Published in final edited form as:

J AIDS Clin Res. 2010 October 8; 1(1): 1–4.

Difference in absolute CD4+ count according to CD4 percentage between Asian and Caucasian HIV-infected patients

Amit C Achhra¹, Jialun Zhou¹, Francois Dabis², Sanjay Pujari³, Rodolphe Thiebaut², Matthew G Law¹, and Fabrice Bonnet^{2,4} for the TREAT Asia HIV Observational Database (TAHOD) and ANRS CO3 Aquitaine Cohort.

¹ National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

² INSERM U897, Institut de Santé Publique d'Epidémiologie et de Développement (ISPED), Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2, Bordeaux, France.

³ Ruby Hall Clinic, Pune, India.

⁴Service de Medecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses, CHU de Bordeaux, France.

Abstract

We compared the absolute CD4+ count, at different CD4+ percentages (CD4%), between Asian (n=442) and Caucasian (n=674) untreated HIV-infected individuals, using linear regression methods. At any given CD4%, Asians had lower CD4+ count than Caucasians (p=0.001). The difference varied from 38.9 cells/mm³ (95% CI: 3.3-74.5 cells/mm³) at CD4% of 15% to 108.7 cells/mm³ (95% CI: 42.5-174.9 cells/mm³) at CD4% of 40%. The impact of these differences on prognosis is uncertain, but it may be that the prognostic thresholds for CD4+ count used in Caucasian populations are inappropriate in Asian populations.

Keywords

race; CD4+ count; CD4+; Asian; Caucasian

Introduction

The CD4+ T-cell lymphocyte count (henceforth CD4+ count) is one of the most important prognostic factors for progression of HIV infection, and forms the basis for international recommendations for antiretroviral treatment and prophylaxis.[1] However, comparative studies between African and European populations suggest that total lymphocyte count (TLC), including CD4+ count, is likely to vary significantly by ethnicity, in both, healthy[2] and HIV-infected individuals.[3] A comparison between French and West-African HIV-infected individuals suggested that the CD4+ count of 200 cells/mm³ in French individuals would be equivalent to the count of 250 cells/mm³ in West African individuals, in terms of HIV disease stage.[3] Although similar comparative studies between Asian and Caucasian populations are lacking, previous studies in healthy, and HIV-infected Asian individuals had raised the hypothesis that Asians may have different CD4+ counts than Caucasians.[4-8] A

Correspondence and request for reprint to Amit C. Achhra, National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research, The University of New South Wales, Cliffbrook Campus, 45 Beach Street, Coogee, NSW 2034, Sydney, Australia. Tel: +612 9385 0900, Fax: +612 9385 0920, achhra@ncheer.unsw.edu.au .

Conflict of interest: None of the authors declare any conflict of interest.

reference-range finding study on healthy Chinese individuals found the CD4+ count to be 727 cells/mm³ (standard deviation (SD): 255 cells/mm³), as compared to that of 844 cells/mm³ (SD 247 cells/mm³) in Caucasian individuals.[9-10] However, these studies did not evaluate this hypothesis by any formal comparison between the two ethnicities. It is therefore not clearly understood whether Asian HIV-infected population, at any given disease stage of HIV, have different CD4+ count, as compared to their Caucasian counterparts. It is important to investigate the possible racial difference, since most of the clinical research in HIV has been conducted in Caucasian populations and is assumed to hold true for Asian population.

Aim

The aim of this study is to compare CD4+ counts between Asian and Caucasian untreated HIV-infected individuals at various CD4+ percentage (CD4%) strata. We assume that a given CD4% strata indicates similar disease stage of HIV infection in both the populations, as CD4% is known to be the more stable marker of disease stage of HIV infection[11-14] and has been used in similar comparative studies between African and European HIV-infected populations.[3]

