Published in final edited form as:

Hepatology. 2001 September ; 34(3): 502–510. doi:10.1053/jhep.2001.26633.

Hepatocellular Carcinomas in Native Livers From Patients Treated With Orthotopic Liver Transplantation: Biologic and Therapeutic Implications

Hale Kirimlioglu¹, Igor Dvorchick^{2,3}, Kris Ruppert³, Sydney Finkelstein¹, J. Wallis Marsh², Shunzaburo Iwatsuki², Andrew Bonham², Brian Carr², Michael Nalesnik¹, George Michalopoulos¹, Thomas Starzl², John Fung², and Anthony Demetris¹

Thomas E. Starzl Transplantation Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA.

¹Department of Pathology, Pittsburgh, PA.

²Department of Surgery, Divisions of Transplantation, Pittsburgh, PA.

³Department of Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, PA.

Abstract

The gross and histopathologic characteristics of 212 non-fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs) discovered in native livers removed at the time of liver transplantation were correlated with features of invasive growth and tumor-free survival. The results show that most HCCs begin as small well-differentiated tumors that have an increased proliferation rate and induce neovascularization, compared with the surrounding liver. But at this stage, they maintain a near-normal apoptosis/mitosis ratio and uncommonly show vascular invasion. As tumors enlarge, foci of dedifferentiation appear within the neoplastic nodules, which have a higher proliferation rate and show more pleomorphism than surrounding better-differentiated areas. Vascular invasion, which is the strongest predictor of disease recurrence, correlates significantly with tumor number and size, tumor giant cells and necrosis, the predominant and worst degree of differentiation, and the apoptosis/mitosis ratio. In the absence of macroscopic or large vessel invasion, largest tumor size ($P < .006$), apoptosis/mitosis ratio ($P < .03$), and number of tumors ($P < .04$) were independent predictors of tumor-free survival and none of 24 patients with tumors having an apoptosis/mitosis ratio greater than 7.2 had recurrence. A minority of HCCs (<15%) quickly develop aggressive features (moderate or poor differentiation, low apoptosis/mitosis ratio, and vascular invasion) while still small, similar to flat carcinomas of the bladder and colon. In conclusion, hepatic carcinogenesis in humans is a multistep and multifocal process. As in experimental animal studies, aggressive biologic behavior (vascular invasion and recurrence) correlates significantly with profound alterations in the apoptosis/mitosis ratio and with architectural and cytologic alterations that suggest a progressive accumulation of multiple genetic abnormalities.

Hepatic carcinogenesis is a multicentric process divided classically into the 3 stages of initiation, promotion, and progression.¹⁻⁷ Initiation, an irreversible step marked by DNA damage and mutations, confers upon initiated cells, a potential growth advantage over surrounding cells. In the proper promoting environment, initiated cells undergo clonal expansion because of less responsiveness to negative growth constraints, altered responses to signals for growth or terminal differentiation, and/or resistance to the cytotoxicity and

mitoinhibitory effects of carcinogens. The altered foci may be capable of autonomous or clonal growth,^{4,8-10} but maintenance of a near-normal mitosis/apoptosis ratio⁶ limits expansion such that the foci may not be grossly or microscopically distinct from the surrounding liver.^{4,8-10} Tumor progression refers to accumulation of additional genetic defects that profoundly alter tumor growth, especially the relationship between mitosis and apoptosis⁶ and the capacity for invasive and metastatic growth.

Tumor progression can be divided clinically into curable and noncurable stages, which obviously are disease and treatment specific. We previously developed a statistical model allowing prognostication of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) recurrence after liver transplantation, based on routine gross and microscopic pathologic tumor characteristics (grade of vascular invasion, number of tumors, largest tumor size, lobar distribution).¹¹⁻¹⁴ The model allowed unambiguous identification of certain combinations of tumor characteristics that always or never led to recurrence. Unfortunately, in 30% of cases the same combination was associated with an opposite clinical outcome.¹¹⁻¹⁴

The goal of this study was to determine whether concepts derived from experimental animal hepatic carcinogenesis could be applied to the study of human HCC, and thereby enhance the predictive power of modeling. Therefore, we characterized all HCCs present in native livers removed at the time of transplantation, which provided an opportunity to study the entire spectrum of tumorigenesis, because most of the transplantations were performed for underlying cirrhosis or a combination of cirrhosis and tumor. An emphasis on features important in tumor progression enabled us to correlate gross and histopathologic tumor characteristics with vascular invasion and tumor-free survival after transplantation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population

The study (IRB protocols #000625 and 9507150) analyzed 212 of 358 native hepatectomy specimens containing HCC from a total of 3,432 orthotopic liver transplantation procedures performed between January 1981 and December 1999 at the Thomas E. Starzl Transplant Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. Fibrolamellar variants of HCC in noncirrhotic livers were excluded; and the blocks and slides from the remaining cases with HCC were unavailable for review. When more than one tumor nodule was identified, it was not always possible to distinguish independent primary tumors from intrahepatic metastasis (-es) from a single primary, on the basis of morphologic criteria alone. Any coexistent disease diagnosis was established using standard clinical and histopathologic criteria with clinicopathologic correlation. Demographic data including patient age, gender, and follow-up and other tumor information was obtained from the Electronic Data Interface for Transplantation (EDIT), which is a database maintained by the Thomas E. Starzl Transplant Institute. Tumor size and number and lobar distribution were obtained from the surgical pathology reports.

