Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Psychiatry. 2009 May ; 70(5): 732–740. doi:10.4088/JCP.08m04821.

The CBCL-Pediatric Bipolar Disorder Profile Predicts a Subsequent Diagnosis of Bipolar Disorder and Associated Impairments in ADHD Youth Growing Up: A Longitudinal Analysis

Joseph Biederman, M.D., Carter Petty, M.A., Michael C. Monuteaux, Sc.D., Margaret Evans, B.A., Tiffany Parcell, B.S., Stephen V. Faraone, Ph.D., and Janet Wozniak, M.D.

Clinical and Research Program in Pediatric Psychopharmacology (Drs. Biederman, Monuteaux, Wozniak, Mr. Petty, Ms. Evans, and Ms. Parcell); Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (Drs. Biederman, Mick, Monuteaux, and Wozniak); and Departments of Psychiatry and Neuroscience and Physiology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY (Dr. Faraone).

Abstract

Objective—To examine the predictive utility of the Child Behavior Checklist Pediatric Bipolar Disorder (CBCL-PBD) profile to help identify children at risk for bipolar disorder.

Methods—Subjects were ascertained from two identically designed longitudinal case-control family studies of boys and girls with ADHD. Based on data from the baseline assessment, ADHD subjects without a lifetime diagnosis of bipolar disorder were stratified by the presence (CBCL-PBD Positive; N=28) or absence (CBCL-PBD Negative N=176) of a CBCL-Pediatric Bipolar Disorder (PBD) score ≥ 210 (total of Attention, Aggression, and Anxious/Depressed subscales). Subjects were comprehensively assessed at follow-up with structured psychiatric interviews.

Results—Over an average follow up period of 7.4 years, a positive CBCL-PBD score predicted subsequent diagnoses of bipolar disorder, major depression and conduct disorder, as well as impaired psychosocial functioning and higher risk for psychiatric hospitalization.

Conclusion—This work suggests that a positive CBCL-PBD score based on the elevations on the Attention Problems, Aggressive Behavior, and Anxious/Depressed subscales predicts subsequent pediatric bipolar disorder and associated syndrome congruent impairments. If confirmed in other studies, the CBCL-PBD score has the potential to help identify children at high risk to develop bipolar disorder.

Address correspondence to: Joseph Biederman, Clinical and Research Program in Pediatric Psychopharmacology Yawkey Center Suite 6A Massachusetts General Hospital, Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114 (617-726-2724).

Financial Disclosures: Dr. Joseph Biederman receives/d research support from, is/has been a speaker for, or is/ has been on the advisory board for the following Pharmaceutical Companies: Janssen, McNeil, Novartis, Shire, UCB Pharma Inc., Alza, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly and Co., Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc., Merck, Organon, Otsuka, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), and National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD).

Dr. Janet Wozniak receives/d research support from, is/has been a speaker for, or is/has been on the advisory board for the following sources: Pfizer, Shire Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly, NIMH, and Janssen.

Dr. Stephen Faraone receives/d research support from, is/has been a speaker for, or is/has been on the advisory board for the following companies: Eli Lilly & Company, McNeil Consumer & Specialty Pharmaceuticals, Shire US Inc., Noven Pharmaceuticals, Cephalon, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS).

Mr. Carter Petty, Dr. Michael Monuteaux, Ms. Margaret Evans, and Ms. Tiffany Parcell report no competing interests.

Keywords

CBCL; Pediatric Bipolar Disorder

Introduction

The proper diagnostic approach to pediatric bipolar disorder continues to be the focus of debate.^{1–11} Although the DSM-IV provides explicit criteria for bipolar disorder in adults, disagreement remains as to how best apply these criteria to children and adolescents.^{2,12–15}

Because the empirically derived Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) is a questionnaire that does not require clinician administration, it is less likely to be affected by clinical traditions, interviewer training, or clinical interpretations. Thus, our group and others have suggested that it could offer an unbiased approach for screening complicated cases suspected of having bipolar disorder.^{16–19} Several groups have shown that children with a deviant profile on the CBCL on the Attention Problems (AP), Aggressive Behavior (AGG), and Anxious-Depressed (AD) subscales are likely to meet criteria for DSM-bipolar-I disorder in both epidemiological and clinical samples.^{19–24} This profile has been referred to as the CBCL-pediatric bipolar disorder profile (CBCL-PBD).¹⁹

Faraone et al.¹⁷ evaluated the diagnostic efficiency of the CBCL- PBD profile in ADHD youth. They found that the CBCL- PBD profile allowed for the determination of both lifetime and current diagnoses of bipolar disorder in ADHD youth and their siblings. In a recent set of studies Hudziak et al.¹⁸ used a general population sample of over 21,000 twins to assess the validity of the CBCL- PBD profile. The CBCL-PBD profile was highly heritable and had a population prevalence consistent with epidemiologic studies of bipolar disorder (~1%) of boys and girls.²⁵ However, since some investigators failed to find meaningful associations between the CBCL-PBD profile and a diagnosis of pediatric bipolar disorder^{26,27} additional research on the subject is needed.

