Published in final edited form as:

Chembiochem. 2010 March 22; 11(5): 604–621. doi:10.1002/cbic.200900777.

Adenosyl radical: reagent and catalyst in enzyme reactions

Prof. E. Neil G. Marsh^a, Mr. Dustin P. Patterson^a, and Prof. Lei Li^b

E. Neil G. Marsh: nmarsh@umich.edu; Lei Li: lilei@iupui.edu

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1055, USA

^b Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

Lead in

Adenosine is undoubtedly an ancient biological molecule that is a component of many enzyme cofactors; ATP, FADH, NAD(P)H, and coenzyme A, to name but a few, and, of course, of RNA. Here we present an overview of the role of adenosine in its most reactive form: as an organic radical formed either by homolytic cleavage of adenosylcobalamin (coenzyme B₁₂, AdoCbl) or by single-electron reduction of S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) complexed to an iron-sulfur cluster. Although many of the enzymes we discuss are newly discovered, adenosine's role as a radical cofactor most likely arose very early in evolution, before the advent of photosynthesis and the production of molecular oxygen which rapidly inactivates many radical enzymes. AdoCbl-dependent enzymes appear to be confined to a rather narrow repertoire of rearrangement reactions involving 1,2-hydrogen atom migrations. In contrast, there has been a recent explosion in the number radical AdoMet enzymes discovered that catalyze a remarkably wide range of chemically challenging reactions. Although all the radical AdoMet enzymes so far characterized come from anaerobically growing microbes and are very oxygen sensitive, there is tantalizing evidence that some of these enzymes may be active in aerobic organisms including humans.

Keywords

cobalamines; enzymes; free radicals; S-adenosylmethionine; SAM; B12

1. Introduction

5'-deoxyadenosyl radical (Ado•) serves two functions in biochemical reactions. Firstly, it is an extremely powerful single-electron oxidant that can remove a hydrogen atom from the least reactive of molecules.[1] This allows cells to catalyze difficult oxidation reactions under anaerobic conditions that would otherwise require the oxidizing power of activated oxygen species, as exemplified by the oxidations catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes. Secondly, it can function as a catalyst by reversibly abstracting a hydrogen atom from the substrate: this allows enzymes to exploit the reactivity of free radicals to catalyze reactions that would be difficult or impossible to effect by ionic chemistry.[2] As illustrated in figure 1, there are two biological mechanisms for generating Ado•: homolytic cleavage of adenosylcobalamin (coenzyme B₁₂, AdoCbl), which results in cob(II)alamin and Ado•, and single-electron reduction of S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) complexed to a reduced iron-sulfur cluster, which yields Ado•, methionine and the oxidized iron-sulfur cluster. Whereas AdoCbl is always serves as a cofactor, Ado• generated from AdoMet may be used catalytically as a true cofactor, but more often is consumed as a co-substrate.

There have been significant advances in understanding the mechanism and biological roles of this group of enzymes in the last few years, especially the discovery of many new radical AdoMet enzymes. Here we present an overview of adenosyl radical enzymes, in particular contrasting radical AdoMet and AdoCbl-dependent enzymes, and discuss whether radical AdoMet enzymes may be active in animals as well as anaerobic microbes. We also refer the reader to several recent reviews that discuss various aspects of adenosyl radical biochemistry in more detail than space permits here.[1–15]

The first member of this class of enzymes to be identified was glutamate mutase, an AdoCbl-dependent enzyme involved in the fermentation of glutamate by various bacteria, which was discovered by H.A. Barker and colleagues in the late 1950s.[16–19] Notably, this discovery provided a specific biochemical function for vitamin B₁₂, which is the precursor to AdoCbl. In humans the requirement for vitamin B₁₂ derives, in part, from the AdoCbl-dependent enzyme methylmalonyl-CoA mutase which is involved in odd-chain fatty acid metabolism.[14,20] The radical nature of these reactions was first postulated by Abeles through work on dioldehydratase.[21,22] The first radical-AdoMet enzyme, lysine-2,3-aminomutase (LAM), was not discovered until 20 years later,[23] also by Barker, who noted the similarity of the reaction to the rearrangements catalyzed by AdoCbl dependent aminomutases. Another 20 years would pass before the second radical-AdoMet enzyme would be discovered through studies in J. Knappe's laboratory on pyruvate formate-lyase (PFL),[24] an enzyme that converts pyruvate to formate and acetyl-CoA as part of the anaerobic metabolism of glucose in *E. coli*. PFL was the first-discovered member of a group of enzymes that contain a radical centered on the α -carbon of specific protein glycyl residue that is required in the catalytic mechanism. Knappe and colleagues showed that the glycyl radical in PFL is installed by a specific activase enzyme that uses an adenosyl radical, derived from AdoMet, to abstract a hydrogen atom from gly-734 of PFL.[25]

Since then studies in numerous laboratories have identified radical-AdoMet enzymes that participate in a remarkably wide range of chemical transformations; representative examples that are discussed in this review are summarized in Table 1. These efforts were greatly aided by a sequence comparison study, published in 2001, that, based on the CX₃CX₂C motif shared by the known radical-AdoMet enzymes, identified a further 600 enzymes that may utilize AdoMet in this manner[26] (today the sequence data base contains around 3000 putative radical-AdoMet enzymes[5]). Although some of the sequences were homologs of known radical-AdoMet enzymes from different bacteria, and many more sequences were from completely unknown proteins, some were from known proteins for which a connection to radical-AdoMet chemistry had not been made. Here the sequence motif provided the clue needed to guide biochemical studies elucidating the role of AdoMet in the enzyme reactions. Recent findings suggest that the radical AdoMet family could be even larger: in 2006, an elongator subunit Elp3 from *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii* was found to contain a [4Fe-4S] cluster coordinated by a CX₄CX₂C sequence. This cluster is able to bind AdoMet and generate 5'-deoxyadenosine probably via a similar sulfur-carbon bond cleavage chemistry.[27] Additional evidence has been obtained on ThiC, which contains a CX₄CX₂C motif as well, that the Ado• radical is generated via reductive cleavage of AdoMet.[28,29] These findings indicate that the CX₃CX₂C motif may not be the definitive sequence for this enzyme superfamily. Furthermore, the Elp3 subunits from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and human were found to possess a CX₉CX₂C motif.[27] This suggests that more, as yet unrecognized enzymes, may utilize this radical AdoMet chemistry. In contrast, AdoCbl-dependent enzymes appear to be relatively rare; only 10 are known so far,[12] with no new enzymes discovered in over 20 years. Their reactions are restricted to either isomerization or elimination reactions. Although a B₁₂-binding motif has been described,[30,31] it is not shared by all enzymes, nor is it unique to AdoCbl enzymes

because it also occurs in some cobalamin-dependent methyl transferases; this has made identifying new AdoCbl enzymes in sequence databases difficult.

2. Similarities and differences between radical AdoMet and AdoCbl enzymes

The most significant difference between AdoCbl and radical AdoMet enzymes is their oxygen sensitivity. Whereas AdoCbl enzymes are not especially oxygen sensitive, all radical AdoMet enzymes studied to date must be handled under rigorously anaerobic conditions to maintain their activity. Reactive oxygen species rapidly oxidize and destroy the iron-sulfur clusters in these enzymes. Oxygen sensitivity may have provided the pressure for the evolution of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes; however, as discussed below, radical AdoMet enzymes may be stable in the microenvironment of a cell even under aerobic conditions.

Although a detailed discussion of their structures is beyond the scope of this review, both classes of enzyme are built on variations of the β/α barrel (TIM barrel) scaffold. The structures of representative members of the two classes of enzymes are compared in figure 2. All AdoCbl enzymes for which structures are known comprise “complete” barrels – 8 stranded barrels for methylmalonyl-CoA mutase,[32] diol dehydratase,[33] glutamate mutase [34] and lysine-5,6-aminomutase,[35] and a 10-stranded barrel for ribonucleotide reductase.[36] Radical AdoMet enzymes exhibit more structural diversity: the catalytic domains of biotin synthase, ThiC and HydE are complete 8-stranded barrels [29,37,38] whereas HemN, LAM, MoaA and PFL activase are 6-stranded (β/α)₆ “3/4” barrels [39–42] in which the fold is opened out to facilitate entry of the substrate.[3,5] This opening out is most marked for PFL-activase, which must accommodate a protein substrate within its active site.[41]

Lastly we note that, intriguingly, both AdoMet and cobalamin, as methylCbl (MeCbl), also function as methyl donors, indeed in MeCbl-dependent methionine synthase AdoMet can act as a methyl donor to cob(II)alamin under some conditions.[12] In both classes of enzymes methyl transfer involves ionic reactions, rather than radical chemistry; in the cobalamin-dependent methylases cobalt cycles between +3 (methylated) and +1 (unmethylated) oxidation states. This illustrates a further similarity between the intrinsic reactivity of sulfonium ions and organo-cobalt complexes that has been exploited by these two classes of enzymes.

3. Adenosyl radical formation

For AdoCbl-dependent enzymes Ado• is generated through enzyme-catalyzed homolysis of cobalt-carbon bond, and in all cases this is a reversible process.[2,14,15] For radical-AdoMet enzymes homolytic cleavage of the sulfur-carbon bond of AdoMet (BDE ≥ 60 kcal mol⁻¹) is not energetically feasible. Rather, reductive cleavage must occur to produce methionine and the 5'-deoxyadenosyl radical.[43] This is achieved by a unique [4Fe-4S]⁺ cluster that is coordinated by the 3 cysteine residues of the CX₃CX₂C motif. The fourth iron lacks a cysteine ligand, which allows AdoMet to coordinate to the cluster through the amino- and carboxyl- groups of the cofactor.[44,45] Next, an electron from the cluster directly reduces the sulfonium ion to the neutral radical that spontaneously fragments to generate Ado• and methionine (figure 1). For most radical-AdoMet enzymes the Ado• radicals generated this way are subsequently used to oxidize the substrate and the overall process is irreversible. However, three enzymes are known that generate Ado• reversibly to catalyze their respective reactions that involve no overall change in the oxidation state of the substrate; these are LAM, spore photoproduct lyase and DesII, which is involved in desosamine biosynthesis.[46–52]

An important aspect of the mechanisms of both AdoCbl and radical-AdoMet enzymes is that the energetics of forming Ado• are extremely unfavorable. Ado• is such a reactive species that it has never been directly observed in an enzyme, although it is generally accepted as the key intermediate in the mechanisms of both classes of enzymes. However, FT-EPR studies on the non-enzymic photolysis of AdoCbl have provided spectroscopic evidence for the formation of Ado•.[53] In free solution the bond dissociation energy of AdoCbl is 32 kcal mol⁻¹, [54] representing a considerable obstacle to forming radicals. Yet in response to substrate binding, AdoCbl readily undergoes homolytic cleavage and substrate-based radicals accumulate on the enzyme during turn-over, implying that the equilibrium constant for homolysis is now close to unity.[55–58] A similar problem faces radical-AdoMet enzymes. Reduction of AdoMet to Ado• and methionine is estimated to require a redox potential of –1.8 V,[59] however the reduction potential of the [4Fe-4S]⁺²⁺ cluster in LAM is –0.43 V.[60] The –1.4 V difference corresponds to an unfavorable free energy for forming Ado• from AdoMet that is also about 32 kcal mol⁻¹.

