Feedback-regulated degradation of the transcriptional activator Met4 is triggered by the SCF^{Met30} complex

Astrid Rouillon, Régine Barbey,
E.Elizabeth Patton^{1,2}, Mike Tyers¹ and
Dominique Thomas³

Centre de Génétique Moléculaire, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France, ¹Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, M5G 1X5 and ²Graduate Department of Molecular and Medical Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 1A8

³Corresponding author
e-mail: thomas@cgm.cnrs-gif.fr

***Saccharomyces cerevisiae* SCF^{Met30} ubiquitin–protein ligase controls cell cycle function and sulfur amino acid metabolism. We report here that the SCF^{Met30} complex mediates the transcriptional repression of the *MET* gene network by triggering degradation of the transcriptional activator Met4p when intracellular S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) increases. This AdoMet-induced Met4p degradation is dependent upon the 26S proteasome function. Unlike Met4p, the other components of the specific transcriptional activation complexes that are assembled upstream of the *MET* genes do not appear to be regulated at the protein level. We provide evidence that the interaction between Met4p and the F-box protein Met30p occurs irrespective of the level of intracellular AdoMet, suggesting that the timing of Met4p degradation is not controlled by its interaction with the SCF^{Met30} complex. We also demonstrate that Met30p is a short-lived protein, which localizes within the nucleus. Furthermore, transcription of the *MET30* gene is regulated by intracellular AdoMet levels and is dependent upon the Met4p transcription activation function. Thus Met4p appears to control its own degradation by regulating the amount of assembled SCF^{Met30} ubiquitin ligase.**

Keywords: protein degradation/SCF ubiquitin ligase/
sulfur amino acid metabolism/transcriptional repression

Introduction

In response to environmental changes, cells commonly adapt by rapidly modifying their gene expression pattern. This requires the synthesis of new transcriptional factors as well as regulation of the activity of the pre-existing ones. Various molecular mechanisms underlying such controls have been described. These include covalent modifications, such as phosphorylation/dephosphorylation, which in turn can lead the modified factor to dissociate from DNA or to shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Gorner *et al.*, 1998; Komeili and O'Shea, 1999; Solow *et al.*, 1999). More recently, it has been discovered that control of several transcriptional factors

can arise through their proteolysis (Pahl and Baeuerle, 1996).

In eukaryotic cells, the major non-lysosomal pathway that targets proteins for their selective degradation is the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. The proteasome is a large multisubunit complex protease that recognizes proteins modified by the addition of ubiquitin, a 76 amino acid polypeptide, to their lysine residues. The ubiquitylation of target proteins requires at least three classes of proteins, called E1, E2 and E3. E1 catalyzes the activation of ubiquitin to produce a thioester between itself and ubiquitin, which is then transferred to the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2. Finally, the E3 ubiquitin ligase facilitates the recognition of the target by E2 or directly transfers the ubiquitin to the substrate (Hochstrasser, 1996). In addition, efficient polyubiquitylation was shown recently to need a new conjugation factor called E4 (Koege *et al.*, 1999). The required selectivity of the ubiquitylation complex is ensured by the E3 ubiquitin ligase, which interacts with both the E2 and the substrate (Hershko *et al.*, 1983). Different classes of E3 ligases are now known, one of the largest and most versatile classes being the family of the SCF ubiquitin ligases. SCF ligases were first identified in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Feldman *et al.*, 1997; Skowyra *et al.*, 1997; Patton *et al.*, 1998a) and were shown to exist in virtually all groups of eukaryotic organisms, from fungi to mammals (for a review, see Koepp *et al.*, 1999). SCF complexes are comprised of at least three common subunits, Skp1p, Cdc53p/cullin and the newly identified protein Hrt1p (Rbx1p, Roc1p). They also contain a modular receptor subunit that provides the substrate specificity and which is an F-box-containing protein (Patton *et al.*, 1998b; Seol *et al.*, 1999; Skowyra *et al.*, 1999). The F-box domain is a degenerate motif of ~40 amino acids in length that allows the protein that contains it to interact specifically with Skp1p (Bai *et al.*, 1996). SCF complexes are tightly associated with a particular E2, Cdc34p, which was demonstrated to recognize an independent binding site within Cdc53p (Patton *et al.*, 1998a). To date, although >15 F-box proteins have been identified within the yeast genome by sequence homology, only three SCF complexes have been described and characterized in yeast, namely the SCF^{Cdc4}, SCF^{Grr1} and SCF^{Met30} complexes. Each SCF complex has been demonstrated to target specific substrates for ubiquitin-mediated degradation: SCF^{Cdc4} targets the CDK inhibitors Sic1p and Far1p; SCF^{Grr1} targets the G₁ cyclins Cln1/Cln2; and SCF^{Met30} targets the CDK inhibitor Swe1p (for a review, see Koepp *et al.*, 1999).

The F-box protein Met30p was identified originally as a factor implicated in the transcriptional regulation of the structural genes required for sulfur amino acid biosynthesis (Thomas *et al.*, 1995). This metabolic pathway is comprised of ~25 genes, most of which are strictly

co-regulated: in response to an increase of intracellular S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet), their transcription is turned off. Previous studies have revealed that at least five positive *trans*-acting factors are required for the overall transcriptional activation of the *MET* gene network. These factors include two leucine zipper factors Met4p and Met28p, two zinc finger-containing factors Met31p and Met32p, as well as Cbf1p, which is also a component of the yeast kinetochore machinery (Thomas and Surdin-Kerjan, 1997). Both *in vivo* studies and *in vitro* reconstitution experiments have demonstrated that these five different factors cooperate by forming large multisubunit complexes, which assemble on the 5' upstream regions of the *MET* genes. Depending on the gene, different combinations of these factors are used to form multiprotein complexes that recognize specific DNA target sequences. For example, the Cbf1–Met4–Met28 complex assembles on the TCACGTG element present upstream of the *MET16* gene, while the Met4–Met28–Met31 and Met4–Met28–Met32 complexes are both capable of binding the core motif AAAGTGTG present upstream of the *MET3* and *MET28* genes (Kuras *et al.*, 1997; Blaiseau and Thomas, 1998). It is noteworthy that within each of these multiprotein complexes transcriptional activation is dependent upon only one activation domain found within the Met4p subunit. The *MET* regulatory system thus appears to exemplify how one particular transcriptional activator can be tethered to multiple target sequences by several DNA-binding factor combinations.

New insights into the regulatory mechanisms underlying the AdoMet-induced repression of the *MET* network were gained when Met30p was shown to associate with Skp1p and Cdc53p in an F-box-dependent manner, thus leading to the identification of the SCF^{Met30} complex (Patton *et al.*, 1998a). This complex may also contain the Hrt1p protein since this factor recently was shown, along with Cdc34p, Cdc53p and Skp1p, to be required for the repression of *MET25* gene expression when the intracellular level of AdoMet is high (Seol *et al.*, 1999). Here we present evidence that Met4p is targeted for degradation by the SCF^{Met30} complex, and provide a novel model that links SCF-dependent proteolysis to a transcriptional feedback mechanism required to regulate the essential methionine biosynthesis pathway.

Results

SCF^{Met30} controls the overall sulfate assimilation pathway

Structural genes of the *MET* network ultimately are regulated by AdoMet levels, although they are transcriptionally activated by different molecular mechanisms. Therefore, it seemed important to determine whether SCF^{Met30} triggers the repression of most or only a subset of *MET* genes when intracellular AdoMet increases, as originally described for the *MET25* gene (Patton *et al.*, 1998a). In all the following repression experiments, the negative regulation of the *MET* gene network was triggered by growing the cells in the presence of 1 mM L-methionine. As already demonstrated, repression of the *MET* genes actually results from the rapid conversion of methionine into AdoMet (Thomas *et al.*, 1988; Thomas and Surdin-Kerjan, 1997). Repression kinetics of five *MET*

genes, each specific for one step of the sulfate assimilation pathway, were monitored by Northern analysis in *cdc34-2*, *cdc53-1*, *met30-2* and *skp1-11* SCF mutant strains. Cells were grown in non-repressive growth conditions (0.2 mM DL-homocysteine) for eight generations at 28°C, shifted to 37°C for 2 h, transferred to a medium containing a repressive concentration of methionine (1 mM L-methionine), and RNA samples extracted at the time intervals indicated. As shown in Figure 1, in all but two instances the repression of the *MET* genes is impeded in the mutant cells, thus confirming the involvement of the SCF^{Met30} complex in the overall regulation of the *MET* network. The only two exceptions were observed in cells carrying the *cdc53-1* mutation, the presence of which does not affect the regulation of the *MET3* and *MET16* genes, perhaps suggesting that some mechanistic variations might underlie the SCF^{Met30} operation.

