Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2010 September 22; 132(37): 12829–12831. doi:10.1021/ja105563a.

MRI detection of VEGFR2 *in vivo* using a low molecular weight peptoid-(Gd)₈-dendron for targeting

Luis M. De León-Rodríguez, Angelo Lubag, D. Gomika Udugamasooriya, Bettina Proneth, Rolf A. Brekken, Xiankai Sun, Thomas Kodadek, and A. Dean Sherry*

Advanced Imaging Research Center, Departments of Internal Medicine, Radiology, Biochemistry and Surgery University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390-9185

Abstract

The synthesis of a polylysine dendron containing eight GdDOTA units conjugated to peptoid dimer known to have a high affinity for the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) is described. This simple low molecular weight system with a molecular r_1 relaxivity of $\sim 48 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is shown to enhance MR images of tumors grown in mice *in vivo*.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is widely used for anatomical imaging of soft body tissues and for measuring dynamic processes such as perfusion, diffusion and chemical exchange. Paramagnetic complexes (largely Gd^{3+} , Fe^{2+} , Mn^{2+}) are commonly used to enhance contrast differences by altering the inherent relaxation properties (T_1 , T_2 , T_2^*) of tissue water. MR contrast agents are typically given in high doses (0.1 mmol/kg) and consequently are generally considered too insensitive for molecular imaging applications. Consequently, MR contrast agents designed to target specific biostructures are often based on nanoparticle or dendrimer platforms that allows significant amplification by additive effect of multiple paramagnetic centers over a single center.¹ Although this approach does improve the sensitivity of MR agents, changing from a simple low molecular weight complex to a large particle can have a substantial effect on tissue biodistribution and clearance of the agent. Other factors that can be optimized to decrease the amount of agent needed for detection include increasing its affinity for a target (lowest K_D).² We recently demonstrated that a single Gd^{3+} -peptide conjugate targeted to a specific protein attached to agarose beads could be detected by MRI at a local concentration of $\sim 4 \text{ } \mu\text{M}$ and, based on those results, predicted that an Gd^{3+} -based agent with a molecular $r_1 \sim 100 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ should be able to detect biological targets present in at $\sim 690 \text{ nM}$.³ However, creating a single low MW agent with an $r_1 \sim 100 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ has proven difficult even with highly motionally restricted systems.⁴ A simpler approach would be to attach a few Gd^{3+} chelates each having a more typical r_1 to a targeting moiety such that the molecular r_1 sums to ~ 100

Dean.Sherry@UTSouthwestern.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Calculations, detailed experimental procedures and characterization data for the compounds discussed in this work. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

