Published in final edited form as:

Physiol Behav. 2011 February 1; 102(2): 234–238. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.11.017.

Actual and Perceived Sleep: Associations with Daytime Functioning among Postpartum Women

Salvatore P. Insana, Ph.D.¹, Elizabeth E. Stacom, M.S.², and Hawley E. Montgomery-Downs, Ph.D.^{*,2}

¹Department of Psychology, West Virginia University; WV, USA; Now at: Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, PA, USA

²Department of Psychology, West Virginia University; WV, USA

Abstract

Sleep and wake have a homeostatic relation that influences most aspects of physiology and waking behavior. Sleep disturbance has a detrimental effect on sleepiness and psychomotor vigilance. The purpose of this study was to identify which actual or perceived sleep characteristics accounted for the most variance in daytime functioning among postpartum mothers. Seventy first-time postpartum mothers' actual sleep (actigraphically estimated: total sleep time, number of wake bouts, length of nocturnal wake, and sleep efficiency) and perceived sleep (self-reported: number of awakenings, wake time, and sleep quality) was measured along with their daytime functioning (Stanford Sleepiness Scale [SSS], Epworth Sleepiness Scale [ESS], Visual Analogue of Fatigue Scale [VAFS], and morning Psychomotor Vigilance Test [PVT]). Data were repeatedly collected from the same sample during postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13. Four stepwise linear regressions were calculated for each postpartum week to examine which objective and/or subjective variable(s) accounted for the most variance in daytime functioning. The SSS and VAFS were both most consistently associated with perceived sleep quality. The ESS was most consistently associated with actual total sleep time. PVT performance was most consistently associated with estimates of actual and perceived sleep efficiency. Actual and perceived sleep profiles were differentially associated with specific daytime functions. These results from postpartum mothers may indicate that populations who experience specific forms of sleep disturbance (e.g. fragmentation, deprivation) may also experience specific daytime conditions.

Keywords

Actual; actigraphy; subjective; objective; perceived; sleep; sleepiness; fatigue; performance; behavior; postpartum; maternal

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved

*Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Hawley Montgomery-Downs: West Virginia University; Department of Psychology; PO Box 6040; 53 Campus Drive, 1124 Life Sciences Building; Morgantown, West Virginia, 26506-6040. (Hawley.Montgomery-Downs@mail.wvu.edu), Telephone: (304)293-2001 x 610; Fax: (304)293-6606.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

INTRODUCTION

Sleep and wake have a homeostatic relation that influences both physiology and waking performance [1]. Inadequate and disturbed sleep are associated with subsequent deficits in memory [2], decision making [3], and psychomotor performance [4].

Sleep can be estimated both objectively (actual) and subjectively (perceived), and each method may provide different but meaningful information. Previous work indicates that actual and perceived sleep are positively correlated; however, when compared to objective estimates, individuals tend to subjectively overestimate their total sleep time [5,6] and subjectively underestimate their frequency of nocturnal awakenings [7]. A large population-based study indicated that participants with higher levels of disagreement between their actigraphically and subjectively estimated sleep also reported poorer sleep quality [6].

Despite the possible discrepancies in agreement between actual and perceived estimates of sleep, both actual and perceived sleep are associated with sleepiness and consequent neurocognitive deficits. A review by Durmer and Dinges emphasized how various forms of sleep deprivation reach across, and negatively impact, broad neurocognitive domains [8]. Similarly, among a large sample of adults, subjectively reported long and short sleep durations were associated with decreased cognitive functioning [9]. Previous work has not identified whether actual or perceived sleep is more strongly associated with subsequent neurocognitive functioning. However, during the first postpartum week, subjectively estimated sleep was more strongly associated with mood disturbance than objectively estimated sleep [10].

Postpartum mothers are a particularly unique population because they experience high levels of sleep disturbance, especially during the first three postpartum months [11], but do not have comorbidities from sleep fragmenting disorders. Sleep disturbances cause increased objective and subjective sleepiness [12], yet little is currently known about postpartum sleep disturbances despite evidence that sleep fragmentation is associated with fatigue during pregnancy and through the early postpartum period [13–16]. Therefore, not only are postpartum mothers a unique population, but they may also be a population at particular risk for the deleterious consequences of sleep disturbance.

