Published in final edited form as:

Urology. 2011 February ; 77(2): 502–506. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2010.06.064.

Tandem-Robot Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy (T-RALP) to Improve the Neurovascular Bundle Visualization: A Feasibility Study

Misop Han^{*}, Chunwoo Kim, Pierre Mozer, Felix Schäfer, Shadie Badaan, Bogdan Vigar, Kenneth Tseng, Doru Petrisor, Bruce Trock, and Dan Stoianovici

James Buchanan Brady Urological Institute, Robotics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD

Abstract

INTRODUCTION—The preservation of the neurovascular bundle (NVB) during radical prostatectomy improves the postoperative recovery of sexual potency. The accompanying blood vessels in the NVB can serve as a macroscopic landmark to localize the microscopic cavernous nerves in the NVB. We examined the feasibility of image-guided navigation using transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) to visualize NVB during robot assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (RALP).

MATERIALS AND METHODS—A novel, robotic transrectal ultrasound probe manipulator (TRUS Robot) and three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction software were developed and used concurrently with the daVinci[®] surgical robot (Intuitive Surgical Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) in a tandem-robot assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (T-RALP).

RESULTS—After appropriate approval and informed consent were obtained, three subjects underwent T-RALP without associated complications. The TRUS Robot allowed a steady handling and remote manipulation of the TRUS probe during T-RALP. It also tracked the TRUS probe position accurately and allowed 3-D image reconstruction of the prostate and surrounding structures. Image navigation was performed by observing the tips of the daVinci[®] surgical instruments in the live TRUS image. Blood vessels in the NVB were visualized using Doppler ultrasound.

CONCLUSIONS—Intraoperative 3-D image-guided navigation in T-RALP is feasible. The use of TRUS during radical prostatectomy can potentially improve the visualization and preservation of the NVB. Further studies are needed to assess the clinical benefit of T-RALP.

Keywords

prostate cancer; robotics; image-guided navigation; radical prostatectomy

^{*}Corresponding author: Misop Han, M.D., M.S., James Buchanan Brady Urological Institute, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, 600 N. Wolfe St., Park 215, Baltimore, MD 21287, Tel) 410-502-7454, Fax) 410-502-7711, mhan1@jhmi.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

INTRODUCTION

A precise resection of the tumor-containing prostate gland and the preservation of the neurovascular bundle (NVB) around the prostate are critical in preventing tumor recurrence and preserving sexual potency following radical prostatectomy.¹ However, it can be challenging to visualize NVB during surgery due to the periprostatic connective tissues and intraoperative hemorrhage, even with the use of surgical loupes during open surgery or laparoscopic magnification during laparoscopic prostatectomy. A potential solution to this challenge is image-guided navigation.

A recent study by Ukimura et al suggested that image guidance with transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) of prostate can be used during laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (LRP) without robotic assistance.² They reported that the images can potentially provide a decreased rate of positive surgical margins and improve the dissection of the NVB. In their study, gray-scale ultrasound images were used to localize hypoechoic lesions, suggestive of cancer. In addition, Doppler ultrasound images were used to identify the blood flows within the NVB. This approach, while innovative, also posed several challenges. For example, the TRUS probe was manipulated by a human assistant during LRP, compromising image stability. Also, during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (RALP), which is more commonly performed than LRP, there is a limited space between the daVinci[®] surgical robot (Intuitive Surgical Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) and the patient, not enough for a human assistant. In addition, the precise positional information of the TRUS probe was not incorporated in interpreting TRUS images.

Recently, we developed a robotic TRUS probe manipulator (TRUS Robot) and three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction/navigation software. In this tandem robot approach using both the TRUS Robot and daVinci[®] robot, we examined the feasibility of the image-guidance navigation using TRUS to visualize NVB during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (RALP).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The TRUS Robot, a robotic arm to support and orient the TRUS probe, was developed at Johns Hopkins Urology Robotics Laboratory (<http://urobotics.urology.jhu.edu>). This novel instrument is composed of a passive support arm that is attached to the operative table, a robotic orientation Remote Center of Motion (RCM) module^{3–5}, and an ultrasound probe driver module (Figure 1). The orientation RCM module allows the TRUS probe to pivot about a fixed point with two rotations for changing the angulation of the probe. The ultrasound probe driver module allows the rotation of the probe about its axis. The probe depth can be manually adjusted by a surgeon. The total number of active degrees of freedom (DOF) of the TRUS Robot is 3. Most importantly, the TRUS Robot can provide measurements of the RCM and TRUS probe drive angles from which the images are acquired.

Figure 2a shows the schematic of the T-RALP setup where the daVinci[®] surgical robot and the TRUS Robot are concurrently used. The two systems are not yet interconnected. A 3-D Image-Navigation interface was developed using the Amira Image Visualization software (Visage Imaging, Carlsbad, CA) with custom modules developed in Visual C++ (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).

