Published in final edited form as:

Am J Emerg Med. 2011 March ; 29(3): 299–303. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2009.11.022.

Validation of a 6-hour observation period for cocaine body stuffers

Maria Moreira, MD^{*,1}, Jennie Buchanan, MD^{1,2}, and Kennon Heard, MD^{1,2}

¹Denver Health Hospital and Authority, Denver, CO

²Rocky Mountain Poison and Drug Center, Denver, CO

Abstract

Often, patients are brought in to the emergency room after ingesting large amounts of cocaine in an attempt to conceal it. This act is known as “body stuffing.” The observation period required to recognize potential toxic side effects in these patients is not well described in the literature. We sought to validate a treatment algorithm for asymptomatic cocaine body stuffers utilizing a 6-hour observation period by observing the clinical course of cocaine body stuffers over a 24-hour period. A retrospective chart review was performed on all patients evaluated for witnessed or suspected stuffing over two years utilizing a standardized protocol. 106 patients met final inclusion criteria as adult cocaine stuffers. No patients developed life-threatening symptoms and no patients died during observation. In our medical setting, stuffers could be discharged after a 6- hour observation period if there was either complete resolution or absence of clinical symptoms.

Keywords

Cocaine; body stuffers; observation

Introduction

According to the National Survey on Drug Use and Health, approximately 2.1 million people in the United States use cocaine each month (1). Cocaine abuse is common in patients presenting to the emergency department. Occasionally, patients are brought to the emergency department after swallowing large amounts of cocaine in an attempt to conceal it from officials to evade prosecution. This act is known as “body stuffing.” In contrast, the act of swallowing illegal drugs for smuggling is termed “body packing.” Body packers ingest large amounts of meticulously wrapped illegal drugs and risk for rupture or leakage is low. While body packers are at low risk for leakage or rupture of packages, body stuffers have a higher risk of rupture or leakage as the drugs are either inadequately wrapped or unwrapped.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: Jennie Buchanan, MD 1336 Ivanhoe Street Denver, Colorado 80220 .

*Moreira & Buchanan shared in 1st Authorship

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Prior Poster Presentation: Retrospective review of an implemented body stuffer protocol. ACEP Scientific Assembly, Chicago, Illinois, October 2008.

Sources of Support or Funding: None

Therefore, body stuffers pose a challenging medical dilemma in regards to time to potential toxic effects from the ingested drug and the duration of appropriate medical observation.

Cocaine is the most common drug involved in body stuffing (2). Time to peak concentration after cocaine ingestion is 50 to 90 minutes and it follows first order elimination with a duration of action of 30 to 60 minutes (3,4,5). Most patients should develop symptoms within the above time frame. Other drugs commonly involved in body stuffing include other stimulants, and opioids (2). In one study which analyzed drug packages seized from body stuffers, 96% contained crack cocaine or cocaine HCl, and 4% contained non-narcotic powder or heroin (diacetylmorphine) (2).

There are multiple difficulties which arise in determining the best treatment algorithm for body stuffers. An accurate history is often difficult to obtain when dealing with body stuffers, as the circumstances of arrest usually involve criminal charges. Furthermore optimal treatment protocols for body stuffers remain non-validated. Reported protocols vary in treatment regimen (activated charcoal and polyethylene glycol solution) and observation period from 6 hours to 72 hours (6,7). There are reports of delayed sequelae; however, most of these cases were not monitored in a medical setting (7). The observation period required to recognize potential toxic side effects in these patients is not well described in the literature. Algorithms for observation focus on type of packaging and amount ingested, but historical accuracy is often flawed. We sought to validate a treatment algorithm as outlined by Sporer and Firestone in 1997 for asymptomatic cocaine body stuffers utilizing a 6-hour observation period by observing the clinical course of cocaine body stuffers at our facility over a 24 hour period (6).

Methods

A retrospective chart review was performed on all patients evaluated for witnessed or suspected stuffing over a two-year period from 7/1/03 to 6/30/05 who were treated utilizing an institutional body stuffer protocol (Figure 1). The setting was an urban county hospital police-receiving center with 54,000 patient visits per year. Local Institutional Review Board protocol approval was obtained. Chart abstraction was performed by two independent trained physician abstractors using standardized excel spreadsheet. All patients suspected of stuffing were identified by searching the computerized emergency department log for the following terms: cocaine ingestion, stuffers, cocaine, crack, and stuff. These individual charts were reviewed by abstractors to identify inclusion criteria which required clinical suspicion for body stuffing, defined as either witnessed, confessed or suspected stuffing to avoid detection by authorities. Patients were excluded if they were younger than 18 years of age, did not admit to stuffing cocaine, were using cocaine recreationally or body packing. Patient data were de-identified. Demographics, drug, amount, type of wrapping, symptoms of intoxication, treatment, activated charcoal administration, changes in observation, drug screen, witnessed or unwitnessed ingestion, and disposition were abstracted from written case histories scanned into a hospital record system. To validate that patients were still alive after discharge we checked for revisit to the hospital at a later date. Primary outcome was a serious event defined as seizure, arrhythmia, or death.