Reports on the relations between actual and perceived sleep, and their associations with daytime functioning, have been inconsistent. The purpose of the current study was to identify which actual sleep (objectively estimated) and perceived sleep (subjectively estimated) variables accounted for the most variance in relation to daytime functioning. We explored these associations with field-based, ecologically valid measures among a sample of postpartum women who experience sleep disturbance, but who were otherwise healthy. We expected that actual and perceived sleep would differ in their associations with daytime functioning.

METHODS

These data are from a longitudinal study of postpartum sleep disturbance approved by the West Virginia University Office of Research Compliance (Institutional Review Board). Participants were administered informed consent and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) authorization prior to participation. Participants were first-time postpartum mothers because the purpose of the larger longitudinal study was to explore the relations between sleep deprivation and fragmentation among first-time, non-depressed, postpartum women who had healthy infants.

Participants

First-time mothers were recruited prenatally via community advertisements from Morgantown, West Virginia. Morgantown is a small city with a population estimate of 26,809; of which, 89.5% were white with an annual median household income of \$20,649 [17]. Potential participants were excluded on the basis of premature delivery, pregnancy with multiples, infant admission to the neonatal intensive care unit, history of or current treatment for major depressive disorder, or a score ≥ 16 on the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale [18].

Among the total sample of 70 women, sample sizes across the three time points varied because within the longitudinal study, some participants had missing data during isolated weeks. Actigraphy and Palm Pilots were used for field-based data collection. If one system malfunctioned or was not accurately administered, all data for that week were lost. Weeks of actigraphy data lost to equipment malfunction were 2, 5, and 6 at postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13, respectively. Weeks of Palm Pilot data lost to equipment malfunction were 5, 4, and 6 at postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13, respectively. The longitudinal study design led to some participant attrition. One participant dropped from the study before week 7, and 8 participants had dropped by week 13. The remaining missing data points were due to participant nonadherence to the protocol. We did not statistically replace missing values.

The sample sizes at each postpartum week are reported on Table 1. There were no significant demographic differences between those who participated at each of the analysis weeks or between those who dropped from the study and those who continued. Participants were primarily white (94.1%), on average 26.3 ($SD = 4.1$) years old, had an average of 15.6 ($SD = 3.0$) years of education, and had a mean income of U.S. \$60,123 ($SD = \$35,105$).

Measures

Daytime Functioning: Sleepiness, Fatigue, and Psychomotor Vigilance—

Daytime functioning measures and the sleep diaries were self-administered using a Palm Zire 72 personal digital assistant (PDA) with a display screen that was 2.25 inches wide, had a 320×320 pixel resolution, and was operated with customized software (Bruner Consulting Co., Longmont, Colorado). Experience sampling method was used to record momentary behaviors as they occurred in daily life [19] because handheld computers are a more effective methodology for research than paper and pencil methods [20]. All PDA entries were time and date stamped as they occurred. The sleep diary entries could also be recorded retrospectively; these recordings were identified as retrospectively entered on the output.

Reliability and validity for the following measures have not been established specifically among postpartum women.

Sleepiness—Sleepiness is a state reflecting an increased drive for sleep when one intends to be awake [21] and was examined with the Stanford Sleepiness Scale (SSS). The scale is 1–7 and higher scores represent greater sleepiness [22]. Sleepiness was also examined with the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [23]. This scale uses 8 brief real-life scenarios to measure the susceptibility of falling asleep on a respective scale of 0–3. Total scores range from 0–24 and higher scores represent greater sleepiness. The ESS was validated to reflect behaviors in the past month, but for the current study was used to reflect immediate state. The SSS and ESS have previously been used among samples of healthy postpartum women [24].

Fatigue—Fatigue is the subjective report of exhaustion and decreased capacity for both physical and/or mental activity [25]. Fatigue was examined with a 100-point Visual

Analogue of Fatigue Scale (VAFS) [26] that was used to rate, “How tired/fatigued do you feel RIGHT NOW?” (0 = not at all tired/fatigued, 100 = very tired/fatigued). The VAFS display on the PDA had a stylus-movable anchor point that was initially presented in the middle of the scale; the anchor could be moved according to 1-point increments on the display.