Routine Histopathologic Studies

Gross examination and histologic sectioning of the hepatectomy specimens were examined according to a previously published protocol.¹⁵ Routine hematoxylin and eosin stained sections of the tumor(s) were analyzed for predominant and worst grade of differentiation, growth pattern(s), microscopic or angiolymphatic and macroscopic or larger vessel invasion, necrosis, giant cells, and clear cell change (Fig. 1A-G). Evidence of distant metastases, lymph node metastases, resection margins, and infiltration of other organs was obtained from the clinical records and surgical pathology reports. Tumor differentiation was graded as well, moderate, and poor (Fig. 1A-G). The areas of worst differentiation were selected for counting metaphase

mitotic figures and apoptotic cells (Fig. 1H-I), but areas near tumor necrosis were avoided. The apoptotic and mitotic index were determined by counting the total number of mitotic or apoptotic cells in 10 randomly selected high power fields (HPF) ($\times 400$). Vascular invasion was divided into microscopic or angiolymphatic infiltration (small thin-walled vessels) and macroscopic or larger-vessel (typical veins or arteries) invasion (Fig. 1K-L). Tumor necrosis, tumor giant cells, and clear cell change were recorded as present or absent, but not graded (Fig. 1F-J).

The surrounding liver was examined for the presence and type of cirrhosis, the activity level of chronic hepatitis, if present, and portal vein thrombosis. Chronic active hepatitis was graded as follows: 0, inactive; 1, minimally active; and 2, active; based on a combination of the severity of inflammation and interface activity.

Immunohistochemical Analyses

From the 212 cases analyzed above, 55 were randomly selected for a more detailed immunohistochemical analysis using identifiers of cell proliferation (Ki-67; Mib-1 clone; Immunotech, Westbrook, ME) and tumor angiogenesis (anti-human α smooth muscle actin; 1A4; Lot110; 1:30 dilution; DAKO, Carpinteria, CA). Staining was performed on the section containing the area of worst differentiation and on nontumorous liver using a standard indirect avidin-biotin complex technique. All Ki-67⁺ hepatocytes were counted, regardless of the intensity of the staining in 10 HPF ($\times 400$). The distribution of Ki-67 staining within the tumors and non tumorous livers was classified as homogenous and nonhomogenous.

The pattern of smooth muscle actin staining was divided into single complete vessels and branching, sinusoid-like channels (Fig. 2A-C). For scoring purposes, the number of complete vessels was counted in 10 HPF. The sinusoid-like vasculature was scored as follows: 0, no sinusoid-like pattern; +, weakly stained discontinuous and focal vessels; ++, weakly stained diffuse branching vessels/focal well-formed vessels; and +++, diffuse well-formed sinusoid-like vessels. The distribution of each type of vessels was classified as either homogenous or nonhomogenous.

Statistical Analyses

Categorical variables were compared by using the χ^2 likelihood ratio test or Fisher's exact test when appropriate. Ordinal or interval variables were compared with the Kruskal-Wallis test or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U test when only 2 groups were compared. The Kaplan-Meier method was used for analysis of impact of the studied pathologic characteristics of HCC on tumor-free survival. Significance level was set up at .05. The stepwise Cox regression model with likelihood ratio test for variable selection was used to determine independent pathologic predictors of HCC recurrence.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Patients With HCC in the Native Liver

The study patients were predominantly men (159 men, 53 women), and ranged in age from 18 to 76 years (mean $\pm$ SD = 53.9 $\pm$ 9.6 years). Most of the patients had at least one risk factor for HCC, as evidenced by the etiology for the underlying cirrhosis, which was present in 197 of 212 (92.8%) cases (Table 1). The causes of the underlying liver disease were hepatitis C virus-induced (n = 60) or hepatitis B virus-induced (n = 38) cirrhosis (4 had both), ethanol abuse (n = 42), cryptogenic cirrhosis (n = 22), non-A, non-B chronic hepatitis (n = 20; pre-1990), autoimmune hepatitis (n = 7), primary biliary cirrhosis (n = 5), and primary sclerosing cholangitis (n = 2). The 25 remaining cases fell into other miscellaneous categories, such as metabolic diseases and chronic hepatitis, not otherwise specified.

Gross and Microscopic Characteristics of the Liver and HCC

The pattern of cirrhosis was mixed in 113 (57.4%); the remaining livers showed a predominantly macro nodular (n = 46; 23.3%) or micronodular cirrhosis (n = 38; 19.3%). The hepatitis activity level, when present, was judged as mild in 79 (37.3%) and severe in 105 (49.5%). One fourth of the cases (n = 50; 23.6%) had gross evidence of portal vein thrombosis.

