Published in final edited form as:

Dig Dis Sci. 1991 May ; 36(5): 674–680.

Further Steps of Hepatic Stimulatory Substance Purification

Antonio Francavilla, MD, Michele Barone, MD, David H. Van Thiel, MD, Vincenzo Mazzaferro, MD, John G. Prelich, MS, and Thomas E. Starzl, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery, University Health Center of Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh, and the Veterans Administration Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and the Department of Gastroenterology, University of Bari, Italy.

Abstract

The hepatic stimulatory substance (HSS) extracted from weanling rat livers was purified 381,000-fold using chromatographic techniques including nondissociating polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (nondenaturing PAGE). The activity of this highly purified HSS, named Acr-F₄, was assessed in two *in vivo* models. In 40% hepatectomized rats, it produced a fivefold increase in the proliferative rate normally seen following this partial hepatectomy. In Eck fistula dogs, the level of base increase in hepatocyte renewal was amplified threefold by an infusion of Acr-F₄ (50 ng/kg/day). Acr-F₄ had no influence on the regenerative response of the kidney following a unilateral nephrectomy or of the bowel following a 40% resection of the small bowel. On the basis of these findings, it can be concluded that HSS (Acr-F₄) has a high biological activity and is organ specific.

Keywords

hepatic stimulatory substance; purification; gel electrophoresis

That liver regeneration could be augmented by extracts prepared from livers in an active proliferative state has been demonstrated by several investigators (1–4). However, all attempts focused on purification and characterization of the putative growth factor in these extracts have produced few and generally inconclusive results. A partially purified factor, hepatic stimulatory substance (HSS), capable of stimulating *in vivo* hepatocyte proliferation (5,6) has been isolated from weanling rat liver homogenates.

In this paper, data concerning further purification and characterization of HSS is reported, made possible by the use of new laboratory techniques and the introduction of a very sensitive and reliable animal model for assessing HSS activity *in vivo*. Specifically, nondissociating polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis allowed us to obtain a 381,000-fold purification of HSS, eliminating almost all contaminating proteins in the preparation. In addition, the dog with a portacaval shunt coupled with the availability of specific antibodies against HSS made it possible to achieve this level of purification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Materials

Type-V neuraminidase, trypsin, aprotinin, and proteins used as molecular weight markers were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri. [methyl-³H]Thymidine (50–80 Ci/mmol) was obtained from New England Nuclear, Boston, Massachusetts. L-1-Tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone was purchased from Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Boston, Massachusetts. Amicon ultrafiltration membrane filters were purchased from Amicon Corporation, Danvers, Massachusetts. The chemicals required for electrophoresis were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, California.

Animals

Adult male Fischer (F344) rats (180–200 g), weanling male rats (60–90 g), and male mongrel dogs (15–20 kg) were purchased from Hilltop Lab Animals, Scottsdale, Pennsylvania. They were maintained in temperature- and light- (6 AM to 6 PM) controlled rooms until used. They were given food and water *ad libitum*.

Surgical Procedures

Adult rats underwent either a 40% hepatectomy or a sham operation consisting of a laparotomy and manual manipulation of the liver between 7:30 and 9:30 AM using the method of Higgins and Anderson (7). Unilateral nephrectomy and 40% resections of the small bowel were performed as described previously (8).

In dogs, large side-to-side portacaval shunts were constructed with an excision of an ellipse of tissue from both the portal vein and the inferior vena cava and anastomosing the two vessels side to side (Figure 1). The shunts were made completely diverting by individually ligating the main right and left portal trunks distal to the anastomosis of the portal vein to the IVC (9). The tip of a small infusion catheter was placed into the ligated left portal branch within the liver and led through the body wall and via a long subcutaneous tunnel to a small calibrated finger pump that was placed into a dog jacket (Figure 1).

HSS Preparation and Purification

The steps for HSS preparation and purification are summarized in Table 1. These methods (6) yielded an active fraction that has been identified as F₁₅₀ because it elutes from the column with a 150 mM NaCl gradient.

