Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem C Nanomater Interfaces. 2011 January 1; 115(1): 125–131. doi:10.1021/jp108062p.

DYNAMICS OF SINGLE-MOLECULE ROTATIONS ON SURFACES DEPEND ON SYMMETRY, INTERACTIONS AND MOLECULAR SIZES

Alexey Akimov and Anatoly B. Kolomeisky *

Department of Chemistry, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005-1892

Abstract

Rotating surface-mounted molecules have attracted attention of many research groups as a way to develop new nanoscale devices and materials. However, mechanisms of motion of these rotors at the single-molecule level are still not well understood. Theoretical and experimental studies on thioether molecular rotors on gold surfaces suggest that the size of the molecules, their flexibility and steric repulsions with the surface are important for dynamics of the system. A complex combination of these factors leads to the observation that the rotation speeds have not been hindered by increasing the length of the alkyl chains. However, experiments on diferrocene derivatives indicated that a significant increase in the rotational barriers for longer molecules. We present here a comprehensive theoretical study that combines molecular dynamics simulations and simple models to investigate what factors influence single-molecule rotations on the surfaces. Our results suggest that rotational dynamics is determined by the size and by the symmetry of the molecules and surfaces, and by interactions with surfaces. Our theoretical predictions are in excellent agreement with current experimental observations.

1. Introduction

Functioning of all biological systems depends critically on activity of molecular motors that convert chemical, thermal or light energy into useful mechanical work.^{1–6} Observations of high efficiency, flexibility and robustness of these biological machines have stimulated multiple efforts to develop analogous manmade nanoscale devices with controlled properties.^{7–15} Although significant advances in synthesizing artificial molecular motors have been achieved,^{11,15–29} a direct application of these nanoscale machines is still limited due to the fact that the fundamental issue of energy transformation at the single-molecule level is not well understood.^{3,4}

One of the most promising classes of artificial devices for application in nanotechnology is surface-mounted molecular rotors.^{15,29–37} There are many advantages in using molecules attached to the surfaces such as the ability to control and manipulate easily these rotors, the possibility of coupling to other nanoscale devices and many other potential future nanotechnology applications. In addition, biological motors typically function most efficiently in close association with some surfaces.^{29,31} Rotational dynamics of surface-mounted molecules has been studied by several experimental methods that include scanning

* tolya@rice.edu .

Supporting Information Available.

Animated movies of typical trajectories for single-molecule rotations on gold surfaces are presented. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

tunneling microscopy (STM),^{29–32,38,39} inelastic electron tunneling (IET),^{40–44} and single-molecule fluorescence imaging.⁴⁵ Current theoretical investigations of artificial molecular rotors mostly rely on molecular dynamics (MD)^{31,46,47} and molecular mechanics (MM)^{48,49} computer simulations, quantum-chemical density-functional studies^{33,50} and fundamental thermodynamic approaches.^{51,52} Although theoretical studies allowed to explain several features of molecular rotors, the mechanism of rotations at the single-molecule level is still an open problem.

The detailed studies of molecular rotations have been performed for the system of thioethers bound to Au surfaces.^{29–31} Thioethers, also known as dialkylsulfides, are linear molecules with the sulfur atom in the middle connected to two symmetric alkyl chains. The sulfur atom is strongly bound to the gold surface, and alkyl branches can rotate around it. Using STM techniques it was found that activation rotational barriers do not depend much on the size of alkyl chains in thioethers, in contrast to naïve expectations.³¹ We developed a theoretical model based on molecular dynamics calculations that argued that rotational dynamics of thioethers can be explained by a combination of molecular interactions with the surface, flexibility and steric repulsions.³¹ This model argued that for longer alkyl chains the increased interactions with the surface is compensated by increased steric repulsion and flexibility of the molecule, leading to the effective size independence in the rotational properties. However, a different rotational dynamics have been observed recently for diferrocene derivatives.³² These molecules can be viewed as single alkyl chains that contain a monocyclopentadienyl iron complex (FeCp) at one end, and the ferrocene (Fc) group at the other end. FeCp group is strongly bound to the metallic surface, while interactions of Fc group with the surface are much weaker. This leads to rotation of the whole molecule around the FeCp complex. Again using STM methods it was shown³² that the increase in the length of the alkyl chain connectors increases rotational barriers and threshold temperatures for rotation. This dynamic behavior was very different from the rotational dynamics of thioethers. Thus a better microscopic description of molecular rotations on the surfaces is needed. In this paper we present a theoretical study of mechanisms of single-molecule rotations that combines extensive MD computer simulations with simple models to determine the most important factors influencing the dynamic behavior.