One approach to further investigate the utility of the CBCL-PBD profile is to evaluate its predictive utility. Such findings may help clinicians in the community focus scarce resources toward children at very high risk for compromised outcomes. Being able to predict a subsequent diagnosis of pediatric bipolar disorder could translate into improved recognition and therapeutics in children at risk for a very serious psychiatric disorder. To date, only one study has investigated the long-term outcomes of youth with the CBCL-PBD phenotype. In a longitudinal high-risk study, Meyer and colleagues²⁸ found that the CBCL-PBD phenotype was associated with increased risk for bipolar disorder, anxiety disorders, ADHD, psychosocial impairment, and suicidal thoughts and behaviors.

The main purpose of the present work was to evaluate the predictive utility of the CBCL-PBD profile. To this end we used data from two large longitudinal studies of psychiatrically and pediatrically referred boys and girls with ADHD without a diagnosis of bipolar disorder at baseline.^{29,30} We hypothesized that the CBCL-PBD profile would predict a subsequent diagnosis of BPD in ADHD youth. Since pediatric BPD has been associated with high rates of major depression, conduct disorder, and psychiatric hospitalization,^{31–33} we also predicted that the CBCL-PBD profile would be associated with these compromised outcomes.

Methods

Subjects

Detailed study methodology has been previously described.^{29,30,34,35} Briefly, subjects were derived from two identically designed longitudinal case-control family studies of ADHD. These studies recruited male and female subjects aged 6–18 years with (n=140 boys, n=140 girls) and without (n=120 boys, n=122 girls) DSM-III-R ADHD ascertained from pediatric and psychiatric clinics. Male subjects were assessed at baseline, 1-, 4-, and 10-year follow-ups while female subjects were assessed at baseline and a 5-year follow-up. Potential subjects were excluded if they had been adopted, or if their nuclear family was not available for study. We also excluded potential subjects if they had major sensorimotor handicaps (paralysis, deafness, blindness), psychosis, autism, inadequate command of the English language, or a Full Scale IQ less than 80. Parents and adult offspring provided written informed consent to participate, and parents also provided consent for offspring under the age of 18. Children and adolescents provided written assent to participate. The human research committee at Massachusetts General Hospital approved this study.

Psychiatric assessments of probands younger than 18 years relied on the epidemiologic version of the Schedule for Affective Disorder and Schizophrenia for Children (Kiddie SADSE).^{36,37} Subjects 18 years of age and older were assessed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM (SCID)^{38,39} (supplemented with modules from the K-SADS-E to assess childhood diagnoses). Diagnoses for this analysis were considered positive if full criteria were met within the past year of the assessment. We interviewed the mothers for all subjects and directly interviewed subjects older than 12 years. We combined data from direct and indirect interviews by considering a diagnostic criterion positive if it was endorsed in either interview. Mothers and children were assessed by interviewers blind to all previous information about the child and family.

The interviewers had undergraduate degrees in psychology and were extensively trained. Based on 500 assessments from interviews of children and adults, the median kappa coefficient for diagnoses was 0.98. Kappa coefficients for individual diagnoses included: ADHD (0.88), CD (1.0), major depression (1.0), mania (0.95), separation anxiety (1.0), agoraphobia (1.0), panic (0.95), and substance use disorder (1.0). We considered a disorder positive if DSM diagnostic criteria were unequivocally met.

A committee of board-certified child and adult psychiatrists who were blind to the subject's ADHD status, referral source, and all other data resolved diagnostic uncertainties. Diagnoses presented for review were considered positive only when the committee determined that diagnostic criteria were met to a clinically meaningful degree. We estimated the reliability of the diagnostic review process by computing kappa coefficients of agreement for clinician reviewers. For these diagnoses, the median reliability between individual clinicians and the review committee assigned diagnoses was 0.87. Kappa coefficients for individual diagnoses included: ADHD (1.0), CD (1.0), major depression (1.0), mania (0.78), separation anxiety (0.89), agoraphobia (0.80), panic (.77), and substance use disorder (1.0).