$\text{mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. This has been achieved by attaching several Gd^{3+} complexes to a variety of functionalized scaffolds (eg. polymers, hyperbranched polymers, dendrimers)^{5–7} or to nanoparticles⁸ but such large structures can add new complexity by slowing renal filtration rates⁹ (glomerular filtration threshold $\text{MW} \leq 45 \text{ kDa}$)⁵ and even altering biodistribution of the agent. For example, a PAMAM G4 dendrimer with $\sim 21 \text{ GdDTPA}$ plus four biotins on its surface for targeting ($\sim 29 \text{ kD}$) is retained in the vasculature of a tumor at 24 hr simply due to the inherent enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) property characteristic of macromolecules.¹⁰ This feature could make it difficult if not impossible to differentiate a targeted *versus* a non-targeted macromolecule in tumors. Polylysine-based dendrimers also show promise in that they can be synthesized in specific sizes, are biocompatible and their blood clearance rates can be tuned by molecular weight to allow sufficient time to reach their target.¹¹ Antibodies and peptides have been most widely used for targeting specific biomarkers but such systems often suffer from poor *in vivo* stability or costly production. More recently, β -peptides and peptoids have gained interest as targeting moieties because they are easy to prepare, cost effective and stable toward peptidase and protease activity. Furthermore, screening methods to identify highly specific peptoid targeting agents for specific biomarkers on living cells have been reported.¹² A strategy we have adopted for creating targeted agents for molecular imaging by MRI consists of: 1) selection of target specific moiety from a peptoid library, 2) affinity optimization, 3) attachment of a small poly(GdDOTA)lysine dendrimer scaffold to the targeting peptoid, 4) affinity optimization of the final conjugate, and 5) *in vivo* testing. As an initial demonstration, we utilized a dimeric form of 9-residue peptoid sequence (GU40C4) known to bind with high affinity to the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2),¹² an important target for tumor metastasis. The fluorescein-tagged GU40C4 peptoid displayed a $K_D = 91 \pm 20 \text{ nM}$ for VEGFR-2 (See supporting information). A third generation poly(DOTA-lysine) dendron with a lysine linker (Fig. 1) was prepared by standard solid phase and solution synthesis followed by addition of a maleimide group to the free α -amino of the linker (Scheme S1, supporting information). DOTA was selected as the Gd^{3+} chelate since it forms complexes with high thermodynamic and kinetic stability thus eliminating problems associated with metal release, especially for applications *in vivo*.¹³ Dendron conjugation to the GU40C4 peptoid was accomplished by reaction of the thiol group of Cys residue of the peptoid and the maleimide moiety of the dendron. The r_1 relaxivity of the peptoid- Gd_8 -dendron conjugate (**1** in Fig. 1) was $13.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (37°C , pH 7, 23 MHz) per Gd^{3+} ion, slightly higher than the r_1 of the unconjugated Gd_8 -dendron ($12.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$). These r_1 relaxivities are similar to that reported for Gadomer 17 ($16.5 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ at 25°C , 20 MHz), a dendritic contrast agent composed of three third generation lysine dendrons attached to a trimesoyl triamide central core.¹⁴ The affinity between conjugate **1** and VEGFR-2, as measured by displacement of the fluorescein-peptoid, was about 7-fold weaker ($K_D = 703 \pm 172 \text{ nM}$) than unmodified GU40C4, presumably reflecting the steric bulk introduced by the Gd_8 -dendron. To test this, a longer linker was introduced between the peptoid and the Gd_8 -dendron (**2** in Fig. 1). This modification yielded a conjugate with an increased binding affinity ($K_D = 215 \pm 67 \text{ nM}$) and somewhat higher r_1 ($15.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, 37°C , pH 7, 23 MHz). At 9.4T, the measured r_1 relaxivity of **2** was $6.1 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ per Gd at 37°C so this translates to a molecular relaxivity of $\sim 48 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ under the conditions used for *in vivo* imaging. The r_1 value of **2** bound to VEGFR2 on agarose beads did not change significantly so one can reasonably assume that this will be its relaxivity *in vivo* as well.

Porcine aortic endothelial (PAE) and PAE cells expressing human VEGFR2 (PAE/KDR) (2.5×10^5 receptors per cell) were chosen for initial MR imaging. The receptor concentration per cell volume ($\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ cell radius) was $\sim 790 \text{ nM}$ for PAE/KDR while the control PAE cells had human VEGFR2. Thus, the local concentration of VEGFR2 in PAE/KDR cells was predicted to be within the detection limit for a Gd^{3+} agent having a molecular r_1 of $100 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$.¹³ T_1 weighted images of both cell lines exposed to **2** or the Gd_8 -dendron lacking

the peptoid (Fig. S4) showed that the image intensity of the PAE cell sample did not change when exposed to either 1.5 μM **2** or the non-conjugated Gd₈-dendron while the PAE/KDR cells showed a significant increase in image contrast only when exposed to **2**, reflecting specific binding of **2** to VEGFR2 on these cells. ICP-MS analysis of the cells post imaging showed there was negligible Gd³⁺ associated with either cell line exposed to the non-conjugated Gd₈-dendron while the PAE/KDR cells exposed to **2** had ~900 nM Gd³⁺. These results show that cell receptors expressed at this level can be detected by MRI using these simple targeted agents.

Next, we moved to an animal model to evaluate whether VEGFR2 expression could be detected *in vivo* using this low MW agent (~ 8.6 kD). Mice with MDA-MB-231 xenografts known to express VEGFR2¹⁵ were imaged before and after administration of **2** (0.008 mmol/kg). Those results were compared with images of other mice after injection of a scrambled 9-residue peptoid-Gd₈-dendron conjugate (~ 8.4 kD) (0.008 mmol/kg) that does not bind VEGFR2 (Fig. S3). As shown in Fig. 2 (left panel), tumors in mice treated with **2** were maximally enhanced at ~4 hr post injection (Fig 2A) while the image intensity in tumors after injection of the control peptoid Gd₈-dendron conjugate (Fig 2B) returned to baseline levels by 4 hr (Fig 2 right panel). The kidneys of these animals were also monitored during the study. After injection of the control peptoid Gd₈-dendron, the kidneys quickly darkened as a result of a reduction T₂ as expected during clearance of a Gd³⁺ agent at high imaging fields (Fig 2B).¹⁶ The kidney intensity in animals post injection of an equivalent dose of **2** did not darken significantly until much later in the study (Fig. 2A). This indicates that **2** is largely sequestered elsewhere during these early time points. It has been reported that VEGFR2 is also expressed in other tissues (e.g. kidney, liver) in mice¹⁷ which might explain some of the time dependent contrast differences. The kidney signal intensity in mice treated with **2** and the control peptoid returned to pre-treatment levels at 48–72 hours post injection.

