Published in final edited form as:

Nanoscale. 2010 August 4; 2(8): 1512–1520. doi:10.1039/c0nr00227e.

Intracellular Trafficking of Silicon Particles and Logic-Embedded Vectors

Silvia Ferrati¹, Aaron Mack¹, Ciro Chiappini⁵, Xuewu Liu¹, Andrew J. Bean^{2,4}, Mauro Ferrari^{1,3,6,+}, and Rita E. Serda^{1,*,+}

¹ University of Texas Health Science Center, Department of NanoMedicine and Biomedical Engineering, Houston, TX 77030

² University of Texas Health Science Center, Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, Houston, TX 77030

³ University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Experimental Therapeutics, Houston, TX 77030

⁴ University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Pediatrics, Houston, TX 77030

⁵ The University of Texas at Austin, TX 78712

⁶ Rice University, Department of Bioengineering, Houston, TX 77005

Abstract

Mesoporous silicon particles show great promise for use in drug delivery and imaging applications as carriers for second-stage nanoparticles and higher order particles or therapeutics. Modulation of particle geometry, surface chemistry, and porosity allows silicon particles to be optimized for specific applications such as vascular targeting and avoidance of biological barriers commonly found between the site of drug injection and the final destination. In this study, the intracellular trafficking of unloaded carrier silicon particles and carrier particles loaded with secondary iron oxide nanoparticles was investigated. Following cellular uptake, membrane-encapsulated silicon particles migrated to the perinuclear region of the cell by a microtubule-driven mechanism. Surface charge, shape (spherical and hemispherical) and size (1.6 and 3.2 μm) of the particle did not alter the rate of migration. Maturation of the phagosome was associated with an increase in acidity and acquisition of markers of late endosomes and lysosomes. Cellular uptake of iron oxide nanoparticle-loaded silicon particles resulted in sorting of the particles and trafficking to unique destinations. The silicon carriers remained localized in phagosomes, while the second stage iron oxide nanoparticles were sorted into multi-vesicular bodies that dissociated from the phagosome into novel membrane-bound compartments. Release of iron from the cells may represent exocytosis of iron oxide nanoparticle-loaded vesicles. These results reinforce the concept of multi-functional nanocarriers, in which different particles are able to perform specific tasks, in order to deliver single- or multi-component payloads to specific sub-cellular compartments.

Introduction

Logic-Embedded Vectors (LEV)¹, used for delivery of therapeutic or imaging agents, are designed to perform time-sequences of actions in order to overcome biological barriers, reach

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Rita Serda, PhD, University of Texas Health Science Center, Department of NanoMedicine and Biomedical Engineering, 1825 Pressler Street, Suite 537, Houston, TX 77030, Phone: 713-500-2309
rita.serda@uth.tmc.edu.

⁺Shared Senior Authorship

targeted lesions, and deliver single- or multi-component payloads, which may be directed to localize in specific sub-cellular compartments ^{2, 3}. We have fabricated multi-functional nanocarriers (i.e. the multi-stage delivery system) for disease targeting. These nanocarriers consist of mesoporous silicon particles that are discoidal and hemispherical in shape and serve as first stage particles in multi-particle constructs. The multi-stage system is assembled by loading secondary nanoparticles (second-stage particles) into the pores of the silicon particles.⁴

Loading nanoparticles into porous silicon matrices provides tuning at multiple levels to achieve diverse functions. Tunable parameters include particle geometry and surface modification, as well as tunable rates of degradation based on silicon porosity ^{5, 6}. Moreover, factors impacting cellular internalization and trafficking of the first stage silicon particle also influence the release location and biological impact of the second stage particles. Additionally, the intrinsic nature of the second stage particle plays a large role in its intracellular trafficking and final subcellular localization, providing a mechanism to redirect nanoparticles to individual organelles or to make them responsive to local stimuli, such as pH changes ⁷. Despite significant advances in studying the behavior of these carriers in biological systems ^{8–10} a complete understanding of the intracellular trafficking of LEVs is still not available.

In the present study we have examined the intracellular trafficking of the first stage silicon carriers and multi-stage LEVs. The LEV was assembled by loading superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs), coated with amino-PEG, into porous silicon particles. The goal of this project was to define the cellular trafficking and transport of LEVs using confocal microscopy combined with ultrastructural examination to provide an overview of the spatio-temporal characteristics of particle trafficking, such as mobility and trajectories in real time, as well as cellular localization of particles. Since vascular endothelial cells have been shown to be possible candidates for nanocarrier targeting, and previous results show their ability to act as non-professional phagocytes capable of internalizing silicon microparticles ⁹, we chose Human MicroVascular Endothelial Cells (HMVECs) as the cellular model for our study.

