Published in final edited form as:

Dalton Trans. 2010 November 28; 39(44): 10626–10630. doi:10.1039/c0dt00628a.

Redetermination of the X-ray structure of nitroxylcobalamin: base-on nitroxylcobalamin exhibits a remarkably long Co–N(dimethylbenzimidazole) bond distance†

Hanaa A. Hassanin^{a,b}, Mohamed F. El-Shahat^b, Serena DeBeer^c, Clyde A. Smith^{*,d}, and Nicola E. Brasch^{*,a,e}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Kent State University, Kent, OH, 44242

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Ain Shams University, Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt

^cDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853

^dStanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, Stanford University, Menlo Park, CA, 94025

^eSchool of Biomedical Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, OH, 44242

Abstract

The X-ray structures of three new crystals of nitroxylcobalamin (NOCbl) have been determined. Unlike our earlier reported structure in which NOCbl was partially oxidized, the O atom of the nitroxyl ligand is located in a single position with a N=O bond distance of 1.12–1.14 Å, consistent with a double bond. The Co–N–O angle is in the 118.9–120.3 Å range. The α -axial Co–N(dimethylbenzimidazole) (Co–NB3) bond distance is a remarkable 2.32–2.35 Å in length, ~0.1 Å longer than that reported for all other cobalamin structures. The change in the Gibbs free energy for the base-on/base-off equilibrium now correlates extremely well with the Co–NB3 bond distance, as observed for other cobalamins.

Introduction

The nitric oxide derivative of vitamin B₁₂, nitroxylcobalamin (NOCbl; also known as nitrosylcobalamin and nitrosocobalamin) is of considerable interest to chemists and biochemists alike. Cobalamins inhibit nitric oxide (NO)-induced physiologies and pathologies (smooth muscle relaxation,^{1–3} vasodilation,⁴ NO-mediated inhibition of cell proliferation,⁵ NO-induced neural tube defects⁶) and both mammalian B₁₂-dependent enzymes are inhibited by NO or NO donors.^{7–11} It has been suggested that *in vivo* Cbl directly scavenges NO to form NOCbl,^{5,12} and indeed, the reaction between a major intracellular form of Cbl, cob(II)alamin, and NO to form NOCbl approaches the rate of diffusion and is thermodynamically favorable ($K \sim 1 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$, 25 °C).^{13,14} The therapeutic potential of Cbls and related cobinamides for treating diseases associated with high NO levels is currently under investigation.^{2,6,12,15–17}

Recently we reported the X-ray structure of NOCbl.¹⁸ The NO ligand was bound to the cobalt center through the nitrogen atom in a bent configuration (O–N–Co ranged from 117.4–121.4°), consistent with Co(III)–NO[–]. During the initial stages of structure refinement,

†CCDC reference number 780270. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c0dt00628a

© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010

*csmith@slac.stanford.edu; nbrasch@kent.edu.

only one position for the NO oxygen was observed in the electron density; however in the latter stages of refinement two smaller difference peaks were also observed near the nitrogen and all three were modeled as alternate conformations of the oxygen atom. Evidence for the presence of a NO⁻ group as opposed to an oxidized NO₂ came from a comparison of the N–O bond and Co–NB3 bond distances of NOCbl with those of three reported NO₂Cbl complexes. The NO bond lengths for the three orientations were consistent with a N=O double bond rather than the N–O/N=O bonds of nitrite. In addition, the Co–NB3 bond length for NOCbl was 2.13 Å whereas in the three NO₂Cbl it ranged from 1.99–2.01 Å NO⁻ exerts a greater *trans* influence compared with NO₂⁻; hence the longer Co–NB3 bond length in NOCbl compared with NO₂Cbl. However, the subsequent realization that the three locations of the O atom in this structure could alternatively be modeled as a mixture of NOCbl and NO₂Cbl motivated us to structurally characterize several new NOCbl crystals. We therefore now wish to report the structures of three new NOCbl crystals from different batches. The new structures show that base-on NOCbl has a remarkably long Co–N(dimethylbenzimidazole) bond length – a bond distance that is significantly longer than that reported for all other base-on cobalamins.