Methods

Study population

The study population for this analysis were people enrolled in the TREAT Asia HIV Observational Database (TAHOD), who are predominantly Asian and in the ANRS CO3 Aquitaine cohort (French prospective open cohort), who are predominantly Caucasian, for whom pre-treatment TLC and CD4+ counts were available. The detailed methodology of both the cohorts has been published elsewhere.[15-17] Briefly, prospective data collection for TAHOD commenced in 2003, with retrospective data provided where available. In TAHOD, data are collected from 17 clinical sites in Asian region. The Aquitaine Cohort is a prospective hospital-based cohort of HIV-1-infected patients under routine clinical management, initiated in 1987 in the Bordeaux University Hospital and four other public hospitals in Aquitaine (south-western, France) by the Groupe d'Epidemiologie Clinique du Sida en Aquitaine (GECSA).[17] For the present analysis, we extracted pre-treatment total lymphocyte count, absolute CD4+ count and corresponding CD4%, in addition to baseline variables (Table 1), from participants in both cohorts. The CD4+ counts used for this study were measured as the part of routine care at respective TAHOD and Aquitaine sites by the flow cytometry methods.[15-16]

Statistical analysis

TLC and CD4+ counts, after square root transformation to normalise the distributions, were compared between the cohorts, after stratification by CD4%, categorised as <5%, 5-10%, 10-15%, 15-20%, 20-25%, and >25%. Linear regression analysis was used to predict CD4+ count based on corresponding CD4% in both cohorts. All analyses were performed using STATA (STATA Corp, USA) version 9.

Results

A total of 442 patients from TAHOD cohort and 674 patients from Aquitaine cohort, with data available before starting treatment, were included in this study, with demographics shown in Table 1. Compared to Aquitaine patients, TAHOD patients were in a more advanced stage of immune deficiency in terms of AIDS disease stage (40% vs. 18% in CDC category C, $p<0.001$), median CD4+ count (111.5 vs. 260 cells/mm³, $p<0.001$), and median CD4% (8.1% vs. 16.3%, $p<0.001$).

TLC and CD4+ count from TAHOD and Aquitaine patients, stratified by CD4%, are summarised in Table 2a and 2b, respectively. In general, for a given CD4% strata, TAHOD patients had lower TLC and CD4+ count, as compared to Aquitaine cohort (p for heterogeneity= 0.03 and 0.001, respectively). When predicted absolute CD4+ count were calculated according to CD4% for both the cohorts using linear regression, the difference in CD4+ counts between both cohorts was found to be dependent on the level of CD4%, with a larger CD4%, giving a larger estimated difference. The estimated difference in absolute CD4+ count varied from 38.9 cells/mm³ larger in Aquitaine (Caucasians) (95% CI: 3.3 - 74.5 cells/mm³) at CD4% of 15% to 66.8 cells/mm³ (95% CI: 19.0-114.6 cells/mm³) at CD4% of 25% and 108.7 cells/mm³ (95% CI: 42.5 - 174.9 cells/mm³) at CD4% of 40%. Figure 1 illustrates this relationship between absolute CD4+ counts and CD4% in TAHOD and Aquitaine cohorts, suggesting that the predicted CD4+ counts were overall higher in Aquitaine as compared to the TAHOD and this difference increased with increasing CD4%.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional analysis comparing CD4+ counts in untreated Asian and Caucasian HIV-infected patients, we found that at any given CD4% strata, Asians tended to have lower TLC, and correspondingly lower CD4+ count, compared to Caucasians, and this difference was most prominent at higher CD4%. Several explanations could possibly account for these observed differences in CD4+ count.[18] Firstly, total lymphocyte and CD4+ count is negatively affected by the poor nutritional status,[19-20] and the prevalence of under-nutrition is generally higher in Asians.[21] Second, environmental factors including higher prevalence of background infections, such as Tuberculosis in Asian countries,[22] may account for some of these differences.[23] Also, these differences may be related to possible variations in other cells of the immune system, such as CD8+ T-cells or Natural Killer (NK) cells.[5] Lastly, these differences could be due to true genetic variations between Asian and Caucasian ethnicity. If similar differences are observed in Asians who are raised in Western countries, then the genetic basis for the observed differences could be asserted.

The clinical impact of the difference in absolute CD4+ count between the two populations is unknown. If a given CD4+ count is found to have the same prognostic value in Asian and Caucasian populations, then these differences are unlikely to be of clinical significance, especially since these differences were only obvious in our data at higher CD4%, where clinical events are less likely to occur. Conversely, if a given CD4+ count is found to have different prognostic value in Asian and Caucasian populations, then it would imply that thresholds defined in Caucasian populations may not be appropriate in Asian populations. Further research in this area should therefore focus on investigating the clinical significance of these differences. Although this could be best investigated in Asians raised in Western countries; comparing large prospective cohorts from Asian countries to those from Western countries could also provide crucial insight into the subject.

