Published in final edited form as:

J Nat Prod. 2011 March 25; 74(3): 402–410. doi:10.1021/np100798q.

Unraveling the Bastarane and Isobastarane Oximo Amide Configurations and Associated Macrocycle Conformations – Implications of Their Influence on Bioactivities†

Wayne D. Inman* and Phillip Crews*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064

Abstract

Our rigorous re-examination of the conformational properties of bastadins that comprise the isobastarane and bastarane-type macrocycle has generated some interesting new insights. We determined that these macrocycles are flexible and possess a surprising degree of reflection symmetry that generates enantiomeric conformations. The macrocycle symmetry arises from its ability to twist in a disrotatory fashion providing one set of conformers, and then twists with the opposite disrotation to generate a corresponding set of enantiomers. Overall, the isobastarane conformations for (*E,E*)-bastadin 19 (**1a**) are complex and can access several distinct ring conformations. In contrast, the bastarane macrocycle in bastadin 5 (**2**) and bastadin 6 (**3**) maintains a similar overall shape. We postulate that the short-term stability of the (*Z*)-oximo amide, an uncommon configuration found in bastadins and psammaplins, is due to the existence of conformers with intramolecular hydrogen bonds involving the (*Z*)-oxime, and hydrogen bonding impedes oxime isomerization to the more stable (*E*)-oximo amide in solution. Finally, the modeling results provided insights toward understanding the different anti-proliferative activity against endothelial cells as well as Ca²⁺ channel modulation activities attributed to bastaranes **2** and **3** versus isobastarane **1a**.

The oxime functional group, while an important organic chemistry synthon,¹ is uncommon in natural products. This moiety may exist as an *E* or *Z* diastereomer and undergoes acid-catalyzed or nucleophile-mediated isomerization in solution.² Natural products containing a (*Z*)-oxime, derived from tyrosine or *p*-phenylglycine and embedded in an 2-oximo amide or 2-oximo acid/ester moiety, are uncommon. Nocardicin A, a β -lactam including a (*Z*)-oximo amide, was isolated from the actinomycete *Nocardia uniformis* along with the minor *E* isomer, nocardicin B.^{3, 4} Nocardicins A and B were reported as a separable mixture and did not isomerize in solution. Conversely, psammaplins and bastadins are typically reported with the (*E*)-oximo amide configuration.^{5–7} The 2-oximo acids and esters are exceptionally rare in nature. (*E*)-2-(Oximo)-3-phenylpropanoic acid and 2-(oximo)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid have been reported from marine sponges.^{8, 9} The fungal metabolite JBIR-25 was reported to contain exclusively the (*E*)-oximo ester,¹⁰ contrary to what appears to be the identical compound assigned to aspergillusol A, reported simultaneously in the literature with the *Z* configuration.¹¹

†Dedicated to Dr. Koji Nakanishi of Columbia University for his pioneering work on bioactive natural products.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 831-459-2603. Fax: 831-459-2935. winman@ucsc.edu; phil@chemistry.ucsc.edu.

Supporting Information Available: A figure illustrating the modeling results for (*E,Z*)- psammaplin A is provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The factors responsible for the divergent patterns in the isolation of natural product containing (*Z*)-oximo amides or esters, as either a mixture or a single diastereomer, are not well understood. Over 20 years ago we reported (*Z,Z*)-psammaplin A,⁵ whereas Schmitz observed the fleeting presence of (*E,Z*)-psammaplin A along with the *Z,Z* isomer from the same marine sponge.⁶ Schmitz postulated “that either *E,Z* (or the *Z,Z* isomer thereof) is the natural metabolite and that isomerization during extraction, extract storage, and/or chromatography results in *E,E* being isolated as the predominant product.” Until recently, all bastadin metabolites have been reported with the (*E,E*)-dioximo amide configuration. The single exception is represented in our recent description of the known (*E,E*)-bastadin 19 (**1a**), accompanied by (*E,Z*)-bastadin 19 (**1b**).¹² We were intrigued by the rare occurrence of the (*Z*)-oximo amide in psammaplins and bastadins especially given the numerous publications on these metabolites, whereas biosynthetic work on nocardicins revealed the oxime biosynthetic pathway proceeded *syn* to the amide yielding a (*Z*)-oximo amide.^{13,14} We determined the solution stability of the oximo amide isomers of bastadin 19, supported with molecular modeling studies of a hypothetical model compound, and proposed that bastadin and psammaplin metabolites are produced with the (*Z*)-oximo amide in a similar fashion as in nocardicin A.¹² The (*Z*)-oxime subsequently isomerizes to the more thermodynamically stable *E* isomer in solution.

Here we report on the molecular modeling studies on the intact bastadin macrocycle in order to understand the disparate observations reported for natural products containing *Z* and/or (*E*)-oximo amides. Some details regarding the bastadins are important to review. The bastadins contain a 28-member macrocycle that is commonly divided into either a bastarane or isobastarane based on the hydroxy-ether linkage in the northern bromocatechol bromophenyl ether. Bastadin 5 (**2**) and 6 (**3**) comprise the more common bastarane macrocycle, whereas bastadin 19 (**1a-1d**), a constitutional isomer of bastadin 5, represents the isobastarane-type. Previous molecular modeling studies on **1a**, **2**, and **3** by Kobayashi reported the bastarane macrocycle was moderately rigid, whereas, the isobastarane macrocycle was flexible.^{15,16} By contrast, Molinski reported the macrocycle in **2** was flexible based on NMR experiments.¹⁷ Perplexed by these divergent views, we were inspired to further examine this phenomenon utilizing NMR and molecular modeling

calculations. The results of this study support our previous hypothesis regarding the (Z)-oxime biosynthetic proposal. We now postulate that the short-term stability of the (Z)-oximo amide is due to the existence of conformers with an intramolecular hydrogen bond involving the (Z)-oxime, and hydrogen bonding impedes isomerization to the more stable (E)-oximo amide in solution. The conformational details gained from molecular modeling also provided insights on how the macrocycle conformation may affect anti-proliferative activity against endothelial cells and as Ca²⁺ channel modulators.

