Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2010 December 10; 49(50): 9753–9756. doi:10.1002/anie.201005183.

Catalytic Enantioselective Claisen Rearrangements of *O*-Allyl β -Ketoesters**

Christopher Uyeda,

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138

Andreas R. Rötheli, and

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138

Prof. Dr. Eric N. Jacobsen*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138

Keywords

Claisen rearrangement; organocatalysis; asymmetric catalysis; Density-functional calc; H bonding

The selective construction of contiguous quaternary stereogenic centers, a motif found in many complex natural products, represents a significant synthetic challenge.[1] Among the limited number of approaches for the formation of bonds between such sterically-congested carbon atoms, intramolecular processes such as polyene cyclizations,[2a,b] intramolecular cycloadditions,[2c] and sigmatropic rearrangements[2d,e] have been particularly effective. For addressing vicinal quaternary carbons, these transformations have only been applied in a diastereocontrolled manner using substrates containing pre-existing stereogenic centers, either as part of cleavable auxiliaries or structural features of the target molecule. The development of catalytic asymmetric methods for the direct and selective formation of such stereochemical arrays represents a highly desirable and challenging goal.

Since its discovery in 1912,[3] the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of allyl vinyl ethers (the Claisen rearrangement) has emerged as a proven strategy for the formation of carbon–carbon bonds between vicinal stereogenic centers.[4] Diastereoselectivity is generally predictable and high in these processes because of the concerted nature of the C–O bond-breaking and C–C bond-forming events as well as the large energetic preference for chair-like over boat-like transition states. Furthermore, important examples of enantioselective methods for Claisen rearrangements involving Lewis acid catalysis[5] have been identified recently for select substrates with chelating functional groups.

We reported recently that the achiral guanidinium ion **1**, bearing a non-coordinating tetraarylborate counterion, is a viable catalyst for the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of a wide range of substituted allyl vinyl ethers.[6] Rearrangements that proceed through highly dipolar transition structures were found to be particularly amenable to acceleration by

**This work was supported by the NIH (GM-43214). We acknowledge Matthew Rienzo for experimental assistance and Dr. Richard Staples for X-ray analysis.

*Fax: (+1) 617-496-1880, jacobsen@chemistry.harvard.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

hydrogen-bond donors. Substrates that meet this requirement possess either electron-donating substituents on the allyl group or electron-withdrawing substituents on the vinyl group in order to stabilize developing charge. In accord with this observation, the addition of **1** at 5 mol% loading induces rearrangement of β -ketoester derivative **3** to high levels of conversion. Notably, the diastereoselectivity is also enhanced under the guanidinium-catalyzed conditions (Scheme 1).

Here we report the discovery of chiral guanidinium-catalyzed Claisen rearrangements of cyclic *O*-allyl β -ketoesters as a method of broad scope for the formation of branched allylation products with both enantio- and diastereocontrol. While direct catalytic enantioselective allylations of β -ketoester nucleophiles, such as by phase-transfer alkylation[7a,b] or π -allyl metal chemistry,[7c–e] are highly effective with simple unsubstituted allyl electrophiles, regioselectivity for branched allylation and diastereoselectivity using more substituted electrophiles have proven to be difficult to achieve and highly dependent on the identity of the reaction partners.[8]

An extensive catalyst optimization study for the Claisen rearrangement was undertaken using representative 5- and 6-membered ring substrates (Table 1, entries 1a and 2). As was found previously in the rearrangement of *O*-allyl α -ketoesters,[6] catalyst **2** proved optimal among all catalysts studied in terms of both rate and enantioselectivity. Variation of the catalyst diamine backbone, heterocyclic component, or aryl substituent proved to be detrimental (see Supporting Information). The highest enantioselectivities were obtained in non-polar alkane solvents; however, toluene and dichloromethane also proved useful, affording products with only slightly diminished enantiomeric excess (*ee*).

A wide range of cyclic β -ketoester-derived substrates were synthesized and evaluated in order to determine the scope of the reaction. Many of the vinyl ether substrates could be prepared in modest yield by alkylation of the corresponding potassium enolate with allyl sulfonates in the presence of 18-crown-6. Mixtures of *C*- and *O*-allylated products were formed under these conditions, generally favoring the former. Higher selectivity for *O*-allylation was obtained under Mitsunobu conditions using PPh₃ in conjunction with either DEAD or 1,1'-(azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine (ADDP).[9] This protocol afforded substrates for the enantioselective Claisen rearrangement in 40–52% isolated yield in a single step from the precursor alcohol and β -ketoester.