In conclusion, we have presented a low molecular weight general targeting platform by combining a peptoid sequence derived from a chemical library with a Gd₈-dendron to generate a high T₁ relaxivity probe for molecular imaging of VEGFR2 *in vivo* by MRI. We anticipate that this platform technology will be generally useful for other targets that exist in tissues at these levels as well.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the National Institutes of Health (RR02584, CA115531 and CA126608) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Villaraza LAJ, Bumb A, Brechbiel MW. Chem Rev. 2010; 110:2921. [PubMed: 20067234]
2. Lee S, Xie J, Chen X. Biochemistry. 2010; 49:1364. [PubMed: 20102226]
3. Hanaoka K, Lubag AJM, Castillo-Muzquiz A, Kodadek T, Sherry AD. Magn Reson Imaging. 2008; 26:608. [PubMed: 18234462]
4. Kielar F, Tei L, Terreno E, Botta M. J Am Chem Soc. 2010
5. Zarabi B, Borgman MP, Zhuo J, Gullapalli R, Ghandehari H. Pharm Res. 2009; 26:1121. [PubMed: 19160028]
6. Swanson SD, Kukowska-Latallo JF, Patri AK, Chen C, Ge S, Cao Z, Kotlyar A, East AT, Baker JR Jr. Int J Nanomedicine. 2008; 3:201. [PubMed: 18686779]

7. Cheng Z, Thorek DLJ, Tsourkas A. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2010; 49:346. [PubMed: 19967688]
8. Warsi MF, Adams RW, Duckett SB, Chechik V. *Chem Commun.* 2010; 46:451.
9. Ye F, Jeong EK, Jia Z, Yang T, Parker D, Lu ZR. *Bioconjug Chem.* 2008; 19:2300. [PubMed: 19053180]
10. Zhu W, Okollie B, Bhujwalla ZM, Artemov D. *Magn Reson Med.* 2008; 59:679. [PubMed: 18302223]
11. Langereis S, Dirksen A, Hackeng TM, Van Genderen MHP, Meijer EW. *New J Chem.* 2007; 31:1152.
12. Udugamasooriya DG, Dineen SP, Brekken RA, Kodadek T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:5744. [PubMed: 18386897]
13. Cabella C, Crich SG, Corpillo D, Barge A, Ghirelli C, Bruno E, Lorusso V, Uggeri F, Aime S. *Contrast Media Mol Imaging.* 2006; 1:23. [PubMed: 17193597]
14. Nicolle GM, Tóth E, Schmitt-Willich H, Radüchel B, Merbach AE. *Chem--Eur J.* 2002; 8:1040.
15. Roland CL, Dineen SP, Lynn KD, Sullivan LA, Dellinger MT, Sadegh L, Sullivan JP, Shames DS, Brekken RA. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2009; 8:1761. [PubMed: 19567820]
16. Caravan P, Farrar CT, Frullano L, Uppal R. *Contrast Media Mol Imaging.* 2009; 4:89. [PubMed: 19177472]
17. Maharaj ASR, Saint-Geniez M, Maldonado AE, D'Amore PA. *Am J Pathol.* 2006; 168:639. [PubMed: 16436677]

Figure 1.
Gd³⁺-dendron GU40C4 conjugates with different linker chains.

Figure 2. (left). 9.4 T MR T₁-weighted coronal images of nude mice with subcutaneous cell tumor MDA-MB-231 xenografts at various timepoints following the intravenous (i.v.) tail injection of Gd₃-dendron peptoid conjugates. A) images of a tumor-bearing mouse before and after i.v. tail injection of 0.008 mmol/kg of conjugate **2**. B) images of a different tumor-bearing mouse before and after tail i.v. injection with 0.008 mmol/kg of an identical Gd₃-dendron conjugated to a control scrambled peptoid. The green and yellow arrows point to a kidney and tumor, respectively. (right.) Tumor signal intensities (s.i.) in mice injected with **2** (gray bars) or the control peptoid (white bars) (s.i. is the average of 3 mice) normalized to the tumor intensity prior injection. A t-test (two-tailed, unequal variance) was used to compare s.i. before injection and at 0.5 hr or 4 hr post injection of the animals injected with the control peptoid (+) or **2** (*) respectively. A $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.