2. Theoretical Calculations

To develop a microscopic picture of surface rotations we performed extensive MD computer simulations for different molecular systems. Our computational approach is based on recent MD simulations method that was created for analysis of the motion of nanocars⁵³ and molecular rotors.³¹ It utilizes a rigid-body approximation that considers molecules as consisting of coupled rigid segments and it neglects some less important degrees of freedom, thus significantly accelerating calculations and providing a better description of dynamic properties of the system. A detailed description of the rigid-body MD computational method has been already presented.^{31,53,54} It should be noted that, although predictions from this coarse-grained approach might differ from the full-atomic simulations in quantitative aspects, it is still capable to produce qualitatively and even semi-quantitatively correct results.⁵⁴ In this work we studied three different classes of molecular rotors on gold surfaces: 1) $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{m-1}\text{-S-(CH}_2)_{n-1}\text{CH}_3$ (with n and m ranging from 1 to 6); 2) $\text{X-(CH}_2)_n\text{-FeCp}$ (with X=Fc , or CH_3); and 3) $\text{Fc-(CH}_2)_n\text{-S}$ (with n ranging from 11 to 20). The shortest molecules representing last two structural classes are shown on Fig. 1.

2.1. Computational Method

To describe intramolecular interactions a UFF force field has been utilized in our MD simulations.⁵⁵ However, to simplify computations and to preserve geometry and internal dynamics in some species we have also made several modifications. For example, the

ferrocene molecule is a sandwich-type structure with possible internal rotations of the rings with respect to each other. To preserve the possibility of such rotations we have added two artificial atoms (called X) in the centers of cyclopentadienyl rings, covalently connecting them to ring atoms and to the center iron atom (Fig. 2). They have zero mass, zero charge and zero van der Waals interaction parameter, thus not affecting potential surfaces in the system at all. They are used just for the purposes of connecting the cyclopentadienyl rings and the iron atoms in order to preserve possibly important internal degrees of freedom. In our calculations each molecule is viewed as collection of rigid fragments. We specify as rigid bodies the iron atom and the C_5H_5 ring in the ferrocene segment, CH_2 in the alkyl chains, FeC_5H_5 in the anchor of the cyclopentadienyl iron rotors and the sulfur atom in the anchor of the thioether-based rotors

Structural parameters and the charges on the ferrocene segment have been obtained from the B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations made with Gaussian 03 program package.⁵⁶ Structural parameters for the artificial atom X have been calculated on the basis of similar quantum-mechanical calculations and some geometrical considerations. All interaction parameters utilized in MD simulations are presented in Table 1. The Mulliken charges on atoms of the optimized ferrocene structure are given in Table 2. Charges on atoms of CH_2 fragments were -0.1 (C) and 0.05 (H). Charges on all atoms of $FeCp$ segments were taken to be equal zero.

Finally, in order to simulate rotations of surface-mounted molecules it is important to determine parameters that describe interactions of the iron atom in the $FeCp$ segment and the gold surface. We have used a Morse potential to describe the potential of interactions of the anchor group with the whole surface. There are no specific S-Au or Fe-Au bonds and there are no angular terms. The interactions are of non-bonded type with a deep well so the rotor is mounted on the surface even at quite high temperatures. Parameters have been determined as follows. The equilibrium distance was calculated using UFF parameters for bonded interactions of gold and iron, the shape parameter a was calculated utilizing a DREIDING force field,⁵⁷ and finally the interaction strength was determined through the fitting to adsorption energy of $FeCp$ molecules on the Cu(110) surface.³² Parameters for sulfur-gold interactions were taken from the work.⁵⁸ All parameters are collected in Table 3:

The surface was represented as a slab of 1067 gold atoms organized in 3 layers (surface plane 111) and their 2D replicas, thus effectively leading to quasi-infinite representation of the surface, similar to what was utilized in earlier studies.³¹ Two different surfaces Au (111) and Au (100) have been used in our calculations. Prior to all MD simulations the molecular structures were equilibrated at simulation temperatures in a way that the total potential energy of the system did not decrease significantly over long time intervals (tens of ps). For each molecule considered we calculated 8–10 trajectories of 3.5–5 ns long with the integration time step of 1 fs. Such calculations have been performed for 5 different temperatures (25–100 K in the case of thioethers and 50–350 K for other molecules) using rigid body MD integrator of Dullweber – McLachlan⁵⁹ combined with Nose-Poincare thermostat.^{60,61}

