Published in final edited form as:

J Psychosom Res. 2010 December ; 69(6): 587–590. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2010.04.019.

Perceived Stress Mediates the Effects of Social Support on Health-Related Quality of Life Among Men Treated for Localized Prostate Cancer

Eric S. Zhou, MS^a, Frank J. Penedo, PhD^{a,b,c}, John E. Lewis, PhD^d, Mikal Rasheed, MS^a, Lara Traeger, PhD^a, Suzanne Lechner, PhD^b, Mark Soloway, MD^{b,d}, Bruce R. Kava, MD^{b,d}, and Michael H. Antoni, PhD^{a,b}

^a Department of Psychology, University of Miami

^b Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

^c Miami VA Healthcare System, Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center

^d Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami

^e Department of Medicine, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

Abstract

(1) Objective—To examine the longitudinal effect of social support on general health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in men treated for localized prostate cancer, and to evaluate the role of perceived stress as a potential mediator of that relationship, in an ethnically and demographically diverse sample.

(2) Methods—Psychosocial assessments were administered to a sample of 175 men at baseline, and 2 years later. Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to investigate the relationships between social support, perceived stress and HRQOL, while controlling for possible covariates that may affect HRQOL (e.g., age, time since diagnosis, medical co-morbidities etc.).

(3) Results—Higher levels of social support at baseline predicted higher levels of HRQOL at 2-year follow-up, after controlling for relevant covariates and baseline levels of HRQOL. This relationship was partially mediated by level of perceived stress at baseline. Furthermore, men perceiving high levels of social support reported significantly higher HRQOL compared with men perceiving low levels of social support.

(4) Conclusions—Results indicate positive social relationships contribute to improved HRQOL in patients who have undergone treatment for localized prostate cancer. One pathway through which social support can benefit HRQOL is through lower perceptions of stress. Enhancing or maintaining social support and reducing perceived stress may be potential targets for future psychosocial interventions aimed at improving HRQOL.

Keywords

health-related quality of life; perceived stress; prostate cancer; social support

Correspondence should be addressed to: Frank J. Penedo, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Miami, P.O. Box 248185 Coral Gables, FL 33124, Phone: (305) 284-6711, Fax: (305) 284-3947, fpenedo@miami.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

In 2009, approximately 192,280 U.S. men will be diagnosed with prostate cancer (PC; 1). Treatments such as radical prostatectomy and radiation are effective and men with localized PC have a 5-year survival rate approaching 100% (1). However, these treatments cause decrements in urinary, sexual and/or bowel functioning that compromise health-related quality of life (HRQOL; 2–5). While the physical side effects of treatment have been well documented, the extent to which psychosocial processes may impact HRQOL needs further investigation.

Research suggests that PC survivors report greater distress when compared with matched controls (6) and that increased perceived stress (PS) is associated with poorer HRQOL (7). For example, PC survivors experiencing urinary dysfunction report feelings of embarrassment and consequently greater PS (8). Reductions in PS have been shown to improve HRQOL (9). Distress over treatment-related side effects are chronic and can develop years after treatment has been completed (10).

Some have proposed that social support (SS) may buffer individuals from the negative effects of stress (11). SS appears to have a positive effect on adjustment following treatment. Higher levels of SS have been associated with psychological benefits in PC survivors, including reduced depression and anxiety (7,12–13). Furthermore, higher levels of SS are associated with less pain, and married PC survivors report better physical functioning (14).

Limited, and primarily cross-sectional work has assessed the impact of PS and SS in PC populations. Much of this past research has focused on breast cancer populations. As much of this research has focused on breast cancer populations, how SS specifically impacts PC survivors is not well understood. Furthermore, a recent review of the literature on psychosocial adjustment in PC survivors specifically identified a need to evaluate adjustment in more ethnically and demographically diverse samples (15). To address existing gaps in this literature, this study examined the longitudinal relationships between SS, PS and HRQOL in a diverse sample of PC survivors. The study hypotheses were: (a) higher baseline levels of SS predict greater follow-up HRQOL; (b) higher baseline levels of PS predict lower HRQOL at follow-up; and (c) PS mediates the relationship between SS and HRQOL.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited for an intervention study examining the effects of stress management in men treated for localized PC (16), and were included if they were 50 or older, were diagnosed with Stage I/II PC and had no cognitive impairment. Recruitment involved advertisements, urologist referrals and mailings to PC survivors identified from the Florida cancer registry. Eligible participants signed an informed consent approved by the university Institutional Review Board. All participants were administered a psychosocial assessment at 4 time points (baseline, 3-months, 10-months and 2-years post-baseline), and the responses from the baseline and 2-year follow-up assessments were analyzed for the present study.