Simple carbocyclic substrates of a variety of ring sizes (Table 1, entries 1–4) were effective as were those containing unsaturation (entry 5), fused aromatic rings (entries 6 and 7), and heteroatoms (entries 8–10). In all cases, the products were obtained in high isolated yield and enantiomeric excesses in the range of 79% to 87%. The Meerwein–Eschenmoser Claisen rearrangement of a methallyloxy-indole[10,5b] also proceeded with similar enantioselectivity, providing the allylated oxindole product (entry 11). Indeed, the performance of catalyst **2** across a broad range of substrates is remarkably consistent, with the average enantioselectivity for all entries in Table 1 expressed in terms of free energy ($\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger$) being 1.38 kcal/mol with a relatively narrow standard deviation of 0.1 kcal/mol. Although the degree of asymmetric induction in these rearrangements is moderate, the broad substrate scope suggests a general and common basis for enantioinduction.

High conversion to product is observed for all rearrangements at or near room temperature using 20 mol% loadings of catalyst **2**. For substrates that require extended reaction times, faster rates can be obtained at higher temperatures with a small loss of enantioselectivity. For example, the cyclopentanone methyl ester substrate in entry 1a reached full conversion after 48 h at 40 °C, and the product was obtained in 80% *ee*.

Due to the pericyclic nature of the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement, substrates with substitution on the allyl component undergo rearrangement with complete regioselectivity for branched allylation. The scope for these substrates is illustrated in Table 2. Products of both diastereomeric series could be accessed using substrates with (*E*)- and (*Z*)-configured olefins (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). The relative stereochemistry of the products is consistent with the rearrangement proceeding primarily through a chair-like transition state.[11] In addition to alkyl substitution, substrates possessing aryl groups were also effective (entries 3 and 7), providing products with similar enantioselectivity and slightly diminished diastereoselectivity. Finally, trisubstituted alkenyl substrates underwent rearrangement to generate products containing vicinal quaternary stereogenic centers (entries 5–7).

For three representative examples in Table 2, the catalyst loading was reduced to 10 mol% (see entries in parentheses). Although lower reaction rates were observed, all of the products were obtained in high yield and with only slightly diminished *ee*.

This method holds potential utility for addressing complex stereochemical relationships in natural product synthesis. A 0.5 mmol-scale rearrangement of the nerylated ethoxycyclohexenone substrate **4** was run under the standard reaction conditions (Scheme 2). After 72 h, 81% yield of the *C*-allylated product **5** was isolated with a 7:1 diastereomeric ratio and 81% *ee* for the major diastereomer. Upon completion of the reaction, analytically pure catalyst (*S,S*)-**2** was recovered in 95% yield. The particular stereochemical array formed in this rearrangement corresponds directly to the configuration of quaternary stereocenters found in hyperforin,[12] an important member of the polyprenylated phloroglucinol family of natural products. To date, only one enantioselective total synthesis has been reported.[13] The rearrangement product **5** was readily elaborated to the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane core structure through an iodonium-induced carbocyclization.[14]

Computational studies were conducted in order to probe the nature of the catalyst–substrate interaction and the basis for the observed rate accelerations. Calculated lowest energy structures for the substrate, product, and transition state for both the uncatalyzed and *N,N'*-dimethylguanidinium-catalyzed reaction pathways are depicted in Figure 2.

While a slight energetic preference for the *s*-trans conformation of the α,β -unsaturated ester was calculated for the uncatalyzed pathway, there is a large preference for the *s*-cis conformation in the catalyzed pathway. This geometry permits simultaneous interactions between the catalyst and both the ester and vinyl ether oxygen atoms. While the substrate is bound primarily through the more Lewis basic ester group, binding is biased toward the ether oxygen in the transition state. It is likely that both the developing negative charge on the ether oxygen as well as alleviation of steric hindrance by partial C–O bond-breaking contribute to this change in geometry. This transition state binding mode is remarkably similar to that calculated for the rearrangement of a model *O*-allyl α -ketoester substrate,[6] and provides a rationale for the observation that catalyst **2** is capable of high levels of asymmetric induction for both substrate classes.

The overall calculated effect of the guanidinium ion is a 5.3 kcal/mol lowering of the activation barrier for the rearrangement. Additionally, binding of the product β -ketoester is predicted to be 1.4 kcal/mol less favorable than binding of the substrate, consistent with the observation of catalyst turnover.

Ongoing efforts are directed at applying insights gleaned from this system to other fundamental transformations that are amenable to asymmetric catalysis by hydrogen-bond donors.