A single tumor was present in 105 cases, 29 cases had 2 nodules, 7 cases had 3 nodules, and more than 3 tumor nodules were detected in 71 cases. When limited to a single lobe (n = 149), most tumors developed in the right lobe (125 right, 19 left, 4 caudate, 1 quadrate lobe). Multilobar involvement was seen in 63 cases. The median size of single and multiple tumors was 1.8 and 3.0 cm, respectively, with a standard deviation of 3.5 cm for both groups. Tumor was present in the resection margin in 13 (6.1%) cases, and 5 had lymph node metastasis.

Most HCCs appear to begin as small well-differentiated neoplasms, but eventually show heterogeneous histologic growth and differentiation patterns, consistent with the concept of tumor evolution (Fig. 3A-F). For example, most small single tumors (≤ 1.0 cm) were predominantly (23 of 28; 82%) or exclusively (13 of 28; 46%) well differentiated and did not show any evidence of vascular invasion (26 of 28; 93%). A few of these small single tumors however, showed predominantly or exclusively moderate or poor differentiation (4 of 28; 14%). Of all predominantly well-differentiated tumors, only 39 of 102 (38%) were exclusively well differentiated (*i.e.*, the predominant grade same as the worst grade), and all but 3 of these tumors were 3 cm or less. In larger (≥ 5.0 cm) single tumors, only 3 of 16 (19%) were predominantly well differentiated and none were exclusively well differentiated. Eight of 10 (80%) exclusively poorly differentiated tumors were 3 cm or greater.

A trabecular pattern predominated in 174 cases and was the secondary pattern in 21 cases; a pseudo-acinar pattern was observed in 66 cases and predominated in 29 cases. Clear cell change was present in 93 (43.9%) and giant tumor cells in 82 (38.7%) cases. Slightly more than one third (n = 78; 36.8%) of the tumors showed necrosis. One half of the tumors (n = 102; 48.1%) showed microscopic or angiolymphatic invasion and a subset of these (n = 40; 18.9%) also showed macroscopic or larger vessel involvement. The mitotic figure count in the areas of worst differentiation ranged from 1 to 42 per 10 HPF ($\times 400$) (mean = 10.9 ± 8.9 , median = 9). In the same areas, apoptosis counts ranged from 4 to 69 per 10 HPF (mean = 32.2 ± 15.2 , median = 31). The ratio of apoptosis to mitosis in the same area ranged from 1.09 to 31 (mean = 4.74 ± 4.12 , median = 3.63).

Correlation Between Different Tumor Characteristics

Recognition of vascular invasion is a direct indication of tumor aggressiveness and is the single most significant predictor of tumor recurrence after transplantation.^{13,16} Microscopic or angiolymphatic invasion correlates significantly with tumor nodule number and tumor size as well as the presence of giant cells and necrosis ($P < .01$; Table 2). There was also a significant correlation between angiolymphatic invasion and the predominant and worst degree of differentiation ($P < .01$; Table 3). The predominant differentiation pattern is more predictable of angiolymphatic invasion for the poorly differentiated cases, but the worst degree of differentiation better predicted angiolymphatic invasion in the well-differentiated cases. If the predominant differentiation was poor, all of the cases (10 of 10; 100%) had some level of vascular invasion. Conversely, if the worst area of the tumor was still well differentiated, then 35 of 39 (89.7%) cases did not have any level of vascular invasion (Table 3). There were no significant associations among the presence of clear cell change, histologic growth pattern, hepatitis activity, etiology of the primary liver disease, and any level of vascular invasion (Table 2).

There were also significant correlations between the apoptosis/mitosis ratio and other pathologic and clinical (see below) tumor characteristics. For example, both predominant and worst degree of differentiation were strongly correlated with apoptosis, mitosis, and the apoptosis/mitosis ratio ($P < .01$); the ratio decreases with dedifferentiation. There was a very strong correlation ($P < .0001$) between the apoptosis/mitosis ratio and the extent of vascular invasion (distribution of those with none, microscopic or angiolymphatic, and macroscopic or larger vessel invasion): a lower ratio in those with increasing extent of vascular invasion. The apoptosis/mitosis ratio was also significantly lower in tumors with clear cell change ($P < .05$), giant cells ($P < .01$) and tumor necrosis ($P = .001$). We failed to establish a significant correlation between tumor number and those parameters. The mean with 95% CI boundaries for the apoptosis/mitosis ratio for the predominant grade of differentiation is shown in Fig. 4.

Immunohistochemical Findings

In all the cases studied, the number of Ki-67⁺ hepatocytes per 10 HPF was significantly higher in the tumor than in the surrounding liver ($P < .0001$). However, in most of the cases (49 of 55; 89%), the apparent non-neoplastic hepatocytes in the surrounding liver also showed increased Ki-67 labeling (mean 4.81 ± 4.77 ; range, 0 to 14; median, 2.5) compared with historical controls of normal human liver.¹⁷ In general, cases with relatively high Ki-67 labeling in the non-neoplastic hepatocytes also showed high Ki-67 labeling in the tumor and/or had active hepatitis. There were also significant correlations between apoptosis, mitosis, and Ki-67 labeling, as expected, and seen in previous studies ($P < .01$). When the apoptosis/mitosis ratio and Ki-67 labeling were compared, again a strong correlation was found, but it was slightly lower ($P < .05$) than for the correlation between mitosis and apoptosis (see above).