Variables

Social Support—The Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Patients (ENRICH) Social Support Instrument (ESSI) was used as a measure of perceived SS. The ESSI is 7-item instrument that is highly correlated with other SS measures (17). Individual

items are summed, with higher scores indicating greater levels of perceived SS. The reliability of the ESSI in this study was acceptable (Cronbach's $\alpha=.84$).

Perceived Stress—The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS; 18) was used to assess perceptions of how unpredictable, unmanageable and stressful life had been during the past month. This 14-item scale asks to rate the frequency of thoughts and feelings related to stress, with higher scores indicating higher PS. It has been used extensively in PC populations with good reliability (e.g., 19). The reliability of the PSS in this study was acceptable (Cronbach's $\alpha=.80$).

Health-Related Quality of Life—The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General (FACT-G) was used to assess HRQOL (20). The FACT-G has demonstrated good reliability across a range of populations, including PC survivors (21), and has been correlated with other measures of QOL following cancer treatment (22). For the purposes of this study, the physical well-being subscale was used. The reliability of the FACT-G physical well-being subscale in this study was acceptable (Cronbach's $\alpha=.73$).

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were conducted with SPSS 16.0. Study variables were evaluated for internal consistency and normality. Zero-order correlations and ANOVAs were used to assess whether any potential covariates (age, education, marital status, ethnicity, time since diagnosis and treatment, medical co-morbidities [23], intervention condition) were significantly related ($p<.10$) with HRQOL. Methods by Baron and Kenny (24) were used to evaluate possible mediators. Should complete mediation not be indicated, the Sobel test (25) was applied to evaluate potential partial mediation.

Results

Sample Description

A total of 175 men who had undergone treatment for localized PC were evaluated. Please refer to Table 1 for full descriptive information. Results revealed that the only hypothesized covariate that was associated with HRQOL was number of medical co-morbidities (at baseline and 2-year follow-up; $ps<.05$).

Main Analyses

The hypothesized model proposed that higher SS at baseline would predict higher HRQOL at 2-year follow-up. Paired samples t-tests revealed that there was no statistically significant changes ($ps>.10$) in SS or PS over the 2-year follow-up. We proposed that the relationship between SS and HRQOL would be mediated by PS. First, the relationship between baseline SS and follow-up HRQOL was evaluated. All possible covariates were controlled in the regression models to more conservatively estimate variance explained in HRQOL by SS. In the first step we controlled for baseline levels of HRQOL, as well as all potential covariates. The second step explored whether baseline SS was associated with follow-up HRQOL. Results indicated that greater baseline SS predicted higher HRQOL scores at 2-year follow-up ($\beta=.16$, $p<.05$; Table 2).

The final set of analyses evaluated whether PS mediated the relationship between baseline SS with follow-up HRQOL. Analyses revealed that complete mediation was not indicated as the introduction of PS to the regression of HRQOL on SS did not cause the relationship between SS and HRQOL to drop to non-significance. A subsequent Sobel test indicated that the relationship between SS and HRQOL is partially mediated by PS (Sobel test $z=1.99$, $p<.05$).

Post-hoc Analyses

To investigate the possibility that there may be particular groups of men who are at risk for experiencing greater decrements in HRQOL, men were separated into quartiles based on their SS scores. The highest quartile of men reported SS scores above 31 while the lowest quartile reported scores below 20 (overall mean=25.6). Results indicated that men in the highest SS quartile reported significantly higher HRQOL scores than those in the lowest SS quartile ($p<.01$; 26.3 compared to 22.8). HRQOL scores for the men with SS scores in the lowest quartile were below U.S. norms (26).

Discussion

Prior work conducted with PC survivors suggests that they experience increased levels of PS, and this is associated with decrements in HRQOL (2,8). However, these effects can be buffered by SS (11). This study sought to evaluate the buffering effects of SS on HRQOL in a diverse sample who had undergone treatment for localized PC. Levels of PS were also explored as a potential mediator of that relationship.