How AdoCbl and radical-AdoMet enzymes overcome this energetic challenge remains poorly understood. For AdoCbl enzymes it has been generally assumed that a protein-induced distortion of the coenzyme, presumable triggered by substrate binding, weakens the Co-C bond sufficiently to promote homolysis; i.e. the enzyme uses binding energy to offset the unfavorable bond dissociation energy. However, attempts to verify this hypothesis experimentally have failed to find evidence for any significant structural distortion of the coenzyme or change in the electronic or vibrational properties of the Co-C bond upon binding to the cognate enzymes.[61–64] In contrast, recent studies on LAM have shown that the effects of AdoMet binding, and to a lesser extent lysine, dramatically shifts the reduction potential of the [4Fe-4S] cluster so that ΔE° is ~ 0.4 V and electron transfer is much more favorable.[65]

The mechanisms for generating Ado• and the biochemical reactions that Ado• participates in can largely be considered separately. For example, the amino group migrations catalyzed by the radical-AdoMet-dependent α -aminomutases (lysine-, glutamate- and arginine-2,3-aminomutases) and AdoCbl-dependent ω -aminomutases (ornithine-4,5- and lysine-5,6-aminomutases) are chemically identical even though the coenzymes are different. Radical-AdoMet enzymes appear to catalyze a much wider range of reactions than AdoCbl enzymes; these may be broadly classified into reactions in which Ado• is used catalytically and those in which Ado• is consumed as an oxidizing agent.

4. Catalytic reactions of Adenosyl radical

All AdoCbl-dependent enzymes use Ado• catalytically and, with the exception of AdoCbl-dependent ribonucleotide reductase, catalyze reactions involving the 1,2-rearrangement of a hydrogen atom with an electron-withdrawing group, **X**, as illustrated in figure 3. **X** may be either NH₂-, HO- or a carbon-containing fragment so that a carbon skeleton rearrangement occurs.[2,13,15,66] Three radical-AdoMet enzymes, LAM, DesII and spore photoproduct lyase (SPL), catalyze reactions that are mechanistically similar to the AdoCbl-dependent enzymes, and for which there is evidence from isotope-exchange experiments to support the regeneration of AdoMet after turn over.[49–51,67] Presumably glutamate 2,3-aminomutase and arginine 2,3-aminomutase, which are closely related to LAM, operate very similarly.

The key step in all these reactions is abstraction by Ado• of a non-acidic hydrogen atom from the substrate which is thus activated towards rearrangement. This step is best understood for AdoCbl enzymes, for which it has been shown that hydrogen abstraction is coupled to homolysis of the coenzyme cobalt-carbon bond.[56–58] Thus the energetic cost of forming Ado• is offset, in part, by forming a much more stable substrate-based radical. In

an interesting experiment using a ribonucleotide reductase in which the catalytic cysteine residue was mutated, it was shown using AdoCbl stereospecifically deuterium-labeled at the 5'-carbon that epimerization could occur in the presence of an allosteric activator, thus providing evidence for the transient formation of Ado•.[68] Detailed studies involving the measurement of pre-steady state kinetic isotope effects and computational experiments on methylmalonyl-CoA mutase and glutamate mutase have demonstrated that hydrogen transfer between the substrate and Ado• occurs through quantum tunneling rather than classical motion, [69–72] as is increasingly observed for many enzymes catalyzing hydrogen transfer reactions.[73]

Once formed, the mechanisms by which the substrate radicals rearrange are dependent on the nature of the migrating chemical group. The carbon skeleton rearranging enzymes have attracted particular interest as these reactions have no ready counterparts in conventional organic chemistry. For the reactions catalyzed by methylmalonyl-CoA mutase,[14,74] isobutyryl-CoA mutase [75] and 2-methyleneglutarate mutase [9,76] the inter-conversion of substrate and product radicals can occur through a cyclopropylcarbenyl radical intermediate (figure 4), a mechanism supported by model chemical reactions.[77,78] In contrast, the rearrangement catalyzed by glutamate mutase [18,19,79] cannot occur through this type of intermediate and it has been shown experimentally that the mechanism involves fragmentation of the glutamyl radical to form a glycyl radical and acrylate followed by recombination to form the methylaspartyl radical.[80]

The aminomutases, whether AdoMet or AdoCbl-dependent, require pyridoxal phosphate as a cofactor; the best understood is LAM, the mechanism for which is shown in figure 5. EPR experiments on LAM employing isotopically-labeled substrates and substrate analogs of lysine that preferentially stabilize the different radicals formed during the course of the rearrangement have allowed each of the substrate radical species proposed in the mechanism to be identified.[81–83] During the reaction, the α -amino group of lysine forms an external aldimine with pyridoxal phosphate,[84] rendering the nitrogen sp^2 hybridized. This allows the 1,2-nitrogen migration to occur through a cyclic azacyclopropylcarbinyl radical intermediate transition state in which nitrogen is bonded to both C-2 and C-3 of lysine and the unpaired electron is situated on the 4'-carbon of pyridoxal and stabilized by the adjacent π system.

The AdoCbl-dependent diol dehydratase, glycerol dehydratase, ethanolamine deaminase [13,22,66,85] catalyze elimination reactions that first involve a 1,2-migration of $-OH$ or $-NH_3^+$ followed by dehydration or deamination of the resulting 1,1-diols or amino-alcohol to give aldehydes.[86] Here it appears that the charge state of the migrating oxygen or nitrogen atom is important, with theoretical studies pointing to a favorable migration pathway involving a positively charged cyclic transition state structure (figure 6).[87,88] The amino group of ethanolamine, of course, is readily protonated, whereas diol dehydratase contains a potassium ion in the active site to which the hydroxyl groups of the substrate coordinate that, presumably, can supply the necessary positive charge. A similar mechanism can be drawn for the deamination reaction catalyzed by the radical AdoMet enzyme DesII (figure 6) as part of the biosynthesis of amino-sugar D-desosamine.[52] Recent labeling studies [67] suggest that AdoMet may function catalytically in the deamination reaction which involves no change in the oxidation state of the substrate.

As mentioned above, the rearrangement mechanisms of several of these enzymes have been investigated using computational techniques.[89–93] These support the energetic feasibility of the proposed reaction pathways and have also highlighted the importance of the protonation state of the functional groups adjacent to organic radicals in stabilizing the various intermediates.

The reaction catalyzed by spore photoproduct lyase stands out as looking quite different from the reactions catalyzed by the adenosyl radical dependent isomerases.[47] The enzyme requires only a catalytic quantity of AdoMet for activity and transfer of tritium from AdoMet to thymine has been demonstrated, supporting a catalytic role for Ado• in this reaction.[49,50] A plausible mechanism, shown in figure 7, involves abstraction of the C-6 hydrogen from the thymine dimer, which then undergoes fragmentation to generate one thymine and the thymine monomer radical. Transfer of hydrogen back from 5'-dA forms the second thymine and regenerates Ado•.

The stereochemistry and kinetics of the reaction have also recently been investigated using “minimal” dinucleotide substrate comprising the crosslinked thymine dimer, and it was shown that the enzyme specifically repairs the (5*R*)-stereoisomer of the spore photoproduct.[46,94,95] However, with this substrate the mechanism appears to be altered. AdoMet appears to be consumed stoichiometrically, no transfer of deuterium between AdoMet and thymine was observed, and there is a significant contribution from the uncoupled reaction of AdoMet with the enzyme.[96] The source of the hydrogen atom in the resolved thymine dimer product is unclear. Possibly, this redirection of radical chemistry arises because the small, un-natural substrate is incorrectly oriented in the active site.

Lastly we note that AdoCbl-dependent ribonucleotide reductase is unique among B₁₂ enzymes in *not* catalyzing an isomerization. Here AdoCbl is used to reversibly generate an active site cysteinyl residue.[68] The cysteine residue, in turn, abstracts the 3'-H adjacent to the site of reduction and this activates the 2'-OH to become a good leaving group (figure 8). In this respect the chemistry is very similar to that for the 1,2-migration of -OH catalyzed by diol dehydratase. Indeed, this essential radical chemistry, including the cysteinyl radical, is conserved in both the aerobic tyrosyl radical-dependent and anaerobic glycyl radical-dependent ribonucleotide reductases.[97–99]

5. Irreversible reactions of Adenosyl radical

These constitute a much larger mechanistic class of Ado•-dependent reactions that are solely AdoMet-dependent. One reason for this may be that AdoMet is much less expensive, metabolically, to synthesize than AdoCbl and thus better suited for reactions in which it is consumed as a reagent. These enzymes catalyze a remarkable variety of reactions in which Ado• functions as a powerful oxidizing agent. To facilitate our discussion we have grouped them according to the type of chemical reactions they catalyze.

5.1 Glycyl radical-generating enzymes

Mechanistically, these are the simplest radical AdoMet reactions. These enzymes use Ado• to abstract a hydrogen atom from a glycine residue on the protein backbone of a cognate member of the glycyl radical family of enzymes, thereby generating a glycyl radical that is required in the catalytic mechanism of the enzyme. The glycyl residue provides a “storage” site for the radical; during catalysis it is transferred to an active site cysteine residue and thence to the substrate. So far, all the glycyl radical enzymes identified catalyze various reactions, shown in figure 9, that enable bacteria to grow under anaerobic conditions.[100]

Pyruvate formate-lyase (PFL) was the first discovered and is the best characterized member; it catalyzes the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA and formate during the fermentation of glucose.[24,101] Anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase [101–103] is required by *E. coli* for anaerobic growth since the aerobic enzyme requires oxygen to generate the tyrosyl radical needed for activity. Benzylsuccinate synthase catalyzes an unusual radical addition reaction of toluene to the double bond of fumarate as the first step in the fermentation of toluene by various sulfate and nitrate reducing bacteria.[104–107] 4-hydroxyphenylacetate

decarboxylase catalyzes the formation of *p*-cresol in various *Clostridia*. [100] Lastly, glycerol dehydratase catalyzes the conversion of glycerol to 3-hydroxypropanal, interestingly the same reaction is also catalyzed by an AdoCbl-dependent enzyme. [108,109] This, again, highlights the interchangeability of the mechanisms for generating radicals.

The paradigm for the radical-generating partner enzyme is PFL-activase, experiments on which established many features of the mechanism common to radical-AdoMet enzymes; [45,110,111] most recently the crystal structure has been solved. [41] Studies from Knappe's laboratory initially showed that short peptides encompassing the sequence containing the catalytic glycine residue were substrates for the activase. [25,112] This suggested that the glycyl radical resides on a mobile loop that can interact with both the activase and active site of their cognate enzyme. The activases appear to be specific to their corresponding enzymes – for example, the PFL and anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase enzymes from *E. coli* do not show cross reactivity – and allows the activity of the parent enzyme to be regulated by the cognate activase.