Almost all of the tumors (50 of 56; 89.3%) showed a diffuse sinusoid-like staining pattern with smooth muscle actin, whereas this pattern was not seen in the surrounding non-neoplastic liver (Fig. 2). Within the tumors, the number of vessels (range 3-39; mean 10.2 ± 6.8 , median 8) was significantly higher ($P < .01$) than in the surrounding non-neoplastic nodules, which showed no vessels in 37 cases, 1 vessel in 6 cases, and 2 vessels in 13 cases. Although angiogenesis significantly correlated with neoplastic transformation, there was no statistical correlation between angiogenesis and mitosis, Ki-67, differentiation, vascular invasion, tumor size, or tumor number. If the number of complete vessels within a tumor was more than 5, the apoptotic cell number decreased and the correlation between the vessel number and apoptosis was statistically Significant ($P < .01$).

Tumor vascularity (19 of 56; 34%) and Ki-67 labeling (25 of 56; 45%) were judged to be heterogeneous within the tumors, and the heterogeneity was often related to obvious differences in tumor morphology (*i.e.*, differentiation or histologic pattern). However, in other cases regional differences in Ki-67 labeling within the tumors had no obvious morphologic correlate, except that in 5 cases, staining was increased at the periphery of the tumor. There was no significant correlation between the tumor growth pattern or clear cell change and Ki-67 and/or vessel number or heterogeneity.

Correlation Between Tumor Characteristics and Tumor-Free Survival After Transplantation

Because patients with macroscopic or larger vessel invasion invariably suffer HCC recurrence,¹⁶ pathologic examination of native liver becomes especially valuable in terms of disease prognosis for patients with no or microscopic (angiolymphatic) invasion. To investigate the potential role of tumor characteristics for postoperative HCC progression in patients without large vessel invasion, the Cox proportional hazard model was used. Largest tumor size ($P < .006$), apoptosis/mitosis ratio ($P < .03$), and number of tumors ($P < .04$) were found to be independent predictors of postoperative tumor-free survival. The hazard of recurrence

increases 3.1-fold (95% CI: 1.1-8.8) for the apoptosis/mitosis ratio below 3.5 compared with the respective value above 3.5.

The apoptosis/mitosis ratio alone can also serve as a predictor of disease progression. The patients with tumors showing a ratio above 3.5 had significantly superior ($P < .002$) post-transplantation tumor-free survival compared with patients who had tumors with a lesser ratio (Fig. 5). This finding is not surprising because the extent of vascular invasion, which is the most important predictor of disease progression, correlates well with the apoptosis/mitosis ratio ($P < .0001$). Moreover, in the absence of macroscopic or large vessel invasion, none of 24 patients with the apoptosis/mitosis ratio of above 7.2 had HCC recurrence during the follow-up period (3.4 ± 0.5 years).

The apoptosis/mitosis may help distinguish between multiple versus single origin of multiple tumors (stage T4 vs. T2 or 2 T1 tumors). However, tumor genetic analysis is required to evaluate this hypothesis.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that the multistep and multifocal concept of hepatic carcinogenesis elucidated largely in experimental animal models, can be used to recognize the various stages of HCC development in humans and guide clinical management.^{1,6,7} It also shows that hepatic tumor biology is similar to the sequential evolution of colon¹⁸ and bladder cancer.¹⁹⁻²²

The majority of HCCs in this study appear to begin as small well-differentiated neoplasms without vascular invasion, which is similar to other studies.⁵ The distinction between dysplasia and carcinoma in such cases is currently based on the subjective opinion of the pathologist who relies on a combination of architectural and cytological alterations.^{3,5,23,24} The validity of this distinction is substantiated by significantly increased Ki-67 labeling within the tumor cells^{25,26} compared with the surrounding liver and the induction of tumor angiogenesis (diffuse sinusoid-like and/or complete vessels) recognized on smooth muscle actin staining. Factor VIII or CD34 staining can also be used to highlight endothelial cells of tumor angiogenesis.²⁷⁻²⁹ As in bladder carcinogenesis,²⁰ these small well-differentiated neoplasms show increased cellular proliferation, but “social” interactions, differentiation, and the ratio of cell proliferation to apoptosis remains near normal such that complete removal brings about a cure.

An overriding conclusion of this and other studies in experimental animals and humans is that HCCs progress.^{5,6,30-32} As the tumors enlarge, foci within the HCC become less well differentiated: only 18% of all tumors remained exclusively well differentiated, and all but 3 of these were smaller than 3.0 cm. As in other studies,^{5,23,24,32,33} the development of “tumor (s) within a tumor” was recognized histologically by both a lesser degree of differentiation or growth pattern, pleomorphism, and higher Ki-67 labeling index. In such cases, less differentiated foci are always surrounded by better differentiated neoplastic hepatocytes, similar to previous studies.^{5,23,24,33}

Eventually dedifferentiated foci acquire an ability to invade the vasculature and metastasize, as evidenced by the correlation between vascular invasion and recurrence after transplantation.^{5,16,34} Acquisition of these aggressive characteristics is likely attributable to further genetic instability and abnormalities, as evidenced by the appearance of tumor giant cells and poor differentiation, which lead to profound alterations in growth (apoptosis/mitosis ratio), as in experimental animal studies^{6,7,35} and in human breast cancer.³⁶ In fact, the increased proliferation observed in the small, well-differentiated lesion may promote genetic instability and tumor progression.⁷ Thus, routine histopathologic findings such as vascular invasion,

tumor giant cells, and the apoptosis/mitosis ratio are likely to be surrogate markers of genetic instability and abnormalities, marking clinically important events in tumor progression.

