination by transcriptional promoters. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1999; 19:2773–2781. [PubMed: 10082543]
- Sikes ML, Meade A, Tripathi R, et al. Regulation of V(D)J recombination: a dominant role for promoter positioning in gene segment accessibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2002; 99:12309–12314. [PubMed: 12196630]
- Skok JA, Gisler R, Novatchkova M, et al. Reversible contraction by looping of the Tcra and Tcrb loci in rearranging thymocytes. *Nat Immunol*. 2007; 8:378–387. [PubMed: 17334367]
- Sleckman BP, Bardon CG, Ferrini R, et al. Function of the TCR alpha enhancer in alphabeta and gammadelta T cells. *Immunity*. 1997; 7:505–515. [PubMed: 9354471]
- Sleckman BP, Bassing CH, Hughes MM, et al. Mechanisms that direct ordered assembly of T cell receptor beta locus V, D, and J gene segments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2000; 97:7975–7980. [PubMed: 10869424]
- Tillman RE, Wooley AL, Hughes MM, et al. Regulation of T cell receptor beta-chain gene assembly by recombination signals: the beyond 12/23 restriction. *Immunol Rev*. 2004; 200:36–43. [PubMed: 15242394]
- Uematsu Y, Ryser S, Dembic Z, et al. In transgenic mice the introduced functional T cell receptor beta gene prevents expression of endogenous beta genes. *Cell*. 1988; 52:831–841. [PubMed: 3258191]
- Van Ness BG, Weigert M, Coleclough C, et al. Transcription of the unrearranged mouse C kappa locus: sequence of the initiation region and comparison of activity with a rearranged V kappa-C kappa gene. *Cell*. 1981; 27:593–602. [PubMed: 6101210]
- von Boehmer H. Selection of the T-cell repertoire: receptor-controlled checkpoints in T-cell development. *Adv Immunol*. 2004; 84:201–238. [PubMed: 15246254]

- Wang X, Xiao G, Zhang Y, et al. Regulation of Tcrb recombination ordering by c-Fos-dependent RAG deposition. *Nat Immunol.* 2008; 9:794–801. [PubMed: 18500346]
- Weishaupt H, Sigvardsson M, Attema JL. Epigenetic chromatin states uniquely define the developmental plasticity of murine hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood.* 2010; 115:247–256. [PubMed: 19887676]
- Whitehurst CE, Chattopadhyay S, Chen J. Control of V(D)J recombinational accessibility of the D beta 1 gene segment at the TCR beta locus by a germline promoter. *Immunity.* 1999; 10:313–322. [PubMed: 10204487]
- Whitehurst CE, Schlissel MS, Chen J. Deletion of germline promoter PD beta 1 from the TCR beta locus causes hypermethylation that impairs D beta 1 recombination by multiple mechanisms. *Immunity.* 2000; 13:703–714. [PubMed: 11114382]
- Xiong N, Kang C, Raulet DH. Redundant and unique roles of two enhancer elements in the TCRgamma locus in gene regulation and gammadelta T cell development. *Immunity.* 2002; 16:453–463. [PubMed: 11911829]
- Xu Y, Davidson L, Alt FW, et al. Deletion of the Ig kappa light chain intronic enhancer/matrix attachment region impairs but does not abolish V kappa J kappa rearrangement. *Immunity.* 1996; 4:377–385. [PubMed: 8612132]
- Yancopoulos GD, Alt FW. Developmentally controlled and tissue-specific expression of unrearranged VH gene segments. *Cell.* 1985; 40:271–281. [PubMed: 2578321]
- Yang-Iott KS, Carpenter AC, Rowh MA, et al. TCR beta feedback signals inhibit the coupling of recombinationally accessible V beta 14 segments with DJ beta complexes. *J Immunol.* 2010; 184:1369–1378. [PubMed: 20042591]

Fig. 1.

Accessibility control elements across *DJβ*. In DN thymocytes, germline *Tcrb* transcription (abbreviated “Txn”) and recombination are controlled by *Dβ* promoters (*block triangles*) that establish physical contact with the downstream *Eβ* enhancer (*circle*). Recruitment of transcription factors, histone modifiers, and chromatin remodelers to the promoters and enhancer have each been linked to *DJβ* recombinational accessibility. Additionally, both *Dβ* 23-RSSs (*grey triangles*) and at least two 12-RSSs (*black triangles*) have been implicated as accessibility control elements either directly through potential to guide nucleosome positioning *in vitro*, or indirectly by interacting with the transcriptional machinery