Met4p destabilization induced by the increase of intracellular AdoMet

The requirement for SCF^{Met30} in *MET* gene regulation suggested that proteolysis might be central to the control of the *MET* pathway. To understand how the SCF^{Met30} complex triggers the repression of the *MET* genes when intracellular AdoMet increases, we attempted to determine whether one or several subunits of the complexes tethering Met4p to DNA are destabilized specifically upon the increase in intracellular AdoMet. We chose to analyze the stability of Met4p and its associated factors by promoter shut-off experiments, ³⁵S pulse-chase experiments being clearly incompatible with studies of the sulfate assimilation pathway. Each factor was thus expressed as a hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged derivative under the control of the *GAL1* promoter in cells carrying a deletion mutation inactivating the corresponding chromosomal gene. This allowed us to verify that each tagged derivative was functional, being able to complement the methionine auxotrophy phenotype associated with the deletion mutation as well as to monitor by Northern blot analyses the transcription level of both the fusion genes and the structural *MET* genes (see below). Expression of the tagged derivatives was induced by growing the cells for 90 min in a non-repressive, galactose-containing medium. Cultures were then divided, and transferred to fresh glucose medium with or without 1 mM L-methionine, and protein samples were extracted at regular intervals and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-HA antibodies. As shown in Figure 2A, in non-repressive growth conditions the half-lives of the different factors (Cbf1p, Met4p, Met28p, Met31p and Met32p) are equivalent, ranging from ~20 to 40 min. In striking contrast, repressive concentrations of extracellular methionine, while not affecting the stability of Cbf1p, Met28p, Met31p and Met32p, severely decreased the stability of Met4p, whose half-life was <10 min in these growth conditions. Thus high extracellular methionine levels, which result in an increase in intracellular AdoMet, specifically induce the rapid proteolysis of Met4p. Total RNAs corresponding to the above-described experiments were analyzed by Northern blotting. These experiments demonstrated first that the kinetics of the glucose-induced repression of the HA-Met4 mRNA expressed from the *GAL1* promoter were the same in the presence or absence of high extracellular methionine levels

Fig. 1. Regulation of the sulfate assimilation pathway in SCF^{Met30} mutants. The strains were grown for eight generations in B medium with 0.2 mM DL-homocysteine as sulfur source, shifted to 37°C for 2 h and a repressing amount of L-methionine (1 mM) was then added. Total RNA was extracted at the indicated times after L-methionine addition, and expression of *MET* genes was determined by Northern blot analysis. The actin probe was used as a control of the amount of RNA loaded.

(Figure 2B). Secondly, they revealed that the tagged proteins were not overexpressed: after a 90 min galactose induction, Met4p as well as Met28p levels were just high enough for activating the transcription of two of their target genes, *MET16* and *MET25* (Figure 2B and C, left panels). Further, the promoter shut-off experiments appeared to be physiologically relevant, the AdoMet-mediated repression of the structural *MET* genes being measured when either *MET4* or *MET28* was expressed from the *GAL1* promoter (Figure 2B and C, right panels).

We also observed that Met4p is revealed by Western blot analyses as multiple bands of low electrophoretic mobility in both non-repressive and repressive growth conditions. The calculated molecular weight of the faster migrating HA-Met4 form is ~95 kDa, while those of the upper bands are ~120 and 140 kDa, respectively. It is noteworthy that these bands have an apparent molecular weight higher than the predicted molecular weight of the HA-Met4 derivative, which is ~81 kDa. Therefore, we concluded that the multiple bands correspond to Met4p modifications and are not the result of degradation events. In addition, we followed by immunoblotting the appearance of the different forms of the tagged Met4 derivative after its induction from the *GAL1* promoter. Modifications of Met4p are visible as soon as the protein is synthesized, arguing that these modifications are constitutive (Figure 2D).

Met4p degradation is triggered by the SCF^{Met30} complex

The rapid degradation of Met4p observed in cells grown in the presence of high levels of methionine strongly suggested that Met4p might be the target of the SCF^{Met30} complex. To substantiate this possibility further, we first examined the stability of a Met4p derivative (Met4 Δ inh), which is unable to respond to AdoMet levels and is defective in binding Met30p (Kuras and Thomas, 1995; Thomas et al., 1995). Promoter shut-off experiments (Figure 3A) demonstrated that an HA-tagged Met4 Δ inh derivative is degraded less rapidly than the wild-type HA-Met4 protein when the cells are grown in repressive growth conditions (compare Figures 3A and 2A). We then monitored the stability of the wild-type Met4p in the presence of mutations impairing SCF^{Met30} function by expressing a tagged Met4p from the *GAL1* promoter in

skp1-11, *cdc53-1* and *met30-2* mutant cells. The cells were grown in non-repressive raffinose medium, filtered and transferred in galactose-based medium to induce *GAL1*–*HA-MET4* expression. They were shifted to non-permissive temperature 30 min after, and the growth in galactose was continued for another 60 min. Then the cells were transferred to fresh glucose medium containing 1 mM L-methionine and samples were taken at regular intervals. As shown in Figure 3B, in the presence of methionine, Met4p appears to be stabilized in the *skp1-11*, *cdc53-1* and *met30-2* mutant cells as compared with wild-type cells grown in the same conditions. We also took advantage of the fact that a *met4* null mutation suppresses the lethality associated with a *met30* disruption mutation (E.E.Patton, C.Peyraud, A.Rouillon, Y.Surdin-Kerjan, M.Tyers and D.Thomas, manuscript in preparation) and found that in the absence of Met30p and under repressive conditions, Met4p stability was dramatically increased. Furthermore, Met4p appears to be less modified in the absence of Met30p than in its presence, suggesting that the SCF^{Met30} complex might be required for some of the modifications observed.

Cellular localization of a green fluorescent protein (GFP)–Met4 fusion protein in living cells corroborated the above results. A *GFP-MET4* fusion gene placed downstream of a *GAL1* promoter region was integrated into the chromosome of wild-type and SCF mutant strains at the *URA3* locus. In a wild-type background, GFP–Met4 fusion protein localizes within the nucleus when the cells are grown in non-repressive growth conditions, but is not observed when 1 mM L-methionine is added to the medium (Figure 3C; immunoblotting confirmed that this corresponds to a rapid degradation of the GFP–Met4 fusion protein, not shown). In contrast, when expressed in SCF^{Met30} mutant cells, the GFP–Met4 fusion protein remained visible in the nucleus after 1 mM L-methionine was added to the medium (Figure 3D). As a control, we monitored the localization of GFP–Met28 fusion protein, which is visualized within the nucleus of cells grown in the absence or presence of methionine (Figure 3E). These results are consistent with the Met4p degradation data presented above, and together provide strong evidence that the SCF^{Met30} complex is responsible for the degradation of Met4p in response to increased levels of intracellular AdoMet.

Fig. 2. Met4p is destabilized specifically in repressive growth conditions. (A) Cells carrying a plasmid coding for the indicated HA-tagged proteins under the regulation of the *GAL1* promoter were grown in raffinose and expression was induced by resuspending the cells in fresh galactose-containing medium (2% galactose) for 90 min. Cultures were then divided in two, filtered, transferred to glucose-containing medium in the presence or absence of 1 mM L-methionine, and samples taken at the times indicated and immunoblotted with anti-HA antibodies. The non-specific band revealed by anti-HA antibodies (indicated by an arrow in the case of Met4p stability determination) was used as a control of the amount of loaded extracts in each experiment (T = extract of cells expressing no HA-tagged protein). (B and C) Total RNA was extracted from cells expressing either the HA-Met4 or the HA-Met28 fusion proteins grown as in (A) and analyzed with *MET4*, *MET16*, *MET25* and *MET28* probes. (D) Induction of Met4p modification was followed by first growing the cells in raffinose-containing medium. Cells were then transferred to galactose-containing medium (2% galactose) to induce *GAL1*-*HA-MET4* expression, and samples were taken at the times indicated.