Appreciation of tumor heterogeneity is also a consistent conclusion in molecular and genetic analyses of HCC,^{23,30,37,38} which show that both genetic and epigenetic alterations contribute to tumor phenotype.^{30,31,37-40} Genes with reported somatic mutations include the tumor suppressor genes such as p53, mannose-6-phosphate/insulin-like factor 2 receptor, β -catenin, p16, and retinoblastoma, which are either directly or indirectly involved with cell cycle progression or invasive growth. Germ line mutations of p16, adenomatosis polyposis coli, and breast cancer gene 2 have also been reported,³⁸ as have oncogenic activation of several cellular genes such as cyclin D and cyclin A.³⁸

Regulation of mitosis and apoptosis through the cell cycle-related proteins p16, p21, p27, and cyclin D is an intriguing aspect of liver carcinogenesis.^{4,32,38,41-43} For example, inactivation of p16 by posttranscriptional regulation appears to participate in both the early developmental stage and in tumor progression,³⁸ whereas reduced p21 expression caused by p53 mutations seems to occur at a later stage. Consistent with our observation of an altered apoptosis/mitosis ratio and aggressive biologic behavior, poorly differentiated tumors and the inner nodules of heterogeneous tumors more often show p53 mutations,^{32,38} and Rb dysfunction is associated with malignant progression and metastasis of HCC.³⁰ Given the results of this study, it will be important in future studies to carefully correlate genetic alterations with tumor morphology and growth characteristics using microdissection.

Although most HCCs begin as well-differentiated neoplasms that slowly enlarge and evolve, as discussed above, a small subset (roughly <20%) quickly acquire aggressive characteristics similar to flat carcinomas of the bladder^{21,22} and colon.¹⁸ Because of this biology, prognostication of tumor behavior based on size alone will be inaccurate for a minority of cases. It will be interesting to determine whether these early aggressive lesions follow molecular pathways distinct from more conventional tumors (or share some pathways but not others), similar to the spectrum of genetic alterations uncovered in flat and polypoid bladder²⁰ and colon¹⁸ cancers.

Angiogenesis is an obviously important aspect of hepatic carcinogenesis, which already is used for tumor treatment. Unfortunately, this study failed to show a correlation between microvascular density and tumor aggressiveness, size, differentiation, mitosis, or any level of vascular invasion, which is consistent with some previous studies^{28,44,45} but in contrast with others.^{27,28} However, if the number of complete vessels within a tumor was more than 5, the apoptotic cell number decreased, suggesting that angiogenesis might contribute to tumor progression by altering the apoptosis/mitosis ratio. In our opinion, the lack of consensus between HCC angiogenesis and tumor biology is at least partially attributable to lack of standardized quantification methods.^{27,28,44,45} In addition, the development of large complete vessels in HCC angiogenesis is significantly different than other neoplasms^{46,47} and current methods do not consider the caliber of any complete vessels. More work is needed in this area.

Lastly, it is likely that the TNM system will require modification to better predict prognosis, as we previously suggested.¹⁴ Although some aspects of tumor progression are captured with the current system, the variable significance of multifocality and other aspects of tumor progression, such as microscopic vascular invasion and the apoptosis/mitosis ratio, are ignored. For example, this study showed that if 2 separate HCCs maintain a relatively high apoptosis/mitosis ratio, they probably arose as 2 separate T1 primaries and do not represent a multifocal aggressive neoplasm arising from intrahepatic metastasis.

Acknowledgments

Supported by NIH RO1 DK49615, NIHCA30241, and NIH 35373.