5.2 Sulfur-inserting enzymes

Four radical-AdoMet enzymes are now known that catalyze reactions involving the insertion of sulfur atom(s) into C-H bonds during the biosynthesis of biotin, lipoic acid and in the modification of tRNA and ribosomal proteins (figure 10). The best characterized is biotin synthase (BioB), which catalyzes the formation of biotin from dethiobiotin as the last step in biotin biosynthesis. [10] The reaction, shown in figure 11, takes place in two steps whereby adenosyl radicals generated from AdoMet are used to oxidize first the terminal carbon and then the internal carbon of dethiobiotin. [113] The organic radicals that are generated as intermediates react with sulfur to form the carbon-sulfur bonds. [114] The sulfur atom is supplied by a separate [2Fe-2S] cluster in the enzyme; [115, 116] this destroys the cluster so that, *in vitro* at least, the enzyme never undergoes more than one turn-over. However, it is reported that *in vivo* the enzyme can undergo multiple turn-overs so that, presumably, the cluster is rebuilt by intrinsic cysteine desulfurases. [117]

Lipoyl synthase catalyzes the formation of lipoyl acyl carrier protein from octanoyl acyl carrier protein (figure 10) by an analogous mechanism to biotin synthase. [7, 118] However, in this case two sulfur atoms are inserted. The sulfur donor is thought to be a second [4Fe-4S] cluster present in the enzyme, with both sulfur atoms coming from the same protein. [119, 120] The sulfur atoms appear to be inserted in a step-wise manner with the C-6 sulfur inserted first, [121] although there is some contradictory evidence in the literature. [7]

MiaB catalyzes the methylthiolation of a hypermodified adenosine base, i^6A , (figure 10) [122–124] found in tRNA to yield 2-methylthio- N^6 -isopentenyladenosine (ms^2i^6A). Apart from the interesting chemistry, which is not well understood, it is significant in occurring in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (wheat germ). [125] In a few bacteria, such as *Salmonella typhimurium* and in the wheat germ, the product 2-methylthio- N^6 -isopentenyladenosine is further hydroxylated by an enzyme MiaE [126] to yield 2-methylthio- N^6 -(*cis*-hydroxyisopentenyl) adenosine (ms^2io^6A) using O_2 as the oxidant, providing the first clue that radical AdoMet enzymes may also function under aerobic conditions. [127]

The most recently discovered sulfur-inserting enzyme is RimO. [128] It catalyzes the methylthiolation of Asp-88 of the ribosomal protein S12 (figure 10), a unique post-translational modification that occurs in *E. coli* and several other bacteria. RimO has extensive sequence similarity to MiaB, suggesting a closely related mechanism, but little else is known about the enzyme.

Lastly, radical AdoMet enzymes also play a role in the biosynthesis of the Fe-Fe hydrogenase cofactor. Various microbes possess hydrogenases that allow them to metabolize hydrogen, either as a source of electrons or as an electron sink during fermentation or photosynthesis.[129] One class of enzymes, the Fe-Fe hydrogenase, contains an unusual metallo-cofactor (figure 12) in which a [4Fe-4S] cluster is linked via a bridging cysteine residue to a di-nuclear iron cluster. The ligands to the di-iron cluster are CN and CO and an unusual dithiol bridging ligand -S-CH₂-X-CH₂-S- that was discovered when the x-ray structure of the protein was solved;[130] 'X' might be CH₂, NH or O, the exact chemical composition remains unclear.

Three maturation proteins are required for the assembly of the di-iron cluster, HydE, HydF and HydG. Two of these, HydE and HydG, are radical-AdoMet enzymes [131] whereas hydF is a GTPase.[132] The x-ray structure of HydE has recently been determined, confirming its identity as a radical AdoMet enzyme with a fold similar to biotin synthase. [38] However, the precursors for the di-thiolate ligand and the substrate for HydE remain unknown. It has been generally assumed that HydE and HydG are involved in sulfur insertion chemistry akin to that catalyzed by biotin synthase, which is why we discuss them here. However, it has recently been shown that HydG can catalyze the cleavage of tyrosine to give dehydroglycine,[133] a reaction that also occurs in the biosynthesis of thiamine pyrophosphate (discussed below). On the basis of this observation it was suggested that dehydroglycine could be a precursor to the dithiolate ligand, if 'X' were NH. Further studies on this intriguing metallo-cofactor will hopefully clarify its biosynthesis.

5.3 2-electron oxidation reactions

Several enzymes are known that catalyze "simple" 2-electron oxidations using AdoMet and an electron acceptor. Interestingly, these enzymes have all been identified through sequence similarities that placed them in the radical AdoMet superfamily. Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase (HemN) catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of the two propionate groups of the A and B rings of coproporphyrinogen III to form protoporphyrinogen IX, shown in figure 12, in anaerobic organisms [134] as part of the heme anaerobic biosynthetic pathway (in aerobic organisms an oxygen-dependent enzyme is used). It is the best characterized member of this group and its crystal structure has been solved.[39] The mechanism involves abstraction of hydrogen from the β -position of the propionate side chain, to form a radical that is stabilized by conjugation to the π -system of the pyrrole ring and which has been observed by EPR.[135] Further oxidation of this radical by a one-electron acceptor, the physiological electron acceptor is as yet unknown, would yield an allylic carbocation that would facilitate the decarboxylation and formation of the vinyl group (figure 12). Also plausible is fragmentation of the radical to form the vinyl group and formate radical that is subsequently oxidized to CO₂.

Formylglycine synthase (AtsB) [136–138] catalyzes the post-translational oxidation of a conserved cysteine or serine to the corresponding aldehyde to form formylglycine in sulfatase enzymes that hydrolyze sulfate esters (figure 13). Again, this enzyme is the anaerobic counterpart of an oxygen-dependent enzyme that operates under aerobic conditions. In solution the aldehyde is predominately hydrated and nucleophilic attack of one of the hydroxyl groups on the sulfate ester results in transfer of the sulfate group to the enzyme; subsequent elimination of the sulfate occurs to regenerate the formyl group. The mechanism of AtsB is proposed to involve hydrogen abstraction from the β -carbon of serine by Ado• and subsequent one electron oxidation to the aldehyde (figure 13), although again the electron acceptor for the second step is not known.

The most recently discovered member of this class of enzymes is BtrN, an enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of 2-deoxy-scyllo-inosamine to 2-deoxystreptamine during the

biosynthesis of the aminoglycoside antibiotic butirocin. This reaction involves the oxidation of a sugar hydroxyl group to a ketone, in a reaction that is mechanistically similar to formylglycine synthase. [139]

5.4 Radical methylation reactions

An increasing number of natural products are now known that contain methyl groups that are introduced by radical AdoMet enzymes; examples include fosfomycin, bialaphos and fortimycin A (figure 14).[5] These enzymes do not use AdoMet in its conventional methylating role: the sites of methylation are either unreactive carbon atoms or electrophilic sites, rather than nucleophilic sites required to react with the electrophilic methyl group of AdoMet. Much of the evidence for these reactions involving radical AdoMet enzymes is indirect and is built on a combination of sequence comparisons, feeding of labeled precursors and genetic knock-out and complementation experiments. For the natural products listed above it appears that MeCbl is the source of the methyl group.[140–142]

Their mechanisms are not yet well characterized, but a reasonable working model was first proposed for the methylation of hydroxyethyl phosphonate catalyzed by Fom3 in the fosfomycin.[143] The first step involves removal of a hydrogen atom at the site of methylation by $\text{Ado}^\bullet$ generated from AdoMet. Next, transfer of the methyl group from MeCbl to the substrate occurs to give product and cob(II)alamin (figure 14). Note that this formally involves transfer of a methyl *radical*. This reaction is quite different from other methyl transfer reactions catalyzed by MeCbl, AdoMet or methyl-tetrahydrofolate dependent enzymes, all of which formally transfer methyl cations. This leaves the problem of re-methylation of cob(II)alamin if the reaction is to be catalytic (it is not known whether cobalamin serves as a cofactor or a substrate in these enzymes). This could be accomplished by reductive methylation of cob(II)alamin by AdoMet, as has been established for the “repair” reaction of cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase when cob(I)alamin is inadvertently oxidized to cob(II)alamin.[30]

5.6 Radical AdoMet enzymes in thiamine biosynthesis

The biosynthesis of thiamine pyrophosphate proceeds through an intriguing set of reactions that differ between bacteria, plants and fungi.[144] The 4-amino-5-hydroxymethyl-2-methylpyrimidine pyrophosphate (HMP-PP) and 5-hydroxyethyl-4-methylthiazole phosphate (THZ-P) moieties of thiamine are synthesized separately and coupled together to form the complete cofactor (figure 15). Two radical AdoMet enzymes, ThiH [145–147] and ThiC [28,29] are involved in the biosynthesis of thiamine. Interestingly, neither of these enzymes undergoes more than one turn-over under *in vitro* conditions; unlike the sulfur-inserting enzymes there is no reason to think that reaction involves destruction of the enzyme and it has been suggested that severe product inhibition by 5'-dA may be responsible for this phenomenon.[148]

In *E. coli*, under anaerobic conditions, ThiH, catalyzes the reaction of tyrosine and AdoMet to give dehydroglycine, 4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol, 5'dA and methionine, as shown in figure 15. The dehydroglycine formed in these reactions serve as one of the precursors for the THZ-P moiety.[145, 146] The reaction is proposed to occur by fragmentation of a tyrosyl radical, initially generated by reaction with $\text{Ado}^\bullet$, to form a glycy radical (figure 15), a mechanistic feature common to the rearrangement of glutamate catalyzed by AdoCbl-dependent glutamate mutase.[80] The glycy radical is further oxidized to dehydroglycine (the immediate electron acceptor is unknown), whereas the quinone methide initially formed reacts rapidly with water so that the final product is 4-hydroxybenzyl alcohol. ThiH functions as a complex with ThiG, the enzyme responsible for thiazole ring formation; this

may help insure that dehydroglycine, which is unstable, is efficiently incorporated into THZ-P.

ThiC is involved in the synthesis of the HMP-PP precursor to thiamine pyrophosphate, and was recently demonstrated to be a radical-AdoMet enzyme.[29,149] The enzyme catalyzes the conversion of 5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide (AIR) to HMP. As figure 15 illustrates, this is one of the most enigmatic enzymatic transformations known. Labeling studies established that the C-2' carbon of the ribose is reduced to the methyl oxidation state and ends up as the methyl group at the C-2 position on the aminoimidazole, and that the C-4' carbon of the ribose is inserted into the C-4-C-5 double bond of the aminoimidazole to generate the aminopyrimidine. The methyl hydrogens derive from the C-2' hydrogen, the C-3' hydrogen and from the buffer. The fate of the two carbon atoms that are lost from AIR is currently unclear, as is the stoichiometry of the reaction with respect to AdoMet. About 3 molecules of AdoMet are consumed for each HMP synthesized, suggesting that more than one AdoMet molecule may be required for the reaction, but the extent to which uncoupling of 5'-dA formation occurs (a common problem in radical AdoMet enzymes) is unclear. There is also an intriguing report that a protein-based radical is formed during the ThiC reaction.[149] An x-ray structure of ThiC has recently been solved, demonstrating that, like other radical AdoMet enzymes, its core domain is a TIM barrel structure with high structural similarity to biotin synthase.[29] A full understanding of the mechanism of this unique reaction must await further studies.

