Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 January 21; 13(2): 284–287. doi:10.1021/ol1027267.

Stereoelectronic Effects Determine Oxa-carbenium vs β -Sulfonium Ion Mediated Glycosylations

Thomas J. Boltje, Jin-Hwan Kim, Jin Park, and Geert-Jan Boons*

Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, 315 Riverbend Road, Athens, Georgia 30602.

Abstract

Activation of a glycosyl donor protected with a 2-*O*-(*S*)-(phenylthiomethyl)benzyl ether chiral auxiliary results in the formation of an anomeric β -sulfonium ion, which can be displaced with sugar alcohols to give corresponding α -glycosides. Sufficient deactivation of such glycosyl donors by electron withdrawing protecting groups is, however, critical to avoid glycosylation of an oxa-carbenium ion intermediate. The latter type of glycosylation pathway can also be suppressed by installing additional substituents in the chiral auxiliary.

The stereoselective introduction of glycosidic linkages is one of the most challenging aspects in the chemical synthesis of biologically important complex oligosaccharides.^{1–2} In general, 1,2-*trans* glycosides can reliably be introduced by exploiting neighboring group participation of a 2-*O*-acyl group and this approach has, for example, been exploited in the automated solid phase synthesis of several complex oligosaccharides using a modified peptide synthesizer.^{3–4} The introduction of 1,2-*cis* glycosidic linkages, such as α -glucosides and α -galactosides, requires glycosyl donors having a non-assisting functionality at C-2.⁵ In general, these glycosylations require extensive optimization of reaction conditions to achieve acceptable anomeric ratios. Recent advances in anomeric control include the use of protecting groups that sterically shield the β -face of galactosyl donors⁶ or locking a glycosyl donor in a conformation that allows nucleophilic attack from only one face of an anomeric oxa-carbenium ion.⁷

We have shown that a glycosyl donor substituted at C-2 with a (*S*)-(phenylthiomethyl)benzyl ether can be employed for the stereoselective introduction of 1,2-*cis* glycosides such as α -glucosides and α -galactosides.⁸ Neighboring group participation by the chiral auxiliary leads to a quasi-stable anomeric sulfonium ion (Scheme 1), which due to steric and electronic factors is formed as a *trans*-decalin ring system. Nucleophilic displacement of the sulfonium ion by a hydroxyl leads then to the stereoselective formation

gjboons@ccrc.uga.edu.

Supporting Information Available ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and experimental procedures for the preparation of compounds **2**, **7**, **8**, **11–29** and **31–35**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

of α -glycosides. Recently, the attractiveness of chiral auxiliary mediated glycosylations was shown by solid phase synthesis of several branched pentasaccharides having only 1,2-*cis*-glycosidic linkages.⁹

As part of a program to utilize auxiliary mediated glycosylations for complex oligosaccharide synthesis, we observed that protecting groups and constitution of the C-2 auxiliary can have a profound influence on the pathway and hence anomeric outcome of glycosylations.

Herein, we report clear rules for the reliable installation of 1,2-*cis* glycosidic linkages. Thus, TMSOTf promoted glycosylations¹⁰ of glycosyl donor **1**, having an (*S*)-(phenylthiomethyl)benzyl ether at C-2 and acetyl esters at C-3, C-4 and C-6, with glycosyl acceptors **3**¹¹ and **4**¹² gave the corresponding glucosides as only the α -anomer. However, similar glycosylations with glucosyl donor **2**, having benzyl ethers instead of acetyl esters, gave no or poor anomeric selectivity. To examine whether glycosyl donor **2** can form an intermediate sulfonium ion, it was dissolved in CD₂Cl₂ and treated with one equivalent of TMSOTf at -50 °C. After raising the temperature to 0 °C and cooling to -20 °C, ¹H and HMBC NMR spectra were recorded (Figure 1). Upon activation, the anomeric proton of **2** (δ 6.56 ppm, $J_{1,2}$ = 2.5 Hz) shifted up field (δ 5.52, $J_{1,2}$ = 8.5 Hz) and its large vicinal coupling constant established an equatorial orientation of the anomeric substituent. The coupling constants of the other saccharide protons showed that no conformational distortion of the saccharide ring had occurred. The HMBC spectrum, which allows the determination of three bond heteronuclear couplings, showed a correlation between C-1 and H8-eq, proving that the *trans*-decalin system had been formed. No oxa-carbenium ion, anomeric triflate or α -sulfonium ion was detected and hence the NMR data indicate that the β -substituted sulfonium ion is the main reaction intermediate. Addition of an alcohol resulted, however, in the formation of a mixture of anomers. This unexpected observation can be rationalized by the classical Curtin-Hammett principle in which an equilibrium exists between the sulfonium and oxa-carbenium ions (Scheme 1). This equilibrium is shifted strongly in the direction of the sulfonium ion as shown by the NMR studies. A glycosylation can, however, take place from the much more reactive oxa-carbenium ion when the rates of inter-conversion (k_1 and k_2) are faster than that of glycosylation (k_4). Previously, relative reactivity values (RRVs) of differentially protected glycosyl donors have been determined and for example, it was found that a 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl protected glucosyl donor is 980 times more reactive than its tetra-*O*-acetylated counterpart.¹³

This difference in reactivity has been attributed to inductive destabilization of the positively charged transition state by the electron withdrawing acetyl esters. The ester protecting groups of an anomeric sulfonium ion are more remote from the positive charge than that of the oxa-carbenium and hence such electron withdrawing protecting groups are expected to widen the energy difference between sulfonium and oxacarbenium ion. Thus, strongly electron withdrawing groups such as the acetyl esters of **1** are expected to disfavor oxacarbenium ion formation and hence glycosylation takes place by an S_N2 like displacement of the β -anomeric sulfonium ion leading to the formation of α -glycosides.