Molecular dynamics trajectories were analyzed by following the behavior of two dynamic quantities: the rotational diffusion coefficients and the average carbon height profiles. The rotation rates have been found by following the temporal evolution of a cumulative orientation angle Φ defined as follows:

$$d\Phi_i = \begin{cases} d\phi_i = \phi_i - \phi_{i-1} \\ d\phi_i, |d\phi_i| < \pi \\ -\text{sign}(d\phi_i) ||d\phi_i| - 2\pi|, |d\phi_i| > \pi \end{cases} \\ \Phi_i = \Phi_{i-1} + d\Phi_i \quad (1)$$

This definition keeps track of the direction of molecule's rotation. The rotational diffusion coefficients were then calculated using the expression $D = \Phi^2 / t$, where Φ^2 is a squared cumulative rotation angle. From the temperature dependence of the rotational diffusion coefficient we have extracted the activation barriers of rotation for all molecules considered. In addition, the average distance from the carbon atoms in the connector chains to the surface have been calculated. These heights profiles provide important information about the flexibility of chains and about the strength of interactions with the surface.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Surface symmetry

First, we investigated the role of surface symmetry in the rotational dynamics of single molecules. Extensive MD computer simulations have been performed for symmetric thioethers on Au (111) and Au (100) surfaces. The activation energies for rotations are shown in Fig. 3. Surprisingly, it is much harder for thioethers to rotate on Au (100) surface where activation barriers are larger by almost an order of magnitude. In addition, while on (100) surface the activation energies are almost independent of the chain size; the situation is different on (111) surface where the moderate growth as a function of the chain size is observed.

To understand a different behavior of single-molecule rotors on gold (111) and (100) surfaces let us consider the simplest thioether molecule, namely, dimethylsulfide, as shown in Fig. 4.

One can see that on the Au (100) surface both CH₃ groups typically have similar local surface geometry, i.e., they both can be found on bridges connecting surface atoms, or both groups are simultaneously on top of the surface atoms: see Fig. 4b. However, it is different for the Au (111) surface (Fig 4a) where CH₃ groups are always in different local environment. When one group is on the 3-fold hollow site, another one is found sitting on the top-site. During the rotation the molecule explores the potential energy of interaction with the surface. Activation barriers correspond to a difference between saddle points and minima on this free-energy profile. The strongest repulsion between CH₃ group and the surface is observed when the group is on top of the surface atoms. If both CH₃ groups do this at the same time (as in the case of Au (100) surface) the activation barriers for each group will sum up, thus resulting in the bigger total activation energy (of order 7–10 kJ/mole). However, if one CH₃ is passing atop site, while another one is above the hollow site the resulting activation barrier will be significantly smaller. Stronger interaction with the top-site surface atom is compensated by much weaker interaction with the hollow site, leading to activation energies of 1–2 kJ/mole. These arguments can be easily extended for larger chains, although because the molecules are quite flexible discussed trend will be followed less strictly. Thus we can predict that the activation energies on Au (100) will grow faster because at transition states more CH₃ groups will be on the top sites. For Au (111) surface the growth in activation energies should be much smaller because of approximate compensation. It agrees with the results obtained from our MD simulations (Fig. 3).

It is important to note that according to our theoretical picture the effect of the symmetry of underlying surface is observed because the size of the groups in the rotating chains is similar to the inter-atomic distances on the surface. It suggests that the role of surface symmetry could diminish if chains groups are much larger or much smaller than the lattice cell dimensions, or if there are too many surface defects to wash out the lattice periodicity. It will be interesting to test these ideas in future experimental and theoretical investigations.

