Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2010 October 18; 49(43): 7952–7954. doi:10.1002/anie.201003217.

Direct Visualization of Protein Association in Living Cells with Complex-Edited Electron Microscopy**

Dr. Rachel J Dexter and Prof. Alanna Schepartz*

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510 (USA)

Interactions between and among proteins regulate most cell functions, yet detecting these interactions in living cells, especially at high resolution, remains a challenge. Protein complementation[1] proximity-induced biotinylation[2], FRET[3] and bipartite tetracysteine display[4] can all detect interactions between proteins, but only at the moderate resolution provided by epifluorescent microscopy—approximately 200 nm. Super-resolution imaging has begun to overcome this diffraction limit[5], but it cannot detect protein complexes at the near-atomic-level resolution achievable using electron microscopy (EM).[6] Individual tetracysteine-containing proteins can be visualized using EM by use of the biarsenical dye 4,5-bis(1,3,2-dithiarsolan-2-yl)resorufin (ReAsH).[7-9] Irradiation of a protein•ReAsH complex at 585 nm in the presence of oxygen and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) catalyzes the formation of an osmophilic DAB polymer that is opaque to electron beams and appears in the electron microscope as a fine granular precipitate.[7-9] An analogous method able to detect a discrete protein complex within a living cell, followed by fixation and sectioning as required by EM, would provide a powerful tool for visualizing at high resolution the interactions between proteins in their native environments.

Recently we reported that ReAsH could be used in solution to visualize discrete protein complexes provided that each member of the partnership contributes a single CysCys pair to recapitulate an appropriate, albeit bipartite, tetracysteine binding site for ReAsH.[4] Subsequently we explored the structure and flexibility requirements of bipartite tetracysteine display,[10] and described its application to generate a prototype for a fluorescent-protein-free Src kinase sensor.[11] Others have used bipartite tetracysteine display to monitor conformational states of cellular retinoic acid binding protein (CRAB-P) in *E. coli*. [12] Here we describe a new method—complex-edited electron microscopy (CE-EM, Figure 1)—that combines bipartite tetracysteine display[4] with electron microscopy. CE-EM facilitates the direct and selective labeling of a discrete protein complex in a living cell, followed by imaging with the extraordinary resolution of electron microscopy. As far as we know, CE-EM represents the only method for selectively visualizing a protein-protein complex in a living cell with the near-atomic resolution achievable using electron microscopy.

In our initial description of bipartite tetracysteine display[4] we reported that ReAsH could be used to differentiate a wt GCN4-eGFP coiled coil fusion protein from a variant containing a single destabilizing substitution (L₂₀P) in living HeLa cells. We chose to build upon these results to evaluate the feasibility of CE-EM. HeLa cells were transfected with DNA encoding an analogous variant of each protein used previously[4] that contained a nuclear localization signal (NLS) PKKKRKVEDA[13] fused to the eGFP C-terminus (C₂-GCN4-NLS and C₂-L₂₀P-NLS, respectively, Figure 2). Additional variants included eGFP

**This work was supported by the NIH (GM 83257). We are grateful to the Yale Center for Cellular and Molecular Imaging for assistance with electron microscopy.

*Fax: (+1) 203-432-3486, alanna.schepartz@yale.edu, Homepage : www.schepartzlab.yale.edu.
Dedicated to Ronald Breslow on the occasion of his 80th birthday