On the other hand, glycosylations with donors having electron donating protecting groups, such as the benzyl protected glycosyl donor **2**, involve an equilibrium between the corresponding sulfonium and oxa-carbenium ions, and glycosylations take place mainly through the latter intermediate thereby diminishing the α -selectivity. Previously, it has been noted that care has to be taken in implying reaction mechanisms of glycosylations based on the detection of reaction intermediates.^{14–20} We have performed numerous glycosylations with donors such as **1** and in general isolated only α -anomeric products implying S_N2 displacement of intermediate sulfonium ions. Furthermore, glycosylations of **2** (Scheme 1)

and **9**²¹ (Scheme 2), which have a chiral auxiliary or benzyl ether at C-2, both gave poor anomeric selectivities indicating that the nature of the C-2 substituent has only a small influence on the selectivity of glycosylations by oxa-carbenium ions. On the other hand, glycosylations with **1** proceeded with α -selectivity whereas similar couplings with a C-2 benzyl protected glycosyl donor⁸ led to the formation of mixtures of anomers ($\alpha/\beta = 3/1$) indicating a difference in reaction pathway.

A number of differentially protected glycosyl donors (**10–15**) were investigated to establish the influence of protecting groups on the anomeric selectivity of auxiliary mediated glycosylations (Scheme 2). As expected, anomeric selectivities improved dramatically when chiral auxiliary containing glucosyl donors were employed having electron withdrawing protecting groups. For example, the presence of two acetyl esters at C-3 and C-6 (**10**) or C-4 and C-6 (**11**) drastically improved α -selectivity although the latter still gave an α/β mixture when coupled to **4** (**21**). The coupling of glycosyl donor **12**, which has an acetyl ester at C-3 and benzyl ethers at C-4 and C-6, with glycosyl acceptors **3** and **4** gave only α -linked products. To ascertain that the bulk of the C-3 protecting group does not play a major role in controlling anomeric selectivities, glycosylations with glycosyl donor **13** were performed, which has a methyl ether at C-3, and in this case the glycoside products (**24** and **25**) were obtained as mixtures of anomers. Hence, the electronic withdrawing nature of the C-3 protecting group appears to be critical to achieve absolute α -anomeric selectivity. To further support the latter observation, glycosyl donors **14** and **15** were examined which have a C-3 benzoyl ester and allyloxycarbonate, respectively and as expected, the use of these compounds led to the selective formation of α -anomeric products (**26–29**).

Next, attention was focussed on examining the importance of the constitution of the chiral auxiliary for controlling anomeric selectivity (Scheme 3). Recently, oxathiane donor **30** was introduced, which can be activated by triflation of the sulfoxide followed by electrophilic aromatic substitution with 1,3,5-trimethoxy benzene to form an intermediate sulfonium ion, which can then react with alcohols to give glycoside products.²² In addition, we investigated similar glycosylations with donor **31**, which was obtained by reduction of the acetal of **30** using triethylsilane in the presence of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$.²³

Thus, oxathiane **30** was activated by addition of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (Tf_2O) in the presence of 1,3,5-trimethoxy benzene in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) at -35°C . After completion of the electrophilic aromatic substitution and formation of the intermediate sulfonium ion, alcohols **3** or **4** were added and after a reaction time of 16 h at 50°C the disaccharides **32** and **33**, respectively were formed as only the α -anomers albeit in moderate yields. On the other hand, similar glycosylations with **31** led to the formation of disaccharides **34** and **35** as mixtures of anomers. Although **30** is protected with benzyl ethers at C-3, C-4 and C-6, glycosylation with this compound took place with inversion of anomeric configuration leading to selective formation of α -glucosides. The geminal OMe substituent of **30** was critical since glycosyl donor **31** exhibited compromised α -anomeric selectivities.