3.2. Molecular Symmetry

The simple theoretical picture developed above assumes that combination of local configurations of surface atoms below the chain groups influence the overall rotational dynamics of single molecules. For the motion on Au (111) surface repulsive interactions with atop sites are compensated by attractive interactions with hollow sites. However, only symmetric rotor molecules have been analyzed so far, and it raises a question of how the rotational dynamics will change for asymmetric thioethers. For example, let us consider a molecule with one chain containing 6 carbon atoms (5 CH₂ groups and 1 CH₃ group at the end) and the other chain having only 4 carbon atoms (3 CH₂ groups and 1 CH₃ group at the end) rotating on Au (111) surface. The first 4 groups on both chains will probably be compensated by interactions with the surface for each other as was done for symmetric dialkylsulphides. But remaining two groups on the longer chain will have to spend some extra energy to overcome barriers in order for the whole molecule to rotate. Thus our simple theory argues that the activation energy for such system should be higher than for the equivalent system with chains of equal length, i.e., the thioether with 5 groups in each chain. Then it leads us to conclude that the fastest rotations are expected for most symmetric thioethers.

In order to test this hypothesis we performed a series of MD simulations on different classes of molecular rotors. One of such classes were asymmetric thioethers of general formula CH₃(CH₂)_{m-1}-S-(CH₂)_{n-1}CH₃ for values m and n ranging from 1 to 6. Symmetric thioethers correspond to the special case of $m=n$. The calculated activation energies are summarized in the tables 4 and 5.

Analysis of these calculations suggests that, indeed, for the same value of $m+n$ the activation barriers will be smaller for rotors with smallest $|m-n|$ which specifies a degree of asymmetry in the two-chain molecules. There is an alternative way of viewing these results by considering eleven series of dialkylsulphides with fixed value of $m+n$, as shown in Fig. 5. Points on this figure are ordered with respect to the degree of asymmetry: more symmetric molecules lie closer to the center of the graph. The tendency of more symmetric molecules to rotate faster is clearly seen, although there are significant fluctuations in several cases due to probably insufficient collected statistics. One could also see that the effect of the symmetry becomes weaker for larger molecules.

Our theoretical predictions that more symmetric thioethers rotate faster can be supported by analyzing a simple phenomenological model of single-molecule rotations on the surface. Consider a molecule with only one chain of n groups rotating around the anchor. If this chain would be a rigid segment then one could estimate the activation barrier for the rotation,

$$E_a \sim An, \quad (2)$$

where A is some unknown coefficient of proportionality. However, alkyl chains in existing molecular rotors are not rigid but rather very flexible, so not all chain groups interact with the surface and this must decrease the activation barrier,

$$E_a \sim An^\nu, \nu < 1. \quad (3)$$

For the molecule that has 2 chains with m and n groups, correspondingly, one can write the activation energy as follows,

$$E_a \cong An^\nu + Am^\nu. \quad (4)$$

Then it can be easily shown that for the fixed value of $m+n$ the smallest barriers are found in the case of $m=n$, i.e., for the most symmetric rotors.

There is an important difference in rotational dynamics of two-chain and single-chain molecules on the surface of Au (111). For the molecular rotors with two branches some compensation might take place, as discussed above, and the dependence of activation energies on the size of chains is relatively weak. Our theory predicts that for the molecules with one chain the increase in the length of the branch makes rotations much harder. This observation is in agreement with recent experiments³² on diferrocene derivatives $X-(CH_2)_n-Fc$ on Au(111) (where $X = FeCp$, or S) in which it was shown that the activation energy for rotation is larger for longer sizes of alkyl chains connecting X and Fc groups.

We have performed extensive MD simulations for diferrocene molecular rotors and results are shown in Fig. 6. For both types of anchor the activation energies increases for larger connector sizes, as predicted by our simple theory. However, this growth is not monotonic and we found odd-even alternations. Namely, for $FeCp-(CH_2)_n-Fc$ rotors the activation energies for odd connector sizes are typically larger, while for $S-(CH_2)_n-Fc$ rotors the opposite trend is observed. Our calculations also show that this alternating effect effectively disappears for larger sizes of connector chains. In addition, it can be seen that the rotational activation barriers for $FeCp-(CH_2)_n-Fc$ grow not as fast as for $S-(CH_2)_n-Fc$ molecules.

3.3. Molecular Structure near the Surface

In order to understand the alternating effect for rotational barriers of diferrocene derivatives one has to analyze molecular structures near the surface. In Fig. 7 results for average distances from each carbon atom on the connector chain to the surface are computed by averaging many trajectories at the same temperature. Here we find that these height profiles are very different depending on the nature of the anchor group. In the case of $FeCp$ anchor most carbon atoms reside at almost the same distance from the surface. This suggests that the connector chain is found in zigzag configurations parallel to the surface (see Fig. 8a). However, when the thiol group plays the role of the anchor the connector chain also has a zigzag shape but in the plane perpendicular to the surface (Fig. 8b). In both cases the molecular orientation near the surface is determined by geometry and details of chemical binding to the surface by the anchoring group. These molecular structures of rotors lead to different types of odd-even periodicity in the activation energies as found in our computer simulations. The deviations from alternating behavior for larger connector chains is due to the fact the alkyl groups are not rigid and this flexibility diminishes the role of zigzag configurations in the overall dynamics.