RESULTS

After obtaining the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)'s Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) and the Johns Hopkins institutional review board (IRB) approval, we

performed the clinical feasibility study of the T-RALP. After signing informed consent, three subjects underwent nerve-sparing T-RALP without associated complications such as rectal injury/discomfort or excessive blood loss requiring a transfusion.

In terms of patient demographics, the age of the patients ranged from 57 to 66. Their PSA ranged from 3.6 to 5.5 ng/ml. All had clinical stage T1c disease. Biopsy/prostatectomy Gleason score was 6 in two men, 4+3=7 in one. Pathological stage was pT2c in two, pT3b in one. Surgical margin was negative in all men. The prostate gland was measured to be between 30 and 40 grams in size. The TRUS Robot setup and image acquisition processes required less than 30 minutes for each case.

After general anesthesia, a patient's legs were placed in spreader bars. Then, the TRUS Robot was mounted to the operative table between the patient's legs. The passive, C-clamp arm of the TRUS Robot was unlocked and the TRUS probe (a lateral fire probe, Hitachi EUP-U533) was manually placed transrectally so that the probe does not put significant force on the rectal wall. After the passive arm was locked to hold the TRUS probe in place with a proper TRUS probe depth to visualize the whole prostate gland on a lateral/sagittal image, the daVinci® surgical robot was docked carefully between the patient's legs with sufficient room for two robots to be operative in tandem without interference (Figure 2b).

The TRUS images of the prostate gland were acquired while the TRUS probe was supported and manipulated by the TRUS Robot using a joystick located next to the daVinci® surgeon console, without the need for a dedicated assistant. The entire prostate was scanned by rotating the TRUS probe about its axis, minimizing prostate displacement and deformation. Accurate recording of 2-D images and corresponding TRUS frame coordinates were obtained. A rotary motion scan was used to sweep the prostate volume with ultrasound images. The gathered information was used in offline segmentation for the 3-D ultrasound image volume of the prostate gland and blood vessels in the NVBs (Figure 3). The tips of the daVinci instruments (hyperechoic marks) were visible in the live TRUS image during surgery, demonstrating a potential application of TRUS image-guided navigation.

DISCUSSION

The preservation of the neurovascular bundle (NVB) around the prostate is critical in preserving sexual potency following radical prostatectomy. However, it can be challenging to visualize NVB during surgery. A possible solution is image-guided navigation using ultrasonography. The accompanying arteries and veins in the NVB, which are visible with a Doppler ultrasound⁶, can potentially serve as a macroscopic landmark to localize the microscopic cavernous nerves in the NVB.^{1, 7} In this feasibility study of tandem robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (T-RALP), we performed intraoperative navigation using a robotic TRUS probe manipulator (TRUS Robot) and three-dimensional (3-D) reconstruction/navigation software. This feasibility study demonstrated that the prostate can be safely scanned during RALP with the TRUS Robot to reconstruct the 3-D images of the prostate gland with adjacent NVB and that the intraabdominal daVinci® instruments can be clearly visible in the TRUS images.

TRUS is an ideal modality for image-based navigation. Ukimura et al is the first group credited for using intraoperative TRUS imaging to visualize the prostate gland and NVB during laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (LRP).⁸ They reported that the intraoperative use of TRUS was helpful in imaging the location and local extent of hypoechoic area(s), providing real-time guidance for the surgeon during NVB release and apical dissection of the prostate, and monitoring a calibrated, lobe-specific, wider dissection around a cancer nodule with suspected extracapsular extension (ECE)⁹. With the enhanced visualization of

the surgical field by TRUS imaging, they reported significant improvement in NVB preservation and a decreasing rate of positive surgical margin, which is a surrogate for the technical quality of the surgery.¹⁰ However, the concept of TRUS guidance during LRP did not gain wide acceptance because it requires an additional personnel manually manipulating TRUS probe during surgery.¹¹

Alternatively, we developed and used the TRUS Robot, a robotic arm to hold and manipulate the TRUS probe remotely, allowing the surgeon to manipulate the TRUS probe without the need for a human assistant during RALP. More importantly, the TRUS Robot allowed a continuous tracking of the TRUS probe position with the available reference frame, which is not available with manual handling of the TRUS probe. This additional positional information allowed the volume measurement, 3-D reconstruction and navigation display of the system. Typically, 3-D reconstructions in medical images such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are computed using linear interpolations from parallel images. With the TRUS Robot, the images were acquired using a rotary sweep about the axis of the TRUS probe. Therefore, a curvilinear interpolation had to be used to gather the volume from the rotary images.

