Published in final edited form as:

Appetite. 2010 February ; 54(1): 93–99. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2009.09.011.

Variation in the gene *TAS2R38* is associated with the eating behavior disinhibition in Old Order Amish women

Cedrick D. Dotson¹, Hillary L. Shaw², Braxton D. Mitchell², Steven D. Munger¹, and Nanette I. Steinle²

¹Department of Anatomy & Neurobiology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201, U.S.A

²Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes & Nutrition, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201, U.S.A

Abstract

Insensitivity to the bitter-tasting compound 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) has been proposed as a marker for individual differences in taste perception that influence food preference and intake. The principal genetic determinants of phenotypic variation in PROP taste sensitivity are alleles of the *TAS2R38* gene, which encodes a chemosensory receptor sensitive to thiourea compounds including PROP and phenylthiocarbamide. Members of the *TAS2R* family are expressed in the gustatory system, where they function as bitter taste receptors, and throughout the gut, where their physiological roles in prandial, gut-derived hormone release are beginning to be elucidated. To better understand the relationship between *TAS2R* function and ingestive behaviors, we asked if *TAS2R38* variants are associated with one or more of three eating behaviors: restraint, disinhibition, and hunger. We genotyped a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) located within the *TAS2R38* gene, rs1726866 (T785C, Val262Ala) in 729 nondiabetic individuals (381 females, 348 males) within the Amish Family Diabetes Study. Eating behaviors were assessed using the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire. An association analysis between rs1726866 and these three traits revealed a significant association of the PROP-insensitive “T” allele with increased disinhibition ($p = 0.03$). Because eating behaviors differ substantially between males and females, we subsequently performed sex-stratified analyses, which revealed a strong association in females ($p = 0.0002$) but not in males. Analyses with other SNPs in close proximity to rs1726866 suggest that this locus is principally responsible for the association. Therefore, our results indicate that a polymorphism in *TAS2R38* is associated with differences in ingestive behavior.

Key terms

taste receptor; *TAS2R*; bitter taste; PROP; PTC; obesity; behavioral genetics; Three Factor Eating Questionnaire

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Nanette I. Steinle, M.D., nsteinle@medicine.umaryland.edu; ground mail address: 660 W. Redwood St, Howard Hall 467, Baltimore, MD 21201; telephone: 410-706-1512; fax number: 410-706-6146.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

Taste strongly affects ingestive behavior and nutrient intake. An individual's sensitivity to the tastes evoked by certain compounds in foods (i.e., sweet, salty, sour, bitter) is strongly affected by genetic variation (Bachmanov & Beauchamp, 2007; Bufe et al., 2005; Fushan, Simons, Slack, Manichaikul, & Drayna, 2009; Kim et al., 2003; Kim, Wooding, Riaz, Jorde, & Drayna, 2006; Pronin et al., 2007). The best studied human taste phenotype is the relative sensitivity of individuals to the bitter taste evoked by any variety of compounds that containing a N=C=S (thiourea) moiety, such as phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) and 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) (see Wooding, 2006 for a review). A moderate perception of bitterness can be appealing and expected in a variety of foods (e.g., alcohol and cheeses). However, compounds perceived as intensely bitter are usually rejected by humans and other mammals, likely because bitter taste is closely associated with the presence of toxins (e.g., Ames, Profet, & Gold, 1990; Bravo, 1998; Fenwick, Heaney, & Mullin, 1983; Tepper, 2008). For example, a large body of research indicates that adults who possess an enhanced perception of PROP avoid certain bitter-tasting foods, including specific fruits and vegetables (Dinehart, Hayes, Bartoshuk, Lanier, & Duffy, 2006; Drewnowski, Henderson, Hann, Berg, & Ruffin, 2000; Drewnowski, Henderson, Levine, & Hann, 1999; Drewnowski, Henderson, & Shore, 1997; Drewnowski, Henderson, Shore, & Barratt-Fornell, 1998; Fischer, Griffin, England, & Garn, 1961; Glanville & Kaplan, 1965; Jerzsa-Latta, Krondl, & Coleman, 1990; Tepper, Williams, Burgess, Antalis, & Mattes, 2008) (although see Drewnowski, Henderson, & Cockcroft, 2007; Mattes & Labov, 1989). Thus, taste sensitivity to PROP is often used as a marker for individual differences in taste perception that influences food preferences and intake (e.g., Dinehart et al., 2006; Duffy & Bartoshuk, 2000; Tepper, 2008).

The principal genetic determinants of phenotypic variation in PROP/PTC taste sensitivity are alleles of the gene *TAS2R38* (Bufe et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2003). This gene, which contains a single coding exon approximately 1kb in size, encodes a chemosensory receptor sensitive to PROP, PTC, and many other thiourea-containing compounds (Bufe et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2003; Prodi et al., 2004). Three nonsynonymous coding SNPs within *TAS2R38* (rs713598 – G145C, Ala49Pro; rs1726866 – T785C, Val262Ala; rs10246939 – A886G, Ile296Val) give rise to several haplotypes, only two of which (Pro-Ala-Val (PAV) and Ala-Val-Ile (AVI)) are commonly found in human populations (Kim, Wooding, Ricci, Jorde, & Drayna, 2005; Wooding et al., 2004). Individuals who possess at least one copy of the PAV allele are significantly more sensitive to PROP (Bufe et al., 2005) or PTC (Kim et al., 2003) than are those who are homozygous for the AVI allele. These haplotypes are also correlated with bitterness perception of plants, (e.g., turnips, broccoli, watercress) that synthesize glucosinolates, a class of compounds that also contain the thiourea moiety (Sandell & Breslin, 2006). These associations suggest that variation within *TAS2R38* may also influence the ingestion of certain foods. Indeed, individuals who possess at least one copy of the PROP sensitive allele of *TAS2R38* eat fewer cruciferous vegetables than do adults who are homozygous for the PROP insensitive allele (Sacerdote et al., 2007).