Experimental

Synthesis of NOCbl

Both the synthesis and handling of NOCbl were carried out inside a glove box under an argon atmosphere. NOCbl was synthesized according to a published procedure.¹⁹ Formation of the desired product was checked by UV-vis and ¹H NMR spectroscopies.¹⁸

Crystallization of NOCbl

NOCbl was crystallized by the addition of anaerobic acetone (300 µL) to vials containing concentrated NOCbl solution (50 µL, 2.91 mM) in anaerobic water. The vials were capped (teflon sleeves with screw caps) and a syringe needle inserted through the top of the caps. The vials were kept in the freezer inside the glove box (–30 °C). Small orange crystals of NOCbl grew after 4 weeks in the vials.

X-ray diffraction studies

Three crystals of NOCbl (crystal 1, 0.15 × 0.05 × 0.05 mm; crystal 2, 0.08 × 0.04 × 0.03 mm; crystal 3, 0.22 × 0.07 × 0.05 mm) were mounted under paraffin oil in a nylon loop and flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. The diffraction data for the structures were collected at beam lines BL9-2 and BL11-1 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL). Data were collected at 100 K on a MarMosaic 325 CCD detector using X-rays produced either by a 16 pole wiggler insertion device using a liquid nitrogen cooled double Si(111) crystal monochromator (BL9-2) for NOCbl-1 and NOCbl-2 (X-ray energy 15500 eV and 14500 eV respectively), or by a 26 pole wiggler using a side-scattering monochromator (BL11-1) for NOCbl-3 (X-ray energy 13500 eV). In all cases, the data were processed with the program XDS and scaled with the program XSCALE.²⁰ Bijvoet pairs were not merged and no absorption correction was applied. Data collection statistics are given in Table 1.

The structures were solved by the Patterson method to locate the cobalt and phosphorus atoms, then the lighter atoms located by difference Fourier synthesis, as implemented in the program SHELXS.²¹ The structures were refined by full matrix least-squares on F² using SHELXL. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters (ADPs), and hydrogen atoms were added in idealized positions and refined in riding positions. A correction for anomalous scattering from cobalt at the appropriate energy was applied during refinement for each crystal. Additional difference electron density peaks were initially modeled as water molecules with site occupancy factors (SOFs) of 1.0, and in

later stages of refinement, the occupancies of some of these water molecules were refined. The final crystallographic data collection and refinement are given in Table 1.

Results and discussion

Multiple batches of new NOCbl crystals were prepared and X-ray diffraction data collected for three new NOCbl crystals (NOCbl-1 to NOCbl-3) from separate batches at the SSRL. The three structures were refined to low crystallographic R-factors using SHELXL.²¹ Importantly, the three new structures are consistent with each other, but markedly different from the original NOCbl structure. Table 1 summarizes crystallographic and refinement data for the three new structures. NOCbl-nH₂O crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *P*2₁2₁2₁ with one molecule per asymmetric unit. NOCbl-1 was the best resolved of the three structures, with diffraction data to a nominal resolution of 0.75 Å and a final *R* value of 0.0640. Fig. 1 shows a thermal ellipsoid plot of NOCbl-1. The solvent structure of NOCbl-1 has been modeled as 15 water molecules, all of which are involved in hydrogen-bonding interactions with either oxygen or nitrogen atoms on the cobalamin moiety. Table 2 summarizes bond distances for the first coordination sphere of the Co for the new and original NOCbl structures and for the three reported NO₂Cbl structures. The Co lies essentially on the plane of the four corrin nitrogen atoms (0.036 Å away for NOCbl-1). Importantly, unlike in the previous NOCbl structure in which the O atom of the β-axial ligand was observed in three alternate positions, the O atom of the NO ligand (N70–O71) is located in a single position for NOCbl-1 (Fig. 1) and the other two new NOCbl structures. Although there is some evidence for two additional peaks in the *F_o*–*F_c* difference electron density at very low levels (approximately 0.6 e/Å³) near the NO nitrogen, both peaks are approximately 1.1 Å from the nitrogen and have site occupancy factors (SOFs) estimated at 0.1 (based upon the electron density for the fully occupied NO oxygen atom of 5.5 e/Å³), implying partially-occupied alternate conformations of the NO oxygen. The Co–N–O angle for the three structures ranges from 119.4 to 120.3° (Table 2), providing support for the oxidation state of the Co center being Co(III), with the bent orientation being a result of the lone pair on the N atom of NO[−].¹⁸ The Co–N–O bond is linear for nitrosyl (NO⁺) complexes.²² Whereas the N70–O71 bond length ranged from 1.14 to 1.20 Å in the original structure, the N70–O71 bond length is between 1.12 and 1.14 Å for the three new structures, consistent with an N=O bond. In the NO₂Cbl structures the N70–O71 bond lengths are much longer (1.22–1.32 Å), consistent with a delocalized O=N–O bond. Another notable difference between the earlier NOCbl structure and the three new structures is the Co–NB3 bond distance, which ranges from 2.32 to 2.35 Å. This is ~0.2 Å longer than the Co–NB3 bond distance in the original NOCbl structure (2.13 Å), and ~0.3 Å longer than those found in the three NO₂Cbl structures (1.99–2.01 Å). The superimposition of the original structure (white) and the NOCbl-1 structure (green and yellow) is shown in Fig. 2. The difference in the position of the 5,6-dimethylbenzimidazole (DMB) base is clearly observable. There are no significant differences in the Co–N(equatorial) (Co–N21 to Co–N24) or the Co–N(O) bond distances in the new structures compared with the original structure (Table 2).

