CUB-domain-containing protein 1 (CDCP1) activates Src to promote melanoma metastasis

Hui Liu^{a,b,c}, Shao-En Ong^d, Kwabena Badu-Nkansah^{a,b}, Jeffrey Schindler^{a,b,c}, Forest M. White^{b,e}, and Richard O. Hynes^{a,b,c,1}

^aHoward Hughes Medical Institute, ^bKoch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research, ^cDepartment of Biology, and ^dDepartment of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139; and ^dBroad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142

Contributed by Richard O. Hynes, December 9, 2010 (sent for review January 23, 2010)

We report the application of quantitative mass spectrometry to identify plasma membrane proteins differentially expressed in melanoma cells with high vs. low metastatic abilities. Using stable isotope labeling with amino acids in culture (SILAC) coupled with nanospray tandem mass spectrometry, we identified CUB-domain-containing protein 1 (CDCP1) as one such differentially expressed transmembrane protein. CDCP1 is not only a surface marker for cells with higher metastatic potential, but also functionally involved in enhancing tumor metastasis. Overexpression of CDCP1 also correlates with activation of Src. Pharmacological reagents, PP2 and Dasatinib, which block Src family kinase activation, blocked scattered growth of CDCP1-overexpressing cells in 3D Matrigel culture, suggesting that CDCP1 might function through the activation of Src-family kinases (SFKs). This hypothesis was further supported by mutational studies of CDCP1. Whereas wild-type CDCP1 enhances Src activation, point mutation Y734F abolishes in vitro dispersive growth in 3D culture and in vivo metastasis-enhancing activities of CDCP1. In addition, the Y734F mutation also eliminated enhanced Src activation. Thus, this work provides molecular mechanisms for the metastasis-enhancing functions of CDCP1.

Despite significant improvement in treatments for cancer, most mortality is due to tumor metastasis to distant organs. Tumor metastasis is a complicated process, presumably involving tumor cell detachment and migration/invasion from the primary site (local invasion); intravasation, survival in the circulation, arrest, and extravasation from the circulation (systemic dissemination); and growth, survival, and angiogenesis at the distant organ sites (colonization) (1–4). It has become increasingly clear that tumor cells cooperate with environmental factors, including other cell types in the tumor, such as fibroblasts, macrophages, platelets, and endothelial cells, as well as extracellular matrix, to metastasize (5–7). On the other hand, many tumor-intrinsic factors have also been identified that promote (8–11) or inhibit (12–14) tumor metastasis, using expression microarrays and other large-scale profiling technologies such as copy-number variation and SNP arrays.

Plasma membrane proteins mediate communications between tumor cells and their microenvironment, acting in a sense as the “antennae” through which cells sense their microenvironment and determine cellular outcomes, such as cell proliferation, migration, or apoptosis, in response to the combined stimuli present in the microenvironment. Some plasma membrane proteins have been well studied, such as receptors for growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines, and a number have been shown to play important roles during various stages of tumor progression and metastasis. In addition, several cell–extracellular matrix and cell–cell adhesion molecules have been shown in different systems to contribute to tumor metastasis. Examples include up-regulation of integrin αV and CD44 and reduction of E-cadherin. However, our insights into changes in many other plasma membrane proteins are still limited. For example, CD82 (or KAI1), a metastasis suppressor identified >10 y ago (12), has only recently been shown to exert its function through interaction with DARC on endothelial cells (15). Plasma membrane proteins, such as CD133 and CD44 are often used as markers to define tumor-initiating cells and cells with higher propensity to metastasize (16). We are particularly interested in

cell-surface membrane proteins, and we wish to identify new markers and/or players in melanoma metastasis and to elucidate the mechanisms by which these proteins function.

In this article, we report application of quantitative proteomics approaches to investigate differences in protein levels, particularly those in plasma membrane proteins, between closely related poorly and highly metastatic melanoma cells. Using such approaches, we identified CUB-domain-containing protein 1 (CDCP1) as a membrane protein that is up-regulated in highly metastatic melanomas. We find that CDCP1 contributes to the enhancement of melanoma metastasis, without affecting tumor growth at primary s.c. sites. In vitro, CDCP1 causes detachment of melanoma cells in 2D culture and dispersive growth in 3D Matrigel cultures. Mechanistically, CDCP1 activates Src family kinases to exert these biological functions both in vitro and in vivo.

