Guijun Shang,^a Huaixing Cang,^b
Zhijie Liu,^{b,c} Wei Gao^{a,d,e,*} and
Ruchang Bi^b

^aCollege of Science, Beijing Forestry University,
35 Qinghuadong Road, Haidian District,
Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China,

^bInstitute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of
Sciences, 15 Datun Road, Chaoyang District,
Beijing 100101, People's Republic of China,

^cNational Laboratory of Biomacromolecules,
Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of
Sciences, 15 Datun Road, Chaoyang District,
Beijing 100101, People's Republic of China,

^dNational Engineering Laboratory for Tree
Breeding, Beijing Forestry University,
35 Qinghuadong Road, Haidian District,
Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China,
and ^eKey Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding in
Forest Tree and Ornamental Plants, Ministry of
Education, Beijing Forestry University,
35 Qinghuadong Road, Haidian District,
Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: w_gao@bjfu.edu.cn

Received 6 August 2010

Accepted 4 October 2010

Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis of a calcineurin B-like protein 1 (CBL1) mutant from *Ammopiptanthus mongolicus*

Calcineurin B-like protein 1 (CBL1) is a calcium sensor in plants. It transmits the calcium signal through the downstream protein CBL-interacting protein kinase (CIPK). CBL1 and CIPK play crucial roles in the response to environmental stresses such as low K⁺, osmotic shock, high salt, cold and drought. Recombinant CBL1 from *Ammopiptanthus mongolicus* (AmCBL1) was overexpressed, purified and crystallized. However, the crystal did not diffract well. A mutant prepared using the surface-entropy method and crystallized using the hanging-drop method at 298 K with PEG 2000 MME as a precipitant diffracted to 2.90 Å resolution. The crystal belonged to space group *P*2₁2₁2, with unit-cell parameters *a* = 99.87, *b* = 114.42, *c* = 63.80 Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.00^\circ$ and three molecules per asymmetric unit.

1. Introduction

Unlike animals, plants cannot escape adverse environmental stresses. Active reaction to the stress is the only way that they can survive and grow. A series of sophisticated mechanisms have evolved in plants to deal with biotic and abiotic stress. Messengers are often used to transduce the stress signal from the extracellular environment to the interior and to elicit responses at the cellular and whole plant level. Calcium is one of the common messengers. Its cellular concentration fluctuates when the surrounding environment changes (Bush, 1995; Trewavas & Malho, 1998; Rudd & Franklin-Tong, 2001). Calcium signalling involves sensor proteins that decode temporal and spatial changes in cellular Ca²⁺ concentration. Three families of calcium-signalling proteins have been identified in higher plants. The first family consists of calmodulin (CaM) and CaM-related proteins (Zielinski, 1998; Snedden & Fromm, 2001). The second major class consists of Ca²⁺-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs; Roberts & Harmon, 1992; Harmon *et al.*, 2000). CDPKs that contain a CaM-like Ca²⁺-binding domain and a protein kinase domain are unique owing to the existence of a Ca²⁺ sensor and effector in a single protein. Calcineurin B-like protein (CBL) and CBL-interacting protein kinase (CIPK) form a novel calcium signal-decoding system (Albrecht *et al.*, 2003; Cheong *et al.*, 2003; Pandey *et al.*, 2004; Luan, 2008; Batistic & Kudla, 2009). CBL, which is similar to the calcineurin B subunit and neuronal calcium sensors (NCS) from animals, changes its conformation on binding to calcium and activates self-inhibited CBL-interacting protein kinase (CIPK; Liu & Zhu, 1998; Kudla *et al.*, 1999; Shi *et al.*, 1999; Halfter *et al.*, 2000). CIPK is a type of Ser/Thr kinase that phosphorylates downstream effector proteins such as ion channels or transcription regulators that depress or activate the expression of certain stress-related genes (Qiu *et al.*, 2002; Quintero *et al.*, 2002; Li *et al.*, 2006; Xu *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2007).