Degradation of Met4p involves the proteasome

While SCF^{Met30}-dependent degradation of Met4p strongly suggested that Met4p was targeted for ubiquitin-mediated degradation, we wanted to test directly whether Met4p

Fig. 3. Met4p degradation depends on the SCF^{Met30} complex. (A) The stability of HA-Met4 Δ inh expressed in wild-type cells grown in repressive and non-repressive conditions was analyzed as described in Figure 2A. (B) The stability of HA-Met4p was studied in SCF^{Met30} mutants: wild-type and mutant cells were grown in raffinose at 28°C for eight generations, filtered and resuspended in galactose medium for 30 min at 28°C. Cells were then shifted to 37°C and incubation in galactose medium was continued for another 60 min. Cells were then transferred to fresh glucose medium containing 1 mM L-methionine and proteins were extracted at the indicated times and processed for immunoblotting with anti-HA antibodies. Equal loading was determined by the presence of the non-specific band revealed by the anti-HA antibodies (not shown). (C and D) GFP-Met4 localization was monitored in wild-type cells and in SCF^{Met30} mutants. Cells expressing the GFP-Met4 fusion protein from the *GAL1* promoter were grown in raffinose at 28°C, filtered and resuspended in galactose medium for 60 min at 28°C. Cells were then shifted to 37°C and incubation in galactose medium was continued for another 60 min. In repressive growth conditions (+Met), 1 mM L-methionine was added 15 min before observation. Nuclei were visualized by adding the dye Hoescht 33342 (Hoe) to the culture 20 min before observation (Nom = Nomarski interferential observation). (E) Localization of the GFP-Met28 derivative was monitored in cells expressing the fusion protein from the *MET28* promoter and grown in the presence (+Met) or absence (-Met) of a repressing amount of L-methionine (1 mM).

was modified by ubiquitin *in vivo*. However, as with other short-lived proteins, ubiquitin conjugates proved difficult to detect *in vivo* (data not shown). We therefore tested if Met4p stability was dependent on the 26S proteasome, by using a *cim3* mutation that inhibits proteasome function (Ghislain *et al.*, 1993). As shown in Figure 4A, the HA-Met4 derivative is dramatically stabilized in *cim3* cells grown in the presence of 1 mM L-methionine, as compared with wild-type cells.

To confirm this result, Northern analyses were carried out with both *cim3* and *cim5* mutant cells and compared with their congenic parent strains. Total RNA was extracted

Fig. 4. Met4p is stabilized in the presence of a *cim3* mutation. (A) The stability of HA-Met4p expressed in *cim3-1* mutant cells grown in repressive and non-repressive conditions was analyzed as described in Figure 3B. (B) Repression of the *MET25* gene was monitored in *cim3-1* and *cim5-1* mutant cells. Cells were grown and RNA extracted as described in Figure 1. The wild-type (wt) strain used in this assay was the YPH499 strain, which was congenic to both mutant strains.

after the cells were grown at restrictive temperature for 2 h and then shifted from a repressive growth condition to a non-repressive growth condition. Repression kinetics of the *MET25* gene were assayed in the different strains. As shown in Figure 4B, repression of the *MET25* gene indeed appears to be impaired by the presence of either the *cim3* or *cim5* mutation (Figure 4B), consistent with the idea that degradation of Met4p occurs via the 26S proteasome.

Met4p–Met30p interactions are constitutive

Most of the biochemical data acquired to date on the SCF complexes have demonstrated that F-box proteins interact with their substrate in a phosphorylation-dependent manner (Feldman *et al.*, 1997; Skowyra *et al.*, 1997; Winston *et al.*, 1999). Substrate degradation thus appears to be controlled by its interaction with its cognate SCF complex. Since in the present case the degradation of the substrate is induced specifically by adding large amounts of methionine to the medium, we first addressed this point by determining whether an interaction between Met4p and Met30p could be measured in cells growing in the absence of methionine. HA-Met4 and GST–Met30 derivatives, expressed from the *GAL1* promoter, were expressed in cells for 2 h in the absence of methionine. Immunoprecipitation of HA-Met4, followed by immunoblotting with anti-GST antibodies, revealed a strong interaction between Met4p and Met30p in cells growing in the absence of methionine (Figure 5A). These results were corroborated by two-hybrid analysis. As reported previously, a LexA–Met30 fusion protein comprising the entire Met30p interacts with a Gal4–Met4 fusion protein providing that the latter contains the inhibitory domain (Figure 5B). Moreover, the Gal4–Met4–LexA–Met30 interaction appears to be stronger when the LexA derivative protein lacks the first 158 N-terminal residues of Met30p. In all cases, the interactions are seen on plates containing ammonium sulfate as the sole sulfur source, a growth condition in which Met4p activity is required. This confirms the results of the co-immunoprecipitation assays and shows that Met30p can bind Met4p when the intracellular concentration of AdoMet is low. Unexpectedly, we found that LexA–Met30 derivatives lacking the F-box (amino acids 187–202) failed to interact with a Gal4–Met4 fusion protein. Western control assays showed that all the LexA–

Met30 derivatives were expressed at equivalent levels (Figure 5C). Together, these results suggest that other SCF^{Met30} interactions might be critical for Met4p binding.

In a next step, we tried to determine whether the multiple bands of Met4p might correspond to phosphorylation events. Immunoprecipitations of HA-Met4 were carried out with cells growing in the absence of methionine or with cells grown in the presence of 1 mM L-methionine for 5 min. Immunoprecipitates were incubated for 15 min in the presence of alkaline phosphatase and analyzed by immunoblotting. With both repressive and non-repressive concentrations of methionine, most, if not all, of the Met4p forms were resistant to the phosphatase treatment (Figure 5D shows the results obtained with cells growing in a low methionine concentration; the same results were obtained with cells grown in the presence of high extracellular methionine concentrations for 5 min; data not shown). As a control, alkaline phosphatase was incubated with an immunoprecipitate of an HA-tagged Cln2p that was expressed from the *GAL1* promoter. As expected, the majority of slow migrating forms of Cln2p were converted to fast migrating forms, as previously reported (Lanker *et al.*, 1996). These experiments strongly suggest that the majority of Met4p modifications that are visible by gel electrophoresis do not correspond to phosphorylation events.

To verify the above results, we next looked at the cellular localization of a GFP–Met30 fusion protein expressed transiently from the *GAL1* promoter. Microscopic observations showed that the GFP–Met30 protein is located within the nucleus of cells grown in the absence as well as in the presence of a high extracellular methionine concentration (Figure 5E). Taken together, all these results are consistent with the possibility that, in contrast to what was observed for the substrates of the SCF^{Cdc4} and SCF^{Grr1} complexes for instance, the timing of Met4p degradation is not controlled at the level of its interaction with the SCF^{Met30} complex.

Finally, we tested the possibility that the Skp1p–Met30p interaction might be regulated by the presence or absence of high extracellular methionine concentrations, in a way similar to the Skp1p–Grr1p interaction, which has been reported to be stimulated by the presence of glucose in the growth medium (Li and Johnston, 1997). Immunoprecipitation of HA-Met30 followed by immunoblotting with polyclonal antibodies directed against Skp1p revealed that the specific Skp1p–Met30p interaction is neither increased nor decreased by the presence of high extracellular methionine concentrations (Figure 5F).

Met30p is itself a short-lived protein

Recent studies have revealed that the F-box subunits of SCF complexes are themselves unstable proteins, and that control of such an instability might provide a general mechanism to regulate SCF function (Zhou and Howley, 1998; Mathias *et al.*, 1999). We thus attempted to determine whether Met30p itself might constitute a target for the regulatory mechanisms that allow the cells to respond to variations in sulfur availability. Met30p stability was examined in repressive and non-repressive conditions by expressing the HA-Met30 derivative under the control of a *GAL1* promoter. After the culture was divided and transferred to fresh glucose medium with or without 1 mM

Fig. 5. Met4p–Met30p interactions. (A) Co-immunoprecipitation analysis of the Met4p–Met30p interaction. HA-Met4p and GST–Met30p were expressed, alone or together, from the *GAL1* promoter by growing the cells for 2 h in the presence of 2% galactose. In non-repressive growth conditions, anti-HA immunoprecipitates were probed with either anti-HA or anti-GST antibodies, while anti-GST antibodies revealed the presence of the GST–Met30 fusion protein in the lysates. (B) Two-hybrid analysis of the interaction established between Gal4–Met4 and LexA–Met30 fusion proteins. β -galactosidase activities were revealed on X-gal plates containing ammonium sulfate as the sulfur source. (C) Western assays of LexA–Met30 derivatives. Proteins were extracted from cells expressing the various LexA–Met30 derivatives and processed for immunoblotting with anti-LexA antibodies. The asterisk indicates the non-specific band revealed by the anti-LexA antibodies. (D) Met4p is not modified by incubation in the presence of alkaline phosphatase. Immunoprecipitates of HA-Met4 were incubated in the presence of 20 or 40 U of alkaline phosphatase (CIP) at 37°C for 15 min. Incubations were loaded onto a gel and probed with anti-HA antibodies. As a control, an immunoprecipitate of an HA-Cln2 fusion protein expressed from the *GAL1* promoter was incubated in the presence of 20 U of CIP at 37°C for 15 min. (E) GFP–Met30 localization. The GFP–Met30 fusion protein was expressed from the *GAL1* promoter and its localization in living cells was monitored as in Figure 3C in the presence or absence of 1 mM L-methionine. (F) Skp1p–Met30p interaction is not affected by methionine concentration. Cells expressing HA-Met30 protein from the *ADH1* promoter were grown in rich (XY), non-repressive (NR) or repressive (R) media conditions. Western blots of lysates and anti-HA immunoprecipitates were probed with anti-HA antibodies and anti-Skp1 antibodies. ‘+’ and ‘–’ denote the presence or absence of the protein or antibody.