This study is limited by the assumption that a given CD4% indicates similar disease stage in two populations. Although CD4% is known to be the more reliable marker of disease stage than CD4+ count,[11-12] it may itself vary between the two populations.[5] However, for our analysis, it was the best proxy available for disease stage and duration of HIV infection. [3] Further, the studied populations are highly heterogeneous and our study may not have accounted for all the differences. The variation in laboratorial methods of measuring CD4+ counts could introduce an error; although it is unlikely that it would result in systematic differences in CD4+ counts between the two cohorts, as observed in our study. Also, we did not account for different subtypes of HIV-1 that may be prevalent in the two cohorts.[24] However, there is little evidence of subtype differences in disease progression.[25-26] We did not divide Asian ethnicity into its various sub-types (e.g. Indians, Thai, Chinese etc.), to

avoid loss of power. This is unlikely to influence our results as CD4+ counts are known to be largely similar amongst various Asian populations.[4,8] Lastly, co-infection status was not available for a considerable proportion of our study populations, which is especially important as it is known to have detrimental effect on CD4+ count.[27]

In summary, we have shown that for a given CD4% strata, Asian (TAHOD) patients have a lower CD4+ count than Caucasian (Aquitaine) patients. The impact of the difference in absolute CD4+ count by CD4% strata on prognosis is uncertain, but it may be that the prognostic thresholds for CD4+ count used in European and North American populations are less appropriate in Asian populations.

Acknowledgments

This study was presented at the 16th International AIDS Conference at Toronto, Canada, Aug-2006, Abstract No. MoPE0116. The writing committee would like to acknowledge TAHOD and Aquitaine participants, investigators and steering committees. (**The TREAT Asia HIV Observational Database:** The TREAT Asia HIV Observational Database: CV Mean, V Saphonn* and K Vohith, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Dermatology & STDs, Phnom Penh, Cambodia; FJ Zhang*, HX Zhao and N Han, Beijing Ditan Hospital, Beijing, China; PCK Li* and MP Lee, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Hong Kong, China; N Kumarasamy*, S Saghayam and C Ezhilarasi, YRG Centre for AIDS Research and Education, Chennai, India; S Pujari*†, K Joshi, and A Makane, Institute of Infectious Diseases, Pune, India; TP Merati*, DN Wirawan and F Yuliana, Faculty of Medicine Udayana University & Sanglah Hospital, Bali, Indonesia; E Yuniastuti* and O Ramadian, Working Group on AIDS Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia/ Ciptomangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia; S Oka*, J Tanuma and M Honda, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; JY Choi*, SH Han, and JM Kim, Division of Infectious Diseases, Dept. of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; C KC Lee*, B HL Sim and R David, Hospital Sungai Buloh, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; A Kamarulzaman* and A Kajindran, University of Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; G Tau, Port Moresby General Hospital, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea*; R Ditangco*, E Uy and R Bantique, Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Manila, Philippines; YMA Chen*, WW Wong and LH Kuo, Taipei Veterans General Hospital and AIDS Prevention and Research Centre, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan; PL Lim*, A Chua and E Foo, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore; P Phanuphak*, Kiat Ruxrungtham and M Khongphattananayothin, HIV-NAT/ Thai Red Cross AIDS Research Centre, Bangkok, Thailand; S Sungkanuparph*, S Kiertiburanakul and B Piyavong, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand; T Sirisanthana*†, R Chaiwarith and W Kotarathitum, Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai, Thailand; AH Sohn*, L Messerschmidt* and B Petersen, TREAT Asia, amfAR - The Foundation for AIDS Research, Bangkok, Thailand; J Chuah*, Gold Coast Sexual Health Clinic, Miami, Queensland, Australia; DA Cooper, MG Law*, J Zhou* and A Jiamsakul, National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. * TAHOD Steering Committee member; **Inactive site; † Steering Committee Chair; ‡ co-Chair. TAHOD reviewers: PCK Li, MP Lee, S Vanar, S Faridah, A Kamarulzaman, JY Choi, B Vannary, R Ditangco, K Tsukada, SH Han, FJ Zhang, YMA Chen, N Kumarasay, A Dravid, OT Ng, C Duncombe, S Sungkanuparph, T Sirisanthana. Independent reviewers: F Drummond, M Boyd) (The Groupe d'Epidemiologie Clinique du Sida en Aquitaine (GECSA) steering the ANRS CO3 Aquitaine Cohort is organized as follows: Scientific Committee: F. Dabis (Chair and Principal Investigator), M. Dupon, M. Longy-Boursier, P. Morlat, J.L. Pellegrin and J.M. Ragnaud; Epidemiology and Methodology: M. Bruyand, G. Che^ne, F. Dabis, S. Lawson-Ayayi and R. Thie^baut; Infectious Diseases and Internal Medicine: M. Bonarek, F. Bonnal, F. Bonnet, N. Bernard, O. Caubet, L. Caune^gre, C. Cazanave, J. Ceccaldi, F. A. Dauchy, C. De La Taille, S. De Witte, M. Dupon, P. Duffau, H. Dutronc, S. Farbos, M.C. Gemail, C. Greib, D. Lacoste, S. Lafarie-Castet, P. Loste, D. Malvy, P. Mercie^, P. Morlat, D. Neau, A. Ochoa, J.L. Pellegrin, J.M. Ragnaud, S. Tchamgoue^ and J.F. Viallard; Immunology: P. Blanco, J.F. Moreau and I. Pellegrin; Virology: H. Fleury, M.E. Lafon and B. Masquelier; Pharmacology: D. Breilh; Pharmacovigilance: G. Miremont-Salame^; Data Collection: M.J. Blaizeau, M. Decoin, S. Delveaux, S. Gillet, C. Hannapier, O. Leleux and B. Uwamaliya-Nziyumvira; Data Management: S. Geffard, G. Palmer and D. Touchard.)