Experimental Section

(S)-methyl 1-((R)-3,7-dimethylocta-1,6-dien-3-yl)-4-ethoxy-2-oxocyclohex-3-enecarboxylate (5)

167.2 g of the substrate **4** (1.0 eq, 0.5 mmol) was weighed into a 20-mL screw-top vial and dissolved in 10 mL of hexanes. 137.0 mg of (*S,S*)-**2** (20 mol%, 0.1 mmol) was added as a solid, and the vial was sealed under air. The heterogeneous reaction was stirred in a temperature-controlled aluminum heating block at 30 °C for 72 h. The crude mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and loaded directly onto a silica gel column. The product was eluted using a solvent gradient of 0–50% Et₂O in hexanes. The catalyst was then recovered from the column by eluting with 4% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂. 130.8 mg of (*S,S*)-**2** (95% recovery) was isolated after drying under reduced pressure (0.5 torr). 135.5 mg of the rearranged product **5** (0.41 mmol, 81% yield) was isolated as a 7:1 mixture of diastereomers (determined by ¹H-NMR integration). The major diastereomer was determined to be 81% *ee* by chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 1 mL/min, 2% IPA/hexanes, *t_r*(major) = 21.6 min, *t_r*(minor) = 15.9 min), and the minor diastereomer was determined to be 40% *ee* (OD-H, 1 mL/min, 2% IPA/hexanes, *t_r*(major) = 23.9 min, *t_r*(minor) = 18.0 min). [*a*]_D²³ = –74.2° (c = 0.37, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (600MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 6.25 (br. m, 1 H), 5.30 (s, 1 H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (d, *J* = 17.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.85 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.65 (s, 3 H), 2.48 – 2.30 (m, 2 H), 2.30 – 2.21 (m, 1 H), 2.20 – 1.71 (m, 4 H), 1.64 (s, 3 H), 1.55 (s, 3 H), 1.46 – 1.36 (m, 1 H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (126MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 195.7, 175.3, 171.4, 143.8, 131.1, 125.0, 113.9, 104.6, 64.4, 62.2, 52.1, 46.1, 35.9, 27.9, 27.0, 25.8, 23.2, 17.7, 17.3, 14.2; LRMS (APCI-ESI): 357.2 [M + Na]⁺; FTIR (neat, cm^{–1}): 2980 (w), 1724 (m), 1660 (m), 1614 (s), 1431 (w), 1381 (m), 1314 (w), 1231 (m), 1189 (s), 1035 (w), 897 (w).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

- Peterson EA, Overman LE. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; 101:11943–11948. [PubMed: 15232003]
- For representative examples in natural product synthesis: a) Fish PV, Johnson WS. *J Org Chem*. 1994; 59:2324–2335. b) Corey EJ, Lin S. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1996; 118:8765–8766. c) Stafford JA, Heathcock CH. *J Org Chem*. 1990; 55:5433–5434. d) Gilbert JC, Selliah RD. *J Org Chem*. 1993; 58:6255–6265. e) Lemieux RM, Meyers AI. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:5453–5457.
- Claisen L. *Ber Dtsch Chem Ges*. 1912; 45:3157–3166.
- For general reviews: a) Castro AMM. *Chem Rev*. 2004; 104:2939–3002. [PubMed: 15186185] b) Hiersemann, M.; Nubbemeyer, U., editors. *The Claisen Rearrangement*. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2007.
- a) Abraham L, Czerwonka R, Hiersemann M. *Angew Chem*. 2001; 113:4835–4837. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2001; 40:4700–4703. b) Linton EC, Kozlowski MC. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:16162–16163. [PubMed: 18998679]
- Uyeda C, Jacobsen EN. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:9228–9229. [PubMed: 18576616]
- For selected examples of catalytic enantioselective allylations of β-ketoester enolates: a) Ooi R, Miki T, Taniguchi M, Shiraishi M, Takeuchi M, Maruoka K. *Angew Chem*. 2003; 32:3926–3928. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2003; 42:3796–3798. b) Park EJ, Kim MH, Kim DY. *J Org Chem*. 2004; 69:6897–6899. [PubMed: 15387619] c) Hayashi T, Kanehira K, Hagihara T, Kumada M. *J Org Chem*. 1988; 53:113–120. d) Trost BM, Radinov R, Grenzer EM. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1997; 119:7879–7880. e) Kuwano R, Ito Y. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1999; 121:3236–3237.