Our MD simulations indicate that in $\text{FeCp}-(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{-Fc}$ molecules odd carbon atoms sit mostly at hollow sites or bridge positions, while the even carbon atoms are typically above the surface atoms (top sites). Then adding a new odd carbon group will most probably lower the overall activation energy, while the addition of even carbon groups definitely increases the rotational barrier. This explains the behavior observed in Fig. 6a.

For thiol-based rotors the situation is different. Because of the nature of chemical interactions of the sulfur with the surface and due to zigzag shape in the plane perpendicular to the surface odd carbon atoms will always be further from the surface than neighboring even carbon atoms. As a result the activation energy for rotation of the molecule with odd number of carbons in connector chain will be smaller than for rotors which have one carbon more in their connector chain (Fig. 6, b).

MD computer simulations indicate that activation barriers for $\text{FeCp}-(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{-Fc}$ rotors for almost all connector chain lengths are smaller than the corresponding values for $\text{S}-(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{-Fc}$ rotors (see Fig. 6). This observation can be understood if we compare sizes of anchor groups. Sulfur atom is much smaller than the size of the FeCp group. This forces the first and the second carbon atoms in the thiol-based rotors to be very close to the surface at the distance closer to $3\text{\AA}$ (Fig. 7b), creating strong interactions that hinder the rotation. The FeCp anchor is larger so the carbon atoms in the connector chain are found at the distances of order $3.6\text{\AA}$ from the surface, maintaining lower rotational barriers. Thus the size, structure and chemical bonding of the anchor group might critically affect the rotational dynamics of surface-mounted single molecules.

Finally, it is also interesting to discuss the effect of the ending group in rotations of molecules. In experiments on diferrocene derivatives³² the ferrocene played the role of the ending group. Analysis of connector chain height profiles and MD trajectories suggest that the end group is very mobile, but mostly it can be found in one of three configurations: 1) both rings of the ferrocene are parallel to the surface and the ring attached to the connector chain is in contact with the surface; 2) both rings are parallel to the surface and the ring attached to the connector chain is not in contact with the surface; 3) both rings are perpendicular to the surface. These motions of the end group also increase the distance to the surface for neighboring carbon groups from the connector chain (Fig. 7a). The effect is apparently stronger for shorter chains, as expected.

3.4. Critical Evaluation

Our theoretical method involves a combination of rigid-body MD computer simulations and simple phenomenological arguments. It is important to note that several approximations have been utilized in this theoretical picture. In the phenomenological model we have assumed that chains are relatively rigid so positions of the carbon atoms with respect to the surface atoms are more or less known. However, alkyl chains in thioether and diferrocene rotors are quite flexible. As a result the predictions of the simple model deviate stronger for larger chains.

Another important limitation is the nature of interactions. In the computer simulations chemisorptions and charge transfer effects have not been taken into account except for the anchor groups. Our phenomenological model also implicitly assumes only van der Waals interactions for all chain groups. This is probably a very reasonable approximation for alkyl chains, but it might fail in future molecular rotors with more complex chemical structures.

In addition, the surface atoms have been taken to be frozen in all MD simulations and phenomenological arguments, neglecting the possibility of surface reconstruction. One could argue that this effect might be stronger for larger molecules. But currently it is hard to

evaluate the effect of surface reconstruction on rotational dynamics. It will be important to investigate fully this question. Furthermore, our approach is a classical MD computer simulations method that mostly neglects quantum effects. This approximation is justified since most of involved atoms are not known to exhibit quantum phenomena at these conditions. However, this question also requires a comprehensive theoretical study in the future.

Another possible weak point in our approach is a neglect of charges in FeCp unit. One could argue that taking them into account would only modify the strength of interactions of the anchor group with the surface but without changing the mechanisms of rotations. In addition, empirical Fe-Au parameters of interactions are already fitted to describe binding of FeCp to the golden surface reasonably well, suggesting that the neglect of the charges is not a critical issue. However, it will be also important to test the validity of this approximation carefully.