Members of the TAS2R family are expressed in the gustatory system, where they function as bitter taste receptors. However, it is now clear that TAS2Rs and other chemosensory receptors are also expressed in cells of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and associated organs, suggesting that they may be involved in the nutrient-dependent regulation of metabolism. Components of the taste transduction cascade, including TAS2Rs, are expressed in the cells of the stomach and small intestine (e.g., Bezencon, le Coutre, & Damak, 2007; E. Rozengurt & Sternini, 2007; N. Rozengurt et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2002), where they can mediate insulin release from the pancreas (Nakagawa et al., 2009), the incretin response to sweet- and bitter-tasting compounds (Dotson et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2007; Jeon, Zhu, Larson, & Osborne, 2008), glucose assimilation (Mace, Affleck, Patel, & Kellett, 2007; Mace et al., 2009; Margolskee et

al., 2007) and the secretion of cholecystokinin (CCK) from enteroendocrine cells (Jeon et al., 2008). Thus, because both the gustatory and digestive systems use TAS2Rs to detect substances present in food, polymorphisms in these receptors could impact ingestive behaviors either by affecting taste sensitivity or by influencing the postprandial response to nutrients.

When attempting to maintain a healthy lifestyle, an individual's eating patterns are as important as what they eat (e.g., Greenwood & Stanford, 2008; Haines & Neumark-Sztainer, 2006). These patterns are known to be heritable (de Krom, Bauer, Collier, Adan, & la Fleur, 2009; Steinle et al., 2002; Tholin, Rasmussen, Tynelius, & Karlsson, 2005) and related to weight gain and BMI (Bryant, King, & Blundell, 2008; Hays & Roberts, 2008; Keskitalo et al., 2008). The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire assesses three behavioral traits related to the control of food intake: restraint, disinhibition, and hunger (Stunkard & Messick, 1985; Stunkard & Wadden, 1990). Restraint is an avoidance of eating to control body weight. Disinhibition is loss of restraint that results in overeating. Hunger measures the perceived need for food. It has been suggested that variation in taste function could influence eating behaviors (de Krom et al., 2009; Murphy & Kemmotsu, 2009). Interestingly, the behavioral trait restraint mitigates the relationship between PROP taster status and adiposity in women (Tepper, Koelliker et al., 2008). However, it remains unclear whether a direct relationship exists between eating behaviors and *TAS2R38* genotype. To investigate this issue, we examined whether differences in restraint, disinhibition and hunger are associated with *TAS2R38* variants in an Old Order Amish population.

Methods

Subjects

The University of Maryland School of Medicine's Institutional Review Board approved all studies. The Amish Family Diabetes Study (AFDS) is an ongoing effort to identify genetic contributors to obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and related disorders (Damcott et al., 2006; Hsueh et al., 2000; Steinle et al., 2002). Detailed descriptions of the population (the Old Order Amish of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, USA), study design, recruitment methods, phenotypic characterization, clinical characteristics of the subjects and statistical methods have been published previously (see Hsueh et al., 2000 for details). Briefly, the mean age of subjects was 46 ± 15 years for men and 45 ± 15 years for women. The mean BMI was 26.4 ± 3.7 kg/m² for men and 28.1 ± 5.4 kg/m² for women ($p < 0.001$); the mean leptin level was 3.7 ± 3.2 µg/L for men and $16.2 \pm$ µg/L for women ($p < 0.001$). The Old Order Amish of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, have a common lifestyle and socioeconomic status, and possess detailed genealogical records dating to the period of their early migration from Europe in the 1700's (Hsueh et al., 2000). Currently, the AFDS includes over 1300 subjects.

Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire

Assessment of members of the AFDS cohort using the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (Stunkard & Messick, 1985; Stunkard & Wadden, 1990) has been described previously (Steinle et al., 2002). In the Amish, responses to each question were distributed across the full range of possible responses. Furthermore, eating behavior scores spanned all possible values for each of the three behaviors, and the configuration of frequency of scores was compatible with a Gaussian distribution. Mean scores from the Amish are comparable to those reported in other populations (e.g., Stunkard & Wadden, 1990).

Genotyping

Genotyping of SNPs in the AFDS has been described previously (Dotson et al., 2008; Hsueh et al., 2007). Briefly, SNPs were identified from the Entrez SNP database (Sherry et al., 2001), as well as from published reports (Kim et al., 2005). Three nonsynonymous coding

SNPs in the gene *TAS2R38* comprise a haplotype that is associated with phenotypic variation in PROP/PTC taste sensitivity. We selected the nonsynonymous coding SNP rs1726866 to assess the effects of variations in *TAS2R38* on eating behaviors. This SNP accounts for ~97% of the variation in *TAS2R38* in Caucasian populations (Kim et al., 2003). Additional SNPs were genotyped both upstream and downstream of rs1726866 to determine the extent of linkage disequilibrium (LD) that exists around this locus.

SNPs were genotyped using the TaqMan (Applied Biosystems) or GeneChip Human Mapping 100K Set (Affymetrix) platforms according to manufacturer's protocols. SNPs found to be monomorphic in the AFDS ($n = 2$) were not analyzed further. Genotypes were checked for Mendelian consistency; inconsistencies, which were detected in $< 0.5\%$ of genotypes, were removed from analysis. Genotype frequencies of all SNPs were tested for consistency with Hardy–Weinberg expectations by the χ^2 test; no SNPs showed extreme deviation from Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium ($P < 0.001$). SNPs with call rates $< 90\%$ ($n = 4$) were eliminated from further analysis. In total, twenty SNPs were found to be polymorphic in the AFDS, passed quality control filters (see below) and were subsequently analyzed (Table 1).