Patients were treated according to a body stuffer protocol, which requires 6-hours of observation and activated charcoal administration in the emergency department (Figure 1). If patients were in custody they were admitted to a medical ward for 24 hours. Any unstable patient was admitted to an intensive care setting. Patients without evidence of clinical symptoms (altered mental status, tachycardia, hypertension, seizures, or arrhythmias) who were not in custody could be discharged home at 6 hours with normal vitals.

Our primary outcomes were descriptive. Categorical data are presented as proportions with 95% confidence intervals and continuous variables are presented as medians and ranges. As we did not have an a priori hypothesis we did not perform formal power calculations. Data were analyzed using JMP 7 (2007 Carey NC).

Results

Upon initial computer search 1178 patients were identified utilizing the search terms defined above. We identified 153 patients as suspected stuffers after individual record review. Nine patients were excluded because of age (<18 years). Of the remaining 144 patients, 38 were excluded because they were not suspected of stuffing cocaine, a cocaine mixed product or the product stuffed was unknown. One hundred and six patients met final inclusion criteria as adult cocaine stuffers. Most patients (88%) were male with a median age 27 (range 18-52). Over half (63%) of the ingestions were witnessed and a substantial number ingested more than cocaine. Fifteen patients co-ingested heroin, six methamphetamine, and two marijuana. We attempted to quantify number of packets and quantity of drug ingested, but because of variability of documentation and reporting these were not included in the data analysis. Of the 57% (60/106) of patients with packaging recorded 43% (46/106) were unknown, 28% (30/106) were in bags, 7% (7/106) were wrapped, 5% (5/106) were balloons, and 17% (18/106) recorded no wrapping. Twenty percent had a urine drug screen performed and 71% percent of these tests were positive for cocaine.

Upon presentation 71.7 (62-80%) percent of patients had one or more symptoms (Table 1). The most common symptoms were mild tachycardia and hypertension. No patients were hyperthermic. During observation 13% (95% CI 8-21) of patients developed symptoms and all had resolution of symptoms at discharge. A single patient had a seizure upon presentation.

Most patients (76%) were given activated charcoal. Other treatments during emergency department stay and inpatient hospital observation included whole bowel irrigation with a polyethylene glycol solution (golytely) (86/122), and benzodiazepines 22% (27/122). Other less common treatments included antipsychotics (1), gastric lavage (2), naloxone (2), nitroglycerin (1), antihistamines (2), and angiography (1).

Overall 52% (55/106) of patients who were suspected stuffers had a return visit at a later date documenting survival. Eighty-one patients were observed >24 hours. Of this group 42 were noted to have a revisit to the hospital at a later date. Eighteen patients were observed between 6-24 hours, and 8 of these revisited the hospital at a later date. Three patients were discharged or left against medical advice from the emergency department during an observation period less than 6 hours, and two of these patients revisited the hospital at a later date. The duration of observation could not be determined for four patients, but three of these patients had a documented follow-up visit. Therefore we were able to document a minimum of 24 hours of observation or a follow-up visit for 94/106 subjects (89%).

No patients developed life-threatening symptoms (seizures, arrhythmias, hyperthermia or respiratory depression) during the six hour observation. A single patient had an indeterminate troponin during observation. No patients died during emergency department or forensic observation periods.

Discussion

Treating body stuffers in an emergency department or medical custody setting is challenging. Patient history of amount, type of drug ingested, and packaging is often unreliable and unclear. Imaging is controversial, usually not helpful in suspected stuffers

and patients are at risk of severe morbidity and mortality with significant ingestions (6,8,9,10).

Our study supports the effectiveness of a 6-hour observation protocol for suspected cocaine body stuffers. The vast majority of subjects in our study were observed for a minimum of 24 hours and we found no adverse events during this observation period. Of the subjects who were observed less than six hours, all but one had a return visit to our healthcare system subsequent to their index emergency department visit. While we cannot verify that these subjects had no adverse events after the ingestion, there were no fatalities among these subjects. We therefore conclude that severe adverse effects are very unlikely in patients who are asymptomatic during a 6 hour observation period.