Abbreviations

HCC	hepatocellular carcinoma
HPF	high power field

REFERENCES

1. Sirica, AE. Multistage carcinogenesis.. In: Sirica, AE., editor. Cellular and Molecular Pathogenesis. Lippincott-Raven; Philadelphia: 1996. p. 283-320.
2. Kaji K, Terada T, Nakanuma Y. Frequent occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic livers after surgical resection of atypical adenomatous hyperplasia (borderline hepatocellular lesion): a follow-up study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1994;89:903–908. [PubMed: 8198103]
3. Takayama T, Makuuchi M, Hirohashi S, Sakamoto M, Okazaki N, Takayasu K, Kosuge T, et al. Malignant transformation of adenomatous hyperplasia to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Lancet* 1990;336:1150–1153. [PubMed: 1978027]
4. Tiniakos DG, Brunt EM. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen and Ki-67 labeling in hepatocellular nodules: a comparative study. *Liver* 1999;19:58–68. [PubMed: 9928768]
5. Kojiro M, Nakashima O. Histopathologic evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma with special reference to small early stage tumors. *Semin Liver Dis* 1999;19:287–296. [PubMed: 10518308]
6. Farber E. Hepatocyte proliferation in stepwise development of experimental liver cell cancer. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;36:973–978. [PubMed: 2070708]
7. Factor VM, Laskowska D, Jensen MR, Weitach JT, Popescu NC, Thorgeirsson SS. Vitamin E reduces chromosomal damage and inhibits hepatic tumor formation in a transgenic mouse model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97:2196–2201. [PubMed: 10681450]
8. Aihara T, Noguchi S, Sasaki Y, Nakano H, Imaoka S. Clonal analysis of regenerative nodules in hepatitis C virus-induced liver cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 1994;107:1805–1811. [PubMed: 7958695]
9. Theise ND, Marcelin K, Goldfischer M, Hytiroglou P, Ferrell L, Thung SN. Low proliferative activity in macroregenerative nodules: evidence for an alternate hypothesis concerning human hepatocarcinogenesis. *Liver* 1996;16:134–139. [PubMed: 8740848]
10. Yasui H, Hino O, Ohtake K, Machinami R, Kitagawa T. Clonal growth of hepatitis B virus-integrated hepatocytes in cirrhotic liver nodules. *Cancer Res* 1992;52:6810–6814. [PubMed: 1458469]
11. Marsh JW, Casavilla A, Iwatsuki S, Dvorchik I, Subotin M, Balan V, Rakela J, Subotin V, Popechitelev EP. Predicting the risk of tumor recurrence following transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma [letter; comment]. *Hepatology* 1997;26:1689–1691. [PubMed: 9398022]
12. Marsh JW, Dvorchik I, Iwatsuki S. Liver transplantation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg* 1998;5:24–28. [PubMed: 9683750]
13. Iwatsuki S, Dvorchik I, Marsh JW, Madariaga JR, Carr B, Fung JJ, Starzl TE. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a proposal of a prognostic scoring system. *J Am Coll Surg* 2000;191:389–394. [PubMed: 11030244]
14. Marsh JW, Dvorchik I, Bonham CA, Iwatsuki S. Is the pathologic TNM staging system for patients with hepatoma predictive of outcome? *Cancer* 2000;88:538–543. [PubMed: 10649244]
15. Demetris, AJ.; Kakizoe, S.; Oguma, S. Pathology of liver transplantation.. In: Williams, JW., editor. Hepatic Transplantation. Saunders; Philadelphia: 1990. p. 60-113.
16. Marsh JW, Dvorchik I, Subotin M, Balan V, Rakela J, Popechitelev EP, Subotin V, et al. The prediction of risk of recurrence and time to recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after orthotopic liver transplantation: a pilot study. *Hepatology* 1997;26:444–450. [PubMed: 9252157]
17. Demetris AJ, Seaberg EC, Wennerberg A, Ionellie J, Michalopoulos G. Ductular reaction after submassive necrosis in humans. Special emphasis on analysis of ductular hepatocytes. *Am J Pathol* 1996;149:439–448. [PubMed: 8701983]