Funding source: The TREAT Asia HIV Observational Database and the Australian HIV Observational Database are part of the Asia Pacific HIV Observational Database and are initiatives of TREAT Asia, a program of amfAR, The Foundation for AIDS Research, with support from the following institutes of the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH): National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the Office of the Director (OD), and the National Cancer Institute (NCI), as part of the International Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) (grant no. U01AI069907). Additional support is provided by the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs through a partnership with Stichting Aids Fonds. The National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, and is affiliated with the Faculty of Medicine, The University of New South Wales. The Groupe d'Epidemiologie Clinique du SIDA en Aquitaine (GECSA) is supported by the Agence Nationale de

recherches sur le sida et les hepatitis virales (ANRS, France) through the Coordinated Action N7 and by the Conseil Regional d'Aquitaine. The content of this publication is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of any of the institutions mentioned above.

References

1. Hammer SM, Eron JJ Jr, Reiss P, Schooley RT, Thompson MA, et al. Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection: 2008 recommendations of the International AIDS Society-USA panel. *JAMA*. 2008; 300:555–570. [PubMed: 18677028]
2. Freedman DS, Gates L, Flanders WD, Van Assendelft OW, Barboriak JJ, et al. Black/white differences in leukocyte subpopulations in men. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1997; 26:757–764. [PubMed: 9279607]
3. Anglaret X, Diagbouga S, Mortier E, Meda N, Verge-Valette V, et al. CD4+ T-lymphocyte counts in HIV infection: are European standards applicable to African patients? *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1997; 14:361–367. [PubMed: 9111479]
4. Chng WJ, Tan GB, Kuperan P. Establishment of adult peripheral blood lymphocyte subset reference range for an Asian population by single-platform flow cytometry: influence of age, sex, and race and comparison with other published studies. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 2004; 11:168–173. [PubMed: 14715565]
5. Kam KM, Wong KH, Lee SS. Interpretation of CD4+ T-lymphocyte values in different HIV-infected populations. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1998; 17:185–186. [PubMed: 9473026]
6. Webster HK, Pattanapanyasat K, Phanupak P, Wasi C, Chuenchitra C, et al. Lymphocyte immunophenotype reference ranges in healthy Thai adults: implications for management of HIV/AIDS in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 1996; 27:418–429. [PubMed: 9185248]
7. Jiang W, Kang L, Lu HZ, Pan X, Lin Q, et al. Normal values for CD4 and CD8 lymphocyte subsets in healthy Chinese adults from Shanghai. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 2004; 11:811–813. [PubMed: 15242966]
8. Das BR, Bhanushali AA, Khadapkar R, Jeswani KD, Bhavsar M, Dasgupta A. Reference ranges for lymphocyte subsets in adults from western India: influence of sex, age and method of enumeration. *Indian J Med Sci*. 2008; 62:397–406. [PubMed: 19008613]
9. Bofill M, Janossy G, Lee CA, Macdonald-Burns D, Phillips AN, et al. Laboratory control values for CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes. Implications for HIV-1 diagnosis. *Clinical & Experimental Immunology*. 1992; 88:243–252. [PubMed: 1349272]
10. Lebranchu Y, Thibault G, Degenne D, Bardos P. Abnormalities in CD4+ T lymphocyte subsets in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. *Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology*. 1991; 61:83–92. [PubMed: 1720361]
11. Burcham J, Marmor M, Dubin N, Tindall B, Cooper DA, et al. CD4% is the best predictor of development of AIDS in a cohort of HIV-infected homosexual men. *AIDS*. 1991; 5:365–372. [PubMed: 1676279]
12. Taylor JM, Fahey JL, Detels R, Giorgi JV. CD4 percentage, CD4 number, and CD4:CD8 ratio in HIV infection: which to choose and how to use. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 1989; 2:114–124. [PubMed: 2495346]
13. Pirzada Y, Khuder S, Donabedian H. Predicting AIDS-related events using CD4 percentage or CD4 absolute counts. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2006; 3:20. [PubMed: 16916461]
14. Vajpayee M, Kaushik S, Sreenivas V, Wig N, Seth P. CDC staging based on absolute CD4 count and CD4 percentage in an HIV-1-infected Indian population: treatment implications. *Clinical & Experimental Immunology*. 2005; 141:485–490. [PubMed: 16045738]
15. Thiebaut R, Morlat P, Jacqmin-Gadda H, Neu D, Mercie P, et al. Clinical progression of HIV-1 infection according to the viral response during the first year of antiretroviral treatment. *Groupe d'Epidemiologie du SIDA en Aquitaine (GECSA). AIDS*. 2000; 14:971–978. [PubMed: 10853978]