L-methionine, protein samples were extracted at regular intervals and analyzed by immunoblot assays. These experiments demonstrated that Met30p is indeed a short-lived protein (half-life <20 min, Figure 6), similar to the other F-box proteins, as demonstrated for Cdc4p and Grr1p (Zhou and Howley, 1998; Mathias *et al.*, 1999). However, in contrast to Met4p, the half-life of the HA-Met30 derivative remained the same whatever the growth medium was, suggesting that AdoMet levels do not regulate Met30p stability.

We noticed that Met30p was revealed as a doublet in these experiments carried out with cells disrupted by a fast trichloroacetic acid (TCA)-based extraction method (see Materials and methods). These Met30p forms were not modified by alkaline phosphatase (data not shown).

The instability of Cdc4p was postulated to result from its interaction with Skp1p as Cdc4p destabilization was shown to be dependent on its F-box and as the full-length Cdc4p was stabilized in *skp1-11* mutant strains (Zhou and Howley, 1998). Our promoter shut-off experiments revealed that it is not the case for Met30p. Indeed, expressed in wild-type cells, an HA-tagged Met30ΔF derivative is slightly less stable than the wild-type HA-Met30 fusion protein. Likewise, in the presence of the *skp1-11* mutation, the full-length HA-Met30 is slightly less stable than in wild-type cells, especially when the cells are grown in the presence of methionine (Figure 6). It is important, moreover, to note that in both cases the HA-Met30 derivative is revealed as a single band. This strongly suggests that Met30p should interact with Skp1p in order to be modified.

Fig. 6. Characterization of Met30p stability. The HA-tagged full-length Met30 and Met30 Δ F proteins were expressed from a *GAL1* promoter by growing the cells for 2 h in 2% galactose. Glucose (2%) and cycloheximide (50 μ g/ml) were added and the cells were allowed to grow for the indicated times in the presence or absence of 1 mM L-methionine. Upper panel: the stability of both HA-Met30 and HA-Met30 Δ F was monitored in W303-1A wild-type cells. Lower panel: the stability of HA-Met30 was monitored in the SCF mutants *skp1-11* and *cdc53-1* by shifting the cells to 37°C before the addition of galactose as well as in *met4* Δ mutant cells.

Together with the results of the two-hybrid assays, this also suggests that these modifications might be involved in the recognition of Met4p. Finally, the stability of Met30p was monitored in the presence of a *cdc53-1* mutation. In contrast to what was observed with the *skp1-11* mutation, the HA-Met30 derivative was stabilized in the presence of the *cdc53-1* mutation, suggesting that Met30p degradation might indeed involve the ubiquitin-dependent pathway.

Met30p synthesis is controlled by Met4p

To understand further how Met4p stability is regulated in response to modifications of intracellular AdoMet levels, we next addressed whether *MET30* gene expression might be regulated. Such a possibility was indeed suggested by the presence of both a TCACGTG motif and an AAAGTGTG-related sequence (at positions -177 bp and -166 bp, respectively, numbered from the start codon) within the 5' upstream region of the *MET30* gene that therefore displays an organization resembling that of several structural genes from the sulfate assimilation pathway. To test formally if the *MET30* gene is under the control of AdoMet and Met4p, we monitored *MET30* gene expression by Northern assays with RNA extracted from wild-type cells after they were shifted from repressive to non-repressive growth conditions. As shown in Figure 7A, the transcription of the *MET30* gene appears to be activated in the absence of methionine. Northern analyses performed with *met4* Δ cells showed that this activation of *MET30* transcription requires Met4p (Figure 7A) as well as Met31p and Met32p (data not shown). It must be noted, however, that in the presence of a large amount of methionine or in the absence of Met4p, a low but reproducibly detectable level of *MET30* transcription is measured. One might imagine that such basal (i.e. Met4p independent) transcription is required to ensure Met30p function in cellular mechanisms other than sulfur metabolism, such as Swe1p degradation (Kaiser *et al.*, 1998).

Next, mobility shift assays were performed with various cellular extracts and a *MET30* probe that corresponds to nucleotides -255 to -61 and therefore contains the two sequence motifs TCACGTG and AAAGTGTG. Mobility

shift assays performed with wild-type cell extracts show three prominent complexes of low mobility (Figure 7B). Strikingly, the complex of lower mobility is not formed with extracts of *met4* Δ , *met28* Δ or the double *met31* Δ , *met32* Δ mutant cells. It thus seemed possible that, as already shown for the *MET3* and *MET28* genes (Blaiseau and Thomas, 1998), a multisubunit complex involving Met4p, Met28p and Met31p/Met32p could be assembled on the 5' upstream region of the *MET30* gene. To confirm this hypothesis, we next performed a mobility shift assay with extracts of *cbf1* Δ or *cbf1* Δ , *met4* Δ double mutant cells. As shown in Figure 7C, disruption of *cbf1* results in the absence of the massive complex of higher electrophoretic mobility, suggesting that it does correspond to the binding of Cbf1p alone to the *MET30* probe. In addition, a complex of low mobility is seen specifically in *cbf1* Δ cells but not in *cbf1* Δ , *met4* Δ cells, indicating that it contains Met4p. To demonstrate further that Met4p is indeed tethered to the 5' upstream region of the *MET30* gene, *met4* Δ and *met31* Δ , *met32* Δ cells were transformed using a plasmid expressing a LexA-Met4 and a LexA-Met32 fusion protein, respectively. Mobility shift assays were performed with extracts of the transformed cells. As shown in Figure 7D, in *met4* Δ cells, the expression of a LexA-Met4 derivative restores the formation of a complex of low electrophoretic mobility, which moreover is super-shifted by the addition of LexA antibodies to the binding reaction, therefore proving that Met4p is a component of the complex. Similar results were obtained with the *met31* Δ , *met32* Δ cells expressing a LexA-Met32 fusion protein, proving that Met32p is also a component of the complex. Taken together, all these results demonstrate that Met4p is tethered to the 5' upstream region of the *MET30* gene through a high molecular weight complex containing Met28p, Met31p and Met32p.

To substantiate further the possibility that the control of Met4p stability was indeed a feedback-regulated mechanism, we next analyzed the stability of a tagged Met4 derivative in cells that do not express Met31p and Met32p. In such cells, the recruitment of Met4p to DNA is impeded and therefore the transcription of the *MET30* gene is reduced. Immunoblotting assays demonstrate that, as compared with wild-type cells, Met4p stability is increased in *met31* Δ , *met32* Δ cells in both non-repressive and repressive growth conditions (Figure 7E, compare with Figure 2A). We thus conclude that variations of Met30p synthesis affect the regulation of Met4p stability. In addition, it must be noted that Met4p appears to be less modified in the *met31* Δ , *met32* Δ mutant cells than in wild-type cells, a result resembling that obtained with the double *met4* Δ , *met30* Δ mutant strain (Figure 3B). To confirm this point, accumulation of the tagged Met4 derivative was followed after the induction of the *GAL1* promoter. Even after 5 h of galactose induction, the Met4p form of 140 kDa apparent molecular weight is not seen in the *met31* Δ , *met32* Δ cells. Together, these results reinforce the possibility that some of the modifications of Met4p require the synthesis of sufficient amounts of Met30p.