All assessment personnel were blind to proband diagnosis (ADHD or control) and ascertainment site (psychiatric or pediatric). The diagnosis of major depression was made only if the depressive episode was associated with severe impairment.^{40,41} Since there are many anxiety disorders measured by our structured interviews, we aggregated them into a binary measure coded positive if two or more anxiety disorders were endorsed, and negative otherwise. Two or more anxiety disorders previously provided a reasonable trade-off between case identification and the false positive rate when compared against an independently defined “anxiety standard” in youth with ADHD.⁴² Psychoactive substance

use disorder was defined as any alcohol abuse, alcohol dependence, substance abuse, or substance dependence.

Psychosocial functioning was assessed using the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAF)⁴³ and the Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents.⁴⁴

Socioeconomic status (SES) was measured using the 5-point Hollingshead scale.⁴⁵ At baseline, mothers completed the Child Behavior Checklist.²⁰

Statistical Analysis

The CBCL-PBD score (CBCL-PBD+) was defined as positive if the sum of the CBCL subscales Attention Problems, Aggressive Behavior, and Anxious-Depressed was greater than 210. This cutoff was previously shown to maximize the sensitivity (92%), specificity (93%), positive predictive power (27%), and negative predictive power (100%) when predicting a current diagnosis of bipolar disorder in children with ADHD.¹⁷ We compared ADHD probands with and without a positive CBCL-PBD score on baseline demographic characteristics using t-tests, Pearson's chi-squared, or Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Subjects with a diagnosis of bipolar disorder at baseline were excluded from all analyses. The one-year prevalences of baseline psychiatric disorders were compared using logistic regression controlling for demographic confounders. Binary outcomes variables at follow-up were tested using Cox proportional hazards models, where the failure event was a diagnosis of the disorder in the past year and the failure time was the age at assessment. Subjects who were diagnosed with a disorder in the year prior to the baseline assessment were excluded from analyses predicting that disorder at follow-up. Linear growth curves (multilevel mixed-effects linear regression) were used to test global assessment of functioning (GAF) and the Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents (SAICA) across all assessments. All tests were two-tailed with alpha set at 0.05.

Results

Of 280 probands with ADHD at baseline, 242 had CBCL data. Of these 242 subjects, 22 were dropped because they were diagnosed with bipolar disorder at baseline. Of the remaining 220 subjects, 204 (117 boys, 87 girls) had follow-up data. The average time from the baseline to the last assessment was 7.4 years (standard deviation=3.4 years).

ADHD subjects were stratified based on the presence or absence of a CBCL-PBD score ≥ 210 at baseline and comparisons were made between ADHD subjects with a positive (CBCL-BPD Positive, N=28) and negative (CBCL-BPD Negative, N=176) score. Of the pool of 220 subjects at baseline with CBCL data and without a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, a higher percentage of boys had follow-up data (117/120, 97%) compared to girls (87/100, 87%, $p=0.003$). Subjects assessed at follow-up (N=204) did not significantly differ from subjects lost to follow-up (N=16) on age at baseline ($p=0.35$), socioeconomic status (SES, $p=0.40$), ascertainment source ($p=0.55$), or baseline CBCL-PBD score ($p=0.47$).

Sociodemographic Characteristics

There were no differences between groups on age at baseline, age at last assessment, gender, family intactness, or ascertainment source. However, the CBCL-PBD Positive group had a lower SES compared to the CBCL-PBD Negative group (Table 1). Therefore, we controlled for SES in all subsequent analyses.

As shown in Table 2, at baseline, the CBCL-BPD Positive group had significantly higher rates of major depressive disorder (MDD), oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), and conduct disorder (CD) compared to the CBCL-PBD Negative group (Table 2).

Longitudinal Risk for Psychopathology

As shown in Figure 1, by age 25 years, ADHD subjects with a CBCL-PBD Positive score had a significantly increased risk for bipolar disorder (36% vs. 22%, $p=0.04$), major depression (56% vs. 29%, $p<0.001$), and conduct disorder (60% vs. 27%, $p=0.006$) compared to subjects with a CBCL-PBD Negative score. In contrast, the risks for developing multiple (≥ 2) anxiety disorders, oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), psychoactive substance use disorder (PSUD), and smoking at follow-up were not significantly different between the two groups (all $p>0.10$, Figure 2).