6. Radical SAM enzymes in aerobic organisms

Due to the oxygen lability of their unique [4Fe-4S] clusters and high reactivity of organic radicals towards dioxygen, the radical AdoMet enzymes were once thought to be only present in anaerobic organisms. For example, the AdoCbl-dependent ribonucleotide reductase is found in both aerobic and anaerobic organisms; whereas glycyl radical-dependent ribonucleotide reductase is only found in organisms growing under strictly anaerobic conditions.[150] This view has been challenged by recent discoveries that genes encoding some radical AdoMet enzymes are present in both bacteria and aerobic eukaryotes, including humans, although as yet no radical AdoMet enzymes have been biochemically characterized from aerobically growing organisms. Here we highlight four radical AdoMet enzymes that appear to be involved in mammalian metabolism.

6.1 Molybdenum Cofactor biosynthesis

Molybdenum is an essential trace metal that is bound as molybdopterin. In humans, disruption of the molybdopterin biosynthetic pathway leads to pleiotropic loss of molybdoenzymes and afflicted patients usually die shortly after birth. In the first step, shown in figure 16, of the biosynthesis of molybdopterin, GTP is converted to precursor Z through the action of two enzymes MoaA (MOCS1A in humans), which is a radical AdoMet enzyme, and MoaC.[42, 151, 152] Biochemical and X-ray crystallographic studies have demonstrated the presence of two [4Fe-4S] clusters in MoaA.[42] The N-terminal cluster binds AdoMet whereas the C-terminal cluster is the GTP binding site. Substrate binding is proposed to bring the ribose moiety into the proximity of Ado[•] generated through reductive cleavage of AdoMet. However, our understanding of the mechanism by which the enzyme catalyzes this very unusual carbon skeleton rearrangement (which has some general similarity to the ThiC reaction) is minimal; it is unclear whether hydrogen abstraction occurs at either C-2' or C-3' of ribose moiety or at guanine C-8.[152] Nevertheless, it appears highly likely that both prokaryotes and eukaryotes use similar versions of the enzyme that requires radical AdoMet chemistry.

6.2 Wybutosine biosynthesis and TYW1

TYW1 is a radical AdoMet enzyme in the modification of eukaryotic phenylalanine tRNA as part of the wybutosine (yW) biosynthetic pathway (figure 17).[153] yW is a tricyclic nucleoside found at the 3'-position adjacent to the anticodon of eukaryotic tRNA^{phe}. It is believed to enhance codon-anticodon recognition via increased stacking interaction due to the tricyclic aromatic ring. Its absence may result in increased frame-shifting during translation.[154] yW is missing from tRNA^{phe} in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infected cells; the resulting enhanced frame-shifting is utilized by the HIV virus to synthesize the virus Gag-Pol polyprotein.[155] The Pol protein is the precursor for a number of critical HIV enzymes, including the reverse transcriptase that is essential for the virus activity.[156–158]

TYW1 catalyzes the formation of the third ring in yW through the reaction of N¹-methylguanine with an unknown substrate “X”.[153] Recently, the crystal structures of two archaeal TYW1 homologues were solved.[159,160] Similar to MoaA, TYW1 was found to contain two iron-sulfur clusters. One cluster is the AdoMet-coordinating [4Fe-4S] cluster; however the nature of the second cluster is uncertain. In one structure it is a [4Fe-4S] cluster, whereas in the other it is a [2Fe-2S] cluster. Despite the structural information, our understanding of this enzyme is at a rudimentary stage and mechanistic studies are needed to uncover the mechanism of this unusual nucleotide modification.

6.3 Elp3 subunit

Another candidate for a eukaryotic radical AdoMet protein is the Epl3 subunit, which is one of six subunits of the elongator complex that forms part of the eukaryotic transcription machinery.[161,162] Elp3 contains a well-documented C-terminal histone acetyltransferase (HAT) domain,[163] but in addition to this, a second putative AdoMet domain was recently proposed in this protein.[27] Biochemical studies of an archaeal homolog of Epl3 (from *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*) confirmed that the CX₄CX₂C motif harbors a [4Fe-4S] cluster and that the protein is able to reductively cleave AdoMet to generate the 5'-deoxyadenosine in the presence of dithionite.[27] These results suggest that Epl3 could be a radical AdoMet enzyme, even though the biological function of the radical chemistry is unclear.

Elp 3 is well conserved from archaea to humans with more than 40% identity between archaeal and eukaryotic sequences, thus the eukaryotic Epl3s are likely to be radical AdoMet enzymes as well. This raises an intriguing question regarding the sequence motif used to identify potential radical-AdoMet enzymes. The bacterial Elp3 sequences (e.g. *E. coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Clostridium tetani*) contain the established AdoMet CX₃CX₂C motif; while the archaeal enzyme has a CX₄CX₂C motif. As revealed by x-ray structural studies, the CX₃CX₂C motif is often located on a flexible loop that should readily accommodate one more amino acid without causing dramatic structural change. Therefore, it is not unreasonable that CX₄CX₂C motif also initiates radical AdoMet chemistry, as is the case for ThiC.[29] However, eukaryotic (*S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe* and human) Epl3s contain a CX₉CX₂C sequence. [27] This suggests that there may be considerable flexibility in the spacing between at least the first two cysteines of the tri-cysteine motif that provide the protein ligands to the [4Fe-4S] cluster and that the potential family of radical AdoMet enzymes may be even larger than currently thought.

6.4 Viperin

A tentatively identified member of the human radical AdoMet enzymes is a cellular protein named viperin, discovered through studies on hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection.[164] Viperin can also be induced by other viruses such as human cytomegalovirus, VSV, dengue

virus, yellow fever virus, human polyomavirus JC, and HCV,[164–167] suggesting it is part of a general response to viral infection. HCV infection is a common cause of chronic hepatitis and is currently treated with alpha interferon (IFN-alpha)-based therapies. Among the 29 interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) induced by IFN-alpha, viperin was found to inhibit the replication of HCV through an unknown reaction mechanism. Sequence analysis identified the protein contains a CX₃CX₂C motif, and mutation of any cysteine within this motif abolishes the protein's anti-viral activity. These results have led to the suggestion that viperin could be a radical AdoMet enzyme. However, its possible substrates and mode of action currently remain completely unknown.

7 The problem of oxygen sensitivity and solutions to it

All the radical AdoMet enzymes so far characterized are extremely oxygen sensitive, primarily because the partially exposed [4Fe-4S] cluster is particularly unstable and easily oxidized. Thus the discovery of genes encoding radical AdoMet enzymes in aerobic organisms was surprising and suggests that Nature must have adopted some special strategies to allow radical AdoMet enzymes to function in aerobically respiring cells. Although not much is known at this point on radical AdoMet enzymes, investigations on the reaction of other iron-sulfur proteins with oxygen have provided some insight into the unusual stability of iron-sulfur proteins in aerobic cells.

7.1 Redox properties of reactive oxygen species (ROS)

O₂ exists predominantly in the triplet state, which is relatively inert. The reduction potential for reducing O₂ to superoxide (O₂^{•−}) is −330 mV,[168] which is quite unfavorable but higher than the reduction potentials of the [4Fe-4S]²⁺ clusters in radical AdoMet enzymes (E° = −430 mV ~ −500 mV).[60,124,169] Therefore the reduced [4Fe-4S]⁺ clusters in radical AdoMet enzymes can be oxidized by O₂ and thus return to their inactive [4Fe-4S]²⁺ state. Although this reaction results in uncoupling of the formation of Ado[•] from the consumption of the physiological reductant, it does not destroy the cluster and irreversibly inactivate the enzyme.

O₂ could effect further one-electron oxidation to generate the [4Fe-4S]³⁺ cluster, which is very unstable and readily decomposes to a [3Fe-4S]⁺ cluster, releasing a Fe²⁺ ion (Equation 1). Indeed, such a reaction is used by various transcription factors, such as SoxR and FNR (fumarate and nitrate reduction regulatory protein), that sense cellular redox status.[170] FNR in *E. Coli* controls the expression of more than 100 genes, particularly those that function in anaerobic respiration.[171] In an O₂-limited environment, FNR binds a [4Fe-4S]²⁺ cluster and promotes the formation of a transcriptionally active dimer. Upon exposure to O₂, it is converted into the non-DNA binding monomer form with the cluster degraded to a [2Fe-2S]²⁺ state via a [3Fe-4S]⁺ intermediate.[172, 173] This oxidation reaction is relatively slow, with a second-order rate constant of ≈300 M^{−1}s^{−1} at 25 °C.[174]

In contrast, the reactions of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide with iron-sulfur clusters are much faster and thus present much more of a problem. As shown in equations (2) and (3), these reactions are proton-coupled electron transfer processes. The high reduction potentials of these oxidants mean that the reactions are thermodynamically favored and both species are capable of irreversibly oxidizing [4Fe-4S]²⁺ clusters to [3Fe-4S]⁺ clusters, releasing Fe²⁺.

Kinetically, these reactions are favored as well. For example, at 25°C superoxide oxidizes the [4Fe-4S] clusters in *E. coli* fumarase A, fumarase B and mammalian aconitase very rapidly, $k_2 = 10^6$ to $10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [175] and H_2O_2 destroys the [4Fe-4S] cluster in *E. coli* dehydratases with a rate constant of $0.5 - 1 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [176]. Similarly rapid oxidation of the clusters in radical AdoMet enzymes would certainly occur *in vivo* if $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ and H_2O_2 are not removed quickly.

7.2 Potential strategies to combat oxidative degradation of radical AdoMet enzymes

7.2.1. Blocking O_2 access to the [4Fe-4S] cluster—As described above, molecular oxygen itself is not very reactive. It appears to require a direct interaction with the [4Fe-4S] cluster before electron transfer occurs. Consequently, blocking O_2 access could greatly improve the cluster stability. For example, in *E. Coli* FNR Cys-23, or the iron atom it ligates, is suggested to be a primary target of O_2 . Mutating the adjacent Ser- 24 to a bulky phenylalanine residue partially blocked O_2 access and, consequently, the oxidation of the $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4]^{2+}$ cluster to the $[\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4]^+$ cluster was slowed down by 4- to 5-fold.[177]

A similar strategy may be adopted by Nature to protect the [4Fe-4S] clusters in radical AdoMet enzymes. The open coordination site of a [4Fe-4S] cluster is even more vulnerable to oxidation by reactive oxygen species in these enzymes. Not surprisingly, purification in air always leads to the formation of $[\text{Fe}_3\text{S}_4]^+$ or $[\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_2]^{2+}$ clusters in these enzymes. However, a recent Mossbauer study on pyruvate formate-lyase activating enzyme found that after a 2-hour over-expression in *E. coli* under aerobic conditions, ~44% of the iron in the cells was in the [4Fe-4S] cluster form, although cluster decomposition products including $[\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_2]$ clusters and non-cluster Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions also existed.[178] The existence of such large amounts of $[\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_4]^{2+}$ in the whole cells is surprising. It was speculated that the cluster may be protected against oxidative damage by coordination of a small molecule, possibly AMP.