The *trans* influence is a key factor in determining the length of axial bonds in cobalamins incorporating non-bulky axial ligands.²³ Thus far the longest Co–NB3 bond distances reported are those for Cbls incorporating the strong σ alkyl donor ligands methyl, 5'-deoxyadenosyl, vinyl and difluoromethyl (2.16–2.19, 2.24, 2.17 and 2.19 Å respectively^{24–27}) at the β-axial site. The Co–NB3 bond lengths for Cbls with typical inorganic ligands such as CN[−], NO₂[−], H₂O and RS[−] (RS = γ-GlyCys and N-acetyl-L-cysteine) are significantly shorter at 2.01–2.04, 1.99–2.01, 1.93, 2.05–2.06 Å respectively.^{26,28–33} In pentammine complexes of Co, [CoX(NH₃)₅]ⁿ⁺, NO was found to exert an even stronger *trans* influence than Me.³¹ The longer Co–NB3 for NOCbl can be attributed to the strong σ donor properties of this ligand in addition to NO[−] being a moderately good π acceptor

ligand.³⁴ There was no evidence for a deprotonated, base-off NOCbl species in the X-ray diffraction electron density map for NOCbl.

The observation of disorder in the position of the oxygen atom of the NO ligand, the longer N–O bond distances and the much shorter Co–NB3 bond length for the original NOCbl structure compared with the three new NOCbl structures, provides compelling evidence that the crystal used in the original X-ray structural determination of NOCbl was indeed partially oxidized to NO₂Cbl, and therefore represents a mixture of NOCbl and NO₂Cbl structures. It was originally assumed that the disorder for the ligand in the reported structure could be simply represented by three alternate conformations of the NO oxygen with SOFs of 0.5, 0.3 and 0.2. However retrospective analysis of the structure, assuming the presence of NO₂Cbl, gives a model for the disorder consistent with a β -axial NO₂ ligand and two locations for the NO ligand of NOCbl with an average SOF of approximately 0.25 for the NO. Based upon the bond distances in the three new NOCbl structures, and this new analysis of the original SOFs and ADPs, a NO/NO₂ ratio of ~0.25: 0.75 can be estimated for the original NOCbl crystal. Importantly, full occupancy is observed for all atoms of the DMB; hence NOCbl is base-on and there is no evidence for a base-off component in the structures.

Co–NB3 and the $pK_{\text{base-off}}$ value for the base-on/base-off equilibrium for NOCbl, Scheme 1, are atypical for Cbls with inorganic ligands ($pK_{\text{base-off}} = 5.1$ for NOCbl *versus* 0.1, ≤ -0.15 and -2.13 for CNCbl, NO₂Cbl and H₂OCbl⁺, respectively^{13,19,35}). A linear relationship between the apparent Gibbs free energy for coordination of the DMB to cobalt, $-\Delta G_{\text{Co}}^\circ$, and the Co–NB3 bond distance, $d_{\text{Co-NB3}}$, has previously been established.^{24,36} Using $K_{\text{Co}} = 1.9$,¹⁹ a value of 0.38 kcal mol⁻¹ is calculated for NOCbl ($\Delta G_{\text{Co}}^\circ = RT \ln K_{\text{Co}}$). Fig. 3 gives the plot of $-\Delta G_{\text{Co}}^\circ$ *versus* $d_{\text{Co-NB3}}$, incorporating the new Co–NB3 value for NOCbl. The agreement of the NOCbl data with the line of best fit is quite remarkable, and the NOCbl data is similar to that expected for alkylcobalamins. Another established correlation is that between Co–NB3 and the ³¹P NMR chemical shift of the nucleotide phosphodiester moiety of Cbls.^{35,36} The ³¹P NMR chemical shift reflects changes in the phosphodiester bond angles, which become less strained as the Co–NB3 bond lengthens.^{35,37} A plot of ³¹P NMR chemical shift *versus* Co–NB3 bond distance, $d_{\text{Co-NB3}}$, is given in Fig. 4. Again the correlation points to NOCbl being more similar to an alkylcobalamin rather than other Cbls with inorganic ligands. It has previously been noted that while NOCbl has a single ³¹P NMR resonance under neutral and acidic conditions as observed for alkylcobalamins, CNCbl and H₂OCbl⁺ instead have two distinct peaks corresponding to the base-on and base-off, DMB protonated forms in acidic solution at pH (pD) values close to $pK_{\text{base-off}}$.¹⁹