Results

Stable Isotope Labeling with Amino Acids in Culture (SILAC) Coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry Identifies Plasma Membrane Proteins Differentially Expressed Between Highly and Poorly Metastatic Melanoma Cells. A colloidal silica protocol was used for plasma membrane enrichment and removal of intracellular membrane [endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or Golgi] contamination (see [Fig. S1A](#) for details). When the final enriched membrane fraction was separated by SDS/PAGE and blotted with antibodies against different subcellular markers, significant amounts of cytoplasmic, ER, Golgi, and nuclear proteins were removed using this method, whereas plasma membrane proteins were retained ([Fig. S1B](#)). We then labeled highly metastatic MA2 cells and poorly metastatic A375 cells with stable isotope-enriched arginine and lysine in culture using SILAC ([Fig. S1C](#)). Following plasma membrane enrichment, quantitative mass spectrometry was applied to determine the differentially expressed membrane proteins ([Fig. S1D](#)). Among plasma membrane proteins showing differences in protein levels, EphA2 was found to have lower expression levels in MA2 cells, and integrins αV and $\beta 3$ and CD44 exhibited higher levels. These data conform with earlier work (17–21). We also observed that CDCP1 was up-regulated in MA2 cells ([Fig. S1D](#)). For details, see [SI Materials and Methods](#) and [Fig. S1](#)).

CDCP1 Is Up-Regulated in Highly Metastatic Melanoma Cell Lines. CDCP1, also known as SIMA135 or Trask, is a transmembrane glycoprotein that contains three putative extracellular CUB domains. CUB domains are believed to function in protein–protein (22, 23) and protein/carbohydrate (24) interactions. We initially focused on CDCP1 for several reasons: *i*) CDCP1 was previously reported to be overexpressed in human colorectal and lung cancers

Author contributions: H.L. and R.O.H. designed research; H.L., K.B.-N., and J.S. performed research; S.-E.O. and F.M.W. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; H.L., S.-E.O., and R.O.H. analyzed data; and H.L. and R.O.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: rohynes@mit.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1017228108/-/DCSupplemental.

(25, 26) and up-regulated in highly metastatic human carcinoma cells (27). *ii*) Tyrosine phosphorylation of CDCP1 correlates with cell detachment from extracellular matrix, and overexpression of CDCP1 leads to cell rounding and cell detachment, suggesting potential roles in cell adhesion and migration (28–30), processes that have been linked to tumor cell metastasis. *iii*) In addition to its expression on epithelial cells, CDCP1 has limited expression on hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells (31, 32).

We first confirmed the mass spectrometry results by other means. When cultured cells were analyzed for surface CDCP1 expression levels using flow cytometry, MA2 cells showed higher expression levels relative to A375 cells (Fig. 1*A*). In line with the *in vitro* flow cytometric data, increased CDCP1 total protein levels were observed in the s.c. tumors generated from MA2 cells compared with those from A375 cells using Western analysis (Fig. 1*B*). Using flow cytometry we investigated the surface expression levels of CDCP1 on other highly metastatic melanoma cells derived independently by *in vivo* selection of parental A375 cells (14). All highly metastatic cells (MA1, MA2, MC1, and MC2) expressed higher cell surface levels of CDCP1 compared with A375 cells (Fig. 1*C*).