7.2.2.. Rapid removal of $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ and H_2O_2 —To combat the more reactive species, $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ or H_2O_2 , all aerobic organisms maintain high concentrations of superoxide dismutase, which catalyzes the disproportionation of ($\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$) to O_2 and H_2O_2 , catalase, which catalyzes the further disproportionation of H_2O_2 to O_2 and H_2O , and peroxidases, which reduces H_2O_2 to water. The importance of these scavenging enzymes is illustrated by the fact that *E. coli* strains devoid of cytoplasmic superoxide dismutase showed a variety of growth defects derived from endogenous ($\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$) under aerobic conditions, [179,180] whereas catalase or peroxidase mutants die rapidly due to the accumulation of micromolar levels of H_2O_2 inside the cell. [176,181]

7.2.3. Specialized cluster assembly pathways—The *in vivo* Fe-S cluster assembly pathways may also contribute to the ability of radical AdoMet enzymes to function under aerobic conditions. *In vitro*, [4Fe-4S] clusters can be regenerated by incubation of enzymes with ferrous iron and sulfide in the presence of a reductant, such as dithiothreitol. *In vivo*, however, Fe-S clusters biosynthesis requires a surprisingly large number of assembly enzymes.[182–186] To date, three bacterial assembly pathways, namely, the Isc (iron-sulfur cluster), Suf (sulfur formation), and Nif (nitrogen fixation) have been identified.

[184,187,188] However, the phylogenetic distribution of these systems is complex. For instance, *E. coli* strains contain both *Isc* and *Suf* pathways; while organisms such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* as well as some archaea only possess the *Suf* pathway.[184] *Nif* is dedicated to cluster maturation of nitrogenase in nitrogen-fixing bacteria [189,190] and thus is unlikely to be involved in cluster biosynthesis in radical AdoMet enzymes.

Isc and *Suf* pathways can function under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, although in the latter case the expression of both operons is repressed.[191] This suggests that damage and subsequent turnover of Fe-S clusters during oxygenic growth is responsible for the bulk of the demand for the *de novo* Fe-S cluster biosynthesis.[184] In *E. coli*, *Isc* is the housekeeping Fe-S cluster assembly pathway and is likely responsible for all *de novo* Fe-S cluster biosynthesis under normal growth conditions. Indeed, *in vitro* the *IscA* protein was shown to transfer preassembled Fe-S clusters to biotin synthase [192] and co-expression of a plasmid encoding the *Isc* operon from *E. coli* increases the yield of holo-lipoate synthase and ThiC by 3–4 times.[29,119,193] As discussed below, some *Isc* homologues appear to be dedicated to radical AdoMet protein bio-assembly in eukaryotes.[183,184,188,194]

The *Suf* pathway could also contribute to the *de novo* cluster biosynthesis in radical AdoMet enzymes, as demonstrated by *in vitro* studies that showed *SufA* protein can insert a [4Fe-4S] cluster into biotin synthase [185,192,195]. Although the *Suf* pathway plays a minor role in *E. coli* under normal grow conditions, it becomes critical in Fe-S bio-assembly during oxidative stress.[196,197] Thus after deletion of both *Isc* and *Suf* genes, *E. coli* strains supplemented with *Suf* genes grew as well as wild type bacteria upon exposure to H₂O₂, [184,198] whereas strains supplemented with *Isc* genes showed significant growth defects. Thus the *Suf* pathway could be important for maintaining the Fe-S clusters in radical AdoMet enzymes during oxidative stress, although no detailed studies have been carried out to test this hypothesis.

Both *Isc* and *Suf* pathways are conserved in eukaryotic cells, although the latter is only present in plastids.[199] *Isc* is found in mitochondria and is involved in the biogenesis of virtually all cellular Fe-S proteins. Even for the cytosolic Fe-S proteins, a currently unknown precursor “X” is exported from the mitochondria to the cytosol via the mitochondrial ISC export apparatus before the cluster is transferred by the cytosolic Fe-S protein assembly machinery into the holo protein.[182,183,200] Recently, a novel member of the mitochondrial ISC assembly system, Iba57p (also termed Caf17), was discovered. By interacting with *Isc* proteins *Isa1* and *Isa2*, it specifically incorporates Fe-S clusters into aconitase and homoaconitase as well as the radical AdoMet proteins biotin synthase and lipoic acid synthase.[183,194] Deletion of Iba57p affects only these four proteins in yeast mitochondria, suggesting Iba57p is a dedicated maturation factor for aconitase and radical AdoMet enzymes. As Iba57p, *Isa1* and *Isa2* are conserved in most eukaryotes, as well as some bacterial strains, this Iba57/*Isa* complex may represent a universal Fe-S assembly machinery specifically for radical AdoMet enzymes.

7.2.4. In vivo cluster re-assembly after oxidative stress is removed—The mechanisms discussed above represent potential protective strategies that Nature may adopt to stabilize the radical AdoMet enzymes. Additionally, efficient cluster repair mechanisms may also mitigate oxidative stress. For example, when cellular oxidative stress is removed, the intra-cellular activities of aconitase and 6-phosphogluconate dehydratase, both of which contain oxygen sensitive Fe-S clusters, rebound to their initial levels in 3–5 minutes even when protein synthesis is blocked, implying there is a rapid *in vivo* protein repair mechanism.[179,201,202] Similarly, as discussed above, exposure of *E. coli* FNR protein to oxygen converts the FNR [4Fe-4S]²⁺ cluster to a [2Fe-2S]²⁺ cluster, a process that decreases DNA binding by FNR. However, once *E. coli* is switched back into an anaerobic

environment, a significant amount of $[4\text{Fe-4S}]^{2+}$ cluster is regenerated in the cell as observed by Mossbauer spectroscopy.[203] A similar observation was made for PFL activase in *E. coli*. Prolonged incubation of cells containing the over-expressed protein under N_2 gas converted all the $[2\text{Fe-2S}]$ clusters and non-cluster Fe back to the $[4\text{Fe-4S}]$ cluster form.[178] We speculate that the Fe-S assembly machinery may play a role in the cluster regeneration/repair processes as well, however, the mechanistic details remain unknown.

Overall, although no radical AdoMet enzymes have been biochemically characterized from higher eukaryotes, there is strong evidence that these enzymes are active in aerobic organisms, including ourselves! It is likely that evolutionary pressure has selected for eukaryotic radical AdoMet enzymes that are less oxygen sensitive than the bacterial enzymes so far characterized. It seems plausible that this could be achieved by a combination of effective scavenging of *intra*-cellular superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, an efficient Fe-S cluster assembly and repair machinery, and protection by the protein of the O_2 -labile site.

8. Summary and outlook

The adenosyl radical serves two biochemical functions: as a reactive catalyst of radical-mediated rearrangement and elimination reactions, and as a powerful one-electron oxidant. AdoCbl-dependent enzymes use $\text{Ado}^\bullet$ exclusively as a catalyst whereas radical AdoMet enzymes use $\text{Ado}^\bullet$ mainly as an oxidant. The scope of the reactions catalyzed by AdoCbl-dependent enzymes is quite narrow, found primarily in metabolic pathways concerned with bacterial fermentation of various carbon sources. In contrast, radical AdoMet enzymes are seen to catalyze an increasingly wide range of oxidative reactions in secondary metabolic pathways, many of which involve the biosynthesis of enzyme cofactors.

The challenges for this field include elucidating the mechanisms of these newly-discovered radical AdoMet enzymes, some of which, for example ThiC, catalyze astonishingly complex transformations. The prospect that radical AdoMet enzymes function in animals is exciting; the challenge here will be to definitively show that any of these putative radical AdoMet enzyme are both expressed and active in higher eukaryotes. One final question is whether there are other AdoCbl-dependent reactions remaining to be discovered. The availability of genome sequences and bioinformatic techniques were pivotal in uncovering new radical AdoMet reactions. Will similar analyses using the known AdoCbl enzyme sequences identify new members of this select group of enzymes?

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the National Institutes of Health, the National Science Foundation and the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund which support research projects in the authors' laboratories. D.P.P. acknowledges the support of NIH funded Chemistry Biology Interface training grant T32 GM008597

References

1. Wang SC, Frey PA. Trends Biochem Sci 2007;32:101–110. [PubMed: 17291766]
2. Brown KL. Chem Rev 2005;105:2075–2149. [PubMed: 15941210]
3. Duschene KS, Veneziano SE, Silver SC, Broderick JB. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2009;13:74–83. [PubMed: 19269883]
4. Booker SJ. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2009;13:58–73. [PubMed: 19297239]
5. Frey PA, Hegeman AD, Ruzicka FJ. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 2008;43:63–88. [PubMed: 18307109]
6. Marquet A, Bui BTS, Smith AG, Warren MJ. Natural Product Rep 2007;24:1027–1040.