16. Zhou J, Kumarasamy N, Ditangco R, Kamarulzaman A, Lee CK, et al. The TREAT Asia HIV Observational Database: baseline and retrospective data. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005; 38:174–179. [PubMed: 15671802]
17. Marimoutou C, Chene G, Dabis F, Lacoste D, Salamon R. Human immunodeficiency virus infection and AIDS in Aquitaine. 10 years' experience of a hospital information system, 1985-1995. *Le Groupe d'Epidemiologie Clinique du SIDA en Aquitaine (GECSA)*. *Presse Med*. 1997; 26:703–710. [PubMed: 9183368]
18. Mair C, Hawes SE, Agne HD, Sow PS, N'Doye I, et al. Factors associated with CD4 lymphocyte counts in HIV-negative Senegalese individuals. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2008; 151:432–440. [PubMed: 18190600]
19. Gross RL, Newberne PM. Role of nutrition in immunologic function. *Physiol Rev*. 1980; 60:188–302. [PubMed: 6986619]
20. Grzegorzewska AE, Leander M. Total lymphocyte count and subpopulation lymphocyte counts in relation to dietary intake and nutritional status of peritoneal dialysis patients. *Adv Perit Dial*. 2005; 21:35–40. [PubMed: 16686282]
21. World Health Organization. Regional Consultation on Nutrition and HIV/AIDS: Evidence, lessons and recommendations for action in South-East Asia. 2007.
22. World Health Organization. TB in South-East Asia. 2009.
23. Aldrich J, Gross R, Adler M, King K, MacGregor RR, Gluckman SJ. The effect of acute severe illness on CD4+ lymphocyte counts in nonimmunocompromised patients. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000; 160:715–716. [PubMed: 10724063]
24. Osmanov S, Pattou C, Walker N, Schwardlander B, Esparza J. Estimated global distribution and regional spread of HIV-1 genetic subtypes in the year 2000. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002; 29:184–190. [PubMed: 11832690]
25. Alaeus A, Lidman K, Bjorkman A, Giesecke J, Albert J. Similar rate of disease progression among individuals infected with HIV-1 genetic subtypes A-D. *AIDS*. 1999; 13:901–907. [PubMed: 10371170]
26. Anna, M Geretti; Harrison, L.; Green, H.; Sabin, C.; Hill, T., et al. Effect of HIV-1 Subtype on Virologic and Immunologic Response to Starting Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2009; 48:1296–1305. [PubMed: 19331585]
27. Miller MF, Haley C, Koziel MJ, Rowley CF. Impact of hepatitis C virus on immune restoration in HIV-infected patients who start highly active antiretroviral therapy: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005; 41:713–720. [PubMed: 16080095]

Figure 1.
Observed and predicted linear relationship between CD4+ T-cell count/mm³ and CD4+ percentage for the TAHOD and Aquitaine cohorts.