Flow cytometric analysis of the parental A375 cells revealed two subpopulations (Fig. 1*A*, *C*, and *D*). However, all cells derived from the rare lung metastases obtained after *i.v.* injection of A375 cells in NOD/SCID mice exhibited higher surface CDCP1 expression (Fig. S2), suggesting that CDCP1 might be a surface marker for cells with higher metastatic potential. Parental A375 cells were sorted on the basis of CDCP1 expression levels into CDCP1^{high} and CDCP1^{low} populations (Fig. 1*D*) and separately injected into NOD/SCID mice via the tail vein. Mice injected with CDCP1^{high} cells harbor more lung metastases than do those injected with CDCP1^{low} cells, supporting our hypothesis (Fig. 1*E*). Furthermore, cell lines were derived from lung tumor nodules from mice injected with CDCP1^{high} cells and selected with neo-

mycin to remove nontumor cells, and all those cell lines retained high surface CDCP1 expression (Fig. 1*F*). Our results are consistent with recent clinical data where expression levels of CDCP1 in renal cell carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma were found to be significant predictors of disease-free survival in patients (33, 34).

CDCP1 Plays a Causal Role During Melanoma Metastasis but Has No Significant Effect on s.c. Tumor Growth. To test the functionality of CDCP1 in melanoma metastasis, we used reciprocal approaches. First, we generated two stable CDCP1-knockdown cell lines from highly metastatic MA2 cells (MA2-KD1 and MA2-KD2), using a miR30-based shRNA knockdown system (Fig. 2*A*). Down-regulation of CDCP1 had no effect on tumor cell proliferation *in vitro* (Fig. S3*A*). However, we found that MA2-KD1 and -KD2 formed significantly fewer lung metastases (mean = 42.8 ± 10.7 and 51.4 ± 7.3 , and $P = 0.0002$ and 0.0001 , respectively) compared with MA2-Ctrl-KD cells (mean = 110.8 ± 7.8) when tested by tail-vein injection assays (Fig. 2*B*). s.c. tumors derived from MA2-KD1 and MA2-KD2 cells reached the same size as those from MA2-Ctrl-KD cells (Fig. 2*C*), although these cells maintained lower CDCP1 expression levels at the time of tumor dissection (Fig. S3*B*). These results show that reduction of CDCP1 expression suppresses melanoma metastasis, without significant effects on primary tumor growth.

Second, we overexpressed CDCP1 in poorly metastatic parental A375 cells (A375-CDCP1) (Fig. 2*D*) and tested the ability of these cells to form lung tumors in tail-vein injection assays relative to A375 cells expressing vector control (A375-Vector-Ctrl). A375-CDCP1 cells generated significantly more lung tumor nodules (mean = 375 ± 48.7) than did A375-Vector-Ctrl cells (mean = 74.9 ± 28.4 , $P = 0.0011$; Fig. 2*E*) (representative images are shown in Fig. 2*G*). s.c. tumors derived from both cell types reached similar weights (Fig. 2*F*), although A375-CDCP1 cells do proliferate somewhat more slowly *in vitro* (Fig. S3*C*). Thus, both loss-

Fig. 1. CDCP1 expression is up-regulated in highly metastatic melanoma cells and correlates with metastatic potential. (*A*) Flow-cytometric analysis of poorly metastatic A375 cells (shaded curve) and highly metastatic MA2 cells (thick line) shows enhanced CDCP1 expression in MA2 cells *in vitro*. (*B*) Western blotting reveals that total *in vivo* CDCP1 expression was enhanced in s.c. tumors derived from MA2 cells relative to those from A375 cells. Relative signal intensity (RSI) for CDCP1 is shown under the Western blot. (*C*) Flow-cytometric analysis shows CDCP1 surface levels are increased in all highly metastatic cells (MA1, MA2, MC1, and MC2) (thick lines) compared with poorly metastatic parental A375 (shaded curve). (*D*) Surface expression of CDCP1 on A375 cells reveals two peaks (shaded line), which can be sorted into two subpopulations: CDCP1^{high} and CDCP1^{low}. (*E*) A total of 1×10^6 cells were *i.v.* injected into NOD/SCID mice. CDCP1^{high} cells formed more lung metastases than did CDCP1^{low} cells. Box plots show numbers of lung surface tumors 5 wk after tail-vein injection. (*F*) Four different cell lines isolated from lung metastases from mice injected with CDCP1^{high} (thin lines) maintain higher CDCP1 expression levels equivalent to those of MA2 cells (thick line). Dotted lines: isotype controls.