4. Conclusions

We have developed a comprehensive theoretical approach to investigate mechanisms of rotation of single molecules attached to metal surfaces. Our method couples extensive rigid-body MD computer simulations with simple phenomenological arguments. It allowed us to analyze several factors that specify rotational dynamics of known molecular rotors. It was found that the symmetry and the structure of the surface are important for controlling the speed of rotations. Thioether molecules rotate faster on Au (111) surface than on Au (100) surface because of different symmetry-dependent interactions between the chain groups and the surface. It was also argued that the structure and symmetry of the molecular rotor itself might influence the overall dynamics in the system. For two-chain rotors we showed that more symmetric molecules rotate faster due to the compensation of interactions with the surface. In addition, the important role in single-molecule rotations is played by molecular configurations near the surface and the nature of anchor groups. The effect of odd-even alternation as a function of the chain length has been found and analyzed.

The presented theoretical approach explains successfully available experiments in thioether rotors and diferrocene derivatives rotors. We have shown that independence of the rotational properties as a function of molecular sizes in symmetric two-chain thioethers is due to a combination of the compensation, steric repulsion and flexibility effects. For single-chain diferrocene derivatives the compensation effect and steric repulsion are much weaker, leading to size-dependent rotational dynamics.

The advantage of our theoretical method is that it connects extensive computer simulations and simple models with structural and chemical details of the system, and it allows understanding dynamics with microscopic details. So far we have studied the mechanisms of rotation of individual molecules, but it will be very important to analyze collective dynamics of many rotors. It is reasonable to suggest that a combination of this approach with experimental studies provides a powerful tool for creating and investigating advanced nanoscale devices and new materials.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge support from the Welch Foundation (Grant C-1559), from the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant ECCS-0708765) and from U.S. National Institute of Health (Grant R01GM094489). A.B.K. also would like to thank E.C.H. Sykes for useful comments and discussions.

References

1. Lodish, H.; Zipursky, SL.; Matsudaira, P.; Baltimore, D.; Darnell, J. *Molecular Cell Biology*. 4. W.H. Freeman and Company; New York: 2000.
2. Bray, D. *Cell Movements: from molecules to motility*. 2. New York: Garland Publishing; 2001.
3. Howard, J. *Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates; 2001.
4. Schliwa M, Woehlke G. *Nature* 2003;422:759. [PubMed: 12700770]
5. Kolomeisky A, Fisher ME. *Ann Rev Phys Chem* 2007;58:675. [PubMed: 17163836]
6. Kinbara K, Aida T. *Chem Rev* 2005;105:1377. [PubMed: 15826015]
7. Stoddart JF. *Acc Chem Res* 2001;34:410. [PubMed: 11412077]
8. Balzani V, Gomez-Lopez M, Stoddart JF. *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31:405.
9. Collin J, Dietrich-Buchecker C, Gaviña P, Jimenez-Molero MC, Sauvage J. *Acc Chem Res* 2001;34:477. [PubMed: 11412084]
10. Amendola V, Fabbrizzi L, Mangano C, Pallavicini P. *Acc Chem Res* 2001;34:488. [PubMed: 11412085]
11. Shirai Y, Morin J, Sasaki T, Guerrero JM, Tour JM. *Chem Soc Rev* 2006;35:1043. [PubMed: 17057834]
12. Harada A. *Acc Chem Res* 2001;34:456. [PubMed: 11412082]
13. Browne WR, Feringa BL. *Nature Nanotech* 2006;1:25.
14. Badjic JD. *Science* 2004;303:1845. [PubMed: 15031499]
15. Van Delden RA, ter Wiel MKJ, Pollard MM, Vicario J, Koumura N, Feringa BL. *Nature* 2005;437:1337. [PubMed: 16251960]
16. Badjic JD. *Science* 2004;303:1845. [PubMed: 15031499]
17. Rosei F. *Science* 2002;296:328. [PubMed: 11951041]
18. Sauvage J. *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31:611.
19. Shirai Y, Osgood AJ, Zhao Y, Kelly KF, Tour JM. *Nano Lett* 2005;5:2330. [PubMed: 16277478]
20. Vives G, Tour JM. *Tetrahedron Letters* 2009;50:1427.
21. Bogdan N, Grosu I, Benoît G, Toupet L, Ramondenc Y, Condamine E, Silaghi-Dumitrescu I, Plé G. *Org Lett* 2006;8:2619. [PubMed: 16737328]
22. Caskey DC, Shoemaker RK, Michl J. *Org Lett* 2004;6:2093. [PubMed: 15200293]
23. Caskey DC, Michl J. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:5442. [PubMed: 15989325]
24. Cavero M, Kelly TR, Cai X, Damkaci F, Panicker SB, Tu B, Bushell SM, Cornella I, Piggott MJ, Salives R. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:376. [PubMed: 17212418]
25. Haidekker MA, Brady T, Wen K, Okada C, Stevens HY, Snell JM, Frangos JA, Theodorakis EA. *Bioorg & Med Chem* 2002;10:3627. [PubMed: 12213479]
26. Kelly TR. *Acc Chem Res* 2001;34:514. [PubMed: 11412088]
27. Koumura N, Zijlstra RW, van Delden RA, Harada N, Feringa BL. *Nature* 1999;401:152. [PubMed: 10490022]
28. Kottas GS, Clarke LI, Horinek D, Michl J. *Chem Rev* 2005;105:1281. [PubMed: 15826014]
29. Michl J, Sykes ECH. *ACS Nano* 2009;3:1042. [PubMed: 19845364]
30. Baber AE, Tierney HL, Sykes EC. *ACS Nano* 2008;2:2385. [PubMed: 19206406]
31. Tierney HL, Baber AE, Sykes ECH, Akimov A, Kolomeisky AB. *J Phys Chem C* 2009;113:10913.
32. Zhong D, Wedeking K, Chi L, Erker G, Fuchs H. *Nano Lett* 2009;9:4387. [PubMed: 19810680]
33. Horinek D, Michl J. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005;102:14175. [PubMed: 16186490]