Results and Discussion

The first step in this study involved rigorous conformational analysis through molecular modeling of the four bastadin 19 isomers consisting of (*E,E*)-bastadin 19 (**1a**), (*E,Z*)-bastadin 19 (**1b**), (*Z,E*)-bastadin 19 (**1c**), and (*Z,Z*)-bastadin 19 (**1d**). We utilized ¹H NMR data to calibrate the progress of the molecular mechanics and dynamics calculations. One goal was to assess if there was a stabilizing or steric interaction, perhaps from hydrogen bonding in the isobastarane macrocycle that prolonged the lifetime of the (Z)-oximo amide moiety in **1b** while in solution. This isomer was stable for several weeks, even with a trace amount of formic acid present during the purification process. A second element of our design involved the modeling analysis of bastadin 5 (**2**) and bastadin 6 (**3**) to determine if there are any conformational differences between the two types of macrocycles that may explain the lack of any (Z)-oximo amide reported for the more common bastaranes.

Our previous report on the modeling results for the hypothetical compound (*E*)- and (*Z*)-2-(oximo)-*N*-methylpropanamide (OMPA), a simplified model for the oximo amide moiety found in bastadins, utilized the MMX force field.⁹ We have now found the MMX force field with the hydrogen bond term overestimates the contribution of hydrogen bonding.¹⁸ In contrast, the MMFF94 force field provided an energy minimized structure for *s-trans*-(*Z*)-OMPA (Figure 1) with bond lengths within the range reported in comparable crystal structures.¹⁹ For example, the calculated *N*-O bond distance between amide *N* and oxime O was 2.69 Å, within the experimental range of 2.7 – 3.3 Å, along with a hydrogen bond between the amide H and oxime O with distance of 2.04 Å. The dihedral angle $\theta_{N1-C2-C3-C4}$ was 135° due to a 45° twist from planarity caused by non-bonded interactions. A similar hydrogen bond was assigned to the (Z)-oximo amide in nocardicin A, based on the amide ¹H NMR downfield shift of 0.3 ppm compared to the amide resonance in nocardicin B. Alternatively, no hydrogen bonding was detected in the (*E*)-oximo amide.^{20,21} This was the distinction used to elucidate the oxime configuration in nocardicins A and B.

We believe there is no appreciable hydrogen bonding in *s-trans-E*-OMPA, in contrast to *s-trans-Z*-OMPA. As noted above, this is similar to the lack of intramolecular hydrogen bonding reported in nocardicin B ((*E*)-oximo amide). Alternatively, Molinski interpreted the X-ray structure of **4** and proposed a rigid, planar 5-member ring with a strong intramolecular hydrogen bond between the amide NH and the oxime *N*.²² However intermolecular *N*-H---O=C bonds in crystal structures have shown a distinct preference to form near to the direction of the sp² non-bonded electron pair,^{23, 24} and we expect a similar preference would occur in a hydrogen bond for *N*-H---*N*=C. In *s-trans-E*-OMPA there is poor orbital overlap between amide H and the lone pair of the oxime *N*. The optimum angle for a hydrogen bond is $\phi = 60^\circ$ (see Figure 1), however, ϕ is predicted from modeling calculations to be slightly >90° and no significant hydrogen bond would be predicted. The higher calculated energy using the MMFF94 force field for *s-trans-Z*-OMPA versus *s-trans-E*-OMPA (11.9 kJ/mol relative energy difference) is in good agreement with our previously reported **1a-1d** photo and thermal isomerization results.^{12,25} Although the *Z* isomer is stabilized by a hydrogen bond, the dihedral angle $\theta_{N1-C2-C3-C4}$ must twist 45° from planarity

between the π bonds with loss of resonance energy due to non-bonded interactions (see Figure 1), which raise its energy relative to the *E* isomer.

We next examined the ^1H NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants of the methylene and amide protons for **1a-1d** to determine if there was any intramolecular hydrogen bonding between two oximo amides and/or other functional groups within the macrocycle that would impart rigidity.¹² All chemical shifts for each methylene were isochronous, indicative of a flexible macrocycle. Vicinal coupling constants for $\text{H}_2\text{-5}/\text{H}_2\text{-6}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{-20}/\text{H}_2\text{-21}$ displayed an A_2X_2 spin system with averaged coupling constants (6–7 Hz), representative of a rapid dynamic interconversion between two or more *gauche* rotamers. If the macrocycle were rigid then the vicinal protons would display an ABXY spin system with divergent coupling constant values.

The conformational search for **1a**, **1b**, **1c**, and **1d** resulted in 13, 10, 9, and 6 unique diastereomeric conformations, respectively, predicted within a cutoff of 12 kJ/mol of the global minimum for each structure (see Table 1). Surprisingly, each individual conformer for **1a-1d** possessed an enantiomeric form. Graphic illustrations of two global energy minima enantiomeric conformations (**i** and **i'**) for **1a** are shown in Figure 2 along with the direction of the disrotatory twisting motion with respect to the northern and southern regions of the macrocycle that leads to each conformation. The macrocycle symmetry arises from its ability to twist in a disrotatory fashion providing one set of conformers, and then to twist with the opposite disrotation to generate a corresponding set of enantiomers. The perspectives of the superimposed imposition of the two global energy minima enantiomeric conformers (**i** + **i'**) for **1a** are shown in Figure 3. We call this conformational equilibrium between enantiomers “the bastadin dance”! The superimposed view of the 13 conformers for **1a** (Table 1) along with the description of the macrocycle twist direction that characterizes that diastereomeric set is depicted in Figure 4.