Fig. 3. Overexpression of CDCP1 in A375 cells causes reduction of cell-substrate interactions and cell-cell interactions in vitro. (A) Images of A375-vector-Ctrl cells (*Left*) and A375-CDCP1 cells (*Right*) reveal loss of adherent phenotype when CDCP1 is overexpressed. (Scale bar: 30 μ m.) (B) Quantification of the percentage of cells growing in suspension. Error bars represent SEM. (C) Adhesion to fibronectin is reduced, whereas adhesion to vitronectin is not significantly affected. Ninety-six-well plates were coated overnight with 10 μ g/mL of fibronectin or vitronectin or with 20 μ g/mL poly-D-lysine as positive control. Bars show cells adherent to the indicated substrate as percentage of the number adherent to poly-D-lysine. (D) A375-CDCP1 cells lose N-cadherin (red), whereas A375-vector-Ctrl cells retain strong N-cadherin staining at cell-cell junctions in 3D Matrigel assay. (Scale bar: 21 μ m.)

pattern of these cells (Fig. 4B). These results suggested that activation of SFKs may function downstream of CDCP1, to promote scattered growth of tumor cells in 3D Matrigel.

We further tested the hypothesis that CDCP1 functions through activation of SFKs. The cytoplasmic domain of CDCP1 contains five tyrosines, which have been reported to be phosphorylated by SFKs. In particular, Y734 has been reported to serve as a docking site for SFKs (29, 35). Therefore, we performed site-directed mutagenesis to obtain tyrosine-to-phenylalanine mutant forms of CDCP1 and generated stable A375 cell lines expressing each of these mutants (A375-Y734F, A375-Y743F, A375-Y762F, and A375-Y806F). Surface expression levels of these mutants and wild-type CDCP1 were similar (Fig. S8). Cells overexpressing the Y743F or Y806F mutant forms of CDCP1 have strong activation of SFKs and Akt, similar to the activation levels in cells overexpressing wild-type CDCP1 (Fig. 4A). However, cells overexpressing the Y734F mutant CDCP1 failed to elicit strong SFK and Akt activation (Fig. 4A). Therefore, this tyrosine residue appears to play a key role in the signaling functions of CDCP1.

Mutants causing strong activation of SFKs and Akt (Y743F, Y762F, and Y806F) retained the functions of CDCP1 in vitro, inducing both cell-substrate detachment in 2D culture (Fig. 5A and Fig. S8B) and dispersive growth in 3D Matrigel (Fig. 5B and Fig. S8C). However, the Y734F mutant of CDCP1 that failed to activate SFKs and Akt completely lacked the functions of CDCP1 in these in vitro assays. A375 cells overexpressing Y734F-CDCP1 were adherent in 2D culture (Fig. 5A) and remained as balls in 3D Matrigel (Fig. 5B). Mutation of Y734F also abolished tyrosine phosphorylation of CDCP1 (Fig. 5C and Fig. S7A). When tested for tail-vein metastasis, A375-Y734F cells failed to promote metastasis in the lungs (Fig. 5D). Together, these data indicate that many of the functions of CDCP1 depend on Y734, possibly

Fig. 4. Overexpression of CDCP1 enhances Src family kinase activity. (A) Western blotting using antibodies specific for activated forms of SFKs or Akt shows that CDCP1 overexpression in A375 cells enhances activation of SFKs and Akt. A point mutation at Y734F in CDCP1 abolished this enhancement, whereas mutations at Y743F and Y806F had no effect. Relative signal intensities (RSI) for pSFK and pAkt are indicated. (B) Treatment with Src-family kinase inhibitor, Dasatinib, abolished scattered growth of A375-CDCP1 cells in 3D Matrigel and was imaged 6 d later. (Scale bar: 30 μ m.)

functioning through activation of SFKs to exert its biological functions both in vitro and in vivo.