fused to an optimized sequence for ReAsH binding (FLNCCPGCCMEP) (C₄-Opt-NLS) as a positive control, and eGFP fused to wt GCN4 lacking a Cys-Cys sequence (A₂-GCN4-NLS) as a negative control. HeLa cells transiently expressing each fusion protein were treated with ReAsH, washed, and visualized using epifluorescent microscopy (Figure 2). As expected, the nuclei of cells expressing any of the four fusion proteins showed fluorescence at the eGFP emission maximum (488 nm), demonstrating that each fusion protein expressed and trafficked effectively to the correct intracellular location. Nuclear localization was confirmed by treating the HeLa cells with Hoechst 33342, a DNA intercalator[14] (Supporting Information, Figure S1). In contrast, only the nuclei of those cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS and C₂-GCN4-NLS were fluorescent at the ReAsH emission maximum (608 nm). No fluorescence due to ReAsH was evident in cells expressing C₂-L₂₀P-NLS and A₂-GCN4-NLS, which either dimerize poorly (C₂-L₂₀P-NLS) or lack a functional ReAsH binding site (A₂-GCN4-NLS). We conclude that C₂-GCN4-NLS assembles in HeLa cell nuclei into a coiled coil dimer that effectively recapitulates a binding site for ReAsH. While C₂-L₂₀P-NLS and A₂-GCN4-NLS express, they either do not associate (C₂-L₂₀P-NLS) or cannot bind ReAsH (A₂-GCN4-NLS) and no ReAsH fluorescence is observed.

To evaluate if bipartite tetracysteine display would support the visualization of a dimeric protein assembly using EM, the cells were fixed, treated with a standard cocktail to inhibit mitochondrial respiration[8,15], incubated with DAB, and illuminated at 545 ± 24 nm. No DAB polymerization was observed by epifluorescent microscopy when cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS were illuminated in the absence of DAB, even after 2 h (Supporting Information, Figure S2). When cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS were illuminated in the presence of DAB, the disappearance of ReAsH emission (608 nm) was concomitant with the formation of a brownish precipitate within 1 h (Figure 3). A high level of DAB polymerization also appeared in the nuclei of cells expressing C₂-GCN4-NLS, but not in those expressing the dimerization-impaired variant C₂-L₂₀P-NLS or one that lacked a ReAsH binding site, A₂-GCN4-NLS. At longer illumination times (2 h), polymerization is apparent throughout the cytosol and nuclei of cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS and C₂-GCN4-NLS (Figure S2). Minimal polymerization is seen in any region of cells expressing C₂-L₂₀P-NLS or A₂-GCN4-NLS, even after 2 h of illumination. We note that although GFP has been used to photo-oxidize DAB,[16] (albeit inefficiently)[17] the absence of DAB polymerization in the nuclei of cells expressing C₂-L₂₀P-NLS and A₂-GCN4-NLS is definitive evidence that DAB polymerization in cells expressing C₂-GCN4-NLS requires bound ReAsH. Cells were then treated with osmium tetroxide (1% OsO₄, 1 h) and prepared for EM.

The EM images shown in Figure 3 display a level of electron density that parallels the extent of DAB polymerization visible by epifluorescent microscopy. All EM images show a clearly articulated nuclear membrane (dotted white line. see also Supplementary Figure S3). However, only those cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS or C₂-GCN4-NLS show increased electron density within their nuclei, with no increased density in the nucleolus. No increase in electron density is observed in the nuclei of cells expressing C₂-L₂₀P-NLS, A₂-GCN4-NLS (Figure 3) or in cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS that were not treated with ReAsH or DAB (Figure S3). The increased electron density seen sporadically in the mitochondria is likely due to insufficient inhibition of cellular respiration before polymerization of DAB. Comparison of the images obtained using CE-EM (Figure 3) with those obtained after staining with rabbit anti-GFP/protein A gold (Supplementary Figure S4) demonstrate that the sensitivity of CE-EM is at least as high as that obtainable by traditional methods, with the added advantage that staining demands complex formation and occurs while the cells are alive.

To quantify the differences in electron density among the four cell populations, we analyzed the images using Image J.[18] Multiple square areas (400 × 400 pixels) from each nuclei

were individually masked, and the total area of increased electron density (A_{ED}) within each was calculated and averaged (Supporting Information, Figure S3). The value of A_{ED} in the nuclei of cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS and C₂-GCN4-NLS is over four times greater than in analogous cells that were not treated with ReAsH, and more than twice that in ReAsH-treated cells expressing C₂-L₂₀P-NLS and A₂-GCN4-NLS. These comparative A_{ED} values provide a quantitative assessment of what is clearly visible in Figure 3: the GCN4 homodimer can be selectively visualized in the nucleus using complex-edited electron microscopy.