An analysis of each conformer for **1a-1d** predicted that each conformer contains one or more intramolecular hydrogen bonds. They are described in Table 1 and their relative contributions to the ensemble vary as a function of the oximo amide configuration. The different hydrogen bonds are coded in Figure 5 as **type-1**: O41---H-N22 (carbonyl O---H amide); **type-2**: O45---H-O40 (carbonyl O---H oxime); **type-3**: O42---H-O47 (phenol O---H oxime) and O13 ---H-O42; **type-4**: O41---H-O47 (carbonyl O---H oxime); as well as **types-2+3** which is a combination of **type-2** and **type-3**.

The five categories of intramolecular hydrogen bonding shown in Figure 5 were used to characterize subsets of the 13 conformers of **1a** and defined the general shape of their ring conformations. In H-bond **type-1** there is a trans-annular hydrogen bond between carbonyl O41 and amide H-N22. Four conformers contain H-bond type-1 and display a small degree of flexibility between two *gauche* rotamers at C5–C6 in addition to 180° rotations of the monobromophenyl ring B'. Alternatively, there are two conformers possessing a trans-annular hydrogen bond between carbonyl O45 carbonyl and oxime H-O40, denoted as H-bond **type-2**, which differ by an out of plane torsion about C2–C3. Another two conformers have H-bond **type-3**, consisting of two hydrogen bonds, one between phenol O42 to oxime H-O47 and the second between phenyl ether O13 to the phenol H-O42. Flexibility in this set involves torsion about oximo amide at C2-C3-N4. Three conformers have H-bond **types-2+3** and flexibility in this set involves *gauche* rotamers about the C5–C6 and C20–C21. Finally, there were two conformers that contained a hydrogen bond from carbonyl O41 to oxime H at O47, denoted as H-bond **type-4**. The macrocycle flexibility in this case was due to ~180° rotation of monobromophenyl ether in ring B'.

A previous modeling study of **1a** predicted the macrocycle to be flexible.¹⁵ However, we believe the degree of flexibility was underestimated as the enantiomeric relationship of the conformers was not found, nor was the diversity of hydrogen bonding types identified. The modeling results for **1a** presented in this study, supported in part by the ¹H NMR data, signify the isobastarane macrocycle is very flexible. Isobastarane **1a** is capable of equilibrating between a total of 26 conformers, comprised of 13 diastereomers that have the same disrotational twist within the macrocycle, and another 13 that are the enantiomers of the first set. Overall, there is considerable flexibility in the northern region containing the B/B' aryl rings, as shown in Figures 4 and 5. Thus, the northern 2'-hydroxy-2,3'-dibromodiphenyl ether (HBDE) adopts different conformations with respect to the macrocycle as well as a wide range of dihedral angles ($\theta_{10(8)-9-13-14}$ and $\theta_{9-13-14-15(19)}$) defining the relationship between the two phenyl rings B and B' (see Table 2). By contrast, there is much less variance in dihedral angles ($\theta_{28(30)-29-32-33}$ and $\theta_{29-32-33-34(38)}$) representing the southern HBDE phenyl rings A and A'. In summary, the superimposed view of the 13 **1a** conformations in Figure 4 illustrates this differential flexibility in the northern versus southern regions of the isobastarane.

Similar modeling results were obtained for **1b-1d** as those of **1a**, and the global minimum energy conformer for the latter was calculated to be the lowest energy of the set. Thus, the relative predicted stability order for this series is **1a** < **1b** < **1c** < **1d**, based on the relative energies for the global minima of 0, 6.5, 8.9 and 16.3 kJ/mol, respectively (Table 1). The calculated pattern agrees with our previous solution stability results where **1b-1d** isomerized to **1a**.¹² Interestingly, while the absolute number of low energy minima within the 12 kJ/mol cutoff decreases in **1a-1d** as the number of Z isomers increase, all exhibit intramolecular hydrogen bonding as defined in Table 1. We believe the key to short-term stability of the (Z)-oximo amide is due to the existence of higher energy conformers with intramolecular hydrogen bonds involving the (Z)-oxime, and hydrogen bonding impedes oxime isomerization to form the lowest energy diastereomer **1a** in solution. A mechanism illustrating acid promoted E/Z oxime isomerization in water² is shown in Figure 6 and illustrates the process of transforming **1b** to **1a** by disruption of H-bond **type-3**. This specific conformation for **1b** contains H-bond **types-2+3** (see entry in Table 1) and is calculated to be 2.3 kJ/mol above the **1b** global minimum (and overall 8.8 kJ/mol above **1a** global minimum). In this case, the hydrogen bond (O42---H-O47) impedes C-N bond rotation to form (E)-oxime and returns the protonated oxime-water adduct to the starting (Z)-oxime. Otherwise, both hydrogen bonds must be severed in the isomerization process.