Psychomotor Vigilance—Psychomotor vigilance is a valid index of performance impairment due to sleep loss [27] (for a review see Lim and Dinges [28]). The psychomotor vigilance test (PVT) used in the current study was self-administered using customized software (Bruner Consulting Co., Longmont, Colorado) on the PDA. This PVT is similar to a previously validated version of a Palm based PVT [29] and its use is supported by a study that validates PVT administrations that are <10 minutes in duration [30]. This PVT has been reported previously [31] as a simple reaction time task developed to measure sustained attention using a bull's-eye stimulus and has a 10 ms sensitivity resolution. Each 5-minute trial consists of the presentation of approximately 39–56 stimuli at random interstimulus intervals. Lapses (reaction times ≥ 500 ms) can be calculated from reaction time trials and are associated with greater performance impairment [32]. Lapse frequency was calculated for each trial and was used as the index of psychomotor performance.

Perceived (Subjective) Sleep Estimates—Perceived sleep estimates were examined with three questions pertaining to sleep and sleep quality from the previous night: Participants were asked “How many times do you think you woke up last night?”, “Please indicate how long you were awake last night (total).”, and “Where 100 is fully rested, please indicate your quality of sleep:” (0–100 visual analog scale).

Actual (Objective) Sleep Estimates—Actual sleep estimates were collected via Actigraphy (Mini Mitter Actiwatch-64, Bend Oregon), a valid system for non-intrusive estimate and analysis of sleep/wake periods among adults [33]. Actigraphy uses general body movements to estimate periods of sleep and wake via algorithmic interpretation of the absence or presence of movement during a specified time interval. The highest resolution was used (15-second epochs), which permits up to 11 days of continuous recording. Concurrent PDA-based participant sleep diaries were corroborated with actigraphy to identify nocturnal rest intervals. The beginning of a nocturnal rest interval was identified as the first of eight consecutive epochs (two minutes) of inactivity that followed the sleep diary-reported bedtime; the end of a sleep period was identified as the last of two consecutive minutes of inactivity that preceded the diary-reported wake time. Nocturnal rest intervals were then analyzed with Actiware software. Actiware Software Version 5.5 was used to manage, analyze, and archive actigraphy data. Actiware software utilizes a validated algorithm to score each epoch as sleep or wake. The algorithm uses the recorded activity count to weight a distribution around each epoch then compares each epoch score to a wake threshold value (default setting = 40 was used); epoch scores < 40 = sleep, and scores ≥ 40 = wake.

The larger study revealed low rates and durations of daytime napping behaviors across postpartum weeks 2 through 16 [11]. Therefore, the actigraphically recorded sleep estimates were from nocturnal rest intervals only, and were specifically selected to correspond with the subjective sleep estimates: (1) total sleep time (minutes identified as sleep during a nocturnal rest interval), (2) number of wake bouts (frequency of discontinuous epochs calculated as wake during a nocturnal rest interval), (3) length of nocturnal wake (cumulative minutes scored as wake between first sleep onset and last sleep offset within a rest interval), and (4) sleep efficiency (minutes of sleep during a rest interval divided by the rest interval length [minutes], multiplied by 100). ‘Number of wake bouts’ and ‘length of nocturnal wake’ directly correspond to the respective subjective sleep estimates of ‘Number

of times awake' and 'Amount of time awake'; however, since the subjective estimate of sleep quality cannot be objectively quantified and is likely a function of both 'total sleep time' and 'sleep efficiency', both of those actigraphically estimated sleep variables were included in the analyses.

Procedure

Participants wore an actigraph on their non-dominant wrist continuously (except when it might get wet), and used their PDA to report their sleep and watch-off diary as the events occurred. Participants used the PDA to self-administer the subjective sleep measures and the PVT each morning within two hours of awakening. Across postpartum weeks the average time of PVT self-administered was 9:37 am (SD $\pm$ 2 hr 6 min) at week 2, 10:22 am (SD $\pm$ 3 hr 2 min) at week 7, and 9:58 am (SD $\pm$ 2 hr 37 min) at week 13. There were no significant associations between latency from sleep-offset to administration of the PVT and PVT lapse frequency ($p = 0.12, 0.71, \text{ and } 0.92$ at postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13, respectively); these results indicated that sleep inertia did not affect performance. The SSS, ESS, and VASF were also administered via the PDA every time participants fed their infant during the daytime.

Statistical Analyses

To help protect against the possible effect of environmental distraction on PVT performance we excluded all response time values from the analyses that were greater than two times the group standard deviation plus the mean ($\geq 1,314$ ms) [34]. This method required removal of 1.93%, 4.08%, and 4.94% of individual response times at postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13, respectively.