7. Booker SJ, Cicchillo RM, Grove TL. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2007;11:543–552. [PubMed: 17936058]
8. Frey PA, Hegerman AD, Reed GH. *Chem Rev* 2006;106:3302–3316. [PubMed: 16895329]
9. Buckel W, Golding BT. *Ann Rev Microbiol* 2006;60:27–49. [PubMed: 16704345]
10. Jarrett JT. *Chem Biol* 2005;12:409–410. [PubMed: 15850974]
11. Marsh ENG, Huhta MS, Patwardhan A. *Bioorganic Chem* 2004;32:326–340.
12. Matthews RG. *Metal Ions in Life Sciences* 2009;53:114. [PubMed: 20877792]
13. Toraya T. *Chem Rev* 2003;103:2095–2127. [PubMed: 12797825]
14. Banerjee R. *Chem Rev* 2003;103:2083–2094. [PubMed: 12797824]
15. Marsh ENG, Drennan CL. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2001;5:499–505. [PubMed: 11578922]
16. Barker HA, Smyth RD, Wilson RM. *Fed Proc* 1958;17:185–185.
17. Barker HA. *Methods Enzymol* 1985;113:121–133. [PubMed: 4088064]
18. Marsh ENG. *Bioorganic Chem* 2000;28:176–189.
19. Gruber K, Kratky C. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2002;6:598–603. [PubMed: 12413543]
20. Eggerer H, Overath P, Lynen F. *J Am Chem Soc* 1960;82:2643–2644.
21. Frey PA, Abeles RH. *J Biol Chem* 1966;241:2732–2739. [PubMed: 5911645]
22. Lee HA, Abeles RH. *J Biol Chem* 1963;238:2367–2372. [PubMed: 13929077]
23. Chirpich TP, Zappia V, Costilow RN, Barker HA. *J Biol Chem* 1970;245:1778–1789. [PubMed: 5438361]
24. Wagner AFV, Frey M, Neugebauer FA, Schafer W, Knappe J. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992;89:996–1000. [PubMed: 1310545]
25. Frey M, Rothe M, Wagner AFW, Knappe J. *J Biol Chem* 1994;269:12432–12437. [PubMed: 8175649]
26. Sofia HJ, Chen G, Hetzler BG, Reyes-Spindola JF, Miller NE. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2001;29:1097–1106. [PubMed: 11222759]
27. Paraskevopoulou C, Fairhurst SA, Lowe DJ, Brick P, Onesti S. *Mol Microbiol* 2006;59:795–806. [PubMed: 16420352]
28. Martinez-Gomez NC, Downs DM. *Biochemistry* 2008;47:9054–9056. [PubMed: 18686975]
29. Chatterjee A, Li Y, Zhang Y, Grove TL, Lee M, Krebs C, Booker SJ, Begley TP, Ealick SE. *Nature Chem Biol* 2008;4:758–765. [PubMed: 18953358]
30. Ludwig ML, Matthews RG. *Ann Rev Biochem* 1997;66:269–313. [PubMed: 9242908]
31. Marsh ENG, Holloway DE. *FEBS Lett* 1992;310:167–170. [PubMed: 1397267]
32. Mancia F, Keep NH, Nakagawa A, Leadlay PF, McSweeney S, Rasmussen B, Bosecke P, Diat O, Evans PR. *Structure* 1996;4:339–350. [PubMed: 8805541]
33. Masuda J, Shibata N, Morimoto Y, Toraya T, Yasuoka N. *Structure* 2000;8:775–788. [PubMed: 10903944]
34. Reitzer R, Gruber K, Jogl G, Wagner UG, Bothe H, Buckel W, Kratky C. *Structure* 1999;7:891–902. [PubMed: 10467146]
35. Berkovitch F, Behshad E, Tang KH, Enns EA, Frey PA, Drennan CL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101:15870–15875. [PubMed: 15514022]
36. Sintchak MD, Arjara G, Kellogg BA, Stubbe J, Drennan CL. *Nature Struc Biol* 2002;9:293–300.
37. Berkovitch F, Nicolet Y, Wan JT, Jarrett JT, Drennan CL. *Science* 2004;303:76–79. [PubMed: 14704425]
38. Nicolet Y, Rubach JK, Posewitz MC, Amara P, Mathevon C, Atta M, Fontecave M, Fontecilla-Camps JC. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:18861–18872. [PubMed: 18400755]
39. Layer G, Moser J, Heinz D, Jahn D, Schubert W-D. *EMBO J* 2003;22:6214–6224. [PubMed: 14633981]
40. Lepore BW, Ruzicka FJ, Frey PA, Ringe D. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005;102:13819–13824. [PubMed: 16166264]
41. Vey JL, Yang J, Li M, Broderick WE, Broderick JB, Drennan CL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;105:16137–16141. [PubMed: 18852451]

42. Hanzelmann P, Schindelin H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101:12870–12875. [PubMed: 15317939]
43. Frey PA, Magnusson OT. *Chem Rev* 2003;103:2129–2148. [PubMed: 12797826]
44. Cosper NJ, Booker SJ, Ruzicka F, Frey PA, Scott RA. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:15668–15673. [PubMed: 11123891]
45. Walsby CJ, Hong W, Broderick WE, Cheek J, Ortillo D, Broderick JB, Hoffman BM. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:3143–3151. [PubMed: 11902903]
46. Chandor A, Berteau O, Douki T, Gasparutto D, Sanakis Y, Ollagnier-De-Choudens S, Atta M, Fontecave M. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:26922–26931. [PubMed: 16829676]
47. Rebeil R, Nicholson W. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001;98:9038–9043. [PubMed: 11470912]
48. Fajardocavazos P, Salazar C, Nicholson WL. *J Bacteriol* 1993;175:1735–1744. [PubMed: 8449881]
49. Buis JM, Cheek J, Kalliri E, Broderick JB. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:25994–26003. [PubMed: 16829680]
50. Cheek J, Broderick JB. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:2860–2861. [PubMed: 11902862]
51. Baraniak J, Moss ML, Frey PA. *J Biol Chem* 1989;264:1357–1360. [PubMed: 2492274]
52. Szu PH, He XM, Zhao LS, Liu HW. *Angew Chem Intl Edn* 2005;44:6742–6746.
53. Bussandri AP, Kiarie CW, Van Willigen H. *Res Chem Intermed* 2002;28:697–710.
54. Hay BP, Finke RG. *J Am Chem Soc* 1987;109:8012–8018.
55. Xia, I; Ballou, DP.; Marsh, ENG. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:3238–3245. [PubMed: 15023074]
56. Marsh ENG, Ballou DP. *Biochemistry* 1998;37:11864–11872. [PubMed: 9718309]
57. Padmakumar R, Banerjee R. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:3713–3718. [PubMed: 9132024]
58. Licht SS, Lawrence CC, Stubbe J. *Biochemistry* 1999;34:1234–1242. [PubMed: 9930983]
59. Saeva FD, Morgan BP. *J Am Chem Soc* 1984;106:4121–4125.
60. Hinckley GT, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 2006;45:3219–3225. [PubMed: 16519516]
61. Brooks AJ, Fox CC, Marsh ENG, Vlasie M, Banerjee R, Brunold TC. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:15167–15181. [PubMed: 16285720]
62. Chen HP, Marsh ENG. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:14939–14945. [PubMed: 9398218]
63. Dong SL, Padmakumar R, Banerjee R, Spiro TG. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:7063–7070.
64. Huhta MS, Chen H-P, Hemann C, Hille CR, Marsh ENG. *Biochem J* 2001;355:131–137. [PubMed: 11256957]
65. Wang SC, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:12889–12895. [PubMed: 17944492]
66. Reed GR. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2004;8:477–483. [PubMed: 15450489]
67. Szu P-H, Ruzsyczky MW, Choi S-h, Feng Y, Liu H-w. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009 ASAP.
68. Chen DW, Abend A, Stubbe J, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:4578–4584. [PubMed: 12693955]
69. Chowdhury S, Banerjee R. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:5417–5418.
70. Dybala-Defratyka A, Paneth P, Banerjee R, Truhlar DG. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2007;104:10774–10779. [PubMed: 17581872]
71. Cheng M-C, Marsh ENG. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:2155–2158. [PubMed: 14979711]
72. Cheng MC, Marsh ENG. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:883–889. [PubMed: 17223710]
73. Nagel ZD, Klinman JP. *Chem Rev* 2006;106:3095–3118. [PubMed: 16895320]
74. Brooks AJ, Vlasie M, Banerjee R, Brunold TC. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:16522–16528. [PubMed: 16305240]
75. Zerbe-Burkhardt K, Ratnatilleke A, Philippon N, Birch A, Leiser A, Vrijbloed JW, Hess D, Hunziker P, Robinson JA. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:6508–6517. [PubMed: 9497386]
76. Buckel W, Golding BT. *Chem Soc Rev* 1996;25:329–337.
77. He M, Dowd P. *J Am Chem Soc* 1998;120:1133–1137.
78. Ashwell S, Davies AG, Golding BT, Haymotherwell R, Mwesigyeibende S. *J Chem Soc-Chem Comm* 1989:1483–1485.
79. Yoon M, Kalli A, Lee H-Y, Hakensson K, Marsh ENG. *Angew Chem Intl Edn* 2007;46:8455–8459.

80. Chih H-W, Marsh ENG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:10732–10733.
81. Wu WM, Booker S, Lieder KW, Bandarian V, Reed GH, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:9561–9570. [PubMed: 10924153]
82. Wu WM, Lieder KW, Reed GH, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 1995;34:10532–10537. [PubMed: 7654708]
83. Ballinger MD, Reed GH, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 1992;31:949–953. [PubMed: 1310425]
84. Tang KH, Harms A, Frey PA. *Biochemistry* 2002;41:8767–8776. [PubMed: 12093296]
85. Babior BM. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1970;245:6125. [PubMed: 4320797]
86. Zagalak B, Frey PA, Karabatsos GL, Abeles RH. *J Biol Chem* 1966;241:3028–3035. [PubMed: 4287906]
87. Wetmore SD, Smith DM, Bennett JT, Radom L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:14054–14065. [PubMed: 12440904]
88. Smith DM, Golding BT, Radom L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:1664–1675. [PubMed: 11456766]
89. Sandala GM, Smith DM, Coote ML, Golding BT, Radom L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:3433–3444. [PubMed: 16522124]
90. Sandala GM, Smith DM, Radom L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:8856–8864. [PubMed: 15954794]
91. Wetmore SD, Smith DM, Radom L. *ChemBioChem* 2001;2:919–922. [PubMed: 11948881]
92. Wetmore SD, Smith DM, Radom L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:8678–8689. [PubMed: 11535072]
93. Sandala GM, Smith DM, Marsh ENG, Radom L. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:1623–1633. [PubMed: 17249667]
94. Chandra T, Silver SC, Zilinskas E, Shepard EM, Broderick WE, Broderick JB. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:2420–2421. [PubMed: 19178276]
95. Friedel MG, Berteau O, Pieck JC, Atta M, Ollagnier-de-Choudens S, Fontecave M, Carell T. *Chem Commun* 2006:445–447.
96. Chandor-Proust A, Berteau B, Douki T, Gasparutto D, Ollagnier-de-Choudens S, Fontecave M, Atta M. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:36361–36368. [PubMed: 18957420]
97. Stubbe J. *Chem Commun* 2003:2511–2513.
98. Stubbe J, van der Donk WA. *Chem Rev* 1998;98:705–762. [PubMed: 11848913]
99. Reichard P. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2002;397:149–155. [PubMed: 11795865]
100. Selmer T, Pierik AJ, Heider J. *Biol Chem* 2005;386:981–988. [PubMed: 16218870]
101. Knappe J, Wagner AFV. *Adv Prot Chem* 2001;58:277–315.
102. Luttringer F, Mulliez E, Dublet B, Lemaire D, Fontecave M. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 2009;14:923–933. [PubMed: 19381696]
103. Gambarelli S, Luttringer F, Padovani D, Mulliez E, Fontecave M. *Chembiochem* 2005;6:1960–1962. [PubMed: 16178037]
104. Li L, Patterson DP, Fox CC, Lin B, Coschigano PW, Marsh ENG. *Biochemistry* 2009;48:1284–1292. [PubMed: 19159265]
105. Li L, Marsh ENG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:16056–16057. [PubMed: 17165757]
106. Krieger CJ, Roseboom W, Albracht SPJ, Spormann AM. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:12924–12927. [PubMed: 11278506]
107. Leuthner B, Heider J. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1998;166:35–41. [PubMed: 9741082]
108. Lehtio L, Grossmann JG, Kokona B, Fairman R, Goldman A. *J Mol Biol* 2006;357:221–235. [PubMed: 16414072]
109. O'Brien JR, Raynaud C, Croux C, Girbal L, Soucaille P, Lanzilotta WN. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:4635–4645. [PubMed: 15096031]
110. Broderick JB, Henshaw TF, Cheek J, Wojtuszewski K, Smith SR, Trojan MR, McGhan RM, Kopf A, Kibbey M, Broderick WE. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm* 2000;269:451–456. [PubMed: 10708574]
111. Broderick JB, Duderstadt RE, Fernandez DC, Wojtuszewski K, Henshaw TF, Johnson MK. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:7396–7397.
112. Becker A, Fritz-Wolf K, Kabsch W, Knappe J, Schultz S, Wagner AFV. *Nature Struct Biol* 1999;6:969–975. [PubMed: 10504733]