There exist other TRUS tracking devices capable of reconstructing the 3-D image of the prostate such as the Target Scan of Envisioneering Medical Technologies (St. Louis, MO) and the Eigen LLC system (Grass Valley, CA)^{12, 13}. The novelty of our approach derives from its robotic component that provides mobility in addition to tracking the position of the TRUS probe. Robots have been proposed for ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy and brachytherapy^{14–16}, but these typically handle the biopsy/brachytherapy needle not the TRUS probe.

Many parameters may affect the accuracy of ultrasound-guided navigation. Except for movement of organs, the most important factors influencing the accuracy may be the nature of the tracking system and ultrasound probe calibration.¹⁷ Optical and electromagnetic systems are commonly used for tracking medical instruments but both systems have their limitations. Optical systems may have satisfactory accuracy, but require a clear line of sight between the sensors/markers and the cameras, which can be challenging in the operating room. In electromagnetic systems, distortions may occur due to metallic objects in the working space that induce perturbations of the magnetic field. The TRUS robot employs an alternative approach by measuring positions intrinsically without the need of a separate tracker. Moreover, because of its compact size, it can be ideally positioned in a limited space bounded by the patient's legs, operative table, and the daVinci® surgical robot.

There are other potential applications for the TRUS Robot such as prostate biopsy or brachytherapy. For example, after scanning the prostate with the TRUS Robot and 3-D navigation software, a systematic biopsy can be planned in 3-D. The robot can then be instructed to automatically orient the TRUS probe to designated locations, so that the urologist can simply place the needle through the TRUS needle guide and perform the biopsy. This approach could potentially provide more uniform distribution of the biopsy regions as well as the accurate coordinates where the biopsies were obtained. A precisely localized biopsy sample holds substantially more clinical value because the coordinates of the biopsy cores may allow for building 3-D cancer distribution maps combining pathology data with novel genomic, proteomic, and image biomarkers.

There are several potential shortcomings of the T-RALP that deserve mention. First, there is no interconnection between the daVinci® system and the TRUS Robot. The communication between these two systems can be implemented in the future to allow the ultrasound navigation images to be visible as a part of multiple input display in the daVinci® surgeon

console (TilePro™). This improvement will allow the surgeon to complete the surgery without toggling between the daVinci® and TRUS monitors. Second, some have questioned the validity of the NVB as a distinct anatomic structure.^{18, 19} For example, Kiyoshima et al observed true neurovascular bundles in the posterolateral region of the prostate in only half of the non-nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy specimens.¹⁹ In the other half, they noted larger vessels and nerve trunks dispersed in the posterolateral region without an obvious bundle formation.¹⁹ Therefore, the preservation of the blood vessels in the posterolateral region of the prostate should still serve as a surrogate for the preservation of the cavernous nerve in the T-RALP, regardless of the obvious “bundle” formation. Third, improvement is needed to quickly generate 3-D registration images so that a surgeon can potentially use an overlay image of the prostate and NVB in real time, yet the efficiency of the overlay modality will have to be determined. We are currently performing an NIH/NCI-funded quick trial to study the efficacy and safety of the T-RALP approach. We plan to address these potential problems associated with T-RALP in this quick trial. In addition, we will evaluate the T-RALP by measuring image-to-real distances of prostatic landmarks, measuring the distance between the expected versus imaged locations of neurovascular bundles (NVB), and quantifying the incidence of associated complications including rectal injury.

In conclusion, the current feasibility study of T-RALP demonstrated promising results for the proposed navigation system. The tandem robot approach was feasible and no interference between the two robots was observed. Using the TRUS Robot, intraoperative transrectal ultrasound imaging is possible even within the constraints of the daVinci® robot and the prostate can be scanned by sweeping the gland with rotary ultrasound images acquired. The 3-D prostate images can be reconstructed from these rotary slices and the daVinci® instruments can be clearly visible in the TRUS images. Finally, no associated complications related to the device were encountered. Additional studies are needed to prove clinical benefit of T-RALP.

Acknowledgments

Funding/Support: This study was supported in part by Award Number CA141835 from the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Institute for Clinical and Translational Research (ICTR) at Johns Hopkins. Ultrasound equipment and support were provided by Hitachi Medical Systems America. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NCI, NIH, or the ICTR.