Extension of this analysis to hydrogen-bonded (Z)-oximes in **1c** and **1d** revealed only conformers with substantially higher minimized energies (>8 kJ/mol above the global minimum) that would not exist to any appreciable degree in solution.²⁶ In **1c**, two conformers have a hydrogen-bonded (Z)-2-oxime and were calculated to be 10.7 and 10.8 kJ/mol above the **1c** global minimum. Similarly, in **1d**, two conformers with hydrogen bonds to (Z,Z)-2,24-dioximes were calculated to be 7.9 and 8.4 kJ/mol above the **1d** global minimum. The high calculated energies for these (Z)-oxime hydrogen-bonded conformers in **1c** and **1d** indicate they would not exist at any appreciable concentration in solution, and the remaining (Z)-oxime conformers have no hydrogen bonding and should readily isomerize to **1a**. This scenario corresponds to our inability to detect **1c** and **1d** during the isolation of **1a** and **1b**.

Modeling results obtained with **1a-1d** provided an important perspective to reexamine psammaplin A and the feasibility of obtaining diastereomeric mixtures consisting of (E,E), (E,Z) and (Z,Z)-dioximo amides. We hypothesized that hydrogen bonding may contribute to prolong the lifetime of the E,Z diastereomer. Therefore, molecular modeling studies with (E,Z)-psammaplin A were undertaken to explore this point. A set of global and local minima

contained an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the hydrogen of the (*Z*)-oxime and the phenol oxygen at the opposing end of the dimer (see Figure S1, Supporting Information), a new twist akin to hydrogen bond **type-3** in the bastadins. In this case, the acyclic chain of the conformers could interconvert between right-handed or left-handed helices. Our calculations were also consistent with the ^1H NMR coupling constants revealing the acyclic chain was flexible in solution.⁷ Thus, in similar fashion as discussed for **1**, the transitory hydrogen bond in the psammaphin A (*Z*)-oxime should prolong its lifetime in solution.

No (*Z*)-oximes have been reported to date for the bastarane-type macrocycles represented by **2–4**. The ^1H NMR coupling constant analysis and modeling results for **2** and **3** also indicate these macrocycles are conformationally mobile. The macrocycle in 5-[^2H]-**2** was reported to be flexible, and the spin system for H-5/H₂-6 displayed an A₂X system from room temperature to $-50\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.¹⁷ Likewise, **3** must be flexible as a similar A₂X₂ system was also reported.²⁷ Our modeling results for **2** and **3** predict the bastarane macrocycle to be flexible. Summarized in Table 3 are the 12 and 8 unique diastereomeric conformers predicted within a 12 kJ/mol cutoff for **2** and **3**, respectively. Also, as in the isobastarane case, each conformer can exist as a pair of enantiomers, and superimposed views of a unique set of diastereomeric conformers having the same disrotatory twist direction in the macrocycle are shown in Figure 7. Both **2** and **3** occupy a similar conformational space and there are fewer conformations for **3** due to the symmetrical dibromophenyl B ring.

Additional observations based on the modeling results for **2** and **3** are the following. (a) The majority of conformers possess H-bond **type-2** (O45---H-O40) which characterizes the general shape of the macrocycle for **2** and **3**. (b) Conformational flexibility occurs mostly in the northern region of the macrocycle, including rotamers about C5–C6 and C20–C21, as well as flexibility about the oximo amide at N22–C23–C24. The dihedral angles defining the relationship between the diphenyl ether rings in the southern and northern HBDEs of **2** and **3** are shown in Table 2. In comparison to **1a**, the southern angles ($\theta_{28(30)-29-32-33}$ and $\theta_{29-32-33-34(38)}$) have similar values and the northern angles ($\theta_{9(11)-10-13-14}$ and $\theta_{10-13-14-15(19)}$) do not vary as widely. (c) Overall, our modeling results for **2** and **3** are counter to a previous modeling study concluding that these bastaranes were moderately rigid.^{15, 16} (d) H-bond **type-3** is not possible in bastaranes due to the alternate phenyl ether linkage and absence of the hydroxy group in the aromatic B ring, and suggests why no (*Z*)-24-oximes have been reported within this more abundant class of bastadins. (e) We found no low energy conformers with the (*Z*)-2-oxime configuration versus that of *E* in **2** or **3**. Thus, building upon the conclusions for **1**, we now propose that in the bastarane series, the initial (*Z*)-oxime biosynthetic product rapidly isomerizes to the more thermodynamically stable *E* isomer because no intramolecular hydrogen bond exists to impede the isomerization.

A final element of our study involved using the conformational properties described above to gain further insights into the biological activities of these structures. The potent and selective anti-proliferative activity by **2** and **3** against human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) was partially attributed to the conformational rigidity in the bastarane macrocycle, whereas only moderate activity was observed for **1a** along with a more flexible macrocycle.¹⁵ Although our results indicate both bastarane and isobastarane macrocycles are flexible, we carried out the conformational analysis of bastadin **4** (**4**) to determine if similar conformational properties exist between **2**, **3**, and **4** as all three have comparable anti-proliferative activity. Starting with the crystal structure atom coordinates²⁷ the modeling results indicated this macrocycle has a more restricted conformational space due to the trans double bond that effectively locked C2–C3–N4–C5–C6 into a planar group, consistent with the reported ^1H NMR coupling constant $^3J_{\text{N4-H5}} = 10.2\text{ Hz}$,²⁷ requiring a dihedral angle of approximately 180° .²⁸ The minimized energies from the conformational

search are listed in Table 3 and once again the conformers are enantiomeric. The superimposed view of one set of diastereomers is shown in Figure 8, and illustrates the elongated macrocycle due to the C2-C3-N4-C5-C6 planarity. The majority of conformers has no intramolecular hydrogen bond and do not resemble the macrocycle conformation for **2** and **3**. Given such substantial differences between the bastarane conformations **2** and **3** compared to **4** yet their similar activities, it is unlikely the overall macrocycle shape found in **2** and **3** is the defining feature for anti-proliferative activity against HUVEC.