The American Academy of Sleep Medicine's Standards of Practice Committee [35] has determined that one week of actigraphy is sufficient to determine stable sleep-wake patterns; we required at least 4 nights of data and calculated averages for each measure within postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated for each actual and perceived sleep estimate for each study week. All ICCs were significant ($p < .001$), and ICC value ranges were 0.59 – 0.83, 0.55 – 0.92, and 0.60 – 0.88 for weeks 2, 7, and 13, respectively (Table 2).

The ESS was not specifically validated to reflect immediate state, but the SSS was. Therefore, Pearson's correlations were calculated between the ESS and the SSS for each study week to demonstrate the ESS integrity for use as a state measure. The ESS and SSS were significantly ($p < .001$) correlated during postpartum weeks 2, 7, and 13; $r = .76, r = .77$, and $r = .80$, respectively.

Four stepwise linear regressions, with pairwise deletion, were calculated for each postpartum week to examine which actual and/or perceived variable(s) accounted for the most variance in daytime sleepiness and fatigue. Data were analyzed at three time points because postpartum sleep is dynamic, and therefore, subjective and objective sleep variables were expected to be associated with daytime conditions as a function of different sleep patterns during each particular postpartum time point. All actual and perceived sleep variables were cumulatively entered as the independent variables; the VAFS, SSS, ESS, and PVT lapses were entered separately as dependent variables. Stepwise regressions were calculated because these analyses were exploratory. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0; a $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

During week 2, participants completed the VAFS, SSS, and ESS an average of 23.15 ($SD \pm 8.32$) times and the PVT 6.56 ($SD \pm 1.33$) times; during week 7, 17.57 ($SD \pm 8.43$) and 6.27 ($SD \pm 1.40$) times, respectively; and during week 13, 18.75 ($SD \pm 7.64$) and 6.65 ($SD \pm .78$) times, respectively. A summary of the regression results are indicated on Table 3.

First, all variables were entered into a regression to examine correlates of the VAFS. During postpartum week 2 actual sleep time was independently associated with the VAFS ($R^2 = .14$, $F [1, 60] = 10.05$, $p < 0.01$). During postpartum weeks 7 and 13 perceived sleep quality was independently associated with the VAFS ($R^2 = .10$, $F [1, 55] = 5.75$, $p < 0.05$; $R^2 = .11$, $F [1, 50] = 5.95$, $p < 0.05$, respectively).

Second, all variables were entered into a regression to examine correlates of the SSS. During postpartum week 2 perceived sleep quality and actual sleep time, together, were associated with the SSS ($R^2 = .26$, $F [2, 60] = 10.57$, $p < 0.001$). During postpartum week 7 perceived sleep quality was independently associated with the SSS ($R^2 = .10$, $F [1, 55] = 6.24$, $p < 0.05$). During postpartum week 13 perceived sleep quality and objective sleep time, together, were associated with the SSS ($R^2 = .22$, $F [2, 49] = 6.71$, $p < 0.01$).

Third, all variables were entered into a regression to examine correlates of the ESS. During postpartum week 2 actual sleep time and perceived sleep quality, together, were associated with the ESS ($R^2 = .31$, $F [2, 60] = 13.65$, $p < 0.001$). During postpartum weeks 7 and 13 actual sleep time was independently associated with the ESS ($R^2 = .17$, $F [1, 55] = 11.38$, $p < 0.01$; $R^2 = .11$, $F [1, 50] = 6.31$, $p < 0.05$, respectively).

Finally, all variables were entered into a regression to examine correlates of PVT lapses. During postpartum week 2 no actual or perceived variables were associated with lapses. During postpartum week 7, actual sleep efficiency was independently associated with lapses ($R^2 = .18$, $F [1, 55] = 12.23$, $p < 0.01$). During postpartum week 13 perceived wake time and actual sleep time, together, were associated with lapses ($R^2 = .25$, $F [2, 47] = 7.91$, $p < 0.01$).