Statistical Analysis

Associations with SNP genotype and the various phenotypes were performed using pedigree-based analysis by regressing the effect of the marker genotype while accounting for residual familial correlations among related individuals using age, age², and sex as covariates (for sex stratified analyses, age and age² were the only covariates used). Phenotypic data were transformed by their natural logarithms to normalize the data distributions. To account for the relatedness among family members, we employed the measured genotype approach, in which we estimated the likelihood of specific genetic models given the pedigree structure. Parameter estimates were obtained by maximum likelihood methods and the significance of association was tested by likelihood ratio tests. All analyses were carried out using the Sequential Oligogenic Linkage Analysis Routines (SOLAR) software program (Almasy & Blangero, 1998). Bonferroni corrections were performed to control for inflation of the type I error rate due to the number of comparisons. Pairwise LD between the SNPs and haplotype block analysis was computed using Haploview 4.1 (Barrett, Fry, Maller, & Daly, 2005). Haplotype blocks were defined by 95% confidence bounds on D' (Gabriel et al., 2002).

Results

We first asked whether a variant in the taste receptor gene *TAS2R38* was associated with eating behaviors as assessed by the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (Stunkard & Messick, 1985; Stunkard & Wadden, 1990). We performed association analysis of the SNP rs1726866, a nonsynonymous coding SNP within *TAS2R38* (T785C; Val262Ala), and the eating behaviors hunger, restraint and disinhibition. The “C” allele of rs1726866, the minor allele in the AFDS, is strongly associated with increased taste sensitivity to PROP/PTC (Bufe et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2003). We observed no significant association between SNP genotype and the eating behaviors hunger or restraint. However, there was a significant association of the minor allele with decreased disinhibition ($p = 0.03$; Table 2). Because eating behaviors differ substantially between males and females (e.g., Burton, Smit, & Lightowler, 2007), we subsequently performed sex-stratified analyses. Stratification of the cohort by gender revealed a strong association in females ($p = 0.0002$, Tables 2 & 3) but not in males ($p = 0.86$; Table 2 & 3). This significance value survived our correction to control for the increase in type I error associated with multiple comparisons ($p = 0.02$ Bonferroni corrected).

To define the extent of LD around rs1726866, we examined previously genotyped haplotype-tagging SNPs (Dotson et al., 2008) both upstream and downstream of *TAS2R38* (Figure 1). We identified two LD blocks. The first (LD Block 1) contains four SNPs (rs11763979,

rs2233998, rs2234001, and rs2227264), is found ~182 kb upstream of rs1726866 and extends for 27 kb (Figure 1). SNP rs1726866 (*TAS2R38*) displays moderate LD with the SNPs in this block ($r^2 = 0.48 - 0.61$; $D' = 0.73 - 0.80$), but well less than the threshold for inclusion in the LD block ($D' \geq 0.95$) (Gabriel et al., 2002). These SNPs were also significantly associated with disinhibition in female subjects, though the p -values of their association (ranging from $p = 0.002$ to $p = 0.004$) were at least an order of magnitude higher than that observed for rs1726866 (Table 4). Six other SNPs located ~1.3 mb downstream of *TAS2R38* show no LD with rs1726866, although two of them form a small LD block at the distal end of this cluster (LD Block 2; Figure 1).

Because of the large intergenic intervals between rs1726866 and some of these other SNPs, we sought to further refine the extent of LD around rs1726866 by examining several additional SNPs upstream and downstream of *TAS2R38* in a subset of the AFDS ($n = 550$). These SNPs were genotyped previously using the Affymetrix GeneChip Human Mapping 100K Set (Hsueh et al., 2007). Four upstream SNPs showed little LD with rs1726866 ($r^2 = 0.0 - 0.44$; Figure 2). The five SNPs located downstream of *TAS2R38* also display little LD with rs1726866 ($r^2 = 0.0 - 0.18$; Figure 2). Collectively, these data again suggest that variation in the bitter taste receptor gene *TAS2R38* is principally responsible for the disinhibition association observed in female AFDS participants.

The eating behavior disinhibition is strongly related to BMI, particularly in women (e.g., Hainer et al., 2006; Hays et al., 2002; Lindroos et al., 1997; Savage, Hoffman, & Birch, 2009; Williamson et al., 1995). However, we saw no association between variation at rs1726866 and BMI ($p = 0.27$). These results are consistent with previous reports indicating a lack of association between BMI and variation at *TAS2R38* (Sausenthaler, Rzehak, Wichmann, & Heinrich, 2009; Tepper, Koelliker et al., 2008; Timpson et al., 2005).

Discussion

TAS2R38 genotype may influence the types of food an individual prefers or consumes (Sacerdote et al., 2007; Sandell & Breslin, 2006), or possibly an individual's postprandial response to ingested nutrients (Chen, Wu, Reeve, & Rozengurt, 2006). However, it was not known whether *TAS2R38* genotype influences *how* one eats. Here we have identified an important link between chemosensory receptor gene variation and the control of eating. Our study indicates an association between the major allele (i.e., the PROP-insensitive allele) of rs1726866, a non-synonymous coding SNP located within *TAS2R38*, and increased disinhibition in female Amish individuals.

We previously reported linkage peaks for eating behaviors in the Amish (Steinle et al., 2002), none of which contained *TAS2R38*. However, it is not surprising that this previous study failed to capture the association with the *TAS2R38* locus: the marker coverage on chromosome 7 in that study was extremely sparse (the average interval between markers was 10 cM). In fact, the closest marker to *TAS2R38*, D7S636, was located approximately 5.2 MB downstream of that gene. Furthermore, that study did not stratify the population by gender, suggesting that an association would have been missed even if markers close to *TAS2R38* had been used.