The CBLs identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AtCBL1–10) and rice (*OsCBL1–10*) by genome annotation show a high degree of similarity: 33–93% in *A. thaliana* and 44–94% in rice (Kolukisaoglu *et al.*, 2004). All CBLs possess a classical or nonclassical EF-hand Ca²⁺-binding motif (Lewit-Bentley & Rety, 2000). AtCBL2 is mainly responsible for light stimuli and strongly interacts with AtCIPK14 (Chikano *et al.*, 2001; Nozawa *et al.*, 2001). In concert with PKS5

Table 1
Primers for site-directed mutation.

Primer name	Primer sequence
<i>AmCBLFM66</i>	5'-AGATATTTGCTGCTGCCCTATTTTGAAAATTG-3'
<i>AmCBLRM66</i>	5'-CAAAAATAGGGCAGCAGCAAAATATCTTTGCAAAT-3'
<i>AmCBLFM82</i>	5'-GACTCCTGCCGCGCAACATCAAATAG-3'
<i>AmCBLRM82</i>	5'-TTTGATGTTGCGGCGCAGGAGTCATTGAT-3'
<i>AmCBLFM141</i>	5'-AGCCAACGCCATTGCAGACTCACAAG-3'
<i>AmCBLRM141</i>	5'-GAGTCTGCAATGGCGTTGGCTGAT-3'

(CIPK11), *AtCBL2* negatively regulates the activity of *AHA2*, a plasma-membrane H^+ -ATPase (Fuglsang *et al.*, 2007). Salt stress strongly induces the expression of *AtCBL4* or salt overly sensitive 3 (SOS3; Liu & Zhu, 1998). *AtCBL4*/SOS3 acts with *AtCIPK24* (SOS2) to activate the plasma-membrane Na^+ transporter SOS1 through phosphorylation (Qiu *et al.*, 2002; Zhu, 2002). *AtCBL4*/SOS3 functions mainly in plant roots, but its homologue SOS3-like Ca^{2+} -binding protein 8 (SCABP8)/calcineurin B-like protein 10 (CBL10) functions in salt tolerance in shoot and leaf tissue (Quan *et al.*, 2007; Kim *et al.*, 2007). *AtCBL5* is expressed in green tissues. It may function as a positive regulator in high-salt, drought or osmotic stress signalling pathways (Cheong *et al.*, 2010). Like *AtCBL5*, *AtCBL1* also functions as a positive regulator for drought and salt but functions as a negative regulator in cold response (Cheong *et al.*, 2003). Although *AtCBL1* has a similar amino-acid sequence to *AtCBL9*, it is not involved in the ABA signalling pathway in which *AtCBL9* plays a dominant role (Albrecht *et al.*, 2003; Pandey *et al.*, 2004). In recent years, a low- K^+ response signalling pathway in which *AtCBL1*, *AtCBL9* and their target protein CIPK23 participate has been discovered. Low- K^+ status can trigger elevated production of H_2O_2 in plant cells. Accumulation of H_2O_2 changes the concentration of Ca^{2+} in the cytoplasm. *AtCBL1* and *AtCBL9* saturated with Ca^{2+} bind the NAF domain/FISL motif of *AtCIPK23* and then activate this protein kinase. Activated *AtCIPK23* phosphorylates the K^+ transporter AKT1 that pumps K^+ from the extracellular environment to the cell, which finally leads to low- K^+ tolerance and regulates the stomatal opening of guard cells (Li *et al.*, 2006; Xu *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2007; Cheong *et al.*, 2007; Luan *et al.*, 2009).