DISCUSSION

Among postpartum mothers, actual and perceived sleep profiles were associated with specific daytime functions. Fatigue was most consistently accounted for by with perceived sleep quality. Likewise, sleepiness measured by the SSS was most consistently accounted for by perceived sleep quality. However, sleepiness measured by the ESS was most consistently accounted for by actual total sleep time. Finally, PVT performance was most consistently accounted for by both actual and perceived measures that described consolidated sleep (i.e. sleep without interruptions from awakenings). In sum, variance in subjective sleepiness and fatigue were accounted for by perceived sleep quality, as well as total sleep time. In contrast, objective PVT performance was accounted for by actual and perceived consolidated sleep.

Previous work has identified that specific objective and subjective sleep-related outcome variables are differentially affected by sleep deprivation. For example, following experimentally induced sleep deprivation, objectively measured affect was associated with objective sleepiness, whereas, subjective mood was associated with subjective sleepiness [36]. Additionally, work by Van Dongen et al. [37] indicates that following experimentally induced sleep loss schedules, participants were impaired on various aspects of subjective and objective neurobehavioral functioning that appeared to cluster according to three orthogonal domains: “self-evaluation of sleepiness, fatigue, and mood”; “cognitive processing capability”; and “behavioral alertness as measured by sustained attention” (p.

431). These authors explain that different neurocognitive systems may be affected differently by sleep deprivation, and that individuals are likely not accurate judges of their actual sleep-associated performance impairment. The current study is complementary in that it emphasizes the bidirectional nature of sleep and functioning in which different profiles of actual and perceived sleep are associated with specific daytime functions.

The current study coincides with recent work showing that among healthy, non-depressed postpartum women, perception of poor sleep was more strongly associated with postpartum mood disturbance than actigraphically estimated sleep [10]. Cumulatively, these results suggest that health care providers could consider targeting specific postpartum sleepiness, fatigue, or mood among at-risk women by customizing interventions to target a specific sleep profile.

Normative longitudinal sleep data from our larger study indicates that across the first 4 postpartum months, maternal total sleep time remains stable, but sleep efficiency markedly improves [11]. The current analyses are correlational and do not imply directionality, though they may provide novel insight into the dynamics among sleep, perception, and functioning among other sleep-disturbed populations. For example, abnormal sleep and wake timing (e.g. shift-workers or delayed sleep phase syndrome) and sleep fragmenting disorders (e.g. sleep apnea or periodic limb movement disorder) may contribute to different, yet specific daytime functional impairments.

The subjective sleepiness measures, the SSS and ESS, were most consistently accounted for by different sleep estimates; that is, perceived and actual sleep, respectively. We expected the SSS and ESS to demonstrate similar associations with actual and perceived sleep variables; the results may be explained by previous research that indicated that the SSS is more strongly associated with fatigue measures than with sleepiness measures [38]. This pattern was upheld among the current data since both the VASF and the SSS were most consistently associated with perceived sleep quality. Because different women participated at different time points, we cannot make conclusive statements about the changes in scales or performance over time.

There are several design and psychometric limitations to this study. The ESS has been used as a state measure [24], yet it has only been psychometrically validated as a measure of trait. Correlation analyses did however indicate that the ESS and the SSS were correlated, and the value was relatively stable during the three study weeks. Therefore, although the ESS was not validated to measure state sleepiness, it appeared to be an acceptable measure for this purpose. Both reaction time assessments <10 minutes have been validated [30], and a palm-base PVT have been validated [29], and the version we use has been reported previously [31], although the specific PVT version that we use has not been validated. The ESS and the PVT were not validated as they were particularly used in the current study, results indicated that these measures were associated with sleep variables and thus demonstrate their value in interpreting their respective daytime conditions as indicated. To avoid participant burden, the PVT was self-administered once daily. The timing was precisely controlled because the PVT shows circadian variability [39], but this meant that we could not evaluate circadian changes. Finally, the current analyses were correlational and do not permit the inference of causality among sleep and daytime functioning measures. However, the naturalistic field-based nature of the study and our use of both objective and subjective measures bolster the ecological validity of the results. Specifically, mothers were examined with measures that have practical significance to daytime functioning while in their naturalistic environment and while behaving according to their typical daily routines. It is unknown whether these results are specific only to postpartum women or may generalize to other populations with

particular sleep profiles, such as those with fragmenting sleep disorders (e.g. sleep apnea, periodic limb movement disorder), insomnia, or shift work disorder.