How might genetic variation in TAS2Rs influence eating behavior? One possibility is that variation in receptor function can alter the perceived qualities of food (i.e., their taste) and thereby impact nutrient intake. It is well known that orosensory variation strongly affects ingestive behavior and, as such, nutrient intake (Duffy, 2007). Orosensory variation is strongly affected by taste and by genetic variation in chemosensory receptors (Bachmanov & Beauchamp, 2007). TAS2Rs show a high level of allelic variation across species as well as across human populations (Kim et al., 2005; Shi & Zhang, 2006; Shi, Zhang, Yang, & Zhang,

2003; Wang, Thomas, & Zhang, 2004), and could be subject to positive evolutionary selection (e.g., Soranzo et al., 2005). Therefore, individual *TAS2R* alleles may encode receptors specialized to detect food compounds prevalent in local environments.

In this context, polymorphisms in individual *TAS2Rs* are strong candidates to influence food intake. However, several groups have reported that *TAS2R38* genotype is not associated with body mass index (BMI) (Kim et al., 2003; Prodi et al., 2004; Tepper, 2008). Consistent with these findings, we saw no association between variation at rs1726866 and BMI in the Amish despite the observation that disinhibition is significantly correlated with BMI in Amish females (Table 5). Thus, *TAS2R38* genotype affects that portion of disinhibition that is not related to changes in BMI (e.g., moving from Q3 to Q4; Table 5). In contrast, taste sensitivity to PROP is associated with BMI (Duffy, 2004; Goldstein, Daun, & Tepper, 2005; Tepper, Koelliker et al., 2008; Tepper & Ullrich, 2002). This seeming contradiction can be explained by the fact that while *TAS2R38* genotype accounts for the majority of PROP taste sensitivity variation (estimates range from 55 – 85%) (Kim et al., 2003; Prodi et al., 2004), *TAS2R38*-independent factors (e.g., fungiform papillae density) also impact PROP taster status (Hayes, Bartoshuk, Kidd, & Duffy, 2008). It is quite possible that these *TAS2R38*-independent factors are responsible for the relationship between PROP taste sensitivity and BMI. Thus, the absence of an association between *TAS2R38* and BMI suggests that the mechanisms by which *TAS2R38* impacts disinhibition is independent of taste sensitivity to PROP and related compounds (Figure 3). However, because the relationship between PROP taste sensitivity and eating behaviors is unknown, this hypothesis is somewhat provisional.

Alternative physiological mechanisms may link *TAS2R* function to the modulation of eating behavior. In addition to influencing taste perception, *TAS2Rs* and *TAS1Rs* (which function as sweet or amino acid taste receptors in the gustatory system) help to mediate both nutrient uptake and gut hormone release. For example, *TAS1R*-dependent glucose stimulation increases the amount of the glucose transporter GLUT2 in apical membranes of enterocytes and other intestinal cells (Mace et al., 2007; Mace et al., 2009). In addition, both *TAS2Rs* and *TAS1Rs* impact the release of GLP-1 from gut enteroendocrine L cells (Dotson et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2007; Jeon et al., 2008). Because of the considerable influence that chemosensory receptors such as *TAS2Rs* have on the nutrient dependent regulation of metabolism, it is possible that genetic variation in these receptors could impact hormonal systems that contribute to the regulation of eating behaviors. For example, genetic variation in *TAS2Rs* could impact the secretion of GLP-1 (Dotson et al., 2008), CCK (Chen et al., 2006), leptin, insulin or other hormones that play a significant role in the regulation of eating patterns (Berthoud, 2002). Indeed, there is a strong relationship between plasma leptin levels and the eating behavior disinhibition (Blundell et al., 2008), and variation in the genes encoding both leptin and the leptin receptor have been associated with altered eating patterns (de Krom et al., 2007). The “PROP insensitive” allele of rs1726866 is significantly associated with increased plasma leptin levels ($p = 0.007$) in Amish females. Thus, the “T” allele of rs1726866 (i.e., the PROP-insensitive allele) is associated with increased plasma leptin and with increased disinhibition in female AFDS participants. Higher leptin levels are observed among the obese, especially obese women. Given the correlation between disinhibition score and BMI, it is possible that the higher leptin levels observed among individuals with the “T” allele of rs1726866 is reflective of their higher disinhibition score and higher BMI (see table 5). Including leptin as a covariate decreases the significance of the association of rs1726866 and disinhibition by more than an order of magnitude (Table 2), suggesting that variation in leptin levels accounts for at least some degree of the variation observed in disinhibition levels in this female Amish cohort (see Baron & Kenny, 1986). It is also possible that *TAS2R38* may influence eating behavior and satiety by modulating the satiety hormones GLP-1, CCK and insulin, and the reduced leptin levels observed among individuals with the “C” allele are reflective of enhanced satiety mediated via these hormones. Further investigation is needed to establish if leptin levels are

directly mediating this association, or if leptin is impacted indirectly via other factors such as secretion of other satiety hormones or adiposity.

Physiological or psychological variables influence the expression of disinhibition (e.g., Ullrich, Touger-Decker, O'Sullivan-Maillet, & Tepper, 2004). It is possible that these factors may be more or less prominent in Amish females than in the general Caucasian population. For example, a reduced stigmatization of obese individuals among the Old Order Amish could diminish obesity associated eating behavior. In addition, genotype-phenotype associations can result for reasons other than a direct effect of gene on phenotype. For example, although the LD structure in the region of *TAS2R38* strongly suggests that it is the causal locus, it remains possible that rs1726866 may tag variation at a distant locus that is actually the causal allele. Clearly, further studies of the physiological roles of *TAS2R38* and the physiological basis of disinhibition are needed to answer these questions. Be that as it may, our studies support a role for a chemosensory receptor sensitive to bitter tasting ligands in the modulation of eating behaviors, particularly among women.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Munger lab for helpful discussions, X. Shi, L. Reinhart for technical assistance, and the AFDS participants. This work was supported by grants from the NIDCD (DC005786, DC010110 to S.D.M.), NHLBI (HL076768 to N.I.S.), the University of Maryland School of Medicine, and the Clinical Nutrition Research Unit of Maryland (DK072488). C.D.D. was supported by NIDCD and NIDCR training grants.