34. Fendrich M, Wagner Th, Stohr M, Moller R. *Phys Rev B* 2006;73:115433.
35. Hou S, Sagara T, Xu D, Kelly TR, Ganz E. *Nanotechnology* 2003;14:566.
36. Gimzewski JK, Joachim C, Schlittler RR, Langlais V, Tang H, Johannsen I. *Science* 1998;281:531. [PubMed: 9677189]
37. Magnera TF, Michl J. *Top Curr Chem* 2005;262:63.
38. Ye T, Takami T, Wang RM, Jiang JZ, Weiss PS. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:10984. [PubMed: 16925390]
39. Takami T, Yet T, Arnold DP, Sugiura K, Wang RM, Jiang JZ, Weiss PS. *J Phys Chem C* 2007;111:2077.
40. Stipe BC, Rezaei MA, Ho W. *Science* 1998;279:1907. [PubMed: 9506935]
41. Dunphy JC, Rose M, Behler S, Ogletree DF, Salmeron M, Sautet P. *Phys Rev B* 1998;81:1263.
42. Matsumoto C, Kim Y, Okawa T, Sainoo Y, Kawai M. *Surf Sci* 2005;587:19.
43. Sainoo Y, Kim Y, Okawa T, Komeda T, Shigekawa H, Kawai M. *Phys Rev Lett* 2005;95:246102. [PubMed: 16384398]
44. Sainoo Y, Kim Y, Komeda T, Kawai M, Shigekawa H. *Surf Sci* 2003;536:L403.
45. Khatua S, Guerrero JM, Claytor K, Vives G, Kolomeisky AB, Tour JM, Link SM. *ACS Nano* 2009;3:351. [PubMed: 19236071]
46. Vacek J, Michl J. *Adv Funct Mater* 2007;17:730.
47. Clarke LI, Horinek D, Kottas GS, Varksa N, Magnera TF, Hinderer TP, Horansky RD, Michl J, Price JC. *Nanotechnology* 2002;13:533.
48. Gimzewski JK, Schlittler RR, Langlais V, Tang H, Johannsen I. *Science* 1998;281:531. [PubMed: 9677189]
49. Fendrich M, Wagner Th, Stohr M, Moller R. *Phys Rev B* 2006;73:115433.
50. Hou S, Sagara T, Xu D, Kelly TR, Ganz E. *Nanotechnology* 2003;14:566.
51. Astumian RD. *Science* 1997;276:917. [PubMed: 9139648]
52. Astumian RD. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2007;9:5067. [PubMed: 17878982]
53. Akimov AV, Nemukhin AV, Moskovsky AA, Kolomeisky AB, Tour JM. *J Chem Theor Comp* 2008;4:652.
54. Konyukhov SS, Kupchenko IV, Moskovsky AA, Nemukhin AV, Akimov AV, Kolomeisky AB. *J Chem Theory Comput* 2010;6:2581.
55. Rappe AK, Casewit CJ, Colwell KS, Goddard WA III, Skiff WM. *J Amer Chem Soc* 1992;114:10024.
56. Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, JA., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, AD.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, JA. *Gaussian 03, Revision D.01*. Gaussian Inc; Wallingford CT: 2004.
57. Mayo SL, Olafson BD, Goddard WA III. *J Phys Chem* 1990;94:8897.
58. Mahaffy R, Bhatia R, Garrison BJ. *J Phys Chem B* 1997;101:771.
59. Dullweber A, Leimkuhler B, McLachlan R. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 1997;107:5840–5851.
60. Nose S. *J Phys Soc Japan* 2001;70:75.
61. Bond SD, Leimkuhler BJ, Laird BB. *J Comp Phys* 1999;151:114.