Conclusion

The current work indicates that both actual and perceived sleep are uniquely associated with sleepiness, fatigue, and psychomotor performance. These associations express dynamic changes over time during periods of chronic sleep disturbance—in this case as experienced by first-time mothers during the early postpartum period. Therefore, both actual and perceived sleep are important to understand various forms of daytime functioning. Researchers and clinicians should consider including assessment of both objectively (actual) and subjectively (perceived) sleep estimates when examining associations with daytime functioning among sleep disturbed populations.

Research highlights

- Postpartum SSS and VAFatigue Scales were associated with perceived sleep quality.
- Epworth Scale was associated with actual total sleep time.
- PVT was associated with both actual and perceived sleep efficiency.
- Results indicate specific daytime dysfunction from postpartum sleep disturbance.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the mothers who participated. Data collection and processing were carried out with assistance from Megan Clegg-Kraynok MS, Chelsea Costello BA, Timothy Dohm BA, Eleanor Santy BS, Kayla Williams BA, and Sierra Glowacki. Support: National Institutes of Health Grant R21HD053836 (HMD). We also thank the anonymous journal Reviewers whose comments and suggestions substantially improved our work.

Support: NIH grant R21HD053836 (HM-D).

Abbreviations

SSS	Stanford Sleepiness Scale
ESS	Epworth Sleepiness Scale
PVT	Psychomotor Vigilance Test
VAFS	Visual Analog of Fatigue Scale
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
SD	Standard Deviation
ICC	Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

REFERENCES

- [1]. Atkinson G, Reilly T, Waterhouse J. Chronobiological aspects of the sleep-wake cycle and thermoregulation. *Physiol Behav* 2007;90:189.
- [2]. Walker MP. A refined model of sleep and the time course of memory formation. *Behav Brain Sci* 2005;28:51–64. [PubMed: 16047457]
- [3]. Harrison Y, Horne JA. The impact of sleep deprivation on decision making: A review. *J Exp Psychol Appl* 2000;6:236–249. [PubMed: 11014055]

- [4]. Van Dongen HPA, Maislin G, Mullington JM, Dinges DF. The cumulative cost of additional wakefulness: Dose-response effect on neurobehavioral functions and sleep physiology from chronic sleep restriction and total sleep deprivation. *Sleep* 2003;26:117–126. [PubMed: 12683469]
- [5]. Lauderdale DS, Knutson KL, Yan LL, Liu K, Rathouz PJ. Self-reported and measured sleep duration how similar are they? *Epidemiology* 2008;19:838–845. [PubMed: 18854708]
- [6]. Van Den Berg JF, Van Rooij FJA, Vos H, Tulen JHM, Hofman A, Miedema HME, et al. Disagreement between subjective and actigraphic measures of sleep duration in a population-based study of elderly persons. *J Sleep Res* 2008;17:295–302. [PubMed: 18321246]
- [7]. Baker FC, Maloney S, Driver HS. A comparison of subjective estimates of sleep with objective polysomnographic data in healthy men and women. *J Psychosom Res* 1999;47:335–341. [PubMed: 10616227]
- [8]. Durmer JS, Dinges DF. Neurocognitive consequences of sleep deprivation. *Semin Neurol* 2005;25:117–129. [PubMed: 15798944]
- [9]. Kronholm E, Sallinen M, Suumtama T, Sulkava R, Era P, Partonen T. Self-reported sleep duration and cognitive functioning in the general population. *J Sleep Res* 2009;18:436–446. [PubMed: 19732318]
- [10]. Bei B, Milgrom J, Ericksen J, Trinder J. Subjective perception of sleep, but not its objective quality is associated with immediate postpartum mood disturbances in healthy women. *Sleep* 2010;33:531–38. [PubMed: 20394323]
- [11]. Montgomery-Downs HE, Insana SP, Clegg-Kraynok M, Mancini LM. Normative Longitudinal Maternal Sleep: First Four Postpartum Months. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010 Epub ahead of print.
- [12]. Carskadon MA, Dement WC. Cumulative effects of sleep restriction on daytime sleepiness. *Psychophysiology* 1981;18:107–113. [PubMed: 6111825]
- [13]. Lee KA, Zaffke ME. Longitudinal changes in fatigue and energy during pregnancy and the postpartum period. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 1999;28:183–191.
- [14]. Rychnovsky J, Hunter LP. The relationship between sleep characteristics and fatigue in healthy postpartum women. *Womens Health Issues* 2009;19:38–44. [PubMed: 19111786]
- [15]. Dennis CL, Ross L. Relationships among infant sleep patterns, maternal fatigue, and development of depressive symptomatology. *Birth* 2005;32:187–193. [PubMed: 16128972]
- [16]. Gay CL, Lee KA, Lee SY. Sleep patterns and fatigue in new mothers and fathers. *Biol Res Nurs* 2004;5:311–318. [PubMed: 15068660]
- [17]. United States Census Bureau. State & County QuickFacts; West Virginia; Morgantown: <http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/54000.html>
- [18]. Radloff LA. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977;1:385–401.
- [19]. Barrett LF, Barrett DJ. An introduction to computerized experience sampling in psychology. *Soc Sci Comput Rev* 2001;19:175–185.
- [20]. Lane SJ, Heddle NM, Arnold E, Walker I. A review of randomized control trials comparing the effectiveness of hand held computers with paper methods for data collection. *Med Inform Decis Mak* 2006;6:23.
- [21]. Roehrs, T.; Carskadon, MA.; Dement, WC.; Roth, T. Daytime sleepiness and alertness. In: Kryger, MH.; Roth, T.; Dement, WC., editors. *Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine*. 4th ed.. Elsevier Saunders; Philadelphia: 2005. p. 39-50.
- [22]. Hoddes E, Zarcone V, Smythe H, Phillips R, Dement WC. Quantification of sleepiness: A new approach. *Psychophysiology* 1973;10:431–436. [PubMed: 4719486]
- [23]. Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: The Epworth Sleepiness Scale. *Sleep* 1991;14:540–545. [PubMed: 1798888]
- [24]. Groër M, Davis M, Casey K, Short B, Smith K, Groër S. Neuroendocrine and immune relationships in postpartum fatigue. *Am J Maternal Child Nurs* 2005;30:133–138.
- [25]. Libbus K, Baker JL, Osgood JM, Phillips TC, Valentine DM. Persistent fatigue in well women. *Women Health* 1995;23:57–72. [PubMed: 7483651]