References

- Almasy L, Blangero J. Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees. *Am J Hum Genet* 1998;62(5):1198–1211. [PubMed: 9545414]
- Ames BN, Profet M, Gold LS. Dietary pesticides (99.99% all natural). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1990;87(19):7777–7781. [PubMed: 2217210]
- Bachmanov AA, Beauchamp GK. Taste receptor genes. *Annu Rev Nutr* 2007;27:389–414. [PubMed: 17444812]
- Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;51(6):1173–1182. [PubMed: 3806354]
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 2005;21(2):263–265. [PubMed: 15297300]
- Berthoud HR. Multiple neural systems controlling food intake and body weight. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2002;26(4):393–428. [PubMed: 12204189]
- Bezencon C, le Coutre J, Damak S. Taste-signaling proteins are coexpressed in solitary intestinal epithelial cells. *Chem Senses* 2007;32(1):41–49. [PubMed: 17030556]
- Blundell JE, Levin F, King NA, Barkeling B, Gustafson T, Hellstrom PM, et al. Overconsumption and obesity: peptides and susceptibility to weight gain. *Regul Pept* 2008;149(1-3):32–38. [PubMed: 18534696]
- Bravo L. Polyphenols: chemistry, dietary sources, metabolism, and nutritional significance. *Nutr Rev* 1998;56(11):317–333. [PubMed: 9838798]
- Bryant EJ, King NA, Blundell JE. Disinhibition: its effects on appetite and weight regulation. *Obes Rev* 2008;9(5):409–419. [PubMed: 18179615]
- Bufe B, Breslin PA, Kuhn C, Reed DR, Sharp CD, Slack JP, et al. The molecular basis of individual differences in phenylthiocarbamide and propylthiouracil bitterness perception. *Curr Biol* 2005;15(4):322–327. [PubMed: 15723792]
- Burton P, Smit HJ, Lightowler HJ. The influence of restrained and external eating patterns on overeating. *Appetite* 2007;49(1):191–197. [PubMed: 17349717]

- Chen MC, Wu SV, Reeve JR Jr, Rozengurt E. Bitter stimuli induce Ca^{2+} signaling and CCK release in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells: role of L-type voltage-sensitive Ca^{2+} channels. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2006;291(4):C726–739. [PubMed: 16707556]
- Damcott CM, Pollin TI, Reinhart LJ, Ott SH, Shen H, Silver KD, et al. Polymorphisms in the transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene are associated with type 2 diabetes in the Amish: replication and evidence for a role in both insulin secretion and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 2006;55(9):2654–2659. [PubMed: 16936218]
- de Krom M, Bauer F, Collier D, Adan RA, la Fleur SE. Genetic Variation and Effects on Human Eating Behavior. *Annu Rev Nutr.* 2009
- de Krom M, van der Schouw YT, Hendriks J, Ophoff RA, van Gils CH, Stolk RP, et al. Common genetic variations in CCK, leptin, and leptin receptor genes are associated with specific human eating patterns. *Diabetes* 2007;56(1):276–280. [PubMed: 17192493]
- Dinehart ME, Hayes JE, Bartoshuk LM, Lanier SL, Duffy VB. Bitter taste markers explain variability in vegetable sweetness, bitterness, and intake. *Physiol Behav* 2006;87(2):304–313. [PubMed: 16368118]
- Dotson CD, Zhang L, Xu H, Shin YK, Vignes S, Ott SH, et al. Bitter taste receptors influence glucose homeostasis. *PLoS ONE* 2008;3(12):e3974. [PubMed: 19092995]
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Cockcroft JE. Genetic sensitivity to 6-n-propylthiouracil has no influence on dietary patterns, body mass indexes, or plasma lipid profiles of women. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007;107(8):1340–1348. [PubMed: 17659901]
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Hann CS, Berg WA, Ruffin MT. Genetic taste markers and preferences for vegetables and fruit of female breast care patients. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2000;100(2):191–197. [PubMed: 10670391]
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Levine A, Hann C. Taste and food preferences as predictors of dietary practices in young women. *Public Health Nutr* 1999;2(4):513–519. [PubMed: 10656470]
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Shore AB. Taste responses to naringin, a flavonoid, and the acceptance of grapefruit juice are related to genetic sensitivity to 6-n-propylthiouracil. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997;66(2):391–397. [PubMed: 9250119]
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Shore AB, Barratt-Fornell A. Sensory responses to 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) or sucrose solutions and food preferences in young women. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1998;855:797–801. [PubMed: 9929687]
- Duffy VB. Associations between oral sensation, dietary behaviors and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). *Appetite* 2004;43(1):5–9. [PubMed: 15262011]
- Duffy VB. Variation in oral sensation: implications for diet and health. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2007;23(2):171–177. [PubMed: 17268246]
- Duffy VB, Bartoshuk LM. Food acceptance and genetic variation in taste. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2000;100(6):647–655. [PubMed: 10863567]
- Fenwick GR, Heaney RK, Mullin WJ. Glucosinolates and their breakdown products in food and food plants. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 1983;18(2):123–201. [PubMed: 6337782]
- Fischer R, Griffin F, England S, Garn SM. Taste thresholds and food dislikes. *Nature* 1961;191:1328. [PubMed: 13893164]
- Fushan AA, Simons CT, Slack JP, Manichaikul A, Drayna D. Allelic Polymorphism within the TAS1R3 Promoter Is Associated with Human Taste Sensitivity to Sucrose. *Curr Biol.* 2009
- Gabriel SB, Schaffner SF, Nguyen H, Moore JM, Roy J, Blumenstiel B, et al. The structure of haplotype blocks in the human genome. *Science* 2002;296(5576):2225–2229. [PubMed: 12029063]
- Glanville EV, Kaplan AR. Food Preference and Sensitivity of Taste for Bitter Compounds. *Nature* 1965;205(4974):851–853.
- Goldstein GL, Daun H, Tepper BJ. Adiposity in middle-aged women is associated with genetic taste blindness to 6-n-propylthiouracil. *Obes Res* 2005;13(6):1017–1023. [PubMed: 15976144]
- Greenwood JL, Stanford JB. Preventing or improving obesity by addressing specific eating patterns. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2008;21(2):135–140. [PubMed: 18343861]
- Hainer V, Kunesova M, Bellisle F, Parizkova J, Braunerova R, Wagenknecht M, et al. The Eating Inventory, body adiposity and prevalence of diseases in a quota sample of Czech adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2006;30(5):830–836. [PubMed: 16418762]