8. a) Trost BM, Hachiya I. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1998; 120:1104–1105. b) Lloyd-Jones GC, Pfaltz A. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 1995; 34:462–464. c) Trost BM, Jiang CH. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001; 123:12907–12908. [PubMed: 11749552] d) Trost BM, Sacchi KL, Schroeder GM, Asakawa N. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:3427–3430. [PubMed: 12323035] e) Du C, Li L, Li Y, Xie Z. *Angew Chem.* 2009; 42:7993–7996. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:7853–7856. f) Polet D, Alexakis A, Tissot-Croset K, Corminboeuf C, Ditrich K. *Chem Eur J.* 2006; 12:3596–3609.
9. Mitsunobu O. *Synthesis.* 1981; 1:1–28.
10. Booker-Milburn KI, Fedouloff M, Paknoham SJ, Strachan JB, Melville JL, Voyle M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000; 41:4657–4661.
11. The product in Table 2, entry 8 was treated with I₂ in order to form the iodolactone. Single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from EtOAc (see Supporting Information).
12. a) Gurevich AI, Dobrynin VN, Kolosov MN, Popravko SA, Ryabova ID, Chernov BK, Derbentseva NA, Aizenman BE, Garagulya AD. *Antibiotiki.* 1971; 16:510–513. [PubMed: 5000144] b) Bystrov NS, Chernov BK, Dobrynin VN, Kolosov MN. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975; 16:2791–2794.
13. a) Usuda H, Kuramochi A, Kanai M, Shibasaki M. *Org Lett.* 2004; 6:4387–4390. [PubMed: 15524490] b) Shimizu Y, Shi SL, Usuda H, Kanai M, Shibasaki M. *Angew Chem.* 2010; 122:1121–1124. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010; 49:1103–1106.
14. a) Nicolaou KC, Pfefferkorn JA, Kim S, Wei HX. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1999; 121:4724–4725. b) Siegel DR, Danishefsky SJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006; 128:1048–1049. [PubMed: 16433500] c) Tsukano C, Siegel DR, Danishefsky SJ. *Angew Chem.* 2007; 119:8996–9000. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2007; 46:8840–8844.

Figure 1. Calculated reaction coordinate for the uncatalyzed (top) and *N,N'*-dimethylguanidinium-catalyzed (bottom) rearrangement at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of DFT. Relative energies are in kcal/mol, and key hydrogen-bond distances are shown in Angstroms.

Scheme 1.

N,N'-diphenylguanidinium-catalyzed rearrangement of *O*-allyl β-ketoesters.

Scheme 2.

Claisen rearrangement to establish the vicinal quaternary stereogenic centers found in Hyperforin and subsequent elaboration to the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane core structure.

Table 1

Asymmetric rearrangements of cyclic *O*-allyl β -ketoesters

Entry	Product	Time / Temp.	Yield ^[a]	e^b
1a ^[c]		R = Me 6 d / 30 °C	81%	84%
1b		R = allyl 6 d / 30 °C	82%	83%
1c		R = CH ₂ CCl ₃ 6 d / 30 °C	90%	85%
2		6 d / 22 °C	81%	81% ^[d]
3		5 d / 22 °C	98%	87% ^[d]
4		4 d / 22 °C	94%	79%
5		72 h / 22 °C	96%	86%
6		48 h / 22 °C	92%	82%
7		36 h / 22 °C	92%	82%
8		6 d / 22 °C	80%	80%

Entry	Product	Time / Temp.	Yield ^[a]	<i>ee</i> ^[b]
9		5 d / 22 °C	91%	80%
10		48 h / 22 °C	96%	82%
11		72 h / 22 °C	90%	80% ^[d]

^[a] Isolated yields were determined for rearrangements run on a 0.1 mmol scale.

^[b] Enantiomeric excesses were determined by GC and HPLC analysis using commercial chiral columns.

^[c] A full screen of ester substituents was performed for the 5-membered ring substrate. Details are included in the Supporting Information.

^[d] The absolute configurations of the products in entries 2, 3, and 11 were determined by comparing the optical rotation to values reported in the literature (see Supporting Information).

Table 2

Asymmetric rearrangement of substituted *O*-allyl β -ketoesters

Entry	Substrate	Product (Major Diastereomer)		Time / Temp.	Yield[a]	d.r.[b]	e _d [c]

1				48 h / 22 °C (72 h / 22 °C)[d]	99% (99%)	16:1 (15:1)	83% (81%)
2				6 d / 40 °C	82%	16:1	81%
3				48 h / 4 °C (72 h / 4 °C)[d]	99% (99%)	5:1 (5:1)	83% (80%)
4				48 h / 4 °C (72 h / 4 °C)[d]	87% (99%)	— (—)	82% (81%)
5				6 d / 30 °C	99%	10:1	82%
6				6 d / 30 °C	99%	10:1	85%
7				4 d / 22 °C	99%	5:1	78%

Entry	Substrate	Product (Major Diastereomer)	Time / Temp.	Yield ^[a]	d.r. ^[b]	ee ^[c]
8[11]			48 h / 22 °C	98%	> 20:1	85%

^[a] Isolated yields were determined for rearrangements run on a 0.1 mmol scale.

^[b] Diastereomer ratios were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis.

^[c] Enantiomeric excesses were determined chiral GC and HPLC analysis using commercial chiral columns.

^[d] The yield, d.r., and ee in parentheses were obtained using 10 mol% of the catalyst under the reactions conditions shown.