Psychosocial Functioning

The CBCL-PBD Positive group had more impaired GAF and SAICA scores compared to the CBCL-PBD Negative group at baseline that persisted into follow-up assessments (both $p<0.001$, Figure 3). There was no significant interaction of age and group ($p=0.06$) in SAICA scores. In addition, ADHD subjects with a CBCL-PBD Positive score had an increased risk for psychiatric hospitalization compared to subjects with a CBCL-PBD Negative score (73% vs. 13% by age 25, $p<0.001$, Figure 4). Mood disorders were involved in nearly all hospitalizations at follow-up (18/20, 90%).

Discussion

This study evaluated the prognostic utility of the CBCL-PBD profile as a predictor of a subsequent diagnosis of bipolar disorder in children with ADHD. Consistent with our study hypothesis, we found that a positive CBCL-PBD score predicted a subsequent diagnosis of bipolar disorder and syndrome congruent outcomes including major depression and conduct disorder as well as impaired psychosocial functioning and a higher risk for psychiatric hospitalization. These longitudinal results support the utility of the CBCL-PBD score to predict a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and syndrome congruent associated impairments in ADHD youth.

The finding that the CBCL-PBD profile has predictive value provides further support for the utility of this profile to help identify children at high risk for bipolar disorder. This profile has been previously shown to have high diagnostic efficiency to predict a current diagnoses of bipolar disorder,¹⁷ and has been replicated across multiple age groups, multiple treatment settings, and multiple cultures.^{21–24,46,47}

The CBCL-PBD score also predicted subsequent major depression, conduct disorder, poor psychosocial outcomes, and psychiatric hospitalization, all of which are syndromic features consistent with a diagnosis of pediatric bipolar disorder. For example, major depression is a syndrome congruent expression of bipolar disorder.^{31,48,49} Likewise, the finding that the CBCL-PBD score predicted subsequent diagnoses of conduct disorder is also congruent with the diagnosis of pediatric BPD. A high and bidirectional overlap between pediatric bipolar disorder and conduct disorder has been documented in studies of both children with bipolar disorder and children with conduct disorder.^{32,50} Also syndrome congruent with the diagnosis of pediatric bipolar disorder is the finding that the CBCL-PBD score was predictive of compromised psychosocial outcomes and psychiatric hospitalization, adverse outcomes previously documented in studies of youth with bipolar disorder.^{33,51,52} Psychiatric hospitalization was the strongest association found in our analysis, and because 90% of the hospitalizations involved mood disorders, the CBCL-PBD score may be particularly suited for identifying those children at risk for developing severely impairing mood disorders.

Although Volk et al.²⁷ failed to find a cross-sectional association between the CBCL-PBD profile and structured interview based diagnoses of pediatric BPD using data from a

population-based pediatric twin sample, children with a positive CBCL-PBD subjects had more oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), conduct disorder (CD), and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and more frequently endorsed suicidal behaviors. This is consistent with our finding that ADHD subjects with a CBCL-PBD Positive score had higher rates of ODD and CD at baseline, which is also well documented by prior studies.^{53,54} Volk et al.²⁷ may not have found a significant association between the CBCL-PBD profile and pediatric BPD due to the low rate of bipolar disorder in their sample. The CBCL-PBD profile in Volk et al.²⁷ study was heritable and associated with the number of dopamine transporter (DAT1) 9-repeat 3' untranslated region alleles, a region recently associated with pediatric bipolar disorder.

Two other studies did not find a cross-sectional association between the CBCL-BPD profile and bipolar disorder. In the negative study by McGough et al.⁵⁵ the CBCL-PBD phenotype was associated with generalized anxiety disorder, ODD, and CD. The negative study by Youngstrom et al.²⁶ used data from a large sample derived from six urban community mental health centers (N=3086). Their negative findings could have been due to their reliance on archival data and limited emphasis on operationalized diagnostic algorithms. In addition, Youngstrom et al.'s sample was 42% African American, whereas 99% of our sample was Caucasian, which may have accounted for some of the differences between the studies. More work is needed to help reconcile these discrepant findings.

Although there is some disagreement among the cross-sectional studies, our study and the only other longitudinal study available both find that the CBCL-PBD phenotype predicts subsequent bipolar disorder and other adverse outcomes. Meyer et al.²⁸ found that in childhood and adolescence the CBCL-PBD phenotype was associated with anxiety disorders, disruptive behavior disorders, MDD/dysthymia, suicidal ideation, and suicidal attempt.²⁸ It was not until the young adult follow-up (average age=21.7 years) that the CBCL-PBD phenotype was found to predict bipolar disorder.²⁸ Taken together with our findings, these results suggest that even in the absence of a current diagnosis of pediatric BPD, a positive CBCL-PBD profile may be indicative of a future risk for bipolar disorder in children with a positive profile.