Table 1

Demographics of patients before antiretroviral treatment from TAHOD and Aquitaine

Characteristics	TAHOD	%	Aquitaine	%
No.	442		674	
Gender				
Male	350	79	559	83
Female	92	21	115	17
Age (years)				
Median	34		38	
IQR	20-72		19-73	
Missing	1	<1	121	18
Exposure				
MSM	86	19	337	50
Heterosexual	308	70	207	31
IDU	5	1	65	10
Blood transfusion	14	3	14	2
Perinatal	1	<1	1	<1
Unknown	28	6	50	7
Clinical classification for HIV infection				
A	203	46	444	66
B	64	14	108	16
C	175	40	122	18
Hepatitis B infection				
No	217	49	576	85
Yes	25	6	33	5
Not tested	200	45	65	10
Body mass index (kg/m²)				
Median	19.9		22.1	
IQR	14.2-26.4		14.4-33.7	
Unknown	294	67	118	18
CD4 count cells/mm³				
Median	111.5		260	
IQR	2-707		2-1078	
CD4 percent				
Median	8.1		16.3	
IQR	0.3-33.9		0.33-43.3	
HIV viral load (copies/mL)				
Median	93300		79312.5	
IQR	14636-360900		21210-253920	
Not tested	283	64	62	9
Haemoglobin level (g/dL)				
Median	12.2		13.6	

Characteristics	TAHOD	%	Aquitaine	%
No.	442		674	
IQR	7-17		6.7-17.1	
Not tested	84	19	0	0
Hepatitis C infection				
No	187	42	493	73
Yes	27	6	106	16
Not tested	228	52	75	11

Note: CD4 count= CD4+ T-cell count/mm³, CD4 percent= CD4+ T-cell percentage, TAHOD= Treat Asia HIV Observational Database, BMI= Body Mass Index measured as weight in kg divided by square of height in meters, MSM= Men who have sex with men, IDU= Intravenous drug users, IQR= Inter-quartile range.

Table 2a

Mean Total lymphocyte count count (cells/mm³) from TAHOD and Aquitaine patients, stratified by CD4%.

CD4% strata	TAHOD		Aquitaine	
	N	Mean TLC (SD)	N	Mean TLC (SD)
<5	141	1146.1 (676.6)	79	1026.1 (652.1)
5-<10	120	1514.7 (805.4)	95	1613.8 (980.5)
10-<15	92	1523.4 (618.1)	130	1769.9 (907)
15-<20	43	1602.4 (697.6)	125	1690.8 (762.1)
20-<25	30	1610.8 (856.4)	96	1681.0 (720.6)
25+	16	1303.9 (532)	149	1700.9 (540.5)
Total	442	1406.3 (733.5)	674	1618.2 (796.6)
p-value* for heterogeneity		0.03		

Table 2b

Mean CD4+ count (cells/mm³) from TAHOD and Aquitaine patients, stratified by CD4%.

CD4% strata	TAHOD		Aquitaine	
	N	Mean CD4+ count (SD)	N	Mean CD4+ count (SD)
<5	141	32.1 (30.8)	79	26.8 (26.2)
5-<10	120	110.2 (58.6)	95	120.9 (77.9)
10-<15	92	187.5 (76)	130	219.7 (115.8)
15-<20	43	268.6 (117.3)	125	294.9 (134.1)
20-<25	30	353.5 (181.1)	96	376.8 (166.5)
25+	16	405.6 (167.1)	149	520.6 (182.5)
Total	442	144.0 (136.1)	674	286.0 (209.8)
p-value * for heterogeneity		0.001		

* The differences were tested by standard analysis of variance method, where the square roots of TLC and CD4+ counts were used to normalize distributions. TAHOD=Treat Asia HIV Observational Database. TLC= Total Lymphocyte count. CD4+ count = CD4+ T-cell lymphocyte count cells/mm³. CD4%= CD4+ T-cell percentage. SD=Standard Deviation.