The bastadins have also displayed potent ryanodine receptor-1 (RyR1) calcium channel activity. Bastadins **2** and **3** bind to the RyR1-Ca²⁺ channel (EC₅₀ 2.2, 2.6 μM, respectively), whereas **1a** is a weak partial agonist (EC₅₀ >100 μM).^{17, 29} The contrasting activities between **1a** and **2** may be due to the different conformational aspects of the isobastarane versus bastarane macrocycle and their ability to bind to the receptor and affect channel function.²⁹ Although the isobastarane macrocycle in **1a** exhibits a mix of different conformations and H-bond types in comparison to bastarane **2**, **1a** was found to access a similar conformational space found in **2** and **3** as defined by H-bond **type-2**. The superimposed view of the three lowest energy conformers of **2** with **1a** conformers with H-bond **type-2+3** are shown in Figure 9 and indicates the overall macrocycle shapes are similar between each bastadin type. Although all three can be similar in overall shape, the isobastarane macrocycle constrains the northern HBDE in an opposite configuration as found in the bastaranes. Noteworthy differences between **2** and **1a** are observed in the northern HBDE. Specifically, the bromo and hydroxy groups are opposed in the B' rings, and there is an *ortho*-bromo substituent at C-16 in **2** but this position is unsubstituted in **1a**. This different configurational position of atoms in **1a** along with the constraints imposed by the macrocycle may not be optimal for binding or channel function.

Additional bastadin SAR studies have revealed details toward defining the minimum bastadin pharmacophore for the RyR-Ca²⁺ channel. The 2-bromo in HBDE is necessary for activity, whereas the oxime functional group is not.²⁹ Constraint of the diarylether moiety by the macrocycle is not a condition for activity; an acyclic HBDE-oxime analog resembling the A,A' diphenyl ether stimulated Ca²⁺ release via RyR2 (the major brain isoform).³⁰ Recently, hydroxylated metabolites of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (BDEs), commonly used as flame retardants and which pose a neurotoxicological risk, were reported to release Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores in PC12 cells at much lower concentrations than the corresponding parent congeners.^{31, 32} A limited SAR on several hydroxylated BDEs revealed the hydroxy-BDE **5** was more potent than analogs with an additional *ortho* substitution around the hydroxy group such as the HBDE substitution pattern found in the bastadins. A known BDE (**6**), commonly found in marine sponges of the genus *Dysidea*, was recently isolated from a red alga and found to increase intracellular Ca²⁺ in mouse neocortical neurons where the source of elevated Ca²⁺ was exclusively extracellular.³³ The elevated Ca²⁺ from extracellular stores may be due to the dissimilar cell line used in this case or from different actions by distinct BDEs.

Conclusions

We postulate, based on the preceding discussion, that the essential bastadin RyR- Ca^{2+} channel pharmacophore may be the hypothetical molecule 2'-hydroxy-2,6-dibromodiphenyl ether (**7**). This is based on a common diphenyl ether substitution pattern found in bastadins from marine sponges of the genus *Ianthella*. The *ortho*-dibromo substituents (*ortho* with respect to the ether oxygen) drives the two phenyl rings to an orthogonal relationship ($\theta_{2-1-O-1'} = 180^\circ$, $(\theta_{1-O-1'-2'} = 92^\circ)^{34}$ which has been shown to increase potency in SAR studies with PCBs.^{35,36} The non-planar diphenyl relationship in bastadins as a feature of RyR1 activity was also noted.³⁷ In **1a** and **2**, the southern HBDE more closely and consistently attains an orthogonal orientation, whereas the northern HBDE has a larger variance among many of the conformers. The hypothetical molecule 6'-hydroxy-2,2'-dibromodiphenyl ether (**8**), a regioisomer of **7**, may represent a similar or different type of Ca^{2+} modulator in comparison to **7**. Analogs of **8** are commonly found from *Dysidea* marine sponges,³⁸ as well as being the reported metabolites from polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants. In this case the *ortho*-hydroxy-bromo substituents (*ortho* with respect to the ether oxygen) also direct the two phenyl rings toward an orthogonal relationship ($\theta_{2-1-O-1'} = 152^\circ$, $(\theta_{1-O-1'-2'} = 106^\circ)^{34}$ but not as close to orthogonal as in **7**. We believe that future SAR and mechanism of action studies with these two different chemotypes are warranted and may reveal the extent to which they mobilize Ca^{2+} via the RyR- Ca^{2+} channel or by a different mechanism of action.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Such research could be important in understanding the role of BDEs in dysregulation of microsomal Ca^{2+} transport and neurotoxicity.

Our rigorous re-examination of the conformational properties of bastadins that comprise the isobastarane and bastarane-type macrocycle has generated some interesting new insights. We found these macrocycles are flexible and possess a surprising degree of reflection symmetry that generates enantiomeric conformations as exemplified in Figure 3. Overall, the isobastarane conformations for (*E,E*)-bastadin 19 (**1a**) are complex as they can access several distinct ring conformations. In contrast, the bastarane macrocycle in bastadin 5 (**2**) and bastadin 6 (**3**) maintains a similar overall shape. We believe the key to short-term stability of the (*Z*)-oximo amide in **1b** and (*E,Z*)-psammaplin A is due to the existence of conformers with intramolecular hydrogen bonds involving the (*Z*)-oxime. Hydrogen bonding impedes oxime isomerization to form the lower energy *E* isomer in solution. Finally, the modeling results provided insights toward understanding the different anti-proliferative activity against endothelial cells as well as Ca^{2+} channel modulation activities attributed to bastaranes **2** and **3** versus isobastarane **1a**. A model to explain the differing RyR1- Ca^{2+} channel activities between **1a** versus **2** was proposed that showed both

macrocycles could attain a similar overall shape, but constraints of the macrocycle hold the northern 2'-hydroxy-2,3'-dibromophenyl ether (HDBE) in opposite configurations between the macrocycle types. This different configurational position of atoms in **1a** along with the constraints imposed by the macrocycle may not be optimal for binding or channel function.