Because the majority of subjects who had a positive CBCL-PBD score did not develop bipolar disorder, and a positive CBCL-PBD score was also associated with subsequent MDD and CD, some may question the naming of this "bipolar disorder" profile. We use the name CBCL-PBD to be consistent with the previous literature^{16,27,28,55-58} and due to the current findings that a positive CBCL-PBD score is a significant risk factor for bipolar disorder. However, we emphasize that while the CBCL-PBD profile could be useful to help identify children at risk for BPD, clinicians should not use the CBCL to make a diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Clearly, the diagnosis of pediatric bipolar disorder is a complicated and non-trivial enterprise. It involves careful examination of the child and parental reporting of the child's history, as well as information on family history and life charting to help clarify a diagnosis. Unfortunately, there continues to be debate in the field about the best diagnostic definition of pediatric bipolar disorder,⁷ which is influenced by clinical traditions, interview methods and differing interpretations of the nature of a mood episode. We expect that advances in the field and the refinements to come with DSM-V will improve the diagnostic process. However, for clinicians who are not skilled in diagnosing bipolar disorder, the CBCL-PBD can identify children who should be referred to an expert diagnostician. At a very minimum, the CBCL-PBD score could alert the clinician that the child is at risk for serious adverse psychopathological outcomes.

Our findings should be evaluated in light of some methodological limitations. We examined only the CBCL-PBD profile as a predictor of subsequent bipolar disorder. Other screening

instruments have effectively discriminated pediatric bipolar disorder cases from non-cases^{59,60}, and future studies should examine their longitudinal utility. The CBCL remains an attractive tool for identifying children at risk for bipolar disorder due to its ease of administration, brevity, and reliability.²⁰ In clinical practice it may be useful to probe some items of the CBCL and re-score them according to clinical judgment. However, our CBCL scores were based solely on the mother's scoring, thereby using a standardized assessment procedure that ensures rigorous comparison to other studies using the same research methods.²⁰ This raises the possibility of additional variability between sites that do and do not re-score CBCL items. Because the sample consisted of youth with ADHD, uncertainties remain as to whether our finding will generalize outside the context of ADHD. Since subjects were referred, the findings may not generalize to community samples. Since subjects were Caucasians, findings may not generalize to other ethnic groups. Children younger than 12 years of age were not directly interviewed, which may have led to underestimates of psychopathology, especially for internalizing disorders. Although raters administering the structured diagnostic interviews were highly selected, trained and supervised, they were not clinicians. Although our assessment methods may not elicit the same quality of information as of clinician interviews, in prior work we have shown 90 percent agreement between expert clinician-derived diagnoses of pediatric bipolar disorder and the structured interview diagnoses of non-clinical raters.⁶¹ Differing diagnostic cultures could account for the different findings of studies at various institutions.

Despite these limitations, this work suggests that the CBCL-PBD score based on the elevations on the Attention Problems, Aggressive Behavior, and Anxious/Depressed subscales is predictive of pediatric bipolar disorder and associated impairments. If confirmed in other studies, the CBCL-PBD score has the potential to be a useful screening instrument to help identify children at high risk to develop bipolar disorder.

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the National Institute of Mental Health grant R01HD036317 and R03MH079954.

REFERENCES

1. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Keenan K, Tsuang MT. Evidence of familial association between attention deficit disorder and major affective disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1991; 48(7):633–642. [PubMed: 2069494]
2. Geller B, Luby J. Child and adolescent bipolar disorder: A review of the past 10 years. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997; 36(Sept.):1168–1176. [PubMed: 9291717]
3. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Mick E, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and juvenile mania: An overlooked comorbidity? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1996; 35(8):997–1008. [PubMed: 8755796]
4. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Mennin D, Russell RL. Bipolar and antisocial disorders among relatives of ADHD children: Parsing familial subtypes of illness. *Am J Med Genet*. 1998; 81(1):108–116. [PubMed: 9514596]
5. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Mennin D, Wozniak J, Spencer T. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with bipolar disorder: a familial subtype? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997; 36(10):1378–1387. discussion 1387–1390. [PubMed: 9334551]
6. Weller E, Weller R, Fristad M. Bipolar disorder in children: Misdiagnosis, underdiagnosis, and future directions. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1995; 34(6):709–714. [PubMed: 7608043]
7. Biederman J, Klein RG, Pine DS, Klein DF. Resolved: Mania is mistaken for ADHD in prepubertal children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1998; 37(10): 1091–1096. discussion 1096–1099. [PubMed: 9785721]