113. Taylor AM, Farrar CE, Jarrett JT. *Biochemistry* 2008;47(35):9309–9317. [PubMed: 18690713]
114. Escalettes F, Florentin D, Tse Sum Bui B, Lesage D, Marquet A. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:3571.
115. Ugulava NB, Sacanell CJ, Jarrett JT. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:8352–8358. [PubMed: 11444982]
116. Bui BTS, Benda R, Schunemann V, Florentin D, Trautwein AX, Marquet A. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:8791–8798. [PubMed: 12873140]
117. Choi-Rhee E, Cronan JE. *Chem Biol* 2005;12:461–468. [PubMed: 15850983]
118. Booker SJ. *Chem Biol* 2004;11:10–12. [PubMed: 15112987]
119. Cicchillo RM, Iwig DF, Jones AD, Nesbitt NM, Baleanu-Gogonea C, Souder MG, Tu L, Booker SJ. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:6378–6386. [PubMed: 15157071]
120. Cicchillo RM, Booker SJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:2860–2861. [PubMed: 15740115]
121. Douglas P, Kriek M, Bryant P, Roach PL. *Angew Chem-Intl Edn* 2006;45:5197–5199.
122. Hernandez HL, Pierrel F, Elleingand E, Garcia-Serres R, Huynh BH, Johnson MK, Fontecave M, Atta M. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:5140–5147. [PubMed: 17407324]
123. Pierrel F, Douki T, Fontecave M, Atta M. *J Biol Chem* 2004;249:47555–47563. [PubMed: 15339930]
124. Pierrel F, Hernandez HL, Johnson MK, Fontecave M, Atta M. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:29515–29524. [PubMed: 12766153]
125. Hecht SM, Leonard NJ, Burrows WJ, Armstrong DJ, Skoog F, Occolowitz J. *Science* 1969;166:1272–1274. [PubMed: 5350318]
126. Mathevon C, Pierrel F, Oddou JL, Garcia-Serres R, Blonclin G, Latour JM, Menage S, Gambarelli S, Fontecave M, Atta M. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104:13295–13300. [PubMed: 17679698]
127. Kaminska KH, Baraniak U, Boniecki M, Nowaczyk K, Czerwoniec A, Bujnicki JM. *Proteins* 2008;70:1–18. [PubMed: 17910062]
128. Anton BP, Saleh L, Benner JS, Raleigh EA, Kasif S, Roberts RJ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;105:1826–1831. [PubMed: 18252828]
129. Fontecilla-Camps JC, Volbeda A, Cavazza C, Nicolet Y. *Chem Rev* 2007;107:4273–4303. [PubMed: 17850165]
130. Shima S, Pilak O, Vogt S, Schick M, Stagni MS, Meyer-Klaucke W, Warkentin E, Thauer RK, Ermiler U. *Science* 2008;321:572–575. [PubMed: 18653896]
131. Rubach JK, Brazzolotto X, Gaillard J, Fontecave M. *FEBS Lett* 2005;579:5055–5060. [PubMed: 16137685]
132. Brazzolotto X, Rubach JK, Gaillard J, Gambarelli S, Atta M, Fontecave M. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:769–774. [PubMed: 16278209]
133. Pilet E, Nicolet Y, Mathevon C, Douki T, Fontecilla-Camps JC, Fontecave M. *FEBS Lett* 2009;583:506–511. [PubMed: 19166853]
134. Layer G, Verfurth K, Mahlitz E, Jahn D. *J Biol Chem* 2002;277:34136–34142. [PubMed: 12114526]
135. Layer G, Pierik AJ, Trost M, Rigby SE, Leech HK, Grage K, Breckau D, Astner I, Jansch L, Heathcote P, Warren MJ, Heinz DW, Jahn D. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:15727–15734. [PubMed: 16606627]
136. Grove TL, Lee KH, St Clair J, Krebs C, Booker SJ. *Biochemistry* 2008;47:7523–7538. [PubMed: 18558715]
137. Fang QH, Peng JH, Dierks T. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:14570–14578. [PubMed: 14749327]
138. Benjdia A, Leprince J, Guillot A, Vaudry H, Rabot S, Berteau O. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:3462–3463. [PubMed: 17335281]
139. Yokoyama K, Numakura M, Kudo F, Ohmori D, Eguchi T. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129
140. Kuzuyama T, Hidaka T, Kamigiri K, Imai S, Seto H. *J Antibiot* 1992;45:1812–1814. [PubMed: 1468993]
141. Seto H, Imai S, Tsuruoka T, Satoh A, Kojima M. *J Antibiot* 1982;35:1719–1721. [PubMed: 7166539]
142. Okumura S, Deguchi T, Marumo H. *J Antibiot* 1981;34:1360–1362. [PubMed: 7309629]

143. Woodyer RD, Li GY, Zhao HM, van der Donk WA. *Chem Comm* 2007;359–361. [PubMed: 17220970]
144. Jurgenson CT, Begley TP, Ealick SE. *Ann Rev Biochem* 2009;78:569–603. [PubMed: 19348578]
145. Kriek M, Martins F, Challand MR, Croft A, Roach PL. *Angew Chem-Intl Edn* 2007;46:9223–9226.
146. Kriek M, Martins F, Leonardi R, Fairhurst SA, Lowe DJ, Roach PL. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:17413–17423. [PubMed: 17403671]
147. Martinez-Gomez NC, Robers M, Downs DM. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:40505–40510. [PubMed: 15271986]
148. Challand MR, Ziegert T, Douglas P, Wood RJ, Kriek M, Shaw NM, Roach PL. *FEBS Lett* 2009;583:1358–1362. [PubMed: 19328201]
149. Martinez-Gomez NC, Poyner RR, Mansoorabadi SO, Reed GH, Downs DM. *Biochemistry* 2009;48:217–219. [PubMed: 19113839]
150. Jordan A, Reichard P. *Ann Rev Biochem* 1998;67:71–98. [PubMed: 9759483]
151. Hanzelmann P, Hernandez HL, Menzel C, Garcia-Serres R, Huynh BH, Johnson MK, Mendel RR, Schindelin H. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:34721–34732. [PubMed: 15180982]
152. Hanzelmann P, Schindelin H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:6829–6834. [PubMed: 16632608]
153. Noma A, Kirino Y, Ikeuchi Y, Suzuki T. *EMBO J* 2006;25:2142–2154. [PubMed: 16642040]
154. Carlson BA, Kwon SY, Chamorro M, Oroszlan S, Hatfield DL, Lee BJ. *Virology* 1999;255:2–8. [PubMed: 10049815]
155. Vaishnav YN, Wong-Staal F. *Ann Rev Biochem* 1991;60:577–630. [PubMed: 1883204]
156. Hatfield D, Feng YX, Lee BJ, Rein A, Levin JG, Oroszlan S. *Virology* 1989;173:736–742. [PubMed: 2556852]
157. Jacks T, Power MD, Masiarz FR, Luciw PA, Barr PJ, Varmus HE. *Nature* 1988;331:280–283. [PubMed: 2447506]
158. Wilson W, Braddock M, Adams SE, Rathjen PD, Kingsman SM, Kingsman AJ. *Cell* 1988;55:1159–1169. [PubMed: 3060262]
159. Biswas P, Jiang X, Pacchia AL, Dougherty JP, Peltz SW. *J Virol* 2004;78:2082–2087. [PubMed: 14747573]
160. Goto-Ito S, Ishii R, Ito T, Shibata R, Fusatomi E, Sekine SI, Bessho Y, Yokoyama S. *Acta Cryst D Biol Cryst* 2007;63:1059–1068.
161. Suzuki Y, Noma A, Suzuki T, Senda M, Senda T, Ishitani R, Nureki O. *J Mol Biol* 2007;372:1204–1214. [PubMed: 17727881]
162. Li Y, Takagi Y, Jiang Y, Tokunaga M, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Kornberg RD. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:29628–29631. [PubMed: 11390369]
163. Krogan NJ, Greenblatt JF. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001;21:8203–8212. [PubMed: 11689709]
164. Wittschieben BØ, Otero G, de Bizemont T, Fellows J, Erdjument-Bromage H, Ohba R, Li Y, Allis CD, Tempst P, Svejstrup JQ. *Molec Cell* 1999;4:123–128. [PubMed: 10445034]
165. Jiang D, Guo H, Xu C, Chang J, Gu B, Wang L, Block TM, Guo JT. *J Virol* 2008;82:1665–1678. [PubMed: 18077728]
166. Chin KC, Cresswell P. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:15125–15130. [PubMed: 11752458]
167. Rivieccio MA, Suh HS, Zhao Y, Zhao ML, Chin KC, Lee SC, Brosnan CF. *J Immunol* 2006;177:4735–4741. [PubMed: 16982913]
168. Zhang Y, Burke CW, Ryman KD, Klimstra WB. *J Virol* 2007;81:11246–11255. [PubMed: 17686841]
169. Klinman JP. *Acc Chem Res* 2007;40:325–333. [PubMed: 17474709]
170. Ugulava NB, Gibney BR, Jarrett JT. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:8343–8351. [PubMed: 11444981]
171. Kiley PJ, Beinert H. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2003;6:181–185. [PubMed: 12732309]
172. Spiro S, Guest JR. *J Gen Microbiol* 1987;133:3279–3288. [PubMed: 2846747]
173. Green J, Bennett B, Jordan P, Ralph ET, Thomson AJ, Guest JR. *Biochem J* 1996;316:887–892. [PubMed: 8670167]