Results and Discussion

Silicon particle uptake by Human Microvascular Vein Endothelial Cells

Herein we elucidate the intracellular trafficking of our nanodelivery platform, consisting of SPIONs (15nm) encapsulated within the pores of silicon particles. The trafficking of the silicon particles was studied prior to assembling the multi-stage system to investigate the fate of the first stage particles. In general, particles smaller than 500 nm are internalized through receptor-mediated endocytosis while larger particles are internalized through phagocytosis. We have previously shown that nanoporous silicon carriers are internalized by endothelial cells through a combination of phagocytosis and macropinocytosis ^{9, 11}. Both mechanisms are actin-driven processes that involve extensive membrane re-organization with the formation of pseudopodia and extension of the cell membrane to surround and engulf the particles. Since the biological impact of nanocarriers depends not only on cellular uptake, but on the subcellular location after internalization, we examined the intracellular destination of silicon particles by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Since charge and size can potentially affect the subcellular localization of particles, four different types of silicon particles were studied: two sizes (1.6 μm and 3.2 μm), each presenting positive and negative charges. Silicon particles were incubated with HMVECs for 6 hrs; the cells were then fixed, and processed for TEM analysis. In figure 1A, positively charged particles of both sizes are displayed. Membranes can be seen surrounding each type of particle, predominately as a tight fitting enclosure. Internalized negative particles are shown in supplemental figure 1. Membrane entrapment appeared similar for both particle sizes, independent of surface charge. Intracellular localization of microparticles is shown after 6 hrs to allow for delayed uptake of negative, oxidized

microparticles. As reported previously, endothelial cells preferentially internalize positive microparticles in the presence of serum, and in this study uptake of negative microparticles was both reduced and delayed. Cellular uptake at later time points may reflect degradation of the silicon surface and thereby altered binding by serum components.

Microtubule-mediated Transport

Following membrane sealing, phagosome maturation is promoted by a microtubule-mediated system that propels vesicles containing the particles towards the perinuclear region of the cell. A number of proteins participate at various stages in the endocytic pathway by associating transiently with the maturing endo/phagosomes. For example, EEA1 and Rab 5 associate with newly formed compartments (early endo/phagosomes) while Lamp1 and NPC1 associate with later compartments (late endosomes and lysosomes) in the pathway.¹² These proteins promote the association of endo/phagosomes with microtubules and motor proteins, originating the centripetal movement of the vesicle¹³. Maturation of the phagosome is inhibited by microtubule disruption, suggesting that microtubules are actively involved in the intracellular trafficking of phagosomes^{14–16}. To determine whether the trafficking mechanism for the movement of encapsulated microparticles is dependent on classical trafficking machinery, we incubated HMVEC cells with 3.2 μm silicon particles in the presence or absence of 150 nM nocodazole, a drug that alters microtubules dynamics *in vivo*. Accumulation of particles in the perinuclear region of cells was determined by confocal microscopy using DRAQ5 and FITC-conjugated antibody specific for nuclear staining and α -tubulin respectively (figure 1B). The subcellular distribution of particles was quantified by dividing the cells into quadrants having defined distances from the nucleus and counting the particles in each quadrant. The alteration of the microtubule network affected the motility of individual microparticle-laden phagosomes, reducing their accumulation in the perinuclear region by 80%. These data suggest that microtubule-based movement of phagosomes is required for redistribution of silicon particles within the cell.

Maturation of Phagosomes Bearing Silicon Particles

During phagosome maturation, the phagosome undergoes a gradual but consistent acidification from pH 7 to 5 due to the function of a membrane ATPase acquired by fusion of the phagosome with vesicles containing acidic compartments. Maximal acidification is achieved upon fusion of the phagosome with lysosomes¹⁶. To verify that the presence of the microparticles does not perturb the regular intracellular pathways of maturation, and to obtain information about the fate of the particles over longer time scales, 3.2 μm discoidal particles were conjugated with a pH-sensitive dye (pH Rhodo; Invitrogen) that becomes fluorescent in an acidic environment. Prior to the experiment, the utility of the particles was tested in progressively more acidic solutions and an increase in fluorescence intensity was verified from a value of 2.2 at pH 7 to a value of 27.0 at pH 4. HMVECs were then incubated with the modified microparticles for varying amounts of time and analyzed using flow cytometry. Cells with internalized microparticles were identified by a gain in side scatter and based on the level of fluorescence contributed by the modified particles. Using these metrics to monitor cellular uptake and maturation of the vesicle, it was possible to follow the fate of the carriers inside cells over time¹⁰. As shown in figure 2A, the mean fluorescent intensity of cells incubated with particles increased progressively with longer incubation times, from an average intensity of 5 at time zero, when particles are mostly outside the cells, to an intensity of 19 after 16 hrs of incubation, when particles are present in compartments that have undergone a progressive decrease in pH. There is a slight decrease in fluorescence following 24 hrs of incubation that may reflect degradation of the particle surface and particle release of the pH Rhodo dye. The overall trend indicates that after internalization of the particles into phagosomes, the compartments mature and the environment surrounding the particle becomes increasingly more acidic. Expressing GFP-tagged proteins that localize in a compartment-specific manner and then co-localizing