Figure 1.

Typical representatives of two structural classes of single-molecule rotors studied in this work: a) $\text{Fc}-(\text{CH}_2)_{11}\text{-FcCp}$ and b) $\text{Fc}-(\text{CH}_2)_{11}\text{-S}$

Figure 2.
A schematic presentation of the ferrocene segment used in our MD computer simulations. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Figure 3. Activation energies for rotation of symmetric thioethers on Au (100) and Au (111) surfaces.

Figure 4.

Dimethylsulphide molecule above a) left plane - the 3-fold hollow site of Au(111) surface and above b) right plane - the 4-fold hollow site of Au(100) surface (only upper layer is shown for clarity).

Figure 5.

Activation energies for rotation of asymmetric and symmetric thioethers on Au (111) surface. For each series the activation energy is larger for those rotors that have bigger value of $|m-n|$.

Figure 6.

Activation energies for rotation as functions of the connector chain size for a) left plane-FeCp-(CH₂)_n-Fc and b) right plane-S-(CH₂)_n-Fc

Figure 7.
Average distances between the carbon atoms and the surface for a) FeCp-(CH₂)_n-Fc and b) S-(CH₂)_n-Fc molecules at 150 K.

Figure 8.

Different zigzag chain orientations for a) left plane - $\text{FeCp}-(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{-Fc}$, parallel to the surface and for b) right plane - $\text{S}-(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{-Fc}$, perpendicular to the surface.

Table 1

Force field parameters for topological fragments including X atoms. Equilibrium distances and angles were calculated on the basis of B3LYP/6-31G(d) optimization and simple geometrical considerations correspondingly. Utilized force constants are arbitrary, (but more or less similar to force constants of other bonded interactions).

Bond	Force constant, kcal/(Å ² *mol)	Equilibrium distance, Å
X-Fe	350.0	1.656
X-C	350.0	1.2164
Angle	Force constant, kcal/mol	Equilibrium angle, degree
X-Fe-X	220.0	180.0
Fe-X-C	200.0	90.0
X-C-C	200.0	54.0
X-C-H	200.0	180.0
C-X-C	200.0	108.0

Table 2

Average Mulliken charges on atoms of the ferrocene molecule.

Atom	Charge, a.u.
Fe	0.55630
C	-0.20486
H	0.14923

Table 3

Parameters for the potential of interactions of Fe (in the FeCp group) and S atoms with the gold surface.

Parameter	Values for Fe	Values for S
D_e	23.763 kcal/mol	8.763 kcal/mol
A	$\sqrt{5}=2.236 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$	$1.470 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$
R_0	$2.532 \text{ \AA}$	$2.650 \text{ \AA}$

Table 4

Activation energies for rotation of asymmetric and symmetric thioethers on Au(111) surface, in kJ/mol.

Ea	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1.08	1.93	2.18	2.32	1.75	1.69
2		1.29	1.41	1.65	1.63	1.94
3			1.05	1.57	1.38	1.59
4				1.49	1.31	1.68
5					1.57	1.28
6						2.18

Table 5

Standard errors for determination of activation energies, in kJ/mol.

error	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	0.17	0.13	0.27	0.54	0.26	0.57
2		0.29	0.29	0.17	0.21	0.34
3			0.21	0.18	0.21	0.14
4				0.33	0.16	0.39
5					0.18	0.20
6						0.24