In summary, we describe a technique, complex-edited electron microscopy, which facilitates the direct and selective visualization of discrete protein-protein complexes at high resolution using electron microscopy. Notably, the molecular event that initiates this visualization—reaction of a protein-protein complex with ReAsH—occurs while the cells are living.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. a) Arndt KM, Pelletier JN, Muller KM, Alber T, Michnick SW, Pluckthun A. *J Mol Biol.* 2000; 295:627–639. [PubMed: 10623552] b) Galarneau A, Primeau M, Trudeau LE, Michnick SW. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2002; 20:619–622. [PubMed: 12042868] c) Hu CD, Chinenov Y, Kerppola TK. *Mol Cell.* 2002; 9:789–798. [PubMed: 11983170] d) Hu CD, Kerppola TK. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2003; 21:539–545. [PubMed: 12692560] e) Kerppola TK. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2009; 38:2876–2886. [PubMed: 19771334] f) Luker KE, Smith MCP, Luker GD, Gammon ST, Piwnica-Worms H, Piwnica-Worms DP. *Proc Nat Acad Sci.* 2004; 101:12288–12293. [PubMed: 15284440] g) Nyfeler B, Michnick SW, Hauri HP. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 2005; 102:6350–6355. [PubMed: 15849265] h) Pelletier JN, Arndt KM, Pluckthun A, Michnick SW. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1999; 17:683–690. [PubMed: 10404162] i) Pelletier JN, Campbell-Valois FX, Michnick SW. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 1998; 95:12141–12146. [PubMed: 9770453] j) Remy I, Campbell-Valois FX, Michnick SW. *Nat Protoc.* 2007; 2:2120–2125. [PubMed: 17853867] k) Remy I, Ghaddar G, Michnick SW. *Nat Protoc.* 2007; 2:2302–2306. [PubMed: 17853887]
2. Fernandez-Suarez M, Chen TS, Ting AY. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:9251–9253. [PubMed: 18582056]
3. a) Llopis J, Westin S, Ricote M, Wang JH, Cho CY, Kurokawa R, Mullen TM, Rose DW, Rosenfeld MG, Tsien RY, Glass CK. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 2000; 97:4363–4368. [PubMed: 10760302] b) Mahajan NP, Linder K, Berry G, Gordon GW, Heim R, Herman B. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1998; 16:547–552. [PubMed: 9624685] c) Miyawaki A, Llopis J, Heim R, McCaffery JM, Adams JA, Ikura M, Tsien RY. *Nature.* 1997; 388:882–887. [PubMed: 9278050] d) Miyawaki A. *Dev Cell.* 2003; 4:295–305. [PubMed: 12636912] e) van der Wal J, Habets R, Varnai P, Balla T, Jalink K. *J Biol Chem.* 2001; 276:15337–15344. [PubMed: 11152673] f) Xia ZP, Zhou Q, Lin JL, Liu YC. *J Biol Chem.* 2001; 276:1766–1771. [PubMed: 11035043]
4. Luedtke NW, Dexter RJ, Fried DB, Schepartz A. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2007; 3:779–784. [PubMed: 17982447]
5. Huang B, Bates M, Zhuang X. *Ann Rev Biochem.* 2009; 78:993–1016. [PubMed: 19489737]
6. a) Cortese K, Diaspro A, Tacchetti C. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 2009; 57:1103–1112. [PubMed: 19654103] b) Muller SA, Aebi U, Engel A. *J Struct Biol.* 2008; 163:235–245. [PubMed: 18614377]
7. Gaietta G, Deerinck TJ, Adams SR, Bouwer J, Tour O, Laird DW, Sosinsky GE, Tsien RY, Ellisman MH. *Science.* 2002; 296:503–507. [PubMed: 11964472]
8. Gaietta GM, Giepmans BNG, Deerinck TJ, Smith WB, Ngan L, Llopis J, Adams SR, Tsien RY, Ellisman MH. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2006; 103:17777–17782. [PubMed: 17101980]
9. Lanman J, Crum J, Deerinck TJ, Gaietta GM, Schneemann A, Sosinsky GE, Ellisman MH, Johnson JE. *J Struct Biol.* 2008; 161:439–446. [PubMed: 17998167]