To date, structures of *AtCBL2* (Nagae *et al.*, 2003) and its complex with the regulatory domain of *AtCIPK14* (Akaboshi *et al.*, 2008) and of *AtCBL4* (Sánchez-Barrena *et al.*, 2005) and its complex with the C-terminus of *AtCIPK24* (Sánchez-Barrena *et al.*, 2007) have been determined. These structures provide clues to understanding the relationship between calcium concentration and the calcium-binding capability of certain CBLs, *i.e.* the specialities of different CBLs in decoding the various calcium signals from different environmental stresses. Although CBL1 plays a distinct role in the CBL family, there is no structure available. In this paper, we report the expression, purification and crystallization of the CBL1 from *Ammopiptanthus mongolicus* (*AmCBL1*), which has 82% identity to *AtCBL1*. The native crystal of *AmCBL1* did not diffract well, but a mutant form prepared using the low surface-entropy method diffracted to 2.90 Å resolution. Its preliminary X-ray analysis is reported here.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Molecular cloning

AmCBL1 from *A. mongolicus* (GenBank accession No. AY902246.2) with a deletion of the N-terminal 17 amino acids was amplified by polymerase chain reaction using the forward primer 5'-GAAATTCATATGCCAGTAATTCTTGATCAC-3' and the

reverse primer 5'-CCGCTCGAGAGCAGCAACTTCATCCAC-3' (restriction sites are shown in bold). The PCR product was digested with the restriction enzymes *NdeI* and *XhoI* and inserted into pET-22b(+) (Novagen), resulting in the vector pET-22b-*AmCBL1Δ17* with a 6×His tag added to the C-terminus to facilitate the purification procedure. Six mutants (*AmCBL1Δ17-1* with K66A, K67A and E68A mutations; *AmCBL1Δ17-2* with K82A, K83A and K84A mutations; *AmCBL1Δ17-3* with E141A and K143A mutations; *AmCBL1Δ17-12* with K66A, K67A, E68A, K82A, K83A and K84A mutations; *AmCBL1Δ17-23* with K82A, K83A, K84A, E141A and K143A mutations; and *AmCBL1Δ17-123* with K66A, K67A, E68A, K82A, K83A, K84A, E141A and K143A mutations) were constructed with pET-22b-*AmCBL1Δ17* as the template using the gene splicing by overlap extension (gene SOEing) method (Horton *et al.*, 1990). The primer pairs used for the mutation of Lys66, Lys67 and Glu68 were named *AmCBLFM66* and *AmCBLRM66*, those for the mutation of Lys82, Lys83 and Lys84 were named *AmCBLFM82* and *AmCBLRM82* and those for the mutation of Glu141 and Lys143 were named *AmCBLFM141* and *AmCBLRM141*. The primer pairs used for the mutations are shown in Table 1. Constructs were verified by sequencing. Plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3) for expression. The expression, purification and crystallization procedures used for the wild-type and mutant proteins were the same.

2.2. Protein expression and purification

10 ml aliquots of an overnight culture were subcultured into 1 l fresh LB medium containing ampicillin ($50 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$). When the OD_{600} reached 0.8 at 310 K, 0.25 ml 0.8 M IPTG was added to 1 l of culture. The protein was expressed overnight at 289 K. The bacteria were then harvested by centrifugation (30 min, 4000 rev min^{-1}). The bacterial pellet was resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 20 mM imidazole) and ultrasonicated on ice for 10 min. After centrifugation (30 min, 16 000 rev min^{-1} , 277 K), the clear supernatant was applied onto 5 ml Ni Sepharose Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A. Contaminant proteins were washed away using buffer A. The target protein was eluted with buffer B containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 250 mM imidazole. The collected protein was concentrated to 2 ml and then applied onto a Superdex G200 size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$,

Figure 1
Typical crystal of *AmCBL1Δ17-123*.

1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The target peak was concentrated to 24 mg ml⁻¹ for crystal growth.