Discussion

The present report provides evidence that the SCF^{Met30} ubiquitin ligase complex mediates the transcriptional

Fig. 7. *MET30* transcription is regulated by intracellular AdoMet and Met4p. (A) Derepression kinetics of *MET3*, *MET4*, *MET16* and *MET30* transcription were monitored in wild-type and *met4Δ* cells. Cells were grown in 100 ml of B medium in the presence of a repressing amount (1 mM) of L-methionine as sulfur source. When the cells reached a density of $\sim 10^7$ cells/ml, they were harvested by filtration and washed with 100 ml of B medium. The cells were then suspended in 100 ml of B medium without methionine and shaken at 28°C. Total RNA was extracted at the times indicated. The actin probe was used as a control of the amounts of RNA loaded. (B) Gel retardation assays were performed with a *MET30* radiolabeled probe corresponding to nucleotides -255 to -61. The binding reactions were performed with 40 μg extracts from strains W303-1A (wild-type), CD106 (*met4::TRP1*), CD130-7D (*met28::LEU2*) and CD179 (*met31::LEU2*, *met32::TRP1*). (C) The binding reactions were performed with 40 μg extracts from strains W303-1A (wild-type) and 60 μg extracts from strains CC857-2A (*cbf1::TRP1*) and CC653-3C (*met4::TRP1*, *cbf1::TRP1*). (D) The binding reactions were performed with extracts from strains W303-1A (wild-type), CD106 (*met4::TRP1*) and CD179 (*met31::LEU2*, *met32::TRP1*), and from strains CD106 and CD179 expressing, respectively, a LexA-Met4 or a LexA-Met32 fusion protein. All the reactions were carried out in the presence of 40 μg of proteins. Where indicated, 1 μl of a polyclonal antibody raised against LexA used at a 1/10 dilution was added. Arrows indicate the Met4-containing high molecular weight complexes. (E) The stability of HA-Met4 was assayed in the *met31Δ*, *met32Δ* mutant cells grown in the absence (-Met) or presence (+ Met) of 1 mM L-methionine. Experiments were carried out as described in Figure 2A. (F) Induction of Met4p in *met31Δ*, *met32Δ* mutant cells was followed by first growing the cells in raffinose-containing medium. Cells were then transferred to galactose-containing medium (2% galactose) to induce *GALI-HA-MET4* expression and samples were taken at the times indicated.

repression of the *MET* gene network by triggering the elimination of the Met4p activator when the intracellular concentration of AdoMet increases. AdoMet-induced proteolysis is a very effective mechanism, the half-life of Met4p being <10 min under repressive growth conditions. In the presence of mutations impairing the SCF^{Met30} complex, Met4p is stabilized, as seen by immunoblotting experiments and GFP localization studies, and the transcription of the *MET* genes becomes constitutive. The identification of Met4p as the substrate of the SCF^{Met30} complex supports previous studies that have identified Met30p as a factor regulating the Met4p activation function (Thomas *et al.*, 1995). Met4p stabilization in a proteasome mutant strongly indicates that proteolysis of Met4p involves the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway.

The experiments reported here suggest that among the different transcription factors that control *MET* gene

expression, Met4p constitutes the only target of the SCF^{Met30} complex. Unlike Met4p, the stability of either Cbf1p, Met28p, Met31p or Met32p is not altered in the presence of high extracellular methionine concentrations. This provides an immediate insight into the rules that govern the regulatory mechanisms operating in the *MET* gene network. Met4p is indeed the only factor endowed with transcription activation function, while Cbf1p, Met28p, Met31p and Met32p act by promoting the recruitment of Met4p to the DNA. Thus, inducing the degradation of the only transcriptionally competent subunit appears to constitute a simple and efficient mechanism to control the activity of the transcriptional activation complexes assembled upstream of the *MET* genes.

Contrary to what has been observed for the interactions between the other F-box proteins and their substrates, we were unable to detect any evidence that the recognition

of Met4p by Met30p was regulated by the level of intracellular AdoMet. Both co-immunoprecipitation assays and two-hybrid analysis strongly argue for the fact that the Met4p–Met30p interaction is established when the intracellular AdoMet concentration is low without resulting in Met4p degradation. Moreover, phosphatase assays failed to alter the multiple Met4p isoforms, in either low or high methionine concentrations. Therefore, as SCF^{Met30} degradation of Met4p appears not to be controlled at the level of the Met4p–Met30p interaction, the exact mechanism of switching Met4p degradation on or off remains to be deciphered. At least two working hypotheses could be postulated. In the first model, an increase in the intracellular AdoMet concentration is needed to activate the SCF^{Met30} activity or assembly. SCF^{Grr1} complex assembly has been reported to be stimulated by glucose, a requirement for the induction of the *HXT* glucose transporter genes (Li and Johnston, 1997). However, in the present case, our results indicate that the interaction between Skp1p and Met30p is not modified by the presence of high extracellular methionine concentrations. As a second model, we can imagine that when the intracellular AdoMet concentration is low, the SCF^{Met30} complex interacts with Met4p but fails to ubiquitylate it because the ubiquitylation sites on Met4p are masked as a result of its assembly into multiprotein activation complexes. Similar protective effects of protein–protein interactions against ubiquitin-dependent degradation were reported for the mating type transcription factors *al1/α2*, as well as for the p58 component of the CBF3 subunit of the yeast kinetochore (Kaplan *et al.*, 1997; Johnson *et al.*, 1998; Russell *et al.*, 1999). In such a model, an increase in AdoMet might lead to the dissociation or alteration of the Met4p-containing complexes, thereby allowing Met4p to be ubiquitylated and degraded. Accordingly, several protein–protein interactions needed for the assembly of the Cbf1–Met4–Met28 complex seem to be regulated in response to variations in the level of intracellular AdoMet (A. Rouillon and D. Thomas, unpublished results). The existence of another regulatory level of Met4p activity might also account for the fact that, in the presence of the *cdc53-1* or *cim3-1* mutations, the transcription of some of the *MET* genes was repressed although Met4p is stabilized.

The SCF^{Met30} complex displays several additional distinctive features. Like the other F-box proteins Cdc4p and Grr1p, Met30p is an unstable protein. However, unlike Cdc4p (Zhou and Howley, 1998), Met30p was not stabilized by the presence of a *skp1-11* mutation or by the deletion of its F-box. Furthermore, we found by two-hybrid assay that deletion of the F-box abolishes the interaction between Met30p and its substrate Met4p. Moreover, our experiments reveal that both Met4p and Met30p undergo covalent modifications, which vary depending upon the different interactions in which each protein is engaged. Although the nature of these modifications is unknown, it is tempting to postulate that they could in some way regulate either the substrate recognition or the activity of the SCF^{Met30} complex. Other subunits of the SCF complexes are already known to undergo post-translational modifications, e.g. Cdc53p, which is modified by the attachment of Rub1p, a ubiquitin-like protein (Liakopoulos *et al.*, 1998); Rub1p conjugation to Cdc53p was postulated further to be required for optimal assembly

or function of the SCF^{Cdc4} complex (Lammer *et al.*, 1998). Likewise, in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, Skp1p was demonstrated to be modified by the addition of a linear pentasaccharide to a proline residue (Teng-umnuay *et al.*, 1998).

A novel important finding of this present study is that the amount of SCF^{Met30} formed is regulated by its own substrate. The 5' upstream region of the *MET30* gene resembles the structure of the *MET* genes, comprising both the TCACGTG and AAACGTG motifs. Northern blot assays demonstrated that *MET30* transcription is repressed when the intracellular level of AdoMet is high, and that *MET30* transcription is dependent on Met4p, Met31p and Met32p. Mobility shift assays, moreover, allowed us to demonstrate that Met4p is recruited to the 5' upstream region of the *MET30* gene through the assembly of high molecular weight complexes identical or closely related to the Met4–Met28–Met31 and Met4–Met28–Met32 complexes, which were shown to tether Met4p upstream of the *MET3* and *MET28* genes (Blaiseau and Thomas, 1998). By regulating the transcription of the *MET30* gene, Met4p thus appears to control its own fate directly. Accordingly, in the presence of the *met31Δ*, *met32Δ* mutations, which lower *MET30* gene expression, Met4p is stabilized. This constitutes the first report of an SCF ubiquitin ligase complex whose substrate regulates its own degradation by controlling the synthesis of the F-box recognition subunit. Given its efficiency, such a regulatory mechanism is expected to be widely encountered in the SCF field and, for instance, degradation of the oncoprotein E2F1 by the SCF^{Skp2} complex has been postulated to be regulated on such a level (Harper and Elledge, 1999).