174. Khoroshilova N, Popescu C, Munck E, Beinert H, Kiley PJ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997;94:6087–6092. [PubMed: 9177174]
175. Crack JC, Green J, Cheesman MR, Le Brun NE, Thomson AJ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104:2092–2097. [PubMed: 17267605]
176. Flint DH, Tuminello JF, Emptage MH. *J Biol Chem* 1993;268:22369–22376. [PubMed: 8226748]
177. Jang S, Imlay JA. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:929–937. [PubMed: 17102132]
178. Jervis AJ, Crack JC, White G, Artymiuk PJ, Cheesman MR, Thomson AJ, Le Brun NE, Green J. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;106:4659–4664. [PubMed: 19261852]
179. Yang J, Naik SG, Ortillo DO, Garcia-Serres R, Li M, Broderick WE, Huynh BH, Broderick JB. *Biochemistry* 2009;48:9234–9241. [PubMed: 19711960]
180. Imlay JA. *Ann Rev Biochem* 2008;77:755–776. [PubMed: 18173371]
181. Carliz A, Touati D. *EMBO J* 1986;5:623–630. [PubMed: 3011417]
182. Park S, You X, Imlay JA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:9317–9322. [PubMed: 15967999]
183. Lill R. *Nature* 2009;460:831–838. [PubMed: 19675643]
184. Lill R, Mahlenhoff U. *Ann Rev Biochem* 2008;77:669–700. [PubMed: 18366324]
185. Ayala-Castro C, Saini A, Outten FW. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2008;72:110–125. [PubMed: 18322036]
186. Ollagnier-de Choudens S, Nachin L, Sanakis Y, Loiseau L, Barras FDR, Fontecave M. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:17993–18001. [PubMed: 12637501]
187. Wollenberg M, Berndt C, Bill E, Schwenn JD, Seidler A. *Eur J Biochem* 2003;270:1662–1671. [PubMed: 12694179]
188. Vickery LE, Cupp-Vickery JR. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 2007;42:95–111. [PubMed: 17453917]
189. Johnson DC, Dean DR, Smith AD, Johnson MK. *Ann Rev Biochem* 2005;74:247–281. [PubMed: 15952888]
190. Rees DC, Howard JB. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2000;4:559–566. [PubMed: 11006545]
191. Frazzon J, Dean DR. Molybdenum and Tungsten: Their Roles in Biological Processes 2002;39:163–186.
192. Giel JL, Rodionov D, Liu MZ, Blattner FR, Kiley PJ. *Mol Microbiol* 2006;60:1058–1075. [PubMed: 16677314]
193. Ollagnier-de-Choudens S, Sanakis Y, Fontecave M. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 2004;9(7):828–838. [PubMed: 15278785]
194. Kriek M, Peters L, Takahashi Y, Roach PL. *Prot Expression Purification* 2003;28:241–245.
195. Gelling C, Dawes IW, Richhardt N, Lill R, Muhlenhoff U. *Mol Cell Biol* 2008;28:1851–1861. [PubMed: 18086897]
196. Ollagnier-de-Choudens S, Mattioli T, Takahashi Y, Fontecave M. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:22604–22607. [PubMed: 11319236]
197. Zheng M, Wang X, Templeton LJ, Smulski DR, LaRossa RA, Storz G. *J Bacteriol* 2001;183:4562–4570. [PubMed: 11443091]
198. Fontecave M, de Choudens SO, Py B, Barras F. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 2005;10:713–721. [PubMed: 16211402]
199. Tokumoto U, Kitamura S, Fukuyama K, Takahashi Y. *J Biochem* 2004;136:199–209. [PubMed: 15496591]
200. Balk J, Lobreaux S. *Trends in Plant Science* 2005;10:324–331. [PubMed: 15951221]
201. Lill R, Mahlenhoff U. *Ann Rev Cell Develop Biol* 2006;22:457–486.
202. Gardner PR, Fridovich I. *J Biol Chem* 1992;267:8757–8763. [PubMed: 1315737]
203. Gort AS, Imlay JA. *J Bacteriol* 1998;180:1402–1410. [PubMed: 9515906]
204. Popescu CV, Bates DM, Beinert H, Munck E, Kiley PJ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998;95:13431–13435. [PubMed: 9811817]

Biographies

Neil Marsh received his undergraduate education at Christ's College, Cambridge University. He remained at Cambridge for his Ph.D. studies under the guidance of Prof. Peter Leadlay in the Department of Biochemistry and then studied as a postdoctoral fellow with Prof. Craig Townsend at the Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore. He returned to Cambridge to initiate his own research program as a Royal Society University Research Fellow. In 1995 he moved to the University of Michigan where he is currently Professor of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry. His research interests include the mechanisms by which enzymes generate and control free radicals and the use of fluorinated amino acids to modulate the structure, stability and biological activity of proteins and peptides.

Lei Li received his Ph.D. from the Johns Hopkins University under the guidance of Prof. Kenneth D. Karlin in 2005, studying copper-dioxygen complex mediated aliphatic C-H bond and DNA nucleobase oxidation. He then moved to Prof. Neil Marsh's laboratory at the University of Michigan, investigating the reaction mechanism of benzylsuccinate synthase, a glycyl radical enzyme involved in toluene degradation. In 2008, he was the recipient of an NIH Pathway to the Independence Award. In 2009, he started his independent career as an assistant professor in the Department of Chemistry at Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis. His research focuses on the mechanistic elucidation of oligonucleotide modifications mediated by radical AdoMet enzymes.

Dustin Patterson received his Bachelor of Science degree in Chemistry with a specialization in Biochemistry from Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio. He is currently a graduate student at the University of Michigan working in Prof. Neil Marsh's research group. His research projects include characterizing the structure of the glycyl radical enzyme benzylsuccinate synthase and the development of new strategies to assemble supramolecular protein complexes.

Figure 1.

Generation of adenosyl radicals. Top: radical generation by 1-electron reduction of AdoMet complexed with a [4Fe-4S] cluster; bottom: radical generation by homolysis of the Co-C bond of AdoCbl.

Figure 2.

Comparison of the structures of AdoCbl and radical AdoMet enzymes. Left: the structures of biotin synthase ($(\beta/\alpha)_8$ complete barrel), glutamate mutase ($(\beta/\alpha)_8$ complete barrel) and lysine-2,3-aminomutase ($(\beta/\alpha)_6$ 3/4 barrel); the structure of the core barrel domain is highlighted in red and gold. Right: details of the cofactor and substrate interactions for each protein; the 5'-carbon of adenosine is in each case indicated with an arrow.

Figure 3.

A minimal mechanism for the 1,2-rearrangements catalyzed by adenosyl radical enzymes, here **X** may be $-\text{OH}$, $-\text{NH}_2$ or a carbon-containing fragment.

Figure 4. Mechanisms for the carbon skeleton rearrangements catalyzed by the AdoCbl-dependent enzymes 2-methyleneglutarate mutase, methylmalonyl-CoA mutase, isobutyryl-CoA mutase and glutamate mutase.

Figure 5. Mechanism for the 1,2-rearrangement catalyzed by AdoMet-dependent lysine-2,3-aminomutase; a similar mechanism could be drawn for AdoCbl dependent lysine-5,6-aminomutase or ornithine-4,5-aminomutase.

Figure 6.

Mechanism for the radical elimination reactions catalyzed by AdoCbl-dependent ethanolamine ammonia lyase and diol dehydratase. The reaction catalyzed by AdoMet dependent DesII, shown below, is thought to occur by a similar mechanism.

Figure 7.
Mechanism for the resolution of thymidine dimers in DNA catalyzed by AdoMet-dependent spore photoproduct lyase.

Figure 8.

Radicals required for the mechanism of ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) are generated differently by the aerobic (tyrosyl radical), anaerobic (glycyl radical) and AdoCbl-dependent enzymes. However the mechanism for ribonucleotide reduction, involving radical elimination of the 2'-OH group, is the same for all classes of enzyme.

Figure 9.

Overview of the reactions catalyzed by glycy radical enzymes. Each enzyme has a cognate radical AdoMet activase responsible for generating the glycy radical.

Figure 10.
The sulfur insertion reactions catalyzed by biotin synthase, lipoyl synthase, MiaB and RimO.

Figure 11.
Mechanism for the sulfur insertion reactions catalyzed by biotin synthase.

Figure 12.

The structure of the Fe-Fe hydrogenase cofactor. Two radical AdoMet enzymes, HydE and HydG, are involved in the biosynthesis of the bridging dithiolate moiety.

Figure 13.
The radical oxidative decarboxylation reaction catalyzed by HemN during the anaerobic biosynthesis of heme.

Figure 14.

The oxidation of the active site serine in sulphatase to formylglycine catalyzed by AtsB under anaerobic conditions.

Figure 15.

The natural products shown contain methyl groups (indicated in bold) introduced at non-nucleophilic sites that are derived from MeCbl in radical AdoMet-dependent reactions. The proposed mechanism for the methylation reaction catalyzed by Fom3 is shown below.

Figure 16.

Radical AdoMet enzymes in thiamine pyrophosphate biosynthesis. Top: the structure of thiamine pyrophosphate; atoms derived from AIR are shown in blue, those derived from tyrosine are shown in red. Middle: mechanism for the formation of dehydroglycine catalyzed by ThiH. Bottom: the reaction catalyzed by ThiC; the color coding indicates where the atoms of the substrate appear in the product, as established by labeling studies.

Figure 17.

The conversion of GTP to precursor Z catalyzed by MoaA and MoaC in a radical AdoMet-dependent reaction. Precursor Z is then converted to molybdopterin through subsequent reactions catalyzed by MoaB, MoaD and MoaE.

Figure 18.

The biosynthetic pathway for wybutosine in tRNA^{phe}. The addition of the two carbon fragment shown in bold in the second step of the pathway is catalyzed by TWY1; the identity of the precursor to these carbons, “X”, is currently unknown.

Table 1

Summary of AdoCbl and radical AdoMet dependent enzymes discussed in this review

Enzyme	Function	References
Adenosylcobalamin-dependent radical enzymes		
Lysine-5,6-aminomutase	aminomutase	2,35
Ornithine-4,5-aminomutase	aminomutase	2
Glutamate mutase	carbon skeleton mutase	17,19,79
Methylmalonyl-CoA mutase	carbon skeleton mutase	20,32,74
Isobutyryl-CoA mutase	carbon skeleton mutase	9,75
2-methyleneglutarate mutase	carbon skeleton mutase	9,76
Ribonucleotide reductase	eliminase/reductase	36,68
Diol dehydratase	eliminase	21,33
Ethanolamine deaminase	eliminase	13,66
Glycerol dehydratase	eliminase	13,66
S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent radical enzymes		
Using AdoMet as catalyst		
Lysine-2,3-aminomutase	aminomutase	23,51
Glutamate 2,3-aminomutase	aminomutase	15
Arginine 2,3-aminomutase	aminomutase	15
Spore photoproduct lyase	lyase	46,48,49
DesII	eliminase	52,67
Using AdoMet as co-substrate		
Anaerobic ribonucleotide reductase activase	glycyl radical generation	99,101,103
Pyruvate formate-lyase activase	glycyl radical generation	25,41
Benzylsuccinate synthase activase	glycyl radical generation	104,106
Glycerol dehydratase activase	glycyl radical generation	108,109
4-hydroxyphenylacetate decarboxylase activase	glycyl radical generation	100
Biotin synthase	sulfur insertion in biotin	113,114
Lipoyl Synthase	sulfur insertion in lipoate	120,121
MiaB	methylthiolation of tRNA	122,123
RimO	methylthiolation of protein	128
HydE and HydG	metal cofactor biosynthesis	131
Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase	oxidative decarboxylation	39,135
Formylglycine synthase	alcohol oxidation	136,138
BtrN	alcohol oxidation	139
Fom3	methylation	143

Enzyme	Function	References
ThiH	thiamine biosynthesis	145, 147
ThiC	thiamine biosynthesis	28, 29, 149
MoaA	molybdopterin synthesis	42, 151
TWY1	wybutosine tRNA biosynthesis	153
Elp3	transcription factor	27, 162
Viperin	unknown	166, 167