To summarize, the structures of three new NOCbl structures have been determined by X-ray diffraction. Significant differences in the NO ligand N–O and Co–NB3 bond distances were observed compared to the original NOCbl crystal. These data provide evidence for substantial oxidation of the NOCbl crystal used in the original NOCbl X-ray structure determination.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Egyptian Ministry of Higher Education (PhD scholarship to H.A.H.) and the NSF (CHE-0848397, N.E.B.) for funding. The Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) is a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES). The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER), and by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), Biomedical Technology Program (BTP), and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS).

References

1. Rand MJ, Li CG. *Eur. J. Pharmacol* 1993;241:249–254. [PubMed: 8243559]
2. Greenberg SS, Xie J, Zatarain JM, Kapusta DR, Miller MJ. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther* 1995;273:257–265. [PubMed: 7714773]
3. Schubert R, Krien U, Wulfsen I, Schiemann D, Lehmann G, Ulfing N, Veh RW, Schwarz JR, Gago H. *Hypertension* 2004;43:891–896. [PubMed: 14993195]
4. Jiang F, Li CG, Rand MJ. *Eur. J. Pharmacol* 1997;340:181–186. [PubMed: 9537813]
5. Brouwer M, Chamulitrat W, Ferruzzi G, Sauls DL, Weinberg JB. *Blood* 1996;88:1857–1864. [PubMed: 8781445]
6. Weil M, Abeles R, Nachmany A, Gold V, Michael E. *Cell Death Differ* 2004;11:361–363. [PubMed: 14685162]
7. Nicolaou A, Ast T, Garcia CV, Anderson MM, Gibbons JM, Gibbons WA. *Biochem. Soc. Trans* 1994;22:296S. [PubMed: 7821555]
8. Nicolaou A, Kenyon SH, Gibbons JM, Ast T, Gibbons WA. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest* 1996;26:167–170. [PubMed: 8904527]
9. Nicolaou A, Waterfield CJ, Kenyon SH, Gibbons WA. *Eur. J. Biochem* 1997;244:876–882. [PubMed: 9108260]
10. Kambo A, Sharma VS, Casteel DE, Woods VL Jr, Pilz RB, Boss GR. *J. Biol. Chem* 2005;280:10073–10082. [PubMed: 15647267]
11. Danishpajoo IO, Gudi T, Chen Y, Kharitonov VG, Sharma VS, Boss GR. *J. Biol. Chem* 2001;276:27296–27303. [PubMed: 11371572]
12. Wheatley C. *Med. Hypotheses* 2006;67:124–142. [PubMed: 16545917]
13. Wolak M, Zahl A, Schnepfensieper T, Stochel G, van Eldik R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001;123:9780–9791. [PubMed: 11583539]
14. Zheng D, Birke RL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001;123:4637–4638. [PubMed: 11457265]
15. Wheatley C. *J. Nutr. Environ. Med* 2007;16:181–211. [PubMed: 18836533]
16. Broderick KE, Feala J, McCulloch A, Paternostro G, Sharma VS, Pilz RB, Boss GR. *FASEB J* 2006;20:1865–1873. [PubMed: 16940158]
17. Broderick KE, Singh V, Zhuang S, Kambo A, Chen JC, Sharma VS, Pilz RB, Boss GR. *J. Biol. Chem* 2004;280:8678–8685. [PubMed: 15632180]
18. Hannibal L, Smith CA, Jacobsen DW, Brasch NE. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2007;46:5140–5143.
19. Hassanin HA, Hannibal L, Jacobsen DW, Brown KL, Marques HM, Brasch NE. *Dalton Trans* 2009:424–433. [PubMed: 19122899]
20. Kabsch W. *J. Appl. Crystallogr* 1993;26:795–800.
21. Sheldrick GM, Schneider TR. *Methods Enzymol* 1997;277:319–343. [PubMed: 18488315]
22. Wiberg, N.; Holleman, AF. *Inorganic Chemistry*. 34th ed.. Walter de Gruyter; Berlin: 2001. p. 1582
23. Pratt, JM. *Chemistry and Biochemistry of B₁₂*. Banerjee, R., editor. Wiley; New York: 1999. p. 13
24. McCauley KM, Pratt DA, Wilson SR, Shey J, Burkey TJ, van der Donk WA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:1126–1136. [PubMed: 15669852]
25. Rossi M, Glusker JP, Randaccio L, Summers MF, Toscano PJ, Marzilli LG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1985;107:1729–1738.
26. Randaccio L, Furlan M, Geremia S, Slouf M, Srnova I, Toffoli D. *Inorg. Chem* 2000;39:3403–3413. [PubMed: 11196881]
27. Wagner T, Afshar CE, Carrell HL, Glusker JP, Englert U, Hogenkamp HPC. *Inorg. Chem* 1999;38:1785–1794. [PubMed: 11670948]
28. Kraeutler B, Konrat R, Stupperich E, Faerber G, Gruber K, Kratky C. *Inorg. Chem* 1994;33:4128–4139.
29. Garau G, Geremia S, Marzilli LG, Nardin G, Randaccio L, Tazher G. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci* 2003;59:51–59.