- [26]. Monk TH. A visual analogue scale technique to measure global vigor and affect. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;27:89–99. [PubMed: 2922449]
- [27]. Dinges DF, Powell JW. Micorcomputer analyses of performance on a portable, simple visual RT task during sustained operations. *Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput* 1985;17:652–655.
- [28]. Lim J, Dinges DF. Sleep deprivation and vigilant attention. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008;1129:305–322. [PubMed: 18591490]
- [29]. Thorne DR, Johnson DE, Redmond DP, Sing HC, Belenky G. The Walter Reed palm-held psychomotor vigilance test. *Behav Res Methods* 2005;37:111–118. [PubMed: 16097350]
- [30]. Loh S, Lamond N, Dorrian J, Roach G, Dawson D. The validity of psychomotor vigilance tasks of less than 10-minute duration. *Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput* 2004;36(2):339–346. [PubMed: 15354700]
- [31]. Neylan TC, Metzler TJ, Henn-Haase C, Blank Y, Tarasovsky G, McCaslin SE, Lenoci M, Marmar CR. Prior night sleep duration is associated with psychomotor vigilance in a healthy sample of police academy recruits. *Chronobiology International* 2010;27:1493–1508. [PubMed: 20795888]
- [32]. Drummond SPA, Bischoff-Grethe A, Dinges DF, Ayalon L, Mednick SC, Meloy MJ. The neural basis of the Psychomotor Vigilance Task. *Sleep* 2005;28:1059–1068. [PubMed: 16268374]
- [33]. Sadeh A, Acebo C. The role of actigraphy in sleep medicine. *Sleep Med Rev* 2002;6:113–124. [PubMed: 12531147]
- [34]. Radcliff R. Methods for dealing with reaction time outliers. *Psychol Bull* 1993;114:510–532. [PubMed: 8272468]
- [35]. Morgenthaler T, Alessi C, Friedman L, Owens J, Kapur V, Boehlecke B, Brown T, et al. Practice parameters for the use of actigraphy in the assessment of sleep and sleep disorders: an update for 2007. *Sleep* 2007;30:519–529. [PubMed: 17520797]
- [36]. Franzen PL, Siegle GJ, Buysse DJ. Relationships between affect, vigilance, and sleepiness following sleep deprivation. *J Sleep Res* 2008;17:34–41. [PubMed: 18275553]
- [37]. Van Dongen HPA, Baynard MD, Maislin G, Dinges DF. Systematic interindividual differences in neurobehavioral impairment from sleep loss: Evidence of trait-like differential vulnerability. *Sleep* 2004;27:423–33. [PubMed: 15164894]
- [38]. Bailes S, Liberman W, Baltzan M, Amsel R, Schondorf R, Fichten CS. Brief and distinct empirical sleepiness and fatigue scales. *J Psychosom Res* 2006;60:605–613. [PubMed: 16731235]
- [39]. Mollicone DJ, Van Dongen JP, Rogers NL, Banks S, Dinges DF. Time of day effects on neurobehavioral performance during chronic sleep restriction. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 2010;81:735–44. [PubMed: 20681233]