8. Faedda G, Baldessarini R, Suppes T, Tondo L, Becker I, Lipschitz D. Pediatric-onset bipolar disorder: A neglected clinical and public health problem. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*. 1995; 3(4): 171–195. [PubMed: 9384947]
9. Biederman J, Mick E, Faraone SV, Spencer T, Wilens TE, Wozniak J. Pediatric Mania: A developmental subtype of bipolar disorder? *Biological Psychiatry*. 2000; 48(6):458–466. [PubMed: 11018219]
10. Faraone SV, Biederman J, Wozniak J, Mundy E, Mennin D, O'Donnell D. Is comorbidity with ADHD a marker for juvenile onset mania? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997; 36(8):1046–1055. [PubMed: 9256584]
11. Biederman J, Munir K, Knee D, et al. High rate of affective disorders in probands with attention deficit disorder and in their relatives: A controlled family study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1987; 144(3):330–333. [PubMed: 3826433]
12. Coyle JT, Pine DS, Charney DS, et al. Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance Consensus Statement on the Unmet Needs in Diagnosis and Treatment of Mood Disorders in Children and Adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2003; 42(12): 1494–1503. [PubMed: 14627885]
13. Wozniak J, Biederman J, Kiely K, et al. Mania-like symptoms suggestive of childhood onset bipolar disorder in clinically referred children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1995; 34(7):867–876. [PubMed: 7649957]
14. Leibenluft E, Charney D, Towbin K, Bhangoo R, Pine D. Defining clinical phenotypes of juvenile mania. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(3):430–437. [PubMed: 12611821]
15. Ghaemi SN, Bauer M, Cassidy F, et al. Diagnostic guidelines for bipolar disorder: a summary of the International Society for Bipolar Disorders Diagnostic Guidelines Task Force Report. *Bipolar Disord*. 2008 Feb; 10(1 Pt 2):117–128. [PubMed: 18199230]
16. Althoff RR, Rettew DC, Faraone SV, Boomsma DI, Hudziak JJ. Latent class analysis shows strong heritability of the CBCL-juvenile bipolar phenotype. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006
17. Faraone SV, Althoff RR, Hudziak JJ, Monuteaux MC, Biederman J. The CBCL predicts DSM bipolar disorder in children: A receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2005; 7(6):518–524. [PubMed: 16403177]
18. Hudziak J, Althoff RR, Rettew DC, Derks EM, Faraone SV. The prevalence and genetic architecture of CBCL-juvenile bipolar disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2005; 58(7):562–568. [PubMed: 16239161]
19. Mick E, Biederman J, Pandina G, Faraone SV. A preliminary meta-analysis of the child behavior checklist in pediatric bipolar disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2003; 53(11):1021–1027. [PubMed: 12788247]
20. Achenbach, TM. Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist/4–18 and the 1991 Profile. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Department of Psychiatry; 1991.
21. Wals M, Hillegers MH, Reichart CG, Ormel J, Nolen WA, Verhulst FC. Prevalence of psychopathology in children of a bipolar parent. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2001; 40(9):1094–1102. [PubMed: 11556634]
22. Carlson G, Kelly K. Manic symptoms in psychiatrically hospitalized children-what do they mean? *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 1998; 51:123–135. [PubMed: 10743845]
23. Geller B, Warner K, Williams M, Zimmerman B. Prepubertal and young adolescent bipolarity versus ADHD: assessment and validity using the WASH-U-KSADS, CBCL and TRF. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 1998; 51:93–100. [PubMed: 10743842]
24. Hazell P, Lewin T, Carr V. Confirmation that Child Behavior Checklist clinical scales discriminate juvenile mania from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*. 1999; 35:199–203. [PubMed: 10365361]
25. Lewinsohn P, Klein D, Seeley J. Bipolar disorders in a community sample of older adolescents: Prevalence, phenomenology, comorbidity, and course. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1995; 34(4):454–463. [PubMed: 7751259]
26. Youngstrom E, Youngstrom JK, Starr M. Bipolar diagnoses in community mental health: Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist profiles and patterns of comorbidity. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005 Oct 1; 58(7):569–575. [PubMed: 15950197]