Nondissociating PAGE

An aliquot of 0.6 mg lyophilized fraction F₁₅₀ resuspended in Tris buffer 0.025 M, pH 8.3, underwent electrophoresis using nondissociating PAGE (10,11) on 8% acrylamide. With this technique, F₁₅₀ generates several distinct bands, and the gel can be divided in four zones from which its proteins can be eluted. The eluates, acrylamide fractions 1–4 (Acr F₁–F₄) are dialyzed against 150 mM ammonium acetate, lyophilized, and stored at –70° C until being tested further.

In Vivo Determination of Activity of HSS and Its Fractions

In rats, 6 hr after a 40% partial hepatectomy, control rats were given intraperitoneal injections of 2 ml of 5 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, whereas HSS-treated rats received either F₁₅₀ or an acrylamide fraction dissolved in 2 ml phosphate buffer, 5 mM, pH 7.4, at the protein concentrations indicated in the tables. Seventeen hours later, 50 µCi [³H]thymidine were injected intraperitoneally, and the animals were sacrificed 1 hr later. Six hours after surgery, the rats that had received a unilateral nephrectomy or 40% resection of the small bowel were treated as described above for the 40% hepatectomized rats.

[³H]Thymidine incorporation and mitotic index determinations were made as described previously (9,12). An augmentation of all parameters, beyond the modest response that is present after sham surgery, was considered to be indicative of biologic activity of the liver extracts.

In dogs, the active electrophoretic fraction, Acr-F₄ was infused in the left hepatic lobes through the left portal branch as described in Table 2. At the time of sacrifice, liver tissue was obtained from the left and right hepatic lobes and shunt patency and catheter position were verified. The labeling index was determined as described earlier (9).

Determination of DNA Synthesis in Organs Other Than Liver

DNA synthesis in kidney and small intestine was determined as described previously (8).

Analyses of Physical and Chemical Properties

F₁₅₀ and Acr-F₄ were tested for trypsin and chymotrypsin sensitivity (13), heat stability, and neuroaminidase sensitivity (14).

SDS-PAGE

SDS-polyacrylamide gradient slab gel, using 7.5–20% gel with a 5% stacking gel, was prepared and developed according to the method of Laemmli (15). Both F₁₅₀ and Acr-F₄ undergo electrophoresis under these conditions. Protein bands were visualized using Coomassie blue R250 according to the method of Weber and Osborn (16).

Monoclonal Antibody (Ab)

Murine monoclonal antibodies against Acr-F₄ were raised using PHC 43 and PHC 67 cells (17). The cells were cultured in serum-free medium and the monoclonal Abs were separated by protein-A chromatography. Activity was assessed by ELISA and found to be in the IgG fraction.

Protein Determination

Protein content was determined by the method of Lowry et al (18). Submicrogram quantities were measured using the method of McK-night (19).

Statistical Analysis

The unpaired Student's *t* test was used for the statistical analysis of all data.

RESULTS

In earlier investigations (6), the greatest degree of purification of HSS was obtained using fast protein liquid chromatography. By this technique, F₁₅₀ (Figure 2), which was the most active fraction in 40% hepatectomized rats, was prepared. The use of nondissociating PAGE made it possible to further purify F₁₅₀ (Figure 3).

The activity of F₁₅₀ and its PAGE fractions were compared using 40% hepatectomized rats (Figure 4). The administration of F₁₅₀ (3 µg/rat) produced results as previously reported (6). When fractions of Acr F₁–F₄ were tested, the only fraction with stimulatory activity similar to that of F₁₅₀ was found in Acr-F₄.

The results shown in Figure 5 demonstrate a dose–effect relation between the amount of Acr-F₄ injected and the resultant increase in hepatocyte DNA synthesis and the number of mitoses

enumerated. In addition, with the highest dose of Acr-F₄ (0.6 µg/100 g body wt), the activity achieved was fivefold greater than the background response in control animals.