Table 1

Sample size and descriptive statistics for each variable at each postpartum week

Variable	Postpartum Week 2			Postpartum Week 7			Postpartum Week 13		
Daytime Function	N	M	SE	N	M	SE	N	M	SE
VASF	64	50.17	1.62	65	48.19	1.91	56	45.71	2.45
SSS	65	3.03	0.09	65	3.02	0.11	56	2.95	0.13
ESS	65	8.86	0.38	65	7.85	0.46	56	7.53	0.49
Lapses	65	5.90	0.58	64	9.32	0.74	54	10.44	0.96
Objective Sleep	N	M	SE	N	M	SE	N	M	SE
Total Sleep Time	68	427.91	6.18	64	433.31	7.80	56	426.28	6.85
# Wake Bouts	68	49.09	1.37	64	46.17	1.49	56	44.21	1.60
Nocturnal Wake Time	68	114.14	4.45	64	88.36	4.21	56	64.67	4.44
Sleep Efficiency	68	79.45	0.71	64	83.35	0.73	56	87.31	0.80
Subjective Sleep	N	M	SE	N	M	SE	N	M	SE
Number of Wakings	65	3.52	0.19	60	2.66	0.23	55	2.29	0.18
Wake Time	65	131.69	6.21	59	106.23	6.83	54	61.83	7.08
Sleep Quality	65	64.90	1.43	60	69.18	1.37	55	73.48	1.22

Note. VASF: Visual Analogue of Fatigue Scale; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; SSS: Stanford Sleepiness Scale.

Table 2

Intraclass correlation coefficients for each actual and perceived sleep variable for each study week

	Postpartum week 2	Postpartum week 7	Postpartum week 13
Variable	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>
<i>O</i> Total Sleep Time	.70***	.55***	.66***
<i>O</i> # Wake Bouts	.75***	.77***	.80***
<i>O</i> Nocturnal Wake Time	.78***	.76***	.84***
<i>O</i> Sleep Efficiency	.83***	.81***	.88***
<i>S</i> Number of Wakings	.77***	.92***	.83***
<i>S</i> Wake Time	.75***	.81***	.80***
<i>S</i> Sleep Quality	.59***	.68***	.60***

 $p < .001$ *S* subjective sleep measure*O* objective sleep measure.

Results summary table for subjective and objective correlates of sleepiness and fatigue variables during postpartum study weeks

Table 3

Week 2			Week 7			Week 13		
Variable	Variable(s)	%Variance	β	Variable(s)	%Variance	β	Variable(s)	%Variance
VAFS	O_{Total} Sleep Time	14% **	-.38	S_{Sleep} Quality	10% *	-.31	S_{Sleep} Quality	11% *
SSS	S_{Sleep} Quality	-	-.38	S_{Sleep} Quality	10% *	-.32	S_{Sleep} Quality	-
	O_{Total} Sleep Time	26% ***	-.28				O_{Total} Sleep Time	22% **
ESS	O_{Total} Sleep Time		-.42	O_{Total} Sleep Time	17% **	-.41	O_{Total} Sleep Time	11% *
	S_{Sleep} Quality	31% ***	-.31					
Lapses	None	-	-	O_{Sleep} Efficiency	18% **	-.43	S_{Wake} Time	-
							O_{Total} Sleep Time	25% **
								-.33

% Variance = total cumulative variance explained in multiple regression model.

VAFS: Visual Analogue of Fatigue Scale; ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale; SSS: Stanford Sleepiness Scale.

* $p < .05$
** $p < .01$
*** $p < .001$.

S subjective sleep measure
 O objective sleep measure.