References

1. Walsh PC, Lepor H, Eggleston JC. Radical prostatectomy with preservation of sexual function: anatomical and pathological considerations. *Prostate* 1983;4(5):473–485. [PubMed: 6889192]
2. Gill IS, Ukimura O. Thermal energy-free laparoscopic nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy: one-year potency outcomes. *Urology* Aug;2007 70(2):309–314. [PubMed: 17826495]
3. Stoianovici, D.; Whitcomb, L.; Mazilu, D.; Taylor, R.; Kavoussi, L. Inventors; Johns Hopkins University, assignee. Remote Center of Motion Robotic System and Method. US patent. 07021173. Apr 4. 2006
4. Stoianovici, D.; Whitcomb, LL.; Anderson, JH.; Taylor, RH.; Kavoussi, LR. A modular surgical robotic system for image guided percutaneous procedures. Paper presented at: Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention; 1998.
5. Stoianovici D, Cleary K, Patriciu A, et al. AcuBot: A Robot for Radiological Interventions. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation* Oct;2003 19(5):926–930.
6. Leventis, AK.; Shariat, SF.; Utsunomiya, T.; Slawin, KM. Characteristics of normal prostate vascular anatomy as displayed by power Doppler; *The Prostate*. 2001. p. 281-288.%U

[http://dx.doi.org/210.1002/1097-0045\(20010301\)20010346:20010304<20010281::AID-PROS20011034>20010303.20010300.CO;20010302-A](http://dx.doi.org/210.1002/1097-0045(20010301)20010346:20010304<20010281::AID-PROS20011034>20010303.20010300.CO;20010302-A)

7. Walsh PC. Anatomic radical prostatectomy: evolution of the surgical technique. *J Urol* 1998;160(6 Pt 2):2418–2424. [PubMed: 9817395]
8. Ukimura O, Gill IS. Real-time transrectal ultrasound guidance during nerve sparing laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: pictorial essay. *J Urol* Apr;2006 175(4):1311–1319. [PubMed: 16515988]
9. Ukimura O, Ahlering TE, Gill IS. Transrectal ultrasound-guided, energy-free, nerve-sparing laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. *J Endourol* Sep;2008 22(9):1993–1995. [PubMed: 18811518]
10. Ukimura O, Magi-Galluzzi C, Gill IS. Real-Time Transrectal Ultrasound Guidance During Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Impact on Surgical Margins. *J Urol* 2006;175(4):1304–1310. [PubMed: 16515987]
11. Guillonneau B. Can TRUS guidance reduce the incidence of positive margins during laparoscopic radical prostatectomy? *Nat Clin Pract Urol* Oct;2006 3(10):518–519. [PubMed: 17031371]
12. Shen F, Shinohara K, Kumar D, et al. Three-dimensional sonography with needle tracking: role in diagnosis and treatment of prostate cancer. *J Ultrasound Med* Jun;2008 27(6):895–905. [PubMed: 18499849]
13. Narayanan R, Werahera PN, Barqawi A, et al. Adaptation of a 3D prostate cancer atlas for transrectal ultrasound guided target-specific biopsy. *Phys Med Biol* Oct 21;2008 53(20):N397–406. [PubMed: 18827317]
14. Fichtinger G, Fiene JP, Kennedy CW, et al. Robotic assistance for ultrasound-guided prostate brachytherapy. *Med Image Anal* Oct;2008 12(5):535–545. [PubMed: 18650122]
15. Yu Y, Podder TK, Zhang YD, et al. Robotic system for prostate brachytherapy. *Comput Aided Surg* Nov;2007 12(6):366–370. [PubMed: 18066952]
16. Wei Z, Ding M, Downey D, Fenster A. 3D TRUS guided robot assisted prostate brachytherapy. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv Int Conf Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv* 2005;8(Pt 2):17–24.
17. Lindseth F, Tangen GA, Lango T, Bang J. Probe calibration for freehand 3-D ultrasound. *Ultrasound Med Biol* Nov;2003 29(11):1607–1623. [PubMed: 14654156]
18. Schwalenberg T, Neuhaus J, Liatsikos E, Winkler M, Löffler S, Stolzenburg JU. Neuroanatomy of the male pelvis in respect to radical prostatectomy including three-dimensional visualization. *BJU Int* Jan;105(1):21–27. [PubMed: 19549118]
19. Kiyoshima K, Yokomizo A, Yoshida T, et al. Anatomical features of periprostatic tissue and its surroundings: a histological analysis of 79 radical retropubic prostatectomy specimens. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* Aug;2004 34(8):463–468. [PubMed: 15371464]

Figure 1.

The TRUS Robot provides support and orientation of the TRUS probe. It is composed of a passive arm component attached to the table, a robotic orientation Remote Center of Motion (RCM) module, and an ultrasound probe driver module. It pivots the TRUS probe in two directions for orientation and rotates the TRUS probe about its axis.

Figure 2.
a/b. Schematic setup for Tandem Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy (T-RALP).

Figure 3.
a/b Rotary scan of the prostate volume, ultrasound image showing color Doppler activity and 3-Dimensional model of the prostate gland and blood vessels in the neurovascular bundle (NVB). Images acquired with Hitachi HiVision 6500, lateral fire endorectal probe EUP-U533 at 10.5 MHz.