2.3. Crystallization

Crystallization conditions were screened at 298 K by the vapour-diffusion method using commercial screening kits (Crystal Screen, Crystal Screen 2 and Index Screen; Hampton Research, USA) by mixing 1 µl protein solution and 1 µl reservoir solution and equilibrating against 400 µl reservoir solution in the well. After several days, flaky crystals of *AmCBL1Δ17-123* appeared using Index Screen condition No. 78 (0.1 M bis-tris pH 5.5, 0.2 M ammonium acetate, 25% PEG 3350). The crystal quality was improved when 7% PEG 2000 MME was substituted for 25% PEG 3350 (Fig. 1).

2.4. Data collection and processing

The harvested crystals of *AmCBL1Δ17-123* were soaked in dehydration solution consisting of 0.1 M bis-tris pH 5.5, 0.2 M ammonium acetate, 7% PEG 2000 MME, 0.7 M Li₂SO₄ for 5 min and then dipped into reservoir solution containing 15% (w/v) glycerol for several seconds and quickly mounted on the goniometer in a nitrogen stream at 100 K. Data were collected using a MAR 345 CCD detector on beamline BL17U1 at the SSRF synchrotron-radiation source, Shanghai, People's Republic of China. The data collected were indexed, integrated and scaled with *HKL-2000* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997). Data-collection statistics are listed in Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

The whole length of *AmCBL1* was not expressed in *E. coli* because of its N-myristoylation motif. Therefore, this flexible disordered region (amino acids 1–17) was deleted, resulting in N-terminally truncated *AmCBL1Δ17* and finally leading to overexpression in *E. coli*. SDS-PAGE analysis of purified *AmCBL1Δ17-123* with a 6×His tag at the C-terminus (theoretical molecular mass of 23.1 kDa) showed that its purity was above 90% and that its molecular mass was approximately 23 kDa (Fig. 2). *AmCBL1Δ17* was crystallized with good morphology using a condition consisting of 0.2 M calcium acetate, 0.1 M sodium

Figure 2 15% SDS-PAGE analysis of *AmCBL1Δ17-123*. Left lane, *AmCBL1Δ17-123* after size-exclusion chromatography; right lane, molecular-mass markers (labelled in kDa).

Table 2 X-ray diffraction data-collection and processing statistics.

Values in parentheses are for the outermost shell.

Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2
Wavelength (Å)	0.97928
Resolution range (Å)	30.00–2.90 (3.00–2.90)
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	<i>a</i> = 99.87, <i>b</i> = 114.42, <i>c</i> = 63.80
No. of observed reflections	233073
No. of unique reflections	16883
<i>I</i> / <i>σ</i> (<i>I</i>)	54.6 (4.4)
Completeness (%)	99.5 (95.9)
<i>R</i> _{merge} † (%)	17.7 (43.5)
Matthews coefficient (Å ³ Da ⁻¹)	2.7
Solvent content (%)	53.6
No. of molecules in asymmetric unit	3

† *R*_{merge} = $\sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$, where *I*(*hkl*) is the intensity of the *i*th measurement of reflection *hkl* and $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$ is the mean value of *I*(*hkl*) for all *i* measurements.

cadodylate pH 6.5, 18% PEG 8000 with 1% Triton X-305 as an additive. However, the crystal did not diffract at all. It is believed that protein crystallization predominately depends on the entropic effect. The entropic cost of releasing ordered water from the sites of crystal contacts is countered by ordering of the protein molecules, *i.e.* the loss of freedom of side chains on the protein surface. Mutational protein crystal-contact engineering was designed to reduce the surface entropy and has proved to be a successful way of enhancing the crystallizability of proteins and improving crystal quality (Dere-wenda, 2004). Three clusters of residues (cluster 1, residues 66, 67 and 68; cluster 2, residues 82, 83 and 84; cluster 3, residues 141 and 143) with high entropy and low evolutionary conservation were selected for mutational crystal-contact engineering tests. All of the residues in these clusters were changed to alanine, which has a low confor-mational entropy. Six mutants (*AmCBL1Δ17-1*, *AmCBL1Δ17-2*, *AmCBL1Δ17-3*, *AmCBL1Δ17-12*, *AmCBL1Δ17-13*, *AmCBL1Δ17-23* and *AmCBL1Δ17-123*) were constructed, purified and screened for crystallization according to the protocol described above. These mutants produced a variety of crystals, which were tested for