To evaluate the organ specificity of Acr-F₄, rats with either a unilateral nephrectomy or a 40% resection of the small bowel were tested. No increase in DNA synthesis was found in the contralateral residual kidney or in the remaining small intestine (Figure 6).

The experiments performed in dogs demonstrate that when Acr-F₄ was administered as a continuous infusion beginning 6 hr after portacaval shunt in the left portal vein, the mitotic rate tripled in the left liver lobe while no effect was seen in the right side of the liver. This effect was completely eliminated with the addition of anti-Acr-F₄ monoclonal antibody to the infusion fluid (Table 3). The monoclonal antibody vehicle was inert when tested alone.

Table 4 summarizes the physicochemical characteristics of Acr-F₄. It contains one major protein band with a molecular weight of about 14,000 (Figure 7). Experiments conducted in 40% hepatectomized rats demonstrated that Acr-F₄ is heat-resistant and is not digested by neuroaminidase, whereas it is sensitive to proteolytic enzymes (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The idea of a specific intrinsic liver growth factor was conceived almost 40 years ago when Teir and Ravanti (20) and Blomqvist (21) first reported a growth stimulatory activity in crude mesh extracts of weanling and regenerating rat liver but not in extracts from normal adult rat liver. Since then, a large number of studies (1–6) have suggested that regenerating liver is a source of a growth stimulator which is specific for the liver (Table 5).

Among the substances proposed and studied, HSS has been studied most extensively by LaBrecque and coworkers (1,2), Starzl et al (3), and Francavilla et al (5,6).

Data reported previously (6) and the new data in this paper regarding Acr-F₄ are summarized in Table 6. A 381,000-fold increase in activity over the original material was achieved using the 40% hepatectomized rat model as the test system. The activity present in this fraction (Acr-F₄) is not species-specific, as demonstrated by the results obtained in dogs as well as rats and produced a dose–response that was specific for the liver (Figure 6).

The HSS found in weanling rat liver also has a powerful regenerating or growth effect on dog liver as assessed by the Eck fistula model. The degree of stimulation achieved with 50 ng/kg/day was as potent as gram quantities of crude cytosol (3,4) and was as pronounced as the most potent well-recognized hepatotrophic substance currently available: insulin (9). In common with insulin (9) and crude cytosol (4), purified HSS affects only the directly infused liver tissue with little spillover to the uninfused liver. This suggests that it is largely degraded or consumed within a single pass through the liver, leaving little or none available to effect the contralateral hepatic lobes.

In an earlier report (6), it was shown that HSS prepared under these conditions loses its *in vitro* activity while retaining its *in vivo* activity. Thus it has not been possible to compare the HSS purified by LaBrecque et al (22) and Fleig and Hoss (23), which remained active *in vitro* with Acr-F₄. The explanation for the disparities between *in vivo* and *in vitro* growth stimulation seen with Acr-F₄ and these other fractions will not be resolvable until these substances are known.

In conclusion, the retention of *in vivo* activity of a highly purified HSS fraction, the ability to abolish the stimulatory activity of this fraction with specific monoclonal antibodies, and the organ specificity of Acr-F₄ suggests that its complete identification should be close at hand.

Acknowledgments

Supported by research grants from the Veterans Administration and Project Grant DK 29961 from the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, and grant 87/01291-44 from Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy.