Discussion

Using a quantitative proteomics approach, we showed that the expression level of a transmembrane protein, CDCP1, is elevated in a series of highly metastatic human melanoma cells relative to their poorly metastatic counterparts. We also investigated the functional involvement of CDCP1 during melanoma metastasis, using both shRNA-mediated down-regulation and overexpression of CDCP1. We find that CDCP1 plays important roles in enhancing tumor metastasis in our experimental model, without affecting tumor growth at s.c. sites. Furthermore, we show that a single tyrosine residue, Y734, known to bind Src and other SFKs, is essential for the prometastatic effects of CDCP1, as well as for a variety of in vitro phenotypes induced by overexpression of CDCP1. Y734 is essential for activation of Src and Akt and additional experiments showed that inhibition of SFKs blocks the phenotypic consequences of overexpression of CDCP1, suggesting that CDCP1 functions via activation of Src and possibly other SFKs. Using antibodies specific for Src, Fyn, and Yes we found that Src is the major SFK in A375 cells; Fyn and Yes were barely detectable.

CDCP1 has been reported to be overexpressed in other metastatic cell lines (27) and in hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells (31, 32). Elevated expression of CDCP1 correlates with poor prognosis in renal cell carcinoma (33) and adenocarcinoma of the lung (34). These results and ours suggest broad implications of CDCP1 overexpression for malignancy. In agreement with our results, it has been shown that shRNA suppression of CDCP1 can inhibit experimental metastasis of A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells and i.p. dissemination of scirrhous gastric carcinoma cell lines (36, 37). It was recently reported that overexpression of CDCP1 in HeLa or PC3 cells enhanced metastasis and that antibodies to CDCP1 suppress metastasis in mouse and chicken models, again in agreement with our data (38).

assay using A375-CDCP1 cells harboring shRNA targeting Akt is required for conclusive assessment of this possibility.

Thus, although the involvement of PKC δ and Akt in the functions of CDCP1 remains somewhat unclear, our data demonstrate a key requirement for CDCP1-mediated activation of Src (and perhaps other SFKs) in the functions of CDCP1 in altered cell–cell and cell–substratum adhesion *in vitro* and in metastasis *in vivo*. Numerous studies have shown important and diverse contributions of SFKs to tumor formation, progression, and metastasis (43–45). SFK activation is known to reduce cell–cell and cell–extracellular matrix adhesion and enhance cell migration (46–48) and activate anoikis-resistance pathways (49), among other mechanisms. These effects are all consistent with the effects of CDCP1, reinforcing the hypothesis that it functions through activation of SFKs.

Materials and Methods

For details, see *SI Materials and Methods*.

s.c. Tumor Growth. A375-CDCP1 or A375-Vector-Ctrl cells were harvested, washed, and treated with PBS/EDTA and resuspended in HBSS. Eight- to 10-wk-old nonobese diabetic severe combined immunodeficient (NOD/SCID) mice (Jackson Laboratories) were anesthetized with isoflurane/O₂ mixture (Abbott Laboratories). A total of 0.5×10^6 cells were injected s.c. using a 26G

needle. Thirty-three days later, mice were killed and tumors dissected and weighed.

Experimental Metastasis Assay. Six- to 8-wk-old NOD/SCID mice were injected via the lateral tail veins with 1×10^6 cells, using a 30G needle. Thirty-five days later, mice were killed and lungs were inflated with 4% formalin in PBS, tied, and fixed for 5 min. Lungs were dissected and placed in ice-cold PBS and green fluorescent tumors were counted under a UV dissection microscope. All animal experiments were approved by the MIT Department of Comparative Medicine.

Graphs and Statistical Analysis. *P* values were calculated using Student's *t* test (<http://www.physics.csbsju.edu/stats/>). Box plots were generated by Prism software (GraphPad Software). The box plots show the interquartile range (middle 50% of data), with the median marked by a line, and the whiskers represent the full range of the data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Lei Xu, Arjan van der Flier, Kaan Cartel, Richard Cook, Alla Leshinsky, Shahin Begum, and Denise Crowley for experimental advice and assistance and Drs. Stolz, Stern, Sakai, and Benes for reagents. We thank Drs. Lamar, Labelle, and van der Flier for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (U54-CA112967 and U54-CA126515), the Ludwig Center at MIT, a National Institute of General Medical Sciences Training grant to the MIT Biology Department, a fellowship from the David H. Koch Fund, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