30. Perry BP, Fernandes MA, Brown KL, Zou X, Valente EJ, Marques HM. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem* 2003;2095–2107.
31. Kratky C, Faerber G, Gruber K, Wilson K, Dauter Z, Nolting H-F, Konrat R, Kraeutler B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995;117:4654–4670.
32. Suto RK, Brasch NE, Anderson OP, Finke RG. *Inorg. Chem* 2001;40:2686–2692. [PubMed: 11375680]
33. Suarez-Moreira E, Hannibal L, Smith CA, Chavez RA, Jacobsen DW, Brasch NE. *Dalton Trans* 2006:5269–5277. [PubMed: 17088966]
34. Randaccio L, Geremia S, Stener M, Toffoli D, Zangrando E. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem* 2002:93–103.
35. Brown KL, Hakimi JM, Jacobsen DW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1984;106:7894–7899.
36. Brown KL, Evans DR, Zubkowski JD, Valente EJ. *Inorg. Chem* 1996;35:415–423. [PubMed: 11666223]
37. Brown KL. *Inorg. Chem* 1986;25:3111–3113.

Fig. 1.
Thermal ellipsoid plot (30%) of NOCbl-1.

Fig. 2. Superposition of the NOCbl-1 structure (white, thicker bonds) with the original NOCbl structure (thinner bonds, yellow balls for the NO and magenta sticks for the DMB base). The cobalt in NOCbl-1 is shown as a green sphere. The difference in the position of the DMB base is evident.

Fig. 3.

Plot of $-\Delta G^\circ_{\text{Co}}$ versus the Co-NB3 bond distance for Cbls. The data has been fitted to a straight line ($r^2 = 0.95$) with slope -22.1 ± 1.8 and intercept 52.2 ± 4.0 . Co-NB3 bond lengths and $-\Delta G^\circ_{\text{Co}}$ are taken from the literature (see references in reference ¹⁹).

Fig. 4. Plot of ^{31}P NMR chemical shift *versus* the Co–NB₃ bond distance for Cbls (in D₂O or H₂O, referenced to 85% H₃PO₄, 25 °C). The data has been fitted to a straight line ($r^2 = 0.84$) with slope -1.74 ± 0.27 and intercept 3.46 ± 0.57 . Data is taken from the references given in reference ¹⁹.

Scheme 1.
Cobalamin forms present in aqueous solution.