REFERENCES

1. LaBrecque DR, Pesch LA. Preparation and partial characterization of hepatic regenerative stimulator substance (SS) from rat liver. *J Physiol* 1975;248:273–284. [PubMed: 1151784]
2. LaBrecque DR, Bachur NR. Hepatic stimulator substance Physicochemical characteristics and specificity. *Am J Physiol* 242 (Gastrointest Liver Physiol 5) 1982;G281–G288.
3. Starzl TE, Terblanche J, Porter KA, Jones AF, Usui S, Mazzoni G. Growth-stimulating factor in regenerating canine liver. *Lancet* 1979;2:127–130. [PubMed: 84151]
4. Terblanche J, Porter KA, Starzl TE, Moore J, Patzelt L, Hayashida N. Stimulation of hepatic regeneration after partial hepatectomy by infusion of a cytosol extract from regenerating dog liver. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1980;151:538–544. [PubMed: 6998027]
5. Francavilla A, DiLeo A, Polimeno L, Gavalier J, Pellicci R, Todo S, Kam I, Prelich J, Makowka L, Starzl TE. The effect of hepatic stimulatory substance (HSS) isolated from regenerating hepatic cytosol and 50,000 and 300,000 subfractions in enhancing survival in experimental acute hepatic failure in rats treated with d-glactosamine. *Hepatology* 1986;6:1346–1351. [PubMed: 3539743]
6. Francavilla A, Ove P, Polimeno L, Coetzee M, Makowka L, Rose J, Van Thiel DH, Starzl TE. Extraction and partial purification of a hepatic stimulatory substance in rats, mice, and dogs. *Cancer Res* 1987;47:5600–5605. [PubMed: 3664466]
7. Higgins GM, Anderson RM. Restoration of the liver of the white rat following partial surgical removal. *Arch Pathol* 1931;12:186–202.
8. Francavilla A, Barone M, Zeevi A, Scotti C, Carrier G, Mazzaferro V, Prelich J, Todo S, Hiras G, Fung J, Starzl TE. FK506 as a growth control factor. *Transplant Proc* 1990;23:90–92. [PubMed: 1689912]
9. Starzl TE, Porter KA, Watanabe K, Putnam CW. Effects of insulin/glucagon infusions on liver morphology and cell division after complete portacaval shunt in dogs. *Lancet* 1976;1:821. [PubMed: 56646]
10. Ornstein L. Disc electrophoresis. I. Background and theory. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1964;121(2):321–349. [PubMed: 14240533]
11. Davis BJ. Disc electrophoresis. II. Method and application to human serum proteins. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1964;121(2):404–427. [PubMed: 14240539]
12. Cotzee ML, Short J, Klein K, Ove P. Correlation and circulating levels of a serum protein with triiodothyronine levels and hepatoma growth. *Cancer Res* 1982;42:155–160. [PubMed: 7053845]
13. Thaler FL, Michalopoulos GK, Hepatopoietin A. Partial characterization and trypsin activation of a hepatocyte growth factor. *Cancer Res* 1985;45:2545–2549. [PubMed: 3157446]
14. Goldberg M. Purification and partial characterization of a liver cell proliferation factor called hepatopoietin. *J Cell Biochem* 1985;27:291–302. [PubMed: 3157695]
15. Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T₄. *Nature* 1970;227:680–685. [PubMed: 5432063]
16. Weber K, Osborn M. The reliability of molecular weight determinations by dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *J Biol Chem* 1969;244:4406–4412. [PubMed: 5806584]
17. Andrzejewski C Jr, Rauch J, Lafer E, Stollar BD, Schwartz RS. Antigen-binding diversity and idiotypic cross-reactions among hybridoma autoantibodies to DNA. *J Immunol* 1980;126:226–231. [PubMed: 7451968]
18. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem* 1951;193:265–275. [PubMed: 14907713]
19. McKnight GS. A colorimetric method for the determination of submicrogram quantities of protein. *Anal Biochem* 1979;78:86–92. [PubMed: 848760]
20. Teir H, Ravanti K. Mitotic activity and growth factors in the liver of the whole rat. *Exp Cell Res* 1953;5:500–507. [PubMed: 13117019]

21. Blomqvist K. Growth stimulation in the liver and tumor development following intraperitoneal injections of liver homogenates in the rat. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand* 1957 Suppl:121.
22. LaBrecque DR, Steele G, Fogerty S, Wilson M, Barton J. Purification and physical-chemical characterization of hepatic stimulator substance. *Hepatology* 1987;7:100–106. [PubMed: 3804188]
23. Fleig WE, Boss G. Partial purification of rat hepatic stimulator substance and characterization of its action on hepatoma cells and normal hepatocytes. *Hepatology* 1989;9:240–248. [PubMed: 2643545]

Fig 1.
Portacaval shunt model in dogs.