Experimental Section

Modeling Calculations

Molecular modeling was carried out with PCMODEL, version 7.5 (Serena Software). Molecular dynamics was performed with the leapfrog Verlet algorithm. Typical runs were set up with 50000 time steps (1 fs per time step), sample temperature 300 K, bath temperature 300 K, 1 fs heat transfer time, 300 fs equilibration time, and structures were sampled every 50 fs. The set of 1000 sampled structures were minimized with MMFF94 force field, Steepest Descent then Newton-Raphson gradient, dielectric constant of 1. The MMFF94 force field was used in the present study because of the better fit of predicted versus experimental bond distances obtained with OMPA in comparison to MMX force field. The dynamics-minimization process was repeated using the lowest energy minimized structure from the previous dynamics run until a consistent set of low energy structures were obtained. The sampled structures from a dynamics run were viewed in the Movie view mode, and the macrocycle was observed to undergo a high degree of conformational mobility within each oximo-*N*-phenethyl-3-phenylpropanamide, and with all subgroups (aryls, methylenes, oxime, amide) undergoing complete rotations within the macrocycle. In addition, the torsion angle driving method using $\theta_{C37-C1-C2-C3}$, $\theta_{N4-C5-C6-C7}$, $\theta_{C18-C20-C21-N22}$, and $\theta_{C23-C24-C25-C26}$ was employed to probe for additional global and local minima.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NIH grant R01-CA047135.

References and Notes

1. Renaudet O, Reymond JL. *Org Lett*. 2003; 5:4693–4696. [PubMed: 14627417]
2. Nsikabaka S, Harb W, Ruiz-Lopez MF. *Theochem*. 2006; 764:161–166.
3. Aoki H, Sakai H, Kohsaka M, Konomi T, Hosoda J, Kubochi Y, Iguchi E, Imanaka H. *J Antibiot*. 1976; 29:492–500. [PubMed: 956036]
4. Kurita M, Jomon K, Komori T, Miyairi N, Aoki H, Kuge S, Kamiya T, Imanaka H. *J Antibiot*. 1976; 29:1243–1245. [PubMed: 993111]
5. Quinoa E, Crews P. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1987; 28:3229–3232.
6. Arabshahi L, Schmitz FJ. *J Org Chem*. 1987; 52:3584–3586.
7. Jimenez C, Crews P. *Tetrahedron*. 1991; 47:2097–2102.
8. Yagi H, Matsunaga S, Fusetani N. *Tetrahedron*. 1993; 49:3749–3754.
9. Cimino G, Stefano SD, Minale L. *Experientia*. 1975; 31:756–757.
10. Motohashi K, Gyobu Y, Takagi M, Shinya K. *J Antibiot*. 2009; 62:703–704. [PubMed: 19834514]
11. Ingavat N, Dobereiner J, Wiyakrutta S, Mahidol C, Ruchirawat S, Kittakoop P. *J Nat Prod*. 2009; 72:2049–2052. [PubMed: 19824618]
12. Calcul L, Inman WD, Morris AA, Tenney K, Ratnam J, McKerrow JH, Valeriote FA, Crews P. *J Nat Prod*. 2010; 73:365–372. [PubMed: 20102170]