Table 1

Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for the three NOCbl crystals

Parameter	NOCbl-1	NOCbl-2	NOCbl-3
Wavelength/Å	0.79987	0.85503	0.91840
Space group	$P2_12_12_1$	$P2_12_12_1$	$P2_12_12_1$
Images/oscillation angle	90/1.0	180/1.0	95/1.0
Reflections, collected/unique	29732/15650	39986/10203	19976/9742
Maximum resolution/Å	0.73	0.84	0.89
$R_{\text{merge}}, R_{\text{sym}}$	0.0195, 0.0482	0.0451, 0.0471	0.0215, 0.0485
Cell dimensions/Å	$a = 15.96$ $b = 20.93$ $c = 24.13$	$a = 15.94$ $b = 20.92$ $c = 24.04$	$a = 15.93$ $b = 21.08$ $c = 24.11$
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	8060.5(7)	8016.5(7)	8096.2(7)
Z	4	4	4
μ/mm^{-1}	0.296	0.298	0.295
$F(000)$	2912	2912	2912
Empirical formula	$\text{C}_{62}\text{H}_{89}\text{CoN}_{15}\text{O}_{15}\text{P}\cdot 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\text{C}_{62}\text{H}_{89}\text{CoN}_{15}\text{O}_{15}\text{P}\cdot 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\text{C}_{62}\text{H}_{89}\text{CoN}_{15}\text{O}_{15}\text{P}\cdot 17\text{H}_2\text{O}$
FW/g mol ⁻¹	1539.72	1565.35	1598.83
Limiting indices	$-16 \leq h \leq 19$ $-22 \leq k \leq 27$ $-25 \leq l \leq 29$	$-17 \leq h \leq 17$ $-23 \leq k \leq 22$ $-26 \leq l \leq 24$	$-15 \leq h \leq 15$ $-19 \leq k \leq 22$ $-23 \leq l \leq 26$
Refinement resolution/Å	0.75	0.90	0.89
Data/restraints/parameters	17097/0/997	11465/2/998	10616/2/1021
GOF on F^2	1.035	1.048	0.999
Flack parameter	0.075	0.066	0.091
R factors ($F > 4\sigma(F)$)	$R_1 = 0.0640$ $wR_2 = 0.1856$	$R_1 = 0.0690$ $wR_2 = 0.1999$	$R_1 = 0.0556$ $wR_2 = 0.1603$
R factor (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0695$	0.0779	0.0610
Largest difference peak and hole/e Å ⁻³	+0.77 and -1.41	+0.69 and -0.65	+0.48 and -0.44

Co coordination sphere, the N–O bond distances (Å) and Co–N–O bond angles for the new and original NOCbl structures and for NO₂Cbl

Table 2

	NOCbl-1 ^a	NOCbl-2 ^a	NOCbl-3 ^a	NOCbl (old) ^b	NO ₂ Cbl.2LiCl ^c	NO ₂ Cbl.NaCl ^c	NO ₂ Cbl ^d
Co–NO _n (Å) (<i>n</i> = 1,2)	1.907(2)	1.909(8)	1.940(8)	1.927(6)	1.942(6)	1.912(5)	1.941(5)
N–O (Å)	1.141(4)	1.12(1)	1.14(1)	1.18(1) ^e	1.217(9)	1.221(8)	1.217
				1.20(2)	1.324(15) ^f		
				1.14(1)			
Co–NB3 (Å)	2.351(2)	2.353(7)	2.324(6)	2.126(5)	1.992(6)	2.014(5)	2.008(4)
Co–N21 (Å)	1.877(2)	1.867(6)	1.867(7)	1.858(4)	1.873(5)	1.868(5)	1.888(4)
Co–N22 (Å)	1.917(2)	1.911(6)	1.904(6)	1.908(5)	1.920(4)	1.902(5)	1.917(4)
Co–N23 (Å)	1.916(2)	1.911(6)	1.899(6)	1.915(4)	1.919(5)	1.900(5)	1.922(4)
Co–N24 (Å)	1.898(2)	1.877(6)	1.897(6)	1.887(5)	1.894(5)	1.870(5)	1.906(4)
Co–N–O	119.4(2)	118.9(8)	120.2(8)	117.4	121.7, 115.4	124.4	121.2
				121.3	121.3 ^f 125.6	120.1	117.1
				121.4			129.1 ^f
							119.5 ^f

^aThis work.

^bReference 18

^cReference 29.

^dReference 30.

^eThree N–O bond lengths were reported.

^fTwo orientations of the NO₂ group were observed.