This feedback-regulated degradation of Met4p by the SCF^{Met30} complex actually constitutes the second regulatory loop shown to date within the *MET* gene network. Met4p previously has been demonstrated to regulate the transcription of the *MET28* regulatory gene whose product stimulates Met4p tethering to DNA (Kuras *et al.*, 1997). Here we report that another regulatory loop is established by showing that the expression of a second protein, Met30p, capable of modifying the biochemical properties of Met4p, is under the control of Met4p (see Figure 8 for a simplified scheme recapitulating these regulatory connections). These regulatory loops function in opposite ways. The Met4p–Met28p loop is expected to increase dynamically the response of the system when the intracellular AdoMet concentration is low, while the Met4p–Met30p loop is expected to control high detrimental accumulation of AdoMet. The fact that the transcription of the *MET4* gene is constitutive (Kuras *et al.*, 1997; this study) seems to allow the system to escape the repressed state.

Finally, it must be asked why regulation of a basic metabolic pathway expected to be required throughout the yeast cell life relies on protein degradation, which appears to constitute an expensive regulatory mechanism and is therefore seen in time-dependent systems such as cell cycle control or long-term adaptations such as stress responses. The fact that the *MET* cluster recently was shown by micro-array analyses to be regulated in a cell cycle-dependent fashion may provide a first explanation of such a result (Spellman *et al.*, 1998; Tavazoie *et al.*,

Fig. 8. Relationships between the *trans*-acting factors regulating the *MET* network and how AdoMet-induced degradation of the Met4p activator is triggered by the SCF^{Met30} complex.

Table I. Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype	Source
C301	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, met4::TRP1, ura3::GAL1-GFPMET4::URA3</i>	this study
C302	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, met30-2, ura3::GAL1-GFPMET4::URA3</i>	this study
C312	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3::GAL1-GFPMET4::URA3</i>	this study
C319	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, cdc34-2, ura3::GAL1-GFPMET4::URA3</i>	this study
C323	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, cdc53-1, ura3::GAL1-GFPMET4::URA3</i>	this study
C327	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, skp1-11, ura3::GAL1-GFPMET4::URA3</i>	this study
CC640-6C	<i>MATα, his3, leu2, trp1, met30-2</i>	this study
CC653-3C	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, met4::TRP1, cbf1::TRP1</i>	this study
CC807-1C	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, met4::TRP1, met30::LEU2</i>	Patton <i>et al.</i> (1999)
CC849-8A	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, met4::TRP1</i>	this study
CC857-2A	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, cbf1::TRP1</i>	this study
CD130-7D	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, met28::LEU2</i>	H.Chérest
CD168	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, met31::LEU2, met32::HIS3</i>	Blaiseau and Thomas (1998)
CD179	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, met31::LEU2 met32::TRP1</i>	Blaiseau and Thomas (1998)
CMY763	<i>MATα, leu2, ura3, cim3</i>	Ghislain <i>et al.</i> (1993)
CMY765	<i>MATα, leu2, ura3, cim5</i>	Ghislain <i>et al.</i> (1993)
MT1166	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, skp1-11</i>	Patton <i>et al.</i> (1998)
MT670	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, cdc34-2</i>	Patton <i>et al.</i> (1998)
MT871	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3, cdc53-1</i>	Patton <i>et al.</i> (1998)
YPH499	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, lys2, trp1, ura3</i>	Ghislain <i>et al.</i> (1993)
W303-1A	<i>MATα, ade2, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3</i>	R.Rothstein

1999). Moreover, unpublished results from our laboratories demonstrate another unexpected link between sulfur amino acid metabolism regulation and cell cycle progression: the Met30p-mediated regulation of Met4p stability is indeed shown to be essential for G₁/S transition (E.E.Patton, C.Peyraud, A.Rouillon, Y.Surdir-Kerjan, M.Tyers and D.Thomas, manuscript in preparation).

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and media

The *S.cerevisiae* strains used in this work are listed in Table I. Standard yeast media and B sulfur-less medium were prepared as described by Chérest and Surdir-Kerjan (1992). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was transformed after acetate chloride treatment as described by Gietz *et al.* (1992). Genetic crosses, sporulation, dissection and scoring of nutritional markers were as described by Sherman *et al.* (1979).

Plasmid constructions

Escherichia coli strain DH10B was used to propagate the plasmids. Standard procedures were used for recombinant DNA manipulation (Table II). To express HA-tagged proteins from the *GAL1* promoter, we first cloned the *GAL1* promoter region from the pJN1 plasmid (Nehlin and Ronne, 1990) into the pRS316 and pRS306 vectors (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). Next a cassette encoding three copies of the HA epitope was inserted into the resulting pGal316 and pGal306 plasmids. The resulting plasmids, pGal316Flu and pGal306Flu, were then digested by appropriate restriction enzymes to clone in-frame various DNA fragments encoding the different proteins that will be expressed as HA-tagged derivatives. To express the GST-Met30 fusion in yeast cells, the Met30 open reading frame was cloned into the pEG(KG) vector (Mitchell *et al.*, 1993). To express a GFP-Met4 fusion protein from the *GAL1* promoter, a GFP-encoding gene (containing the two mutations S65G and S72A) was amplified by PCR from the yGFP3 plasmid (Cormack *et al.*, 1996) and used to replace the HA cassette present in the pGal306FluMet4 plasmid. This plasmid was then cleaved with *StuI* within the *URA3* gene and used to transform wild-type and SCF mutant strains. Stable uracil

Table II. Plasmids used in this study

Plasmids	Relevant characteristics	Source
pGal306Flu	pGal1-HA(x3), <i>URA3</i>	this study
pGal316Flu	pGal1-HA(x3), <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluMet4	pGal1-HA-MET4 ¹⁵⁻⁶⁶⁶ , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pFL39FluMet4	pGal1-HA-MET4 ¹⁵⁻⁶⁶⁶ , <i>TRP1</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluMet28	pGal1-HA-MET28 ¹⁻¹⁶⁶ , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluMet30	pGal1-HA-MET30 ⁷⁻⁶⁴⁰ , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluMet30ΔF	pGal1-HA-Met30 ^{Δ187-202} , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluCbf1	pGal1-HA-CBF1 ⁸⁻³⁵² , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluMet32	pGal1-HA-MET32 ¹⁻¹⁹² , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal39FluMet31	pGal1-HA-MET31 ¹⁻¹⁷⁷ , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316GFPMet4	pGal1-GFP-MET4 ¹⁵⁻⁶⁶⁶ , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
p314Met28GFP	MET28-GFP, <i>TRP1</i> , CEN	this study
pGal316FluMet30GFP	pGal1-HA-MET30 ⁷⁻⁶⁴⁰ -GFP, <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pEG(KT)Met30	pGal1-GST-MET30 ⁷⁻⁶⁴⁰ , <i>URA3</i> , CEN	this study
pLexMet30	pADH1-LEXA-MET30 ⁷⁻⁶⁴⁰ , <i>HIS3</i> , CEN	Thomas <i>et al.</i> (1995)
pLexMet30ΔF	pADH1-LEXA-MET30 ^{Δ187-202} , <i>HIS3</i> , CEN	this study
pLexMet30ΔN	pADH1-LEXA-MET30 ¹⁵⁸⁻⁶⁴⁰ , <i>HIS3</i> , CEN	this study
pLexMet30ΔNF	pADH1-LEXA-MET30 ^{[158-187]-[202-640]} , <i>HIS3</i> , CEN	this study
pLexMet32	pADH1-LEXA-MET32 ¹⁻¹⁹¹ , <i>HIS3</i> , CEN	Blaiseau and Thomas (1998)
pLexMet4-1	pADH1-LEXA-MET4 ¹⁵⁻⁶⁶⁶ , <i>HIS3</i> , CEN	Kuras and Thomas (1995)
pGadMet4Δ12	pADH1-GAL4-MET4 ^{Δ79-180} , <i>LEU2</i> , CEN	Thomas <i>et al.</i> (1995)
pGadMet4Δ3	pADH1-GAL4-MET4 ^{Δ56-268} , <i>LEU2</i> , CEN	Blaiseau and Thomas (1998)
pMT634	pGAL1-HA-CLN2, <i>LEU2</i> , CEN	Willems <i>et al.</i> (1996)

prototroph transformants were selected and correct integration events were verified by Southern blot analysis. To construct the GFP-Met28 and GFP-Met30 fusion proteins, the same GFP-encoding gene was amplified by PCR with appropriate oligonucleotides and introduced by the gap repair method into the p314Met28 and pGal316FluMet30 plasmids. In both cases, the GFP moiety was fused in-phase at the C-terminal end of the proteins. The sequences of the oligonucleotides and the details of cloning strategies used are available upon request.