It is well known that substituents can enhance ring stability and this observation is, for example, embodied in the “gem-dialkyl” or Thorpe-Ingold effect.^{24–26} Substitutions can also promote the rate of ring formation by increasing the probability of correct alignment for ring formation.²⁷ Saccharides also respond to these effects and, alkylation of the aldofuranose ring shifts the equilibrium between aldofuranoses and the corresponding acyclic aldehydes in the direction of the cyclic forms.²⁸ Thus, it is likely that the additional substituent of the auxiliary of **30** selectively increased the stability of sulfonium ion thereby promoting glycosylations by an $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ -like mechanism. The latter is supported by our observation that a glycosyl donor which has no substituent at the auxiliary (2-*O*-

phenylsulfanylethyl substituent) forms an intermediate β -sulfonium ion but upon glycosylation gives mixtures of anomers.⁸

Previously, it has been reported that anomeric sulfonium ion intermediates may not necessarily be the species that undergo glycosylation and parameters such as the potency of the nucleophile may determine whether a reaction proceeds through S_N2 displacement of a sulfonium ion or by substitution of an oxacarbenium ion.²⁹ Here, we demonstrate that protecting groups and the chemical nature of the sulfonium ion can have a profound influence on the stereochemical outcome of glycosylations and it has been found that by disfavoring oxacarbenium ion formation by electronic or stereoelectronic effects, exclusive α -anomeric selectivity can be accomplished. These observations can be used as a guide to select glycosyl donors that are expected to give exclusive 1,2-*cis* stereoselectivity and be employed for further improvement of chiral auxiliary mediated glycosylation methodology.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institute of General Medicine (NIGMS) of the National Institutes of Health (Grant No 2R01GM065248).

References

1. Boltje TJ, Buskas T, Boons GJ. *Nat. Chem.* 2009; 1:611. [PubMed: 20161474]
2. Zhu XM, Schmidt RR. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:1900.
3. Plante OJ, Palmacci ER, Seeberger PH. *Science*. 2001; 291:1523. [PubMed: 11222853]
4. Seeberger PH. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008; 37:19. [PubMed: 18197330]
5. Demchenko AV. *Synlett*. 2003:1225.
6. Imamura A, Ando H, Korogi S, Tanabe G, Muraoka O, Ishida H, Kiso M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003; 44:6725.
7. Zhu XM, Kawatkar S, Rao Y, Boons GJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:11948. [PubMed: 16953636]
8. Kim JH, Yang H, Park J, Boons GJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:12090. [PubMed: 16117550]
9. Boltje TJ, Kim JH, Park J, Boons GJ. *Nat. Chem.* 2010; 1:611. [PubMed: 20161474]
10. Schmidt RR, Kinzy W. *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* 1994; 50:21. [PubMed: 7942254]
11. Garegg PJ, Hultberg H. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1981; 93:C10.
12. Ziegler T, Kovac P, Glaudemans CPJ. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1989; 194:185. [PubMed: 2620299]
13. Zhang Z, Ollmann IR, Ye XS, Wischnat R, Baasov T, Wong CH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:734.
14. Hou DJ, Taha HA, Lowary TL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:12937. [PubMed: 19702300]
15. Crich D, Sun SX. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:11217.
16. Beaver MG, Billings SB, Woerpel KA. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008:771.
17. Jensen HH, Nordstrom LU, Bols M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:9205. [PubMed: 15281809]
18. Mydock LK, Demchenko AV. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2010; 8:497. [PubMed: 20090962]
19. Crich D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2010; 43:1144. [PubMed: 20496888]
20. Prevost M, St-Jean O, Guindon Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:12433. [PubMed: 20704290]
21. Schmidt RR, Michel J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984; 25:821.
22. Fascione MA, Adshead SJ, Stalford SA, Kilner CA, Leach AG, Turnbull WB. *Chem. Commun.* 2009:5841.
23. Fascione MA, Turnbull WB. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 6

24. Hammond, GS. Steric Effects in Organic Chemistry. Newman, MS., editor. New York: Wiley; 1956.
25. Allinger NL, Zalkow V. J. Org. Chem. 1960; 25:701.
26. Jager J, Graafland T, Schenk H, Kirby AJ, Engberts JBFN. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984; 106:139.
27. Bruice TC, Pandit UK. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1960; 46:402. [PubMed: 16590620]
28. Snyder JR, Serianni AS. Carbohydr. Res. 1991; 210:21. [PubMed: 1878878]
29. Krumper JR, Salamant WA, Woerpel KA. J. Org. Chem. 2009; 74:8039. [PubMed: 19813702]

Figure 1.

a) ^1H NMR spectrum of glucosyl donor **2** in CD_2Cl_2 . b) ^1H NMR spectrum of **2** after addition of TMSOTf at -20°C . c) HMBC spectrum of the β -sulfonium ion at -20°C . A cross-peak was observed between C-1 and H8-eq.

Scheme 1.

Dynamic equilibrium between an oxa-carbenium and β -sulfonium ion.

- a) The α/β ratios were determined by integration of key signals in the ^1H NMR spectrum of the disaccharides products after purification by size exclusion chromatography over LH-20.
- b) Isolated yields of the α/β mixture of disaccharide products.

Scheme 2. Glycosylation results for glycosyl donors **9–16**

a) The α/β ratios were determined by the integration of key signals in the ^1H NMR spectra of the disaccharide products after purification by LH-20 size exclusion chromatography. b) Isolated yields of the α/β mixture of disaccharide products.

Scheme 3.

Effects of substitution pattern of the C-2 auxiliary.

a) The α/β ratios were determined by the integration of key signals in the ^1H NMR spectra of the disaccharide products after purification by LH-20 size exclusion chromatography. b) Isolated yields of the α/β mixture of disaccharide products.