Fig 2. Elution and activity profile of HSS from FPLC. Stimulatory activity of FPLC fractions (A). Elution profile of 30 kDa (B). The amount of protein injected for each fraction was 3 μg. Each bar expresses [³H]thymidine average values from six rats. The statistical analysis shows that only the value of F₁₅₀ was significant ($P < 0.0001$).

Fig 3.
Nondissociating PAGE of F₁₅₀. For our nondissociating continuous system, we used Tris HCl 0.375 M as resolving gel buffer and Tris HCl 0.025 M glycine 0.192 M as reservoir buffer. The acrylamide gel concentration was 8%. The material used for this run was obtained as pool of ten F₁₅₀.

Fig 4. Effect of F₁₅₀ and PAGE fractions (Acr-F₁-F₄) on DNA synthesis in 40% hepatectomized rats. The experimental conditions are described in Materials and Methods. The values expressed by the bars are averages ± SD from no less than 15 rats. All HSS fraction were dissolved in 2 ml PBS at the following concentrations: F₁₅₀ 1.5 µg/ml; Acr-F₁ 0.05 µg/ml; and Acr-F₂-F₄ 0.15µg/ml. *P < 0.01 versus control.

Fig 5. [³H]Thymidine incorporation and mitotic index in 40% hepatectomized rats treated with different doses of Acr-F₄. The experimental conditions are described in Materials and Methods. The values expressed by the bars are averages ± SD from no less than 15 rats. Acr-F₄ doses were dissolved in 2 ml PBS.

Fig 6. [³H]Thymidine incorporation in kidney and small intestine from normal rats and Acr F₄-treated or untreated rats with unilateral nephrectomy or 40% resected small intestine. The values represented by the different bars (□ controls, — unilateral nephrectomy, ▨ Acr F₄ treatment + unilateral nephrectomy, □ partial enterectomy, ▤ Acr F₄ treatment + partial enterectomy), are the means ± SD from 10 rats.

Fig 7.
 SDS-PAGE of F₁₅₀ and Acr-F₄. The gel preparation is described in Materials and Methods.
 Lanes 1 and 4 = standard mixture (molecular weights are multiplied by 10^{-3}); slot 2 = Acr-F₄; slot 3 = F₁₅₀.

TABLE 1

Preparation of HSS

Purification steps	Product
1. Remove the liver, immediately after killing by guillotine, between 7:00 and 8:00 AM	
2. Mince and then homogenize the liver in 150 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.65 (35:100 w/v)	
3. Ultracentrifuge homogenate at 24,000 g for 30 min at 4° C	Cytosol fraction (Cyt-F)
4. Heat at 65° C for 15 min	
5. Centrifuge at 30,000 g for 20 min at 4° C, collect supernatant and add to it 6 vol of cold ethanol (1:6, v/v)	
6. Stir at 2–8° C for 2 hr	
7. Centrifuge 30,000 g for 20 min at 4° C	
8. Resuspend precipitate in 0.150 mM ammonium acetate, pH = 6	Alcohol fraction (OH-F)
9. Filter OH-F through an Amicon membrane with a molecular weight cutoff of 30,000 Da	
10. Collect the filtrate and concentrate it by a 500-Da cutoff Amicon membrane	Mr 30,000 fraction (30 kDa-F)
11. Lyophilize 30 kDa-F	
12. Resuspend lyophilized 30 kDa-F in phosphate buffer 5 mM, pH 6, and perform chromatography using mono Q HR 5/5 column with a linear 0–200 mM NaCl gradient in phosphate buffer	
13. Collect the chromatographic peak at 150 mM NaCl gradient	150 fraction (F ₁₅₀)

TABLE 2

Protocol for Acr-F₄ Infusion and % of Labeled Nuclei Determination in Eck Fistula Dogs

Beginning of infusion	6 hr after surgery
Duration of infusion	4 days
Infused material	Acr-F ₄ (50 ng/kg body wt/day) in saline containing NH ₄ acetate 5 mM and bovine serum albumin 0.5 mg/100 ml
Volume infused	25 ml/day
Treatment for labelling nuclei	200 μ Ci of [³ H]thymidine (82.2 Ci/mmol)/kg body wt injected IV two hours before killing