- Haines J, Neumark-Sztainer D. Prevention of obesity and eating disorders: a consideration of shared risk factors. *Health Educ Res* 2006;21(6):770–782. [PubMed: 16963727]
- Hayes JE, Bartoshuk LM, Kidd JR, Duffy VB. Supertasting and PROP bitterness depends on more than the TAS2R38 gene. *Chem Senses* 2008;33(3):255–265. [PubMed: 18209019]
- Hays NP, Bathalon GP, McCrory MA, Roubenoff R, Lipman R, Roberts SB. Eating behavior correlates of adult weight gain and obesity in healthy women aged 55–65 y. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;75(3):476–483. [PubMed: 11864852]
- Hays NP, Roberts SB. Aspects of eating behaviors “disinhibition” and “restraint” are related to weight gain and BMI in women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008;16(1):52–58. [PubMed: 18223612]
- Hsueh WC, Mitchell BD, Abumomia R, Pollin T, Sakul H, Gelder Ehm M, et al. Diabetes in the Old Order Amish: characterization and heritability analysis of the Amish Family Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care* 2000;23(5):595–601. [PubMed: 10834415]
- Hsueh WC, Silver KD, Pollin TI, Bell CJ, O'Connell JR, Mitchell BD, et al. A genome-wide linkage scan of insulin level derived traits: the Amish Family Diabetes Study. *Diabetes* 2007;56(10):2643–2648. [PubMed: 17646211]
- Jang HJ, Kokrashvili Z, Theodorakis MJ, Carlson OD, Kim BJ, Zhou J, et al. Gut-expressed gustducin and taste receptors regulate secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104(38):15069–15074. [PubMed: 17724330]
- Jeon TI, Zhu B, Larson JL, Osborne TF. SREBP-2 regulates gut peptide secretion through intestinal bitter taste receptor signaling in mice. *J Clin Invest* 2008;118(11):3693–3700. [PubMed: 18846256]
- Jerzsa-Latta M, Kronl M, Coleman P. Use and perceived attributes of cruciferous vegetables in terms of genetically-mediated taste sensitivity. *Appetite* 1990;15(2):127–134. [PubMed: 2268138]
- Keskitalo K, Tuorila H, Spector TD, Cherkas LF, Knaapila A, Kaprio J, et al. The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire, body mass index, and responses to sweet and salty fatty foods: a twin study of genetic and environmental associations. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;88(2):263–271. [PubMed: 18689360]
- Kim UK, Jorgenson E, Coon H, Leppert M, Risch N, Drayna D. Positional cloning of the human quantitative trait locus underlying taste sensitivity to phenylthiocarbamide. *Science* 2003;299(5610):1221–1225. [PubMed: 12595690]
- Kim UK, Wooding S, Riaz N, Jorde LB, Drayna D. Variation in the human TAS1R taste receptor genes. *Chem Senses* 2006;31(7):599–611. [PubMed: 16801379]
- Kim UK, Wooding S, Ricci D, Jorde LB, Drayna D. Worldwide haplotype diversity and coding sequence variation at human bitter taste receptor loci. *Hum Mutat* 2005;26(3):199–204. [PubMed: 16086309]
- Lindroos AK, Lissner L, Mathiassen ME, Karlsson J, Sullivan M, Bengtsson C, et al. Dietary intake in relation to restrained eating, disinhibition, and hunger in obese and nonobese Swedish women. *Obes Res* 1997;5(3):175–182. [PubMed: 9192390]
- Mace OJ, Affleck J, Patel N, Kellett GL. Sweet taste receptors in rat small intestine stimulate glucose absorption through apical GLUT2. *J Physiol* 2007;582(Pt 1):379–392. [PubMed: 17495045]
- Mace OJ, Lister N, Morgan E, Shepherd E, Affleck J, Helliwell P, et al. An energy supply network of nutrient absorption coordinated by calcium and T1R taste receptors in rat small intestine. *J Physiol* 2009;587(Pt 1):195–210. [PubMed: 19001049]
- Margolskee RF, Dyer J, Kokrashvili Z, Salmon KS, Ilegems E, Daly K, et al. T1R3 and gustducin in gut sense sugars to regulate expression of Na⁺-glucose cotransporter 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104(38):15075–15080. [PubMed: 17724332]
- Mattes R, Labov J. Bitter taste responses to phenylthiocarbamide are not related to dietary goitrogen intake in human beings. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1989;89(5):692–694. [PubMed: 2470803]
- Murphy CL, Kemmotsu N. “Restrained Eaters” Show Abnormal and Differential fMRI Activation to Sucrose and Saccharin. *Chem Senses*. 2009 in press.
- Nakagawa Y, Nagasawa M, Yamada S, Hara A, Mogami H, Nikolaev VO, et al. Sweet taste receptor expressed in pancreatic beta-cells activates the calcium and cyclic AMP signaling systems and stimulates insulin secretion. *PLoS ONE* 2009;4(4):e5106. [PubMed: 19352508]
- Prodi DA, Drayna D, Forabosco P, Palmas MA, Maestrale GB, Piras D, et al. Bitter taste study in a sardinian genetic isolate supports the association of phenylthiocarbamide sensitivity to the TAS2R38 bitter receptor gene. *Chem Senses* 2004;29(8):697–702. [PubMed: 15466815]