Findings showed that after controlling for demographic and medical variables, and baseline levels of HRQOL, baseline SS was a significant predictor of HRQOL 2 years later. It appears that higher levels of SS act as a protective mechanism for HRQOL. Results also indicate that PS mediates the relationship between SS and HRQOL and suggest that one mechanism through which improvements in SS can improve HRQOL in PC survivors is lower levels of PS. This finding supports existing literature suggesting that perceived SS is associated with HRQOL, particularly in stressful situations (27). Because both SS and PS are modifiable factors, our results identify potential targets that may be addressed in psychosocial interventions particularly for men with limited social resources as our results suggest there may be a subset of the men (those perceiving low levels of SS) who are vulnerable to experiencing poor HRQOL.

Despite the potential implications of our work, we acknowledge several limitations. The sample reported slightly higher HRQOL compared to the general U.S. population (26), although there was a subset of men who are at particular risk for reporting lower levels of HRQOL. Furthermore, the sample was relatively healthy psychologically as we excluded participants with significant psychological impairment. Also, our measure of SS did not consider other aspects such as level of social integration, and network size (e.g., 28–29). Finally, there are several possible mechanisms through which the effects of PS may ultimately affect HRQOL (e.g., reduced treatment adherence or behavioral factors) which were not evaluated in the current study.

Conclusions

SS can positively impact long-terms HRQOL in localized PC. This relationship is explained by PS, suggesting the benefits of SS on HRQOL may occur via lower perceptions of stress. This may be particularly salient for men perceiving low levels of SS who were more likely to report significantly lower HRQOL. These findings provide potential targets for psychosocial interventions seeking to improve both psychological and physical adjustment in PC survivors.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by National Cancer Institute grant 1P50CA84944.

References

1. American Cancer Society. Cancer facts and figures. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society; 2009.
2. Korfage IJ, Essink-Bot M, Borsboom EJ, et al. Five-year follow up of health-related quality of life after primary treatment of localized prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2005;116:291–296. [PubMed: 15800919]
3. Gotay CC, Muraoka MY. Quality of life in long-term survivors of adult-onset cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998;90:656–667. [PubMed: 9586662]
4. Eton DT, Lepore SJ. Prostate cancer and health-related quality of life: A review of the literature. *Psycho-oncol* 2002;11(4):307–326.
5. Lubeck DP, Litwin MS, Henning JM, et al. Changes in health-related quality of life in the first year after treatment for prostate cancer: Results from CaPSURE. *Urology* 1999;53:180–186. [PubMed: 9886609]
6. Love AW, Scealy M, Bloch S, et al. Psychosocial adjustment in newly diagnosed prostate cancer. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2008;42(5):423–429. [PubMed: 18473260]
7. Balderson N, Towell T. The prevalence and predictors of psychological distress in men with prostate cancer who are seeking support. *Brit J Health Psych* 2003;8(2):125–134.
8. Clark JA, Inui TS, Silliman RA, et al. Patients' perceptions of quality of life after treatment for early prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21(20):3777–3784. [PubMed: 14551296]
9. Andersen BL, Farrar WB, Golden-Kreutz D, et al. Distress reduction from a psychological intervention contributes to improved health for cancer patients. *Brain Behav Immun* 2007;21:953–961. [PubMed: 17467230]
10. Potosky AL, Davis WW, Hoffman RM, et al. Five-year outcomes after prostatectomy or radiotherapy for prostate cancer: The prostate cancer outcomes study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96(18):1358–1367. [PubMed: 15367568]
11. Cohen SC, Wills TA. Stress, social support, and the stress buffering hypothesis. *Psychol Bull* 1985;98(2):310–358. [PubMed: 3901065]
12. Mehnert A, Lehmann C, Graefen M, et al. Depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder and health-related quality of life and its association with social support in ambulatory prostate cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2010;10.1111/j.1365-2354.2009.01117.x
13. Helgason AR, Dickman PW, Adolfsson J, et al. Emotional isolation: Prevalence and the effect on well-being among 50–80 year-old prostate cancer patients. *Scan J Urol Nephrol* 2001;35:97–101.
14. Eton DT, Lepore SJ, Helgeson VS. Early quality of life in patients with localized prostate carcinoma: An examination of treatment-related, demographic, and psychosocial factors. *Cancer* 2001;92(6):1451–1459. [PubMed: 11745222]
15. Bloch S, Love A, Macvean M, et al. Psychological adjustment of men with prostate cancer: A review of the literature. *Biopsychosoc Med* 2007;1:2–10. [PubMed: 17371571]
16. Penedo FJ, Molton I, Dahn JR, et al. A randomized clinical trial of group-based cognitive-behavioral stress management in localized prostate cancer: Development of stress management skills improves quality of life and benefit finding. *Ann Behav Med* 2006;31(3):261–270. [PubMed: 16700640]
17. ENRICH investigators. Enhancing recovery in coronary heart disease patients (ENRICH): Study design and methods. *Am Heart J* 2000;139:1–9. [PubMed: 10618555]
18. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav* 1983;24(4):385–396. [PubMed: 6668417]
19. Stone AA, Mezzacappa ES, Donatone BA, et al. Psychosocial stress and social support are associated with prostate-specific antigen levels in men: Results from a community screening program. *Health Psychol* 1999;18(5):482–486. [PubMed: 10519464]
20. Cella DF, Tulsky DS, Gray G, et al. The functional assessment of cancer therapy scale: Development and validation of the general measure. *J Clin Oncol* 1993;11:570–579. [PubMed: 8445433]
21. Victorson D, Barocas J, Song J, et al. Reliability across studies from the functional assessment of cancer therapy-general (FACT-G) and its subscales: A reliability generalization. *Qual Life Res* 2008;17(9):1137–1146. [PubMed: 18841493]