18. Fogt F, Vortmeyer AO, Stolte M, Mueller E, Mueller J, Noffsinger A, Poremba C, et al. Loss of heterozygosity of the von Hippel Lindau gene locus in polypoid dysplasia but not flat dysplasia in ulcerative colitis or sporadic adenomas. *Hum Pathol* 1998;29:961–964. [PubMed: 9744312]
19. Reznikoff CA, Belair CD, Yeager TR, Savelieva E, Blelloch RH, Puthenveettil JA, Cuthill S. A molecular genetic model of human bladder cancer pathogenesis. *Semin Oncol* 1996;23:571–584. [PubMed: 8893868]
20. Cordon-Cardo C, Cote RJ, Sauter G. Genetic and molecular markers of urothelial premalignancy and malignancy. *Scand J Urol Nephrol Suppl* 2000;205:82–93. [PubMed: 11144907]
21. Farrow GM. Pathology of carcinoma in situ of the urinary bladder and related lesions. *J Cell Biochem Suppl* 1992;16I:39–43. [PubMed: 1305686]
22. Helpap B, Kollermann J. [Revisions in the WHO histological classification of urothelial bladder tumors and flat urothelial lesions.]. *Pathologe* 2000;21:211–217. [PubMed: 10900613]
23. Okuda K. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2000;32:225–237. [PubMed: 10728807]
24. Nakanuma Y, Terada T, Terasaki S, Ueda K, Nonomura A, Kawahara E, Matsui O. 'Atypical adenomatous hyperplasia' in liver cirrhosis: low-grade hepatocellular carcinoma or borderline lesion? *Histopathology* 1990;17:27–35. [PubMed: 2172140]
25. Saito Y, Matsuzaki Y, Doi M, Sugitani T, Chiba T, Abei M, Shoda J, Tanaka N. Multiple regression analysis for assessing the growth of small hepatocellular carcinoma: the MIB-1 labeling index is the most effective parameter. *J Gastroenterol* 1998;33:229–235. [PubMed: 9605954]
26. Nolte M, Werner M, Nasarek A, Bektas H, von Wasielewski R, Klempnauer J, Georgii A. Expression of proliferation associated antigens and detection of numerical chromosome aberrations in primary human liver tumours: relevance to tumour characteristics and prognosis. *J Clin Pathol* 1998;51:47–51. [PubMed: 9577372]
27. Tanigawa N, Lu C, Mitsui T, Miura S. Quantitation of sinusoid-like vessels in hepatocellular carcinoma: its clinical and prognostic significance. *Hepatology* 1997;26:1216–1223. [PubMed: 9362365]
28. El-Assal ON, Yamanoi A, Soda Y, Yamaguchi M, Igarashi M, Yamamoto A, Nabika T, et al. Clinical Significance of microvessel density and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in hepatocellular carcinoma and surrounding liver: possible involvement of vascular endothelial growth factor in the angiogenesis of cirrhotic liver. *Hepatology* 1998;27:1554–1562. [PubMed: 9620326]
29. Grigioni WF, Garbisa S, D'Errico A, Baccarini P, Stetler-Stevenson WG, Liotta LA, Mancini AM. Evaluation of hepatocellular carcinoma aggressiveness by a panel of extracellular matrix antigens. *Am J Pathol* 1991;138:647–654. [PubMed: 1848041]
30. Hui AM, Makuuchi M. Molecular basis of multistep hepatocarcinogenesis: genetic and epigenetic events. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1999;34:737–742. [PubMed: 10499472]
31. Hussain SP, Harris CC. Molecular epidemiology and carcinogenesis: endogenous and exogenous carcinogens. *Mutat Res* 2000;462:311–322. [PubMed: 10767641]
32. Yamamoto K, Takenaka K, Kajiyama K, Shimada M, Shirabe K, Taketomi A, Maeda T, et al. Cell proliferation and cell loss in nodule-in-nodule hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1999;46:813–819. [PubMed: 10370619]
33. Tsuda H, Hirohashi S, Shimosato Y, Terada M, Hasegawa H. Clonal origin of atypical adenomatous hyperplasia of the liver and clonal identity with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 1988;95:1664–1666. [PubMed: 2846405]
34. Mor E, Kaspa RT, Sheiner P, Schwartz M. Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma associated with cirrhosis in the era of liver transplantation. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;129:643–653. [PubMed: 9786813]
35. Schulte-Hermann R, Timmermann-Trosiener I, Barthel G, Bursch W. DNA synthesis, apoptosis, and phenotypic expression as determinants of growth of altered foci in rat liver during phenobarbital promotion. *Cancer Res* 1990;50:5127–5135. [PubMed: 2379175]
36. Mommers EC, van Diest PJ, Leonhart AM, Meijer CJ, Baak JP. Balance of cell proliferation and apoptosis in breast carcinogenesis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1999;58:163–169. [PubMed: 10674882]
37. Hussain SP, Harris CC. Molecular epidemiology of human cancer. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 1998;154:22–36. [PubMed: 10026991]
38. Ozturk M. Genetic aspects of hepatocellular carcinogenesis. *Semin Liver Dis* 1999;19:235–242. [PubMed: 10518303]

39. Morin PJ. Beta-catenin signaling and cancer. *Bioessays* 1999;21:1021–1030. [PubMed: 10580987]
40. Sheu JC. Molecular mechanism of hepatocarcinogenesis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1997;12:S309–S313. [PubMed: 9407351]
41. Ng IO. Prognostic significance of pathological and biological factors in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;13:666–670. [PubMed: 9715414]
42. Ito Y, Matsuura N, Sakon M, Takeda T, Umeshita K, Nagano H, Nakamori S, et al. Both cell proliferation and apoptosis significantly predict shortened disease-free survival in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 1999;81:747–751. [PubMed: 10574266]
43. Tannapfel A, Geissler F, Kockerling F, Katalinic A, Hauss J, Wittekind C. Apoptosis and proliferation in relation to histopathological variables and prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Pathol* 1999;187:439–445. [PubMed: 10398104]
44. Kimura H, Nakajima T, Kagawa K, Deguchi T, Kakusui M, Katagishi T, Okanou T, et al. Angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma as evaluated by CD34 immunohistochemistry. *Liver* 1998;18:14–19. [PubMed: 9548262]
45. Ker CG, Chen HY, Juan CC, Lo HW, Shen YY, Chen JS, Lee KT, Sheen PC. Role of angiogenesis in hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1999;46:646–650. [PubMed: 10370590]
46. O'Byrne KJ, Dalgleish AG, Browning MJ, Steward WP, Harris AL. The relationship between angiogenesis and the immune response in carcinogenesis and the progression of malignant disease. *Eur J Cancer* 2000;36:151–169. [PubMed: 10741273]
47. Weidner N. Intratumor microvessel density as a prognostic factor in cancer. *Am J Pathol* 1995;147:9–19. [PubMed: 7541613]

Fig. 1.