27. Volk HE, Todd RD. Does the child behavior checklist juvenile bipolar disorder phenotype identify bipolar disorder? *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007 Jul 15; 62(2):115–120. [PubMed: 16950211]
28. Meyer SE, Carlson GA, Youngstrom E, et al. Long-term outcomes of youth who manifested the CBCL-Pediatric Bipolar Disorder phenotype during childhood and/or adolescence. *J Affect Disord*. 2008
29. Biederman J, Monuteaux M, Mick E, et al. Young Adult Outcome of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Controlled 10 year Prospective Follow-Up Study. *Psychological Medicine*. 2006; 36(2):167–179. [PubMed: 16420713]
30. Biederman J, Monuteaux M, Mick E, et al. Psychopathology in females with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A controlled, five-year prospective study. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006; 60(10):1098–1105. [PubMed: 16712802]
31. Strober M, Carlson G. Bipolar illness in adolescents with major depression: Clinical, genetic, and psychopharmacologic predictors in a three- to four-year prospective follow-up investigation. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1982; 39:549–555. [PubMed: 7092488]
32. Biederman J, Petty CR, Dolan C, et al. The long-term longitudinal course of oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder in ADHD boys: findings from a controlled 10-year prospective longitudinal follow-up study. *Psychol Med*. 2008 Jan 21.:1–10.
33. Wozniak J, Spencer T, Biederman J, et al. The clinical characteristics of unipolar versus bipolar major depression in ADHD youth. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2004; 82(Suppl 1):S59–S69. [PubMed: 15571791]
34. Biederman J, Faraone SV, Mick E, et al. Clinical correlates of ADHD in females: findings from a large group of girls ascertained from pediatric and psychiatric referral sources. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1999; 38(8):966–975. [PubMed: 10434488]
35. Biederman J, Faraone S, Milberger S, et al. A prospective 4-year follow-up study of attention-deficit hyperactivity and related disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1996; 53(5):437–446. [PubMed: 8624187]
36. Orvaschel H. Psychiatric interviews suitable for use in research with children and adolescents. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1985; 21(4):737–745. [PubMed: 4089105]
37. Orvaschel, H. Schedule for Affective Disorder and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children Epidemiologic Version. 5th Edition ed.. Ft. Lauderdale: Nova Southeastern University, Center for Psychological Studies; 1994.
38. Spitzer, RL.; Williams, JB.; Gibbon, M.; First, MB. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R: Non-Patient Edition (SCID-NP, Version 1.0). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1990.
39. First, M.; Spitzer, R.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, J. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders. Washington, D.C: American Psychiatric Press; 1997.
40. Gershon ES, Hamovit J, Guroff JJ, et al. A family study of schizoaffective, bipolar I, bipolar II, unipolar, and normal control probands. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1982; 39(10):1157–1167. [PubMed: 7125846]
41. Weissman MM, Leckman JF, Merikangas KR, Gammon GD, Prusoff BA. Depression and anxiety disorders in parents and children: Results from the Yale Family Study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1984; 41:845–852. [PubMed: 6466043]
42. Mennin D, Biederman J, Mick E, Faraone SV. Towards defining a meaningful anxiety phenotype for research in ADHD children. *Journal of Attention Disorders*. 2000; 3(4):192–199.
43. Association AP. DSM-IV Sourcebook. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
44. John K, Gammon GD, Prusoff BA, Warner V. The Social Adjustment Inventory for Children and Adolescents (SAICA): Testing of a new semistructured interview. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1987; 26(6):898–911. [PubMed: 3429410]
45. Hollingshead, AB. Four Factor Index of Social Status. New Haven: Yale Press; 1975.
46. Biederman J, Wozniak J, Kiely K, et al. CBCL clinical scales discriminate prepubertal children with structured-interview derived diagnosis of mania from those with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1995; 34(4):464–471. [PubMed: 7751260]