Figure 3 Diffraction pattern of *AmCBL1Δ17-123* to 2.90 Å resolution.

diffraction. The shape and diffraction of six mutant crystals was compared and showed that the number of mutated residues may affect the growth of crystals. A larger number of mutated residues on the protein surface lowers the surface entropy and results in better crystal growth and diffraction to higher resolution. Finally, a mutant combining all three clusters (*AmCBLΔ17-123*) produced single crystals with good diffraction. Owing to the high solvent content of this crystal, dehydration with 0.7 M Li₂SO₄ was performed. Raw data were collected to 2.90 Å resolution (Fig. 3). The crystal belonged to space group *P*2₁2₁2, with unit-cell parameters *a* = 99.87, *b* = 114.42, *c* = 63.80 Å. The asymmetric unit is estimated to contain three molecules of *AmCBLΔ17-123*, with a corresponding Matthews coefficient of 2.7 Å³ Da⁻¹ and a solvent content of 53.6%. Structure determination is currently under way.

We thank Professor Yuhui Dong and colleagues at BSRF, and Professor Jianhua He and colleagues at SSRF for their help in data collection, Professor Xinli Xia and Professor Weilun Yin for providing the *AmCBL1* gene, Professor Hongbo Gao for revision of the manuscript and Dr Zhengqiang Gao for help in data processing. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30970578 and 30730077), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-08-0731), the National Laboratory of Biomacromolecules, Institute of Biophysics, CAS, the Key Science Program of the National Forestry Administration, China (2006-59) and the National 863 Program of China (2007AA10Z106).