- Gupta GP, Massagué J (2006) Cancer metastasis: Building a framework. *Cell* 127: 679–695.
- Hynes RO (2003) Metastatic potential: Generic predisposition of the primary tumor or rare, metastatic variants-or both? *Cell* 113:821–823.
- Nguyen DX, Bos PD, Massagué J (2009) Metastasis: From dissemination to organ-specific colonization. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9:274–284.
- Weiss L (2000) Metastasis of cancer: A conceptual history from antiquity to the 1990s. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 19:1–XI, 193–383.
- Bissell MJ, Radisky D (2001) Putting tumours in context. *Nat Rev Cancer* 1:46–54.
- Condeelis J, Pollard JW (2006) Macrophages: Obligate partners for tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis. *Cell* 124:263–266.
- Joyce JA, Pollard JW (2009) Microenvironmental regulation of metastasis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9:239–252.
- Clark EA, Golub TR, Lander ES, Hynes RO (2000) Genomic analysis of metastasis reveals an essential role for RhoC. *Nature* 406:532–535.
- Kang Y, et al. (2003) A multigenic program mediating breast cancer metastasis to bone. *Cancer Cell* 3:537–549.
- Müller A, et al. (2001) Involvement of chemokine receptors in breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* 410:50–56.
- Yang J, et al. (2004) Twist, a master regulator of morphogenesis, plays an essential role in tumor metastasis. *Cell* 117:927–939.
- Dong JT, et al. (1995) KAI1, a metastasis suppressor gene for prostate cancer on human chromosome 11p11.2. *Science* 268:884–886.
- Wong SY, et al. (2007) Protein 4.1B suppresses prostate cancer progression and metastasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:12784–12789.
- Xu L, Begum S, Hearn JD, Hynes RO (2006) GPR56, an atypical G protein-coupled receptor, binds tissue transglutaminase, TG2, and inhibits melanoma tumor growth and metastasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:9023–9028.
- Bandyopadhyay S, et al. (2006) Interaction of KAI1 on tumor cells with DARC on vascular endothelium leads to metastasis suppression. *Nat Med* 12:933–938.
- Visvader JE, Lindeman GJ (2008) Cancer stem cells in solid tumours: Accumulating evidence and unresolved questions. *Nat Rev Cancer* 8:755–768.
- Vaught D, Brantley-Sieders DM, Chen J (2008) Eph receptors in breast cancer: Roles in tumor promotion and tumor suppression. *Breast Cancer Res* 10:217.
- Felding-Habermann B, et al. (2001) Integrin activation controls metastasis in human breast cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:1853–1858.
- Li X, et al. (2001) Integrin α v β 3 mediates K1735 murine melanoma cell motility *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *J Cell Sci* 114:2665–2672.
- Pécheur I, et al. (2002) Integrin α v β 3 expression confers on tumor cells a greater propensity to metastasize to bone. *FASEB J* 16:1266–1268.
- Hill A, McFarlane S, Johnston PG, Waugh DJ (2006) The emerging role of CD44 in regulating skeletal micrometastasis. *Cancer Lett* 237:1–9.
- Kozyraki R, et al. (1999) The intrinsic factor-vitamin B12 receptor, cubilin, is a high-affinity apolipoprotein A-I receptor facilitating endocytosis of high-density lipoprotein. *Nat Med* 5:656–661.
- Tu CF, et al. (2008) Domain and functional analysis of a novel platelet-endothelial cell surface protein, SCUBE1. *J Biol Chem* 283:12478–12488.
- Töpfer-Petersen E, Eklasi-Hundrieser M, Tsolova M (2008) Glycobiology of fertilization in the pig. *Int J Dev Biol* 52:717–736.
- Perry SE, et al. (2007) Expression of the CUB domain containing protein 1 (CDCP1) gene in colorectal tumour cells. *FEBS Lett* 581:1137–1142.
- Scherl-Mostageer M, et al. (2001) Identification of a novel gene, CDCP1, overexpressed in human colorectal cancer. *Oncogene* 20:4402–4408.