- Pronin AN, Xu H, Tang H, Zhang L, Li Q, Li X. Specific alleles of bitter receptor genes influence human sensitivity to the bitterness of aloin and saccharin. *Curr Biol* 2007;17(16):1403–1408. [PubMed: 17702579]
- Rozengurt E, Sternini C. Taste receptor signaling in the mammalian gut. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2007;7(6):557–562. [PubMed: 18024184]
- Rozengurt N, Wu SV, Chen MC, Huang C, Sternini C, Rozengurt E. Colocalization of the alpha-subunit of gustducin with PYY and GLP-1 in L cells of human colon. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2006;291(5):G792–802. [PubMed: 16728727]
- Sacerdote C, Guarrera S, Smith GD, Grioni S, Krogh V, Masala G, et al. Lactase persistence and bitter taste response: instrumental variables and mendelian randomization in epidemiologic studies of dietary factors and cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;166(5):576–581. [PubMed: 17596267]
- Sandell MA, Breslin PA. Variability in a taste-receptor gene determines whether we taste toxins in food. *Curr Biol* 2006;16(18):R792–794. [PubMed: 16979544]
- Sausenthaler S, Rzehak P, Wichmann HE, Heinrich J. Lack of Relation Between Bitter Taste Receptor TAS2R38 and BMI in Adults. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2009;17(5):937–938. [PubMed: 19396080]
- Savage JS, Hoffman L, Birch LL. Dieting, restraint, and disinhibition predict women's weight change over 6 y. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;90(1):33–40. [PubMed: 19439461]
- Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, Smigielski EM, et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2001;29(1):308–311. [PubMed: 11125122]
- Shi P, Zhang J. Contrasting modes of evolution between vertebrate sweet/umami receptor genes and bitter receptor genes. *Mol Biol Evol* 2006;23(2):292–300. [PubMed: 16207936]
- Shi P, Zhang J, Yang H, Zhang YP. Adaptive diversification of bitter taste receptor genes in Mammalian evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 2003;20(5):805–814. [PubMed: 12679530]
- Soranzo N, Bufo B, Sabeti PC, Wilson JF, Weale ME, Marguerie R, et al. Positive selection on a high-sensitivity allele of the human bitter-taste receptor TAS2R16. *Curr Biol* 2005;15(14):1257–1265. [PubMed: 16051168]
- Steinle NI, Hsueh WC, Snitker S, Pollin TI, Sakul H, St Jean PL, et al. Eating behavior in the Old Order Amish: heritability analysis and a genome-wide linkage analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;75(6):1098–1106. [PubMed: 12036819]
- Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three-factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger. *J Psychosom Res* 1985;29(1):71–83. [PubMed: 3981480]
- Stunkard AJ, Wadden TA. Restrained eating and human obesity. *Nutr Rev* 1990;48(2):78–86. discussion 114–131. [PubMed: 2407983]
- Tepper BJ. Nutritional implications of genetic taste variation: the role of PROP sensitivity and other taste phenotypes. *Annu Rev Nutr* 2008;28:367–388. [PubMed: 18407743]
- Tepper BJ, Koelliker Y, Zhao L, Ullrich NV, Lanzara C, d'Adamo P, et al. Variation in the bitter-taste receptor gene TAS2R38, and adiposity in a genetically isolated population in Southern Italy. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008;16(10):2289–2295. [PubMed: 18719631]
- Tepper BJ, Ullrich NV. Influence of genetic taste sensitivity to 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP), dietary restraint and disinhibition on body mass index in middle-aged women. *Physiol Behav* 2002;75(3):305–312. [PubMed: 11897256]
- Tepper BJ, Williams TZ, Burgess JR, Antalis CJ, Mattes RD. Genetic variation in bitter taste and plasma markers of anti-oxidant status in college women. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2008;1–11.
- Tholin S, Rasmussen F, Tynelius P, Karlsson J. Genetic and environmental influences on eating behavior: the Swedish Young Male Twins Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;81(3):564–569. [PubMed: 15755823]
- Timpson NJ, Christensen M, Lawlor DA, Gaunt TR, Day IN, Ebrahim S, et al. TAS2R38 (phenylthiocarbamide) haplotypes, coronary heart disease traits, and eating behavior in the British Women's Heart and Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;81(5):1005–1011. [PubMed: 15883422]
- Ullrich NV, Touger-Decker R, O'Sullivan-Maillet J, Tepper BJ. PROP taster status and self-perceived food adventurousness influence food preferences. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104(4):543–549. [PubMed: 15054337]
- Wang X, Thomas SD, Zhang J. Relaxation of selective constraint and loss of function in the evolution of human bitter taste receptor genes. *Hum Mol Genet* 2004;13(21):2671–2678. [PubMed: 15367488]

- Williamson DA, Lawson OJ, Brooks ER, Wozniak PJ, Ryan DH, Bray GA, et al. Association of body mass with dietary restraint and disinhibition. *Appetite* 1995;25(1):31–41. [PubMed: 7495325]
- Wooding S. Phenylthiocarbamide: a 75-year adventure in genetics and natural selection. *Genetics* 2006;172(4):2015–2023. [PubMed: 16636110]
- Wooding S, Kim UK, Bamshad MJ, Larsen J, Jorde LB, Drayna D. Natural selection and molecular evolution in PTC, a bitter-taste receptor gene. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004;74(4):637–646. [PubMed: 14997422]
- Wu SV, Rozengurt N, Yang M, Young SH, Sinnett-Smith J, Rozengurt E. Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99(4):2392–2397. [PubMed: 11854532]

Figure 1.