The optimal management for body stuffers has not been formally defined. Protocols must balance the need for safety with the appropriate use of resources. Protocols also vary in observation time from hours to days. Proponents of longer observation periods cite cases like that presented by GA Norfolk when an inmate was found dead in her cell eleven hours after ingesting a packet containing methamphetamine or cocaine (11). However, her clinical status during observation was not reported and she was observed less than 3 hours with a single recheck of her vital signs at seven hours after her presentation. It is possible that there were symptoms or signs of toxicity that might have allowed earlier detection had she been more closely monitored (11). Sporer's case series showed that patients who were asymptomatic after a 6-hour observation period did well; however, follow-up beyond 6-hours was not obtained (6). In our study most patients were observed for >24 hours without development of seizures, or arrhythmias, and had a documented return visit to the hospital proving survival.

This study is limited by its retrospective nature specifically reliability of self-reporting and physician reporting. There is no way to confirm ingestion, dose, packaging type, and time of ingestion in these patients. We cannot prove that these patients ingested significant if any amounts of cocaine. We could have missed patients in our study. Our primary outcome was a 24-hour observation period as opposed to overall mortality; however, most patients that stuff cocaine will present with symptoms within 24 hours (6,8,12,13,14).

This study adds to the current clinical experience with cocaine body stuffing after a protocol was successfully implemented. Most patients in this series who developed signs of cocaine toxicity exhibited symptoms upon presentation.

For patients observed beyond 6 hours, none developed new life-threatening symptoms. Most of these patients were observed for >24 hours in a monitored medical setting in police custody. No patient deteriorated during this subsequent observation period; therefore, in our medical setting, stuffers could be discharged after a 6-hour observation period if there is either complete resolution or absence of clinical signs and symptoms. If not, they should be observed in a monitored clinical setting.

References

- 1). National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Department of Health and Human Services: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) & Office of Applied Studies (OAS); Rockville, MD: [Accessed on April 5, 2009]. 2007 at <http://oas.samhsa.gov/NSDUH/2k7NSDUH/2k7results.cfm#Ch2>.
- 2). Puschel K, Stein S, Stobbe S, et al. Analysis of 683 packages seized from "body stuffers." *Forensic Sci Int* 2004;140:109–111. [PubMed: 15013172]
- 3). Van, Dyke C.; Jatlow, P.; Barash, PG., et al. Oral cocaine: Plasma concentrations and central effects. *Science* 1978;200:211–213. [PubMed: 24895]

- 4). Benowitz NL. Clinical pharmacology and toxicology of cocaine. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 1993;72(1):3–12. [PubMed: 8441738]
- 5). Goldfrank, LR. *A Comprehensive Handbook in Problem Solving*. Appleton Century; Crofts, New York, NY: 1982. *Toxicologic Emergencies*; p. 183
- 6). Sporer KA, Firestone J. Clinical course of crack cocaine body stuffers. *Ann of Emerg Med* 1997;29(5):596–601. [PubMed: 9140242]
- 7). Roberts JR, Price D, Goldfrank L, Hartnett L. The body stuffer syndrome: a clandestine form of drug overdose. *Am J Emerg Med* 1986;4:24–7. [PubMed: 3947429]
- 8). June R, Aks SE, Keys N, et al. Medical Outcome of Cocaine Bodystuffers. *J of Emerg Med* 2000;18(2):221–224. [PubMed: 10699526]
- 9). Eng JG, Aks SE, Waldron R, et al. False-negative abdominal CT scan in a cocaine body stuffer. *Am J Emerg Med* 1999;17:702–704. [PubMed: 10597096]
- 10). Schmidt S, Hugli O, Rizzo E, et al. Detection of ingested cocaine-filled packets-Diagnostic value of unenhanced CT. *Eur J Radiol* 2008;67:133–138. [PubMed: 17768023]
- 11). Norfolk GA. The fatal case of a cocaine body-stuffer and a literature review-towards evidence based management. *J Forensic and Legal Med* 2007;14:49–52.
- 12). Havis S, Best D, Carter J. Concealment of drugs by police detainees: Lessons learned from adverse incidents and from ‘routine’ clinical practice. *J Clin Forensic Med* 2005;12:237–241. [PubMed: 16198964]
- 13). Fineschi V, Centini F, Monciotti F, et al. The cocaine “body stuffer” syndrome: a fatal case. *Forensic Sci Int* 2002;126:7–10. [PubMed: 11955824]
- 14). Pollack C, Biggers D, Carlton F, et al. Two crack cocaine body stuffers. *Ann of Emerg Med* 1992;21:1370–1380. [PubMed: 1416335]

Figure 1.
Body Stuffer Guideline.

Figure 2.
Patients in search.

Table 1

Symptoms	Number of complaints
Abdominal pain	3
Agitated	7
Anxiety	3
Bradycardia	4 (<60)
Chest pain	5
Decreased level of consciousness	1
Diaphoretic	4
Dizzy	2
Hypertensive	22 (>130/80 mmHg)
Hypoxia	2
Mild tachycardia	35 (100-120)
Moderate tachycardia	16 (>120)
Nausea	5
Seizure	1
Shortness of breath	2
Vomiting	3
Total	115