47. Dienes KA, Chang KD, Blasey CM, Adleman NE, Steiner H. Characterization of children of bipolar parents by parent report CBCL. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2002; 36(5):337–345. [PubMed: 12127602]
48. Akiskal H, Maser J, Zeller P, et al. Switching from "unipolar" to bipolar II. *Archives of General Psychiatry.* 1995; 52:114–123. [PubMed: 7848047]
49. Coryell W, Endicott J, Maser J, Keller M, Leon A, Akiskal H. Long-term stability of polarity distinctions in the affective disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry.* 1995:152.
50. Biederman J, Mick E, Wozniak J, Monuteaux M, Galdo M, Faraone S. Can a subtype of conduct disorder linked to bipolar disorder be identified? Integration of findings from the Massachusetts General Hospital Pediatric Psychopharmacology Research Program. *Biological Psychiatry.* 2003; 53(11):952–960. [PubMed: 12788240]
51. Biederman J, Faraone S, Hatch M, Mennin D, Taylor A, George P. Conduct disorder with and without mania in a referred sample of ADHD children. *Journal of Affective Disorders.* 1997; 44(2–3):177–188. [PubMed: 9241578]
52. Goldstein TR, Birmaher B, Axelson D, et al. Psychosocial functioning among bipolar youth. *J Affect Disord.* 2008 Aug 18.
53. Biederman, J.; Faraone, SV.; Wozniak, J.; Monuteaux, M. Parsing the association between bipolar, conduct, and substance use disorders. American Psychiatric Association 2004 Annual Meeting; APA; New York, NY. 2004.
54. Kovacs M, Pollock M. Bipolar disorder and comorbid conduct disorder in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.* 1995; 34(6): 715–723. [PubMed: 7608044]
55. McGough JJ, Loo SK, McCracken JT, et al. CBCL Pediatric Bipolar Disorder Profile and ADHD: Comorbidity and Quantitative Trait Loci Analysis. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2008 Aug 21;47(10)
56. Diler RS, Uguz S, Seydaoglu G, Avci A. Mania profile in a community sample of prepubertal children in Turkey. *Bipolar Disord.* 2008 Jun; 10(4):546–553. [PubMed: 18452451]
57. Holtmann M, Bolte S, Goth K, et al. Prevalence of the Child Behavior Checklist-pediatric bipolar disorder phenotype in a German general population sample. *Bipolar Disord.* 2007 Dec; 9(8):895–900. [PubMed: 18076540]
58. Zepf FD, Wockel L, Poustka F, Holtmann M. Diminished 5-HT functioning in CBCL pediatric bipolar disorder-profiled ADHD patients versus normal ADHD: susceptibility to rapid tryptophan depletion influences reaction time performance. *Hum Psychopharmacol.* 2008 Jun; 23(4):291–299. [PubMed: 18421802]
59. Youngstrom EA, Findling RL, Calabrese JR, et al. Comparing the Diagnostic Accuracy of Six Potential Screening Instruments for Bipolar Disorder in Youths Aged 5 to 17 Years. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2004 Jul; 43(7):847–858. [PubMed: 15213586]
60. Youngstrom E, Meyers O, Demeter C, et al. Comparing diagnostic checklists for pediatric bipolar disorder in academic and community mental health settings. *Bipolar Disord.* 2005 Dec; 7(6):507–517. [PubMed: 16403176]
61. Wozniak J, Monuteaux M, Richards J, Lail K, Faraone SV, Biederman J. Convergence between structured diagnostic interviews and clinical assessment on the diagnosis of pediatric-onset bipolar disorder. *Biological Psychiatry.* 2003; 53(11):938–944. [PubMed: 12788238]

Figure 1.
Risk for mood and conduct disorders by CBCL-PBD score.

Figure 2.
Risk for psychopathology by CBCL-PBD score.

Figure 3.
Psychosocial functioning over time by CBCL-PBD score

Figure 4.
Risk for Hospitalization

Table 1 Demographics. Mean $\pm$ standard deviation or N(%)

	CBCL-PBD Negative	CBCL-PBD Positive	Test Statistic	p-value
	N=176	N=28		
Age at baseline	10.9 $\pm$ 3.1	10.4 $\pm$ 2.9	$t_{(202)}=0.88$	p=0.38
Age at last assessment	18.7 $\pm$ 4.5	17.9 $\pm$ 4.3	$t_{(202)}=0.83$	p=0.41
Gender (male)	101 (57)	16 (57)	$\chi^2_{(1)}=0.00$	p=0.98
Socioeconomic status	1.8 $\pm$ 0.9	2.3 $\pm$ 1.0	$z=2.62$	p=0.009
Intact family	134 (76)	21 (75)	$\chi^2_{(1)}=0.00$	p=0.98
Psychiatric ascertainment source	85 (48)	14 (50)	$\chi^2_{(1)}=0.03$	p=0.87

Table 2

One-year prevalence of psychiatric disorders at baseline.

	CBCL-PBD Negative	CBCL-PBD Positive	Test Statistic	p-value
	N=176	N=28		
	N (%)	N (%)		
Subthreshold bipolar disorder	5 (3)	3 (11)	z=1.56	p=0.12
Major depressive disorder	20 (11)	9 (32)	z=2.32	p=0.02
Multiple (≥ 2) anxiety disorders	39 (22)	10 (36)	z=1.27	p=0.20
Oppositional defiant disorder	72 (41)	24 (86)	z=3.90	p<0.001
Conduct disorder	12 (7)	8 (29)	z=3.10	p=0.002