References

- Akaboshi, M., Hashimoto, H., Ishida, H., Saijo, S., Koizumi, N., Sato, M. & Shimizu, T. (2008). *J. Mol. Biol.* **377**, 246–257.
- Albrecht, V., Weinl, S., Blazevec, D., D'Angelo, C., Batistic, O., Kolukisaoglu, U., Bock, R., Schulz, B., Harter, K. & Kudla, J. (2003). *Plant J.* **36**, 457–470.
- Batistic, O. & Kudla, J. (2009). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1793**, 985–992.
- Bush, D. S. (1995). *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **46**, 95–122.
- Cheong, Y. H., Kim, K.-N., Pandey, G. K., Gupta, R., Grant, J. J. & Luan, S. (2003). *Plant Cell*, **15**, 1833–1845.
- Cheong, Y. H., Pandey, G. K., Grant, J. J., Batistic, O., Li, L., Kim, B.-G., Lee, S.-C., Kudla, J. & Luan, S. (2007). *Plant J.* **52**, 223–239.
- Cheong, Y. H., Sung, S. J., Kim, B.-G., Pandey, G. K., Cho, J.-S., Kim, K.-N. & Luan, S. (2010). *Mol. Cells*, **29**, 159–165.
- Chikano, H., Ogawa, M., Ikeda, Y., Koizumi, N., Kusano, T. & Sano, H. (2001). *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **264**, 674–681.
- Derewenda, Z. S. (2004). *Structure*, **12**, 529–535.
- Fuglsang, A. T., Guo, Y., Cuin, T. A., Qiu, Q., Song, C. P., Kristiansen, K. A., Bych, K., Schulz, A., Shabala, S., Schumaker, K. S., Palmgren, M. G. & Zhu, J.-K. (2007). *Plant Cell*, **19**, 1617–1634.
- Halfiter, U., Ishitani, M. & Zhu, J.-K. (2000). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 3735–3740.
- Harmon, A. C., Gribskov, M. & Harper, J. F. (2000). *Trends Plant Sci.* **5**, 154–159.
- Horton, R. M., Cai, Z. L., Ho, S. N. & Pease, L. R. (1990). *Biotechniques*, **8**, 528–535.
- Kim, B.-G., Waadt, R., Cheong, Y. H., Pandey, G. K., Dominguez-Solis, J. R., Schüttke, S., Lee, S. C., Kudla, J. & Luan, S. (2007). *Plant J.* **52**, 473–484.
- Kolukisaoglu, U., Weinl, S., Blazevec, D., Batistic, O. & Kudla, J. (2004). *Plant Physiol.* **134**, 43–58.
- Kudla, J., Xu, Q., Harter, K., Grisse, W. & Luan, S. (1999). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **96**, 4718–4723.
- Lee, S. C., Lan, W.-Z., Kim, B.-G., Li, L., Choeng, Y. H., Pandey, G. K., Lu, G., Buchanan, B. & Luan, S. (2007). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **104**, 15959–15964.
- Lewit-Bentley, A. & Rety, S. (2000). *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **10**, 637–643.
- Li, L., Kim, B.-G., Cheong, Y. H., Pandey, G. K. & Luan, S. (2006). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **103**, 12625–12630.
- Liu, J. & Zhu, J.-K. (1998). *Science*, **280**, 1943–1945.
- Luan, S. (2008). *Trends Plant Sci.* **14**, 37–42.
- Luan, S., Lan, W. & Lee, S. C. (2009). *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **12**, 339–346.
- Nagae, M., Nozawa, A., Koizumi, N., Sano, H., Hashimoto, H., Sato, M. & Shimizu, T. (2003). *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 42240–42246.
- Nozawa, A., Koizumi, N. & Sano, H. (2001). *Plant Cell Physiol.* **42**, 976–981.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods Enzymol.* **276**, 307–326.
- Pandey, G. K., Cheong, Y. H., Kim, K.-N., Grant, J. J., Li, L., Hung, W., D'Angelo, C., Weinl, S., Kudla, J. & Luan, S. (2004). *Plant Cell*, **16**, 1912–1924.
- Qiu, Q.-S., Guo, Y., Dietrich, M. A., Schumaker, K. S. & Zhu, J.-K. (2002). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **99**, 8436–8441.
- Quan, R. D., Lin, H. X., Mendoza, I., Zhang, Y. G., Cao, W. H., Yang, Y. Q., Shang, M., Chen, S. Y., Pardo, J. M. & Guo, Y. (2007). *Plant Cell*, **19**, 1415–1431.
- Quintero, F. J., Ohta, M., Shi, H., Zhu, J.-K. & Pardo, J. M. (2002). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **99**, 9061–9066.
- Roberts, D. M. & Harmon, A. C. (1992). *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **43**, 375–414.
- Rudd, J. J. & Franklin-Tong, V. E. (2001). *New Phytol.* **151**, 7–33.
- Sánchez-Barrena, M. J., Fujii, H., Angulo, I., Martínez-Ripoll, M., Zhu, J.-K. & Albert, M. (2007). *Mol. Cell*, **26**, 427–435.
- Sánchez-Barrena, M. J., Martínez-Ripoll, M., Zhu, J.-K. & Albert, A. (2005). *J. Mol. Biol.* **345**, 1253–1264.
- Shi, J., Kim, K.-N., Ritz, O., Albrecht, V., Gupta, R., Harter, K., Luan, S. & Kudla, J. (1999). *Plant Cell*, **11**, 2393–2405.
- Snedden, W. A. & Fromm, H. (2001). *New Phytol.* **151**, 35–66.
- Trewavas, A. J. & Malho, R. (1998). *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **1**, 428–433.
- Xu, J., Li, H.-D., Chen, L.-Q., Wang, Y., Liu, L.-L., He, L. & Wu, W.-H. (2006). *Cell*, **125**, 1347–1360.
- Zielinski, R. E. (1998). *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **49**, 697–725.
- Zhu, J.-K. (2002). *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **53**, 247–273.