13. Kelly WL, Townsend CA. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:8186–8187. [PubMed: 12105888]
14. Kelly WL, Townsend CA. *J Bacteriol.* 2005; 187:739–746. [PubMed: 15629944]
15. Aoki S, Cho S, Hiramatsu A, Kotoku N, Kobayashi M. *J Nat Med.* 2006; 60:231–235.
16. Kotoku N, Hiramatsu A, Tsujita H, Hirakawa Y, Sanagawa M, Aoki S, Kobayashi M. *Arch Pharm Chem Life Sci.* 2008; 341:568–577.
17. Masuno MN, Molinski TF. *J Nat Prod.* 2003; 66:112–114. [PubMed: 12542356]
18. Shorter than normal bond distances were obtained with the MMX force field with the hydrogen bond term for *s-trans*-(*Z*)-OMPA. The N-O bond distance between amide N and oxime O was 2.54 Å, hydrogen bond distance between amide NH---O oxime was 1.72 Å.
19. Wei, YMAE. Modeling NMR Chemicals Shifts: Gaining Insights into Structure and Environment. In: Facelli, JC.; de Dios, AC., editors. ACS Symposium Series. Vol. 732. 1999. p. 177-193.
20. Hashimoto M, Komori T, Kamiya T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1976; 98:3023–3025. [PubMed: 1262632]
21. Molecular mechanics calculations were performed with (*Z*)-2-(oximo)-*N*-isopropyl-2-phenylacetamide (the same model compound used to define the oxime configuration in nocardicins A and B, see ref. ¹⁷). The calculated bond distances for N-O and the hydrogen bond from oxime O to amide H were 2.83, 2.37 Å, respectively. The dihedral angle corresponding to $\theta_{N1-C2-C3-C4}$ in OMPA was 117°.
22. Masuno MN, Hoepker AC, Pessah IN, Molinski TF. *Marine Drugs.* 2004; 2:176–184.
23. Taylor R, Kennard O. *Acc Chem Res.* 1984; 17:320–326.
24. Lommerse JPM, Price SL, Taylor R. *J Comput Chem.* 1997; 18:757–774.
25. Molecular mechanics calculations were performed with (*E*) and (*Z*)-2-(oximo)-*N*-isopropyl-2-phenylacetamide, the same model compounds used to define the oxime configuration in nocardicins A and B, see ref. ¹⁷. The *Z* isomer was predicted to be lower energy, relative energy difference 10.5 kJ/mol. We attribute the lower energy of the *Z* isomer to resonance stabilization by the α -phenyl, which is coplanar in the *Z* isomer, whereas in the *E* isomer, the phenyl group must twist approximately 50° out of plane due to steric interactions with the (*E*)-oxime.
26. Approximately only 2–4% of the higher energy conformer would exist at 298 K based on 10–8 kJ/mol energy difference between conformers.
27. Kazlauskas R, Lidgard RO, Murphy PT, Wells RJ, Blount JF. *Aust J Chem.* 1981; 34:765–786.
28. Demarco A, Llinas M, Wuthrich K. *Biopolymers.* 1978; 17:637–650.
29. Masuno MN, Pessah IN, Olmstead MM, Molinski TF. *J Med Chem.* 2006; 49:4497–4511. [PubMed: 16854055]
30. Zieminska E, Lazarewicz JW, Couladouros EA, Moutsos VI, Pitsinos EN. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2008; 18:5734–5737. [PubMed: 18851910]
31. Dingemans MML, Heusinkveld HJ, Bergman A, van den Berg M, Westerink RHS. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2010; 118:519–525. [PubMed: 20368133]
32. Dingemans MML, de Groot A, van Kleef RGDM, Bergman A, van den Berg M, Vijverberg HPM, Westerink RHS. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2008; 116:637–643. [PubMed: 18470311]
33. Suyama TL, Cao ZY, Murray TF, Gerwick WH. *Toxicon.* 2010; 55:204–210. [PubMed: 19638282]
34. Dihedral angles were measured from the energy minimized structure. A combination of values $\pm 90^\circ$ and $\pm 180^\circ$ for the pair of dihedrals would represent an orthogonal relationship between the biphenyl rings.
35. Wong PW, Brackney WR, Pessah IN. *J Biol Chem.* 1997; 272:15145–15153. [PubMed: 9182535]
36. Pessah IN, Hansen LG, Albertson TE, Garner CE, Ta TA, Do Z, Kim KH, Wong PW. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2006; 19:92–101. [PubMed: 16411661]
37. Chen L, Molinski TF, Pessah IN. *J Biol Chem.* 1999; 274:32603–32612. [PubMed: 10551814]
38. Fu XO, Schmitz EJ, Govindan M, Abbas SA, Hanson KM, Horton PA, Crews P. *J Nat Prod.* 1995; 58:1384–1391. [PubMed: 7494145]
39. Dingemans MML, van den Berg M, Bergman A, Westerink RHS. *Toxicol Sci.* 2010; 114:302–309. [PubMed: 20044592]
40. Fonnum F, Mariussen E. *J Neurochem.* 2009; 111:1327–1347. [PubMed: 19818104]

41. Kodavanti PRS. Dose-Response. 2005; 3:273–305. [PubMed: 18648619]

Figure 1.
The calculated low energy conformations for *s-trans-(Z)*-OMPA and *s-trans-(E)*-OMPA (lower images). The C=N bond is in the plane of the paper.

Figure 2. Illustrations of the two global energy minima conformers (**i** and **i'** enantiomers) of (*E,E*)-bastadin-19 (**1a**). The overall disrotatory twisting motion of the macrocycle that generates each conformation is shown above and below the hydroxybromodiphenyl ether (HBDE) moieties. The orientation of each conformer is similar to the image shown on the left in Figure 3.

Figure 3.

The superimposed views of the two global energy minima conformers (**i + i'** enantiomers) of (*E,E*)-bastadin-19 (**1a**). To correlate the atom numbering with structural elements see Figure 2. A 90° clockwise rotation about a vertical axis of the superimposed view of **i + i'** on the left generates the superimposed view on the right and demonstrates the reflection symmetry of the enantiomers.

Figure 4.

The superimposed view of the 13 conformers for (*E,E*)-bastadin-19 (**1a**). The overall disrotatory twisting motion of the macrocycle that generates the unique set of diastereomeric conformations is shown above and below the hydroxybromodiphenyl ether (HBDE) moieties. The superimposed view illustrates the greater degree of flexibility in the northern region of the macrocycle as compared to the southern region.

Figure 5.

The superimposed views (top images) and graphic illustrations (bottom images) of each subset of conformations for (*E,E*)-bastadin-19 (**1a**), see Table 1. Each subset is based on a unique intramolecular hydrogen bond shown above. H-bond: **type-1**: O41---H-N22 (carbonyl O – H amide); **type-2**: O45---H-O40 (carbonyl O – H oxime); **type-3**: O42---H-O47 (phenol O – H oxime) and O13---H-O42); **type-4**: O41---H-O47 (carbonyl O – H oxime); **type-2+3**: a combination of **type-2** and **type-3**.

Figure 6.

Proposed mechanism for (*Z*) to (*E*)-oxime isomerization for **1b** to **1a** under acidic aqueous conditions² where intramolecular hydrogen bonding H-bond **type-3** impedes isomerization.

Figure 7.

The superimposed view of the twelve conformers of bastadin 5 (**2**) and eight conformers of bastadin 6 (**3**), see Table 3. The overall disrotatory twisting motion of the macrocycle that generates these sets of unique diastereomeric conformations is shown above and below the hydroxybromodiphenyl ether (HBDE) moieties.