Illustration of the various routine histopathologic parameters evaluated in the HCC present in the native livers removed at the time of transplantation. (A) Well-differentiated HCC; (B) pseudo-acinar growth pattern; (C) fibrolamellar-like growth pattern; (D) moderately differentiated HCC; (E) poorly differentiated HCC; (F) clear cell change; (G) multi- and mononuclear tumor giant cells; (H) mitoses; (I) apoptosis (*inset* showing greater detail) ; (J) tumoral necrosis (*bottom right*); (K) microscopic or angiolymphatic invasion; (L) macroscopic or arterial invasion.

Fig. 2.

Grading of tumor angiogenesis. (A) Diffuse prominent sinusoidal-like vessels (+++); (B) weakly stained, diffuse sinusoidal-like vessels (++); (C) weakly stained, discontinuous sinusoidal-like vessels; and (D) countable complete vessel pattern. Recognition of tumor angiogenesis. (E) Note the development of the sinusoidal-like tumor angiogenesis within the tumor (*right*), in contrast to the surrounding non-neoplastic liver (*left*). (F) Tumor angiogenesis also varied in different areas of an evolving tumor. Note the combination of the sinusoidal-like vessels and single complete vessels in the moderately differentiated area of the HCC (*left*), which evolved from a well-differentiated tumor that shows only a weak sinusoidal pattern (*right*).

Fig. 3.

Most of the HCCs showed heterogeneous histologic growth and differentiation patterns, consistent with the concept of tumor progression. More poorly differentiated areas showing a higher rate of proliferation always developed within a better-differentiated area at the periphery. The same tumor is illustrated in (A) smooth muscle actin staining and (B) Ki-67 nuclear labeling. Note that the well-differentiated area is on the left, shows clear cell change, less neoangiogenesis, and a lower Ki-67 labeling index, compared with the moderately differentiated area on the right. In an effort to capture tumor heterogeneity for data analysis, both the predominant and worst grade of differentiation were recorded. The same concept is illustrated in C and D (same regions of tumor) and E and F (same regions of tumor). Note that the areas of increased cellularity and decreased differentiation show higher Ki-67-labeling indexes than the surrounding better-differentiated neoplastic cells.

Fig. 4.
Correlation between predominant grade of tumor differentiation and apoptosis/mitosis ratio.

Fig. 5.
Correlation between apoptosis/mitosis ratio and the cumulative tumor-free survival after transplantation.

Table 1

Etiology of Underlying Cirrhosis, Which Was Present in 197 of 212 Cases With Coexistent HCC in the Native Liver Removed at Transplantation

Etiology of Cirrhosis	Number of Cases*
Hepatitis C virus	60
Hepatitis B virus	38
Ethanol abuse	42
Cryptogenic cirrhosis	22
Non-A, non-B chronic hepatitis (pre-1990)	20
Autoimmune hepatitis	7
Primary biliary cirrhosis	6
Primary sclerosing cholangitis	2
Other	25

* Some of the patients have more than one disease and are listed under each.

Table 2

Correlation Between Any Level of Vascular Invasion and Various Gross and Histopathologic Tumor Parameters

	Negative for Vascular Invasion	Positive for Any Level of Vascular Invasion	<i>P</i>
Pattern			
Trabecular	92 (83.6%)	86 (84.3%)	.329
Acinar	12 (10.9%)	13 (12.7%)	
Fibrolamellar	6 (5.5%)	3 (3%)	
Giant cell			
(-)	81 (73.6%)	49 (48%)	<.01
(+)	29 (26.4%)	53 (52%)	
Clear cell change			
(-)	68 (61.8%)	51 (50%)	.124
(+)	42 (38.2%)	51 (50%)	
Tumor necrosis			
(-)	90 (81.8%)	44 (43.1%)	<.01
(+)	20 (18.2%)	58 (56.9%)	
Hepatitis activity			
(-)	12 (10.9%)	15 (14.7%)	.492
+	43 (39.1%)	36 (35.3%)	
++	55 (50%)	51 (50%)	
Tumor number			
1	78 (70.9%)	27 (26.5%)	<.01
2	19 (17.3%)	10 (9.8%)	
3	—	7 (6.8%)	
Multiple	13 (11.8%)	58 (56.9%)	
Total (n = 212)	110	102	

Table 3

Correlation Between the Level of Vascular Invasion and the Predominant and Worst Areas of Tumor Differentiation

	Negative for Vascular Invasion	Positive for Microscopic or Angiolymphatic Invasion	Positive for Microscopic and Macroscopic Vascular Invasion	Total
Predominant differentiation				
Well	72 (70.6%)	18 (17.6%)	12 (11.8%)	102 (48.1%)
Moderate	37 (37%)	42 (42%)	21 (21%)	100 (47.2%)
Poor	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	10 (4.7%)
Worst differentiation				
Well	35 (89.7%)	3 (7.7%)	1 (2.6%)	39 (18.4%)
Moderate	60 (55%)	31 (28.4%)	18 (16.5%)	109 (51.4%)
Poor	14 (21.9%)	18 (16.5%)	21 (32.8%)	64 (30.2%)
Total	109 (51.4%)	63 (29.7%)	40 (18.9%)	212 (100%)