- Hooper JD, et al. (2003) Subtractive immunization using highly metastatic human tumor cells identifies SIMA135/CDCP1, a 135 kDa cell surface phosphorylated glycoprotein antigen. *Oncogene* 22:1783–1794.
- Brown TA, et al. (2004) Adhesion or plasmin regulates tyrosine phosphorylation of a novel membrane glycoprotein p80/gp140/CUB domain-containing protein 1 in epithelia. *J Biol Chem* 279:14772–14783.
- Bhatt AS, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Craik CS, Moasser MM (2005) Adhesion signaling by a novel mitotic substrate of src kinases. *Oncogene* 24:5333–5343.
- Xia Y, Gil SG, Carter WG (1996) Anchorage mediated by integrin α 6 β 4 to laminin 5 (epiligrin) regulates tyrosine phosphorylation of a membrane-associated 80-kD protein. *J Cell Biol* 132:727–740.
- Bühning HJ, et al. (2004) CDCP1 identifies a broad spectrum of normal and malignant stem/progenitor cell subsets of hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic origin. *Stem Cells* 22:334–343.
- Conze T, et al. (2003) CDCP1 is a novel marker for hematopoietic stem cells. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 996:222–226.
- Awakura Y, et al. (2008) Microarray-based identification of CUB-domain containing protein 1 as a potential prognostic marker in conventional renal cell carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 134:1363–1369.
- Ikeda J, et al. (2009) Expression of CUB domain containing protein (CDCP1) is correlated with prognosis and survival of patients with adenocarcinoma of lung. *Cancer Sci* 100:429–433.
- Benes CH, et al. (2005) The C2 domain of PKC δ is a phosphotyrosine binding domain. *Cell* 121:271–280.
- Uekita T, et al. (2007) CUB domain-containing protein 1 is a novel regulator of anoikis resistance in lung adenocarcinoma. *Mol Cell Biol* 27:7649–7660.
- Uekita T, et al. (2008) CUB-domain-containing protein 1 regulates peritoneal dissemination of gastric scirrhous carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 172:1729–1739.
- Deryugina EI, et al. (2009) Functional role of cell surface CUB domain-containing protein 1 in tumor cell dissemination. *Mol Cancer Res* 7:1197–1211.
- Spasov DS, Baehner FL, Wong CH, McDonough S, Moasser MM (2009) The transmembrane src substrate Trask is an epithelial protein that signals during anchorage deprivation. *Am J Pathol* 174:1756–1765.
- Tzukunft K, et al. (2008) A novel dynamic matrix detachment model reveals a shift from apoptosis to necrosis in melanoma cells. *Cancer Lett* 272:345–354.
- Zhang Y, Lu H, Dazin P, Kapila Y (2004) Squamous cell carcinoma cell aggregates escape suspension-induced, p53-mediated anoikis: Fibronectin and integrin α v mediate survival signals through focal adhesion kinase. *J Biol Chem* 279:48342–48349.
- Shimony N, et al. (2008) A 3D rotary renal and mesenchymal stem cell culture model unveils cell death mechanisms induced by matrix deficiency and low shear stress. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 23:2071–2080.
- Ishizawa R, Parsons SJ (2004) c-Src and cooperating partners in human cancer. *Cancer Cell* 6:209–214.
- Summy JM, Gallick GE (2003) Src family kinases in tumor progression and metastasis. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 22:337–358.
- Yeaman TJ (2004) A renaissance for SRC. *Nat Rev Cancer* 4:470–480.
- Abram CL, Courtneidge SA (2000) Src family tyrosine kinases and growth factor signaling. *Exp Cell Res* 254:1–13.
- Hynes RO (1992) Integrins: Versatility, modulation, and signaling in cell adhesion. *Cell* 69:11–25.
- Thomas SM, Brugge JS (1997) Cellular functions regulated by Src family kinases. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 13:513–609.
- Windham TC, et al. (2002) Src activation regulates anoikis in human colon tumor cell lines. *Oncogene* 21:7797–7807.