Figure 8.
The superimposed view of the eight conformers of bastadin 4 (**4**).

Figure 9.

Superimposed view of the three lowest energy conformers for bastadin 5 (**2**) with conformers of (*E,E*)-bastadin 19 (**1a**) with H-bond **type-2+3**. The superimposed view indicates the overall macrocycle shapes are similar, however the bastarane and isobastarane macrocycles constrain the northern HBDE in an opposite configuration.

Table 1

The Relative Minimized Energies and Intramolecular Hydrogen Bond Types for (*E,E*)-Bastadin 19 (**1a**), (*E,Z*)-Bastadin 19 (**1b**), (*Z,E*)-Bastadin 19 (**1c**), and (*Z,Z*)-Bastadin 19 (**1d**) Conformers^a

1a			1b			1c			1d		
Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^b	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^b	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^b	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^b	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^b	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^b
0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1
1.1	1	1.5	1	0.3	1	0.3	1	1.0	1	1.0	1
1.2	2+3	2.3	2+3	6.2	4	3.6	1	3.6	1	3.6	1
3.0	3	5.8	1	7.2	1	7.2	1	7.2	1	7.2	1
4.8	3	6.3	1	7.5	3	7.9	3	7.9	3	7.9	3
6.6	2	7.8	2+3	7.9	1	8.4	2+3	8.4	2+3	8.4	2+3
7.1	2	8.3	2+3	8.4	2+3	8.4	2+3	8.4	2+3	8.4	2+3
7.5	4	9.8	2	10.7	2	10.7	2	10.7	2	10.7	2
7.5	1	9.9	4	10.8	4	10.8	4	10.8	4	10.8	4
7.6	2+3	11.4	3								
8.9	2+3										
9.1	4										
9.8	1										

^aThe relative minimized energies for **1a-1d** are 0, 6.5, 8.5, 16.3 kJ/mol, respectively.

^bH-bond: **type-1**: O41---H-N22 (carbonyl O---H amide); **type-2**: O45---H-O40 (carbonyl O---H oxime); **type-3**: O42---H-O47 (phenol O---H oxime) and O13---H-O42; and **type-4**: O41---H-O47 (carbonyl O---H oxime); **type 2+3** contains **type-2** and **type-3**.

Table 2

Dihedral Angles Defining of The Diphenyl Ether Ring Orientation in (*E,E*)-Bastadin 19 (**1a**) and Bastadin 5 (**2**) Conformers^a

(<i>E,E</i>)-Bastadin-19 (1a)				
Conformer Relative E	$\theta_{28(30)-29-32-33}$	$\theta_{29-32-33-34(38)}$	$\theta_{10(8)-9-13-14}$	$\theta_{9-13-14-15(19)}$
0.0	-101.2	-162.8	-164.8	-97.6
1.1	-100.6	-162.3	-142.4	-113.1
1.2	-102.4	-162.5	-102.0	-130.0
3.0	-84.9	-160.4	-111.2	-159.2
4.8	-110.2	-157.7	-106.4	-128.5
6.6	-102.1	-166.8	-94.6	-155.7
7.1	-104.3	-165.9	-86.2	-168.3
7.5	-104.0	-160.5	142.9	-60.7
7.5	-98.2	-166.2	-176.6	-85.4
7.6	-102.7	-156.2	-94.6	-130.9
8.9	-102.7	-159.3	-94.4	-131.8
9.1	-101.0	-165.5	-130.3	-138.8
9.8	-99.3	-159.8	-170.8	-94.4
Bastadin-5 (2)				
Conformer Relative E	$\theta_{28(30)-29-32-33}$	$\theta_{29-32-33-34(38)}$	$\theta_{9(11)-10-13-14}$	$\theta_{10-13-14-15(19)}$
0.0	-99.3	-161.9	-62.5	141.8
3.6	-102.5	-158.2	-53.1	147.1
3.9	-108.0	-156.5	-62.1	148.9
7.0	-100.3	-156.8	-67.4	139.8
7.1	-100.7	-160.5	-81.0	150.9
7.2	-97.7	-160.7	-100.7	-168.6
8.7	-103.5	-159.5	-47.5	130.3
8.9	-103.5	-159.8	-53.7	140.1
10.1	-99.4	-160.9	-79.8	146.0
10.4	-104.4	-157.6	-118.3	-144.0
10.8	-99.5	-157.4	-127.3	-140.0
11.7	-98.8	-166.4	-127.3	-138.6

^a A combination of $\pm 180^\circ$ or $\pm 90^\circ$ for a pair of dihedrals within a HBDE (hydroxy bromodiphenyl ether) would represent two orthogonal rings.

Table 3

The Relative Minimized Energies and Intramolecular Hydrogen Bond Types for Bastadin 5 (2), Bastadin 6 (3), and Bastadin 4 (4) Conformers

2		3		4	
Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^a	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^a	Relative Energy (kJ/mol)	H-bond ^a
0.0	2	0.0	2	0.0	none
3.6	2	2.2	2	1.2	none
3.9	2	4.6	2	2.7	none
7.0	2	4.6	2	5.6	none
7.1	2	6.1	2	6.1	none
7.2	2	7.8	2	7.7	none
8.7	2	8.8	2	8.1	none
8.9	2	11.0	1	11.5	4
10.1	2				
10.4	2				
10.8	2				
11.7	1				

^aH-bond: **type-1**: O41---H-N22 (carbonyl O---H amide); **type-2**: O45---H-O40 (carbonyl O---H oxime); **type-4**: O41---H-O47 (carbonyl O---H oxime)