TABLE 3

Effect in Dogs of Left Portal Branch Infusion for 4 Days of Acr-F₄, Beginning 6 hr after Portacaval Shunt

Substance	No. animals	<u>Labeled nuclei/1000 hepatocytes</u>	
		Right lobe	Left lobe
Vehicle	3	4.4 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 0.4
Acr-F ₄	3	4.4 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 1.0 [†]
Acr-F ₄ + Monoclonal Ab [*]		4.1 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.3

* A mixture of active IgG(s), adding up to 150 µg of protein daily, was added to the vehicle containing Acr-F₄ and incubated for 2 hr at 37 ° C before infusion.

[†] Significantly different from control ($P < 0.001$ compared to all other groups).

TABLE 4

Physicochemical Characteristics of Acr-F₄

Mol wt (Da)	14,000
Heat resistance	+
pH stability	4.5–7.5
Alcohol stability	+
Resistance to	
Trypsin	–
Chymotrypsin	–
Neuroaminidase	+

TABLE 5

Summary of the Literature

Investigator	Time period	Biological source	Name of substance	Biological assay system	Resistance to			Purification (%)	M _r *
					Heat	Trypsin	Chymotrypsin	Neuroaminidase	
Blomqvist	1957	NbReL [†]		<i>in vivo</i> : NR					
LaBrecque	1975–1987	WRL–ReRL	HSS	<i>in vitro</i> : HTC cells	+	–	–	+	14,000–15,000
				<i>in vivo</i> : 40% HeR					
Hatase	1979	NL	<i>in vitro</i> : L-929 fibroblast	–	–				30,000
				<i>in vivo</i> : N and 34% HeR					
Starzl	1979	ReL from 70% He dog		<i>in vivo</i> : dog with portacaval shunt				Yes	
Goldberg	1980–1985	ReL from 70% He rat	Hepato-poitin	<i>in vivo</i> : NR	+	–	–	+	38,000
Terblanche	1980	Re dog L		<i>in vivo</i> : NR					
Francavilla	1984–1985	WRL	HSS	<i>in vivo</i> : 40% HeR	+	–	–	+	15,000–50,000
Schwarz	1985	ReL from 70% He R and pig		<i>in vivo</i> : 34% He female R and WR	+			No	14,000–25,000
				<i>in vitro</i> : hepatocyte cells					
Lieberman	1984	Mouse plasma membrane		<i>in vitro</i> : NR-6 line fibroblast	–	+	–	–	
Fleig	1986	ReL from 60% He rabbit		<i>in vivo</i> : NR		–	–		

* Determined by SDS-PAGE.

[†] L, liver; N, normal; Nb, newborn; R, rat; Re, regenerating; He, hepatectomized; W, weanling.

TABLE 6

Steps of Purification and Biological Activity of F₁₅₀ and Acr-F₄ from Weanling Rat Liver^a

Material Injected	Protein (μg/rat)	DNA synthesis (cpm/mg DNA)	Specific activity (units/mg protein)	Purification-fold
Cytosol	7.5×10^4	$43,350 \pm 8,820$	0.02	
OH-F	1.0×10^4	$66,350 \pm 11,350$	0.30	15
30 kDa-F	0.27 ± 10^4	$63,520 \pm 13,220$	1.05	52
F ₁₅₀	3	$54,380 \pm 10,200$	762	38,100
Acr-F ₄	3×10^{-1}	$49,350 \pm 7,084$	7620	381,000

^a All data, with the exception of the ones regarding Acr-F₄ (see figure 4), are from our previous publication (6). The purification scheme of HSS has been described in Table 1. The [³H]thymidine incorporation in a 40% hepatectomized rat given an injection of PBS was $16,550 \pm 3000$ cpm/mg DNA. The numbers are averages from no less than 20 different rats $\pm$ SD.