Pairwise LD (r^2) among 11 SNPs located both upstream and downstream of rs1726866 on chromosome 7 in the AFDS ($n = 1330$). r^2 values $\times 100$ are indicated within squares, with darker shades indicating higher r^2 values.

Figure 2.

Pairwise LD (r^2) among 11 SNPs located both upstream and downstream of rs1726866 and 9 additional SNPs adjacent to *TAS2R38* in a subset of the AFDS ($n = 550$). r^2 values $\times 100$ are indicated within squares, with darker shades indicating higher r^2 values.

Figure 3.

Schematic of putative relationships between TAS2R38, disinhibition, PROP taste sensitivity and BMI. TAS2R38-independent factor(s) that impact PROP taste sensitivity are indicated by "X." Green indicates an association, while red indicates the absence of an association. Arrowheads indicate the likely directionality of the association. It is unclear if TAS2R38-independent factor(s) impacting PROP taste sensitivity or the taste phenotype itself is associated with BMI.

Table 1

SNP Genotyping Statistics

Chromosome, Position (kb)	SNP ID	Platform	Associated Gene	Call Rate (%)	HWE P Value	Major / Minor Allele	MAF	SNP Type
7, 141059	rs1476640	Affymetrix	WEE2	97.8	0.59	C/T	0.42	Intronic
7, 141089	rs2013816	Affymetrix	SSBP1	94.6	0.1	T/C	0.27	Intronic
7, 141090	rs6957284	Affymetrix	SSBP1	93.7	1.0	G/A	0.007	Intronic
7, 141095	rs10485836	Affymetrix	SSBP1	99.1	1.0	C/T	0.001	Intronic
7, 141109	rs11763979	Taqman	TAS2R3	98.4	0.227	G/T	0.27	noncoding
7, 141111	rs2233998	Taqman	TAS2R4	92.7	0.052	T/C	0.23	F7S
7, 141125	rs2234001	Taqman	TAS2R4	97.0	0.073	G/C	0.23	V96L
7, 141137	rs2227264	Taqman	TAS2R5	95.8	0.103	G/T	0.23	S26I
7, 141319	rs1726866	Taqman	TAS2R38	97.0	0.430	T/C	0.24	V262A
7, 142592	rs4726600	Taqman	TAS2R39	97.7	0.279	G/A	0.25	noncoding
7, 142592	rs1298582	Affymetrix	MGAM	93.3	0.39	T/A	0.36	Intronic
7, 142592	rs1527304	Affymetrix	MGAM	99.8	0.05	G/A	0.34	Intronic
7, 142592	rs2204607	Affymetrix	MGAM	99.4	1.00	C/T	0.15	Intronic
7, 142592	rs1358304	Affymetrix	MGAM	98.1	0.27	G/A	0.34	Intronic
7, 142592	rs1527307	Affymetrix	MGAM	99.3	0.31	C/T	0.19	Intronic
7, 142630	rs10260248	Taqman	TAS2R40	97.7	0.928	C/A	0.04	S187Y
7, 142631	rs534126	Taqman	TAS2R40	98.0	0.622	C/T	0.38	noncoding
7, 142852	rs4595035	Taqman	TAS2R60	97.7	0.616	C/T	0.35	R310R
7, 142885	rs1404635	Taqman	TAS2R41	100	0.577	G/A	0.16	T63T
7, 142885	rs10278721	Taqman	TAS2R41	97.7	0.653	C/T	0.16	P127L

kb, kilobases

HWE, Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

MAF, minor allele frequency

Table 2
Disinhibition *P* values for rs1726866

	<i>P</i> -value	<i>P</i> -value (Leptin Adjusted)
Males and Females	0.03	ND
Males	0.86	ND
Females	0.0002	0.004*

ND, not determined

Covariates: age, age², with adjustments for family structure

An additive inheritance model was assumed

* Plasma leptin added as an additional covariate

Table 3
Mean disinhibition scores ($\pm$ s.e.m.) stratified by sex

	rs1726866 genotype		
	TT	CT	CC
Males (n=348)	4.45 $\pm$ 0.12 (n=193)	4.39 $\pm$ 0.12 (n=131)	4.71 $\pm$ 0.12 (n=24)
Females (n=381)	6.13 $\pm$ 0.14 (n=225)	4.92 $\pm$ 0.14 (n=138)	4.55 $\pm$ 0.13 (n=18)

Table 4
Significant disinhibition P values for SNPs in LD Block 1

Chromosome, Position (kb)	SNP ID	SNP Type	Disinhibition P Value
7, 141109	rs11763979	noncoding	0.004
7, 141111	rs2233998	F7S	0.002
7, 141125	rs2234001	V96L	0.002
7, 141137	rs2227264	S26I	0.003

kb, kilobases

Covariates: age, age², with adjustments for family structure

Table 5
Mean BMI ($\pm$ s.e.m.) stratified by disinhibition scores

Q1 (n=109)	25.56 $\pm$ 0.49
Q2 (n=117)	26.97 $\pm$ 0.43
Q3 (n=108)	29.10 $\pm$ 0.45
Q4 (n=73)	30.47 $\pm$ 0.65

Disinhibition scores are significantly correlated with BMI in female AFDS participants; $r = 0.34$, $p < 0.0001$

Q(x) = Quartiles