Northern blot analyses

Northern blotting was performed as described by Thomas (1980), with total cellular RNA extracted from yeast by the hot phenol method as described by Schmitt *et al.* (1990) and oligolabeled probes (Hodgson and Fisk, 1987).

Microscopy

Localization of proteins tagged with GFP was monitored with viable cells on a Nikon eclipse fluorescence microscope using an Omega XF116 filter and all the images were collected with a CCD camera using identical settings (Fluograb, Graftek, France). For the GFP-Met4 and GFP-Met30 fusion proteins, the cells were grown overnight at 28°C in raffinose-based medium to an OD₆₅₀ nm of 0.6–0.8. Galactose was added at a final concentration of 4% and the cells grown for either 2 h at 28°C, or 1 h at 28°C and 1 h at 37°C for the temperature-sensitive strains. A 2 ml aliquot of cells was harvested by a brief centrifugation, resuspended in 100 µl of galactose-containing medium and 2 µl were placed on a microscope slide for observation. When required, L-methionine (1 mM) and the dye Hoechst 33342 (Sigma; 1 µg/ml) were added to the culture 15 and 20 min, respectively, prior to the observations.

Protein extraction and immunoblotting

The half-lives of Met4p and its associated factors were determined by the use of the promoter shut-off method. Various strains harboring plasmids allowing the expression of the HA-tagged proteins from the *GAL1* promoter were grown at 28°C to early log phase in raffinose medium (2% raffinose). Cells were filtered and resuspended in fresh medium containing 2% galactose to induce the transcription of the gene of interest. At 30 min after galactose induction, temperature-sensitive strains were shifted to 37°C. The cells were filtered 90 min after the addition of galactose, resuspended in glucose medium to turn off the *GAL1* promoter, in the presence or absence of 1 mM L-methionine, and aliquots were collected at regular intervals. In the case of the HA-Met30 fusion protein, cycloheximide (50 µg/ml) was added to the glucose-containing medium.

For the immunoblotting experiments, total cellular extracts were prepared as follows: 2 ml of cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 500 µl of 20% cold TCA and disrupted by vortexing

three times for 15 s with an equal volume of 0.45–0.50 mm glass beads. The supernatant was collected and the beads washed twice with 400 µl of 5% TCA. Total supernatant was spun for 15 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of loading buffer and boiled for 5 min. Then 20 µg of each extract were loaded onto SDS-(8–12%) polyacrylamide gels (Miniprotein II system, Bio-Rad). Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Optitran, Schleicher & Schuell) by a semi-dry blotting device (Bio-Rad). Anti-HA antibodies (12CA5, Boehringer Mannheim) and peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse antibodies (Sigma) were used at a 1:1000 dilution. Immunodetection was carried out using Supersignal (Pierce) chemiluminescent substrate.

Co-immunoprecipitations and phosphatase assays

In vivo interaction between HA-Met4 and GST-Met30 fusion proteins was assayed by co-immunoprecipitation experiments using the following protocol: after an overnight culture at 28°C in raffinose medium to early log phase, cells were filtered and resuspended in galactose medium for 2 h to induce transcription from the *GAL1* promoter. Total proteins were then extracted with glass beads in buffer containing 0.3% deoxycholate, 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and complete-Mini antiprotease inhibitors (Boehringer Mannheim) as specified by the manufacturer. Anti-HA antibodies were pre-adsorbed on protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia). Then 1 mg of each extract was added and gently mixed for 90 min at 4°C. The beads were washed four times with 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. Immunoprecipitated proteins were resuspended by vortexing in either SDS gel loading buffer for the immunoblots or in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 for the phosphatase assays. Assays of Met30–Skp1 interactions were performed according to Willems *et al.* (1996).

For the phosphatase assays, immunoprecipitation of HA-Met4 or HA-Cln2 was performed as described above except that in addition the extraction buffer contained 1% Triton and 0.2% SDS. Immunoprecipitates were incubated for 15 min at 37°C in the presence of 20 or 40 U of alkaline phosphatase (Boehringer Mannheim). SDS loading buffer (4×) was added to stop the reaction, and samples were processed for immunoblotting.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

The cellular extracts were prepared as described (Blaiseau and Thomas, 1998). The binding reaction mixtures (20 µl volume) contained 25 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 60 mM KCl, 7.5% glycerol, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.8 µg of poly(dI–dC)–poly(dI–dC). The amount of protein used in each binding reaction is indicated in the figure legends. Probes were labeled by filling in the ends with the Klenow fragment and using [α -³²P]dATP (800 Ci/mmol; Amersham). Approximately 40 000 c.p.m. of probe (–0.5 ng) were used in each binding mixture. Samples were incubated for 30 min in ice before being

loaded onto a 5% polyacrylamide gel in 0.25× TBE (22 mM Tris pH 8.3, 22 mM boric acid, 0.6 mM EDTA) and electrophoresed at 15 V/cm at 7°C. Gels were pre-electrophoresed for 1 h at 7.5 V/cm at 7°C. Gels were run for 6 h, dried and autoradiographed for 15 h with an intensifying screen.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Carl Mann for helpful discussions and for providing us with mutant strains. A.R. is supported by a thesis fellowship from the Ministère de la Recherche et de l'Enseignement Supérieur. E.P. is a recipient of a National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada studentship. M.T. is supported by the National Cancer Institute of Canada and the Medical Research Council of Canada. D.T. is supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the Association de la Recherche sur le Cancer.