22. Kemmler G, Holzner B, Kopp M, et al. Comparison of two quality-of-life instruments for cancer patients: The functional assessment of cancer therapy-general and the European organization for research and treatment of cancer quality of life questionnaire-C30. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17(9):2932–2940. [PubMed: 10561373]
23. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, et al. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40(5):373–383. [PubMed: 3558716]
24. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;51(6):1173–1183. [PubMed: 3806354]
25. Sobel, ME. Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. In: Leinhardt, S., editor. *Sociological methodology*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 1982. p. 290–312.
26. Brucker PS, Yost K, Cashy J, et al. General population and cancer patient norms for the functional assessment of cancer therapy-general (FACT-G). *Eval Health Prof* 2005;28(2):192–211. [PubMed: 15851773]
27. Achat H, Kawachi I, Levine S, et al. Social networks, stress and health-related quality of life. *Qual Life Res* 1998;7(8):735–750. [PubMed: 10097622]
28. Kershaw TS, Mood DW, Newth G, et al. Longitudinal analysis of a model to predict quality of life in prostate cancer patients and their spouses. *Ann Behav Med* 2008;36(2):117–128. [PubMed: 18830672]
29. Banthia R, Malcarne VL, Varni JW, et al. The effects of dyadic strength and coping styles on psychological distress in couples faced with prostate cancer. *J Behav Med* 2003;26(1):31–52. [PubMed: 12690945]

Table 1

Demographic, medical and psychosocial characteristics for the total sample (N=175).

Variable	M	SD	%
Age (years)	64.8	7.5	
Education (years)	13.7	3.4	
Income (in thousands of \$)	50.1	42.1	
Months since diagnosis	15.5	6.2	
Social support (ESSI)	25.6	4.9	
Perceived stress (PSS)	18.0	7.4	
Physical well-being (FACT)	25.1	3.6	
Treatment type			
Surgery			48%
Radiation			52%
Ethnicity			
Non-Hispanic White			40%
Hispanic			41%
African-American			18%
Marital status			
Married, or in similar relationship			84%
Not married			14%

Table 2

Hierarchical regression analyses to predict health-related quality of life by social support, partially mediated by perceived stress.

Regression 1: Social support predicting perceived stress

Predictor	Standardized Regression Coefficient (β)	
	Step 1	Step 2
Block 1		
Baseline FACT-G Physical well-being	-.32 **	-.27 **
Months since diagnosis	.09	.04
Months since treatment	-.07	-.07
Medical co-morbidities	.01	.02
Age	-.01	.01
Income	-.05	-.01
Years of education	.00	-.01
Block 2		
ESSI social support		-.34 **

Regression 2: Social support predicting health-related quality of life

Predictor	Standardized Regression Coefficient (β)	
	Step 1	Step 2
Block 1		
Baseline FACT-G Physical well-being	.39 **	.33 **
Months since diagnosis	-.03	.00
Months since treatment	-.06	-.07
Medical co-morbidities	-.16 *	-.12 *
Age	-.01	.02
Income	.09	.07
Years of education	.08	.09
Block 2		
ESSI social support		.15 *

*
p<.05

**
p<.01