10. Goodman JL, Fried DB, Schepartz A. *ChemBioChem*. 2009; 10:1644–1647. [PubMed: 19533719]
11. Ray-Saha S, Schepartz A. *ChemBioChem*. 2010; 11 in the press.
12. Krishnan B, Gierasch LM. *Chem Biol*. 2008; 59:1104–1115. [PubMed: 18940670]
13. Makkerh JPS, Dingwall C, Laskey RA. *Curr Biol*. 1996; 6:1025–1027. [PubMed: 8805337]
14. Ellwart JW, Dormer P. *Cytometry*. 1990; 11:239–243. [PubMed: 1690626]
15. Meisslitzer-Ruppitsch C, Rohrl C, Neumuller J, Pavelka M, Ellinger A. *J Microsc-Oxford*. 2009; 235:322–335.
16. a) Grabenbauer M, Geerts WJC, Fernandez-Rodriguez J, Hoenger A, Koster AJ, Nilsson T. *Nat Meth*. 2005; 2:857–862. b) Meisslitzer-Ruppitsch C, Vetterlein M, Stangl H, Maier S, Neumuller J, Freissmuth M, Pavelka M, Ellinger A. *Histochem Cell Biol*. 2008; 130:407–419. [PubMed: 18463889]
17. Jimenez-Banzo A, Nonell S, Hofkens J, Flors C. *Biophys J*. 2008; 94:168–172. [PubMed: 17766345]
18. Collins TJ. *Biotechniques*. 2007; 43:S25–S30.

Figure 1.

Complex-edited electron microscopy (CE-EM). (a) Each member of the protein partnership is modified by addition of a single CysCys motif that facilitates selective labelling of the complex with ReAsH (b). Irradiation of the ReAsH complex in the presence of diaminobenzidine (DAB) polymerizes the DAB surrounding each protein complex; the characteristic brown precipitate forms. (c) Subsequent treatment with OsO_4 permits selective visualization of protein complexes by EM.

Figure 2.

Imaging expression and ReAsH binding/fluorescence of nuclear-localized GCN4 constructs in living cells. Cells expressing each of the four fusion proteins shown were treated with ReAsH (180 nM, 3 h), washed with British Anti-lewisite (BAL) (250 μM, 3 h), and visualized on a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope equipped with an X-Cite 120 short arc xenon lamp. Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) images in the top row show total cells in the field of view; the second row shows the subcellular location of fluorescence due to eGFP (green, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 470 \pm 40$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 540 \pm 50$ nm) and detects fusion protein expression; the third row shows the subcellular location of fluorescence due to ReAsH (red, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 545 \pm 12$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 605 \pm 35$ nm). These images verify that, when expressed in HeLa cells, C₄-Opt-NLS, C₂-GCN4-NLS, C₂-L₂₀P-NLS, and A₂-GCN4-NLS localize to nuclei, as expected, but only C₄-Opt-NLS and C₂-GCN4-NLS bind ReAsH.

Figure 3.

Electron microscopy of cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS, C₂-GCN4-NLS, C₂-L₂₀P-NLS, and A₂-GCN4-NLS after treatment with ReAsH, DAB, h $\sqrt{}$, and OsO₄. Cells expressing each of the four fusion proteins were treated with ReAsH as described in the legend to Figure 2. Epifluorescent images monitoring emission at the ReAsH maximum (red, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 545 \pm 12$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 605 \pm 35$ nm) are repeated for clarity (top row). DAB polymerization is visible only in the nuclei of cells expressing C₄-Opt-NLS or C₂-GCN4-NLS (row 2). Electron micrographs of cells at low (row 3, scale bar = 1 μ m) and high (row 4, scale bar = 500 nm) magnification are shown. The nuclear membrane in each low resolution image is identified by a white line. Examples of mitochondrial staining are identified by black arrows. Examples of areas within the nuclei that show increased electron density are identified by red arrows.