References

- Bai, C., Sen, P., Hofmann, K., Ma, L., Goebel, M., Harper, J.W. and Elledge, S.J. (1996) SKP1 connects cell cycle regulators to the ubiquitin proteolysis machinery through a novel motif, the F-box. *Cell*, **86**, 263–274.
- Blaiseau, P.L. and Thomas, D. (1998) Multiple transcription activation complexes tether the yeast activator Met4 to DNA. *EMBO J.*, **17**, 6327–6336.
- Chérest, H. and Surdin-Kerjan, Y. (1992) Genetic analysis of a new mutation conferring cysteine auxotrophy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: updating of the sulfur metabolism pathway. *Genetics*, **130**, 51–58.
- Cormack, B.P., Valdivia, R.H. and Falkow, S. (1996) FACS-optimized mutants of the green fluorescent protein (GFP). *Gene*, **173**, 33–38.
- Feldman, R.M.R., Correll, C.C., Kaplan, K.B. and Deshaies, R.J. (1997) A complex of Cdc4p, Skp1p and Cdc53p/cullin catalyzes ubiquitination of the phosphorylated CDK inhibitor Sic1p. *Cell*, **91**, 221–230.
- Ghislain, M., Udvardy, A. and Mann, C. (1993) *S.cerevisiae* 26S protease mutants arrest cell division in G₂/metaphase. *Nature*, **366**, 358–362.
- Gietz, D., St. Jean, A., Woods, R.A. and Schiestl, R.H. (1992) Improved method for high efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **20**, 1425–1426.
- Görner, W., Durchschlag, E., Martínez-Pastor, M.T., Estruch, F., Ammerer, G., Hamilton, B., Ruis, H. and Schuller, C. (1998) Nuclear localization of the C2H2 zinc finger protein Msn2p is regulated by stress and protein kinase A activity. *Genes Dev.*, **12**, 586–597.
- Harper, J.W. and Elledge, S.J. (1999) Skipping into the E2F1-destruction pathway. *Nature Cell Biol.*, **1**, E5–E7.
- Hershko, A., Heller, H., Elias, S. and Ciechanover, A. (1983) Components of ubiquitin–protein ligase system. Resolution, affinity purification and role in protein breakdown. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **258**, 8206–8214.
- Hochstrasser, M. (1996) Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, **30**, 405–439.
- Hodgson, C.P. and Fisk, R.Z. (1987) Hybridization probe size control: optimized 'oligolabelling'. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **15**, 6295.
- Johnson, P.R., Swanson, R., Rakhilina, L. and Hochstrasser, M. (1998) Degradation signal masking by heterodimerization of MAT2 and MAT1 blocks their mutual destruction by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. *Cell*, **94**, 217–227.
- Kaiser, P., Sia, R.A., Bardes, E.G., Lew, D.J. and Reed, S.I. (1998) Cdc34 and the F-box protein Met30 are required for degradation of the Cdk-inhibitory kinase Swe1. *Genes Dev.*, **12**, 2587–2597.
- Kaplan, K.B., Hyman, A.A. and Sorger, P.K. (1997) Regulating the yeast kinetochore by ubiquitin-dependent degradation and Skp1p-mediated phosphorylation. *Cell*, **91**, 491–500.
- Koegl, M., Hoppe, T., Schlenker, S., Ulrich, H.D., Mayer, T.U. and Jentsch, S. (1999) A novel ubiquitination factor, E4, is involved in multiubiquitin chain assembly. *Cell*, **96**, 635–644.
- Koepp, D.M., Harper, J.W. and Elledge, S.J. (1999) How the cyclin became a cyclin: regulated proteolysis in the cell cycle. *Cell*, **97**, 431–434.
- Komeili, A. and O'Shea, E.K. (1999) Roles of phosphorylation sites in regulating activity of the transcription factor Pho4. *Science*, **284**, 977–980.
- Kuras, L., Barbey, R. and Thomas, D. (1997) Assembly of a bZIP/bHLH transcription activation complex: formation of the yeast Cbf1–Met4–Met28 complex is regulated through Met28 stimulation of Cbf1 DNA binding. *EMBO J.*, **16**, 2441–2451.
- Kuras, L. and Thomas, D. (1995) Functional analysis of Met4, a yeast transcriptional activator responsive to S-adenosylmethionine. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **15**, 208–216.
- Lammer, D., Mathias, N., Laplaza, J.M., Jiang, W., Liu, Y., Callis, J., Goebel, M. and Estelle, M. (1998) Modification of yeast Cdc53p by the ubiquitin-related protein rub1p affects function of the SCF^{Cdc4} complex. *Genes Dev.*, **12**, 914–926.
- Lanker, S., Valdivieso, M.H. and Wittenberg, C. (1996) Rapid degradation of the G₁ cyclin Cln2 induced by CDK-dependent phosphorylation. *Science*, **271**, 1597–1601.
- Li, F.N. and Johnston, M. (1997) Grr1 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is connected to the ubiquitin proteolysis machinery through Skp1: coupling glucose sensing to gene expression and the cell cycle. *EMBO J.*, **16**, 5629–5638.
- Liakopoulos, D., Doenges, G., Matuschewski, K. and Jentsch, S. (1998) A novel protein modification pathway related to the ubiquitin system. *EMBO J.*, **17**, 2208–2214.
- Mathias, N., Johnson, S., Byers, B. and Goebel, M. (1999) The abundance of cell cycle regulatory protein Cdc4p is controlled by interactions between its F box and Skp1p. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **19**, 1759–1767.
- Mitchell, D.A., Marshall, T.K. and Deschenes, R.J. (1993) Vectors for the inducible overexpression of glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins in yeast. *Yeast*, **9**, 715–722.
- Nehlin, J. and Ronne, H. (1990) Yeast Mig1 repressor is related to the mammalian early growth response and Wilm's tumor protein. *EMBO J.*, **9**, 2891–2898.
- Pahl, H.L. and Baeuerle, P.A. (1996) Control of gene expression by proteolysis. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.*, **8**, 340–347.
- Patton, E.E., Willems, A.R., Sa, D., Kuras, L., Thomas, D., Craig, K.L. and Tyers, M. (1998a) Cdc53 is a scaffold protein for multiple Cdc34/Skp1/F-box protein complexes that regulate cell division and methionine biosynthesis in yeast. *Genes Dev.*, **12**, 692–705.
- Patton, E.E., Willems, A.R. and Tyers, M. (1998b) Combinatorial control in ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis: don't Skp the F-box hypothesis. *Trends Genet.*, **14**, 236–243.
- Patton, E.E., Peyraud, C., Rouillon, A., Surdin-Kerjan, Y., Tyers, M. and Thomas, D. (1999) manuscript in preparation.
- Russell, I.D., Grancell, A.S. and Sorger, P.K. (1999) The unstable F-box protein p58-Ctf13 forms the structural core of the CBF3 kinetochore complex. *J. Cell Biol.*, **145**, 933–950.
- Schmitt, M., Brown, T. and Trumpower, B. (1990) A rapid and simple method for preparation of RNA from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **18**, 3091–3092.
- Seol, J.H. et al. (1999) Cdc53/cullin and the essential hrt1 RING-H2 subunit of SCF define a ubiquitin ligase module that activates the E2 enzyme cdc34. *Genes Dev.*, **13**, 1614–1626.
- Sherman, F., Fink, G.R. and Hicks, J.B. (1979) *Methods in Yeast Genetics: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Sikorski, R.S. and Hieter, P. (1989) A system of shuttle vectors and yeast strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*, **122**, 19–27.
- Skowyra, D., Craig, K.L., Tyers, M., Elledge, S.J. and Harper, J.W. (1997) F-box proteins are receptors that recruit phosphorylated substrates to the SCF ubiquitin–ligase complex. *Cell*, **91**, 209–219.
- Skowyra, D., Koepp, D.M., Kamura, T., Conrad, M.N., Conaway, R.C., Conaway, J.W., Elledge, S.J. and Harper, J.W. (1999) Reconstitution of G1 cyclin ubiquitination with complexes containing SCF^{Grr1} and Rbx1. *Science*, **284**, 662–665.
- Solow, S.P., Lezina, L. and Lieberman, P.M. (1999) Phosphorylation of TFIIA stimulates TATA binding protein–TATA interaction and contributes to maximal transcription and viability in yeast. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **19**, 2846–2852.
- Spellman, P.T., Sherlock, G., Zhang, M.Q., Iyer, V.R., Anders, K., Eisen, M.B., Brown, P.O., Botstein, D. and Futcher, B. (1998) Comprehensive identification of cell cycle-regulated genes of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by microarray hybridization. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **9**, 3273–3297.
- Tavaoie, S., Hughes, J.D., Campbell, M.J., Cho, R.J. and Church, G.M. (1999) Systematic determination of genetic network architecture. *Nature Genet.*, **22**, 281–285.
- Teng-umnuay, P., Morris, H.R., Dell, A., Panico, M., Paxton, T. and West, C.M. (1998) The cytoplasmic F-box binding protein SKP1 contains a novel pentasaccharide linked to hydroxyproline in *Dictyostelium*. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **273**, 18242–18249.
- Thomas, D. and Surdin-Kerjan, Y. (1997) Metabolism of sulfur amino acids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, **61**, 503–532.

- Thomas,D., Rothstein,R., Rosenberg,N. and Surdin-Kerjan,Y. (1988) SAM2 encodes the second methionine *S*-adenosyl transferase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: physiology and regulation of both enzymes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **8**, 5132–5139.
- Thomas,D., Kuras,L., Barbey,R., Cherest,H., Blaiseau,P.L. and Surdin-Kerjan,Y. (1995) Met30, a yeast transcriptional inhibitor that responds to *S*-adenosylmethionine, is an essential protein with WD40 repeats. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **15**, 6526–6534.
- Thomas,P.S. (1980) Hybridization of denatured DNA and small DNA fragments transferred to nitrocellulose. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **77**, 5201–5205.
- Willems,A.R., Lanker,S., Patton,E.E., Craig,K.L., Nason,T.F., Mathias,N., Kobayashi,R., Wittenberg,C. and Tyers,M. (1996) Cdc53 targets phosphorylated G1 cyclins for degradation by the ubiquitin proteolytic pathway. *Cell*, **86**, 453–463.
- Winston,J.T., Strack,P., Beer-Romero,P., Chu,C.Y., Elledge,S.J. and Harper,J.W. (1999) The SCF β –TRCP–ubiquitin ligase complex associates specifically with phosphorylated destruction motifs in I κ B α and β -catenin and stimulates I κ B α ubiquitination *in vitro*. *Genes Dev.*, **13**, 270–283.
- Zhou,P. and Howley,P.M. (1998) Ubiquitination and degradation of the substrate recognition subunits of SCF ubiquitin-protein ligases. *Mol. Cell*, **2**, 571–580.

*Received September 6, 1999; revised November 8, 1999;
accepted November 11, 1999*