the internalized particles with these markers is another method for determining the localization of the particles. HMVECs were transfected with GFP fused to Niemann Pick C1 (NPC1), a lysosomally localized protein, and then incubated with Dylight 594-labelled 3.2 µm silicon particles for 4 hrs. In figure 2B, confocal images are presented as separate or merged channels. GFP-NPC1 was expressed in regions surrounding some of the internalized particles, especially those localized in the perinuclear region of the cell, and could be observed to form green rings around the particles. These data suggest that the particles were localized in the lysosome. Since any modification to the particle, including attachment of a fluorophore, could potentially alter the intrinsic distribution of the particles, we repeated the experiment using unlabeled particles and bright field imaging to visualize the particles (figure 2B), which produced similar results.

Intracellular Mobility and Trajectory of the Silicon Particles

In order to further characterize the intracellular transport and mobility of our nanocarriers over various sizes, shapes and surface charges, we quantified the trajectory, directionality, and rate of intracellular migration of silicon particles using real-time confocal microscopy. Images were taken in 5 focal planes every 5 min and movies were compiled (see supplementary information for an example of movies taken). In addition to our four variations of porous silicon microparticles, three sizes of spherical silica beads (1, 2.5, and 3 µm) were used as controls. As shown in figure 3-A, after cellular uptake particles migrated from the cellular membrane towards the perinuclear region, leading to their accumulation in that region. Manual tracking of the particles inside the cells was performed using Slide Book software. The particles were tracked from the moment they reached the cell surface until they reached the perinuclear region and the entire process for each particle took about 50 min. From the two-dimensional time dependent coordinates $[x(t), y(t)]$ of the particles individual time-averaged mean square displacements (MSDs), $\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle$, were calculated according to the following equation:

$$\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle = \langle [x(t+\tau) - x(t)]^2 + [y(t+\tau) - y(t)]^2 \rangle$$

where τ is the time lag, 5 minutes.

To analyze the trajectories the MSD's curves were first fitted with the general equation: $y = 6Dt^k$ (fitting 1). If $k=1$ the equation is the Fick's law, which describes random thermally driven motion. When $k>1$ active transport is indicated, and particles migrate in a given direction. Since all our curves were fit using an exponent larger than 1, we analyzed movement based on active transport. The transport rate of carriers exhibiting active transport are described by $\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle = 4Dt + v^2\tau^2$ (fitting 2) where the first term is the random diffusion contribution, where d is the diffusion coefficient, and the second term is the active transport contribution caused by directed motion, where v is the rate of intracellular trafficking of the carrier¹⁷. As shown in figure 3B, from the fitting of the MSDs, we calculated the rate of transport for each type of microparticle, obtaining an average rate of trafficking toward the perinuclear region of 0.5 µm per minute for all particle populations. An ANOVA test ($p=0.05$) was used to compare populations. Thus particle size (1–3.2 µm), surface charge (positive or negative), and shape (hemispherical or spherical) did not impact the rate of vesicle transport. The data, as well as the perinuclear localization of the phagosomes-containing particles suggests that the particle-loaded phagosomes are able to mature and that the presence of the particles does not arrest the movement or localization of the endo/phagosomes.

Assembly and Characterization of LEV

LEV were assembled using 3.2 µm oxidized discoidal silicon particles with mean pore size of 50 nm and 15 nm SPIONs coated with amino-PEG. TEM images of the loaded silicon particles are shown in figure 4D. The SPIONs were selected based on earlier findings that indicated

endosomal sorting of positive SPIONs from carrier silicon particles¹⁸, and reports that different particle coatings are known to promote endosomal escape of nanoparticles, including polyethyleneimine (PEI)¹⁹, poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA)²⁰ and chitosan^{21, 22}.

SPIONs were characterized using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and zeta potential analysis. FTIR spectra were acquired for amino-PEG SPIONs and compared with carboxylated SPIONs (figure 4A). Carboxylated particles presented four characteristic bands: 3189 cm⁻¹ (OH), 1702 cm⁻¹ (COOH) and two bands around 2922 cm⁻¹ and 2852 cm⁻¹ (C-H stretching). Amine-PEG also present the at 2922-2853 cm⁻¹ (CH stretching) in addition to the characteristic primary amine bands at 3341 cm⁻¹ (NH) and 1298 cm⁻¹ (CN). They also display the PEG bands: 1700 cm⁻¹ (COOH) and 1104 cm⁻¹ (CH₂-OH) and the ammonium peak (NH₄⁺) around 1418 cm⁻¹. Furthermore, XPS elemental analysis of particles confirmed a decrease in the oxygen peak when comparing carboxylated SPIONs (COOH-SPIONs) to the amine-PEG SPIONs. (Figure 4B).

The zeta potential, which is the net surface electrical charge, of each particle was determined. Measurements were taken both in phosphate buffer (pH 7) and borate buffer (pH 5), the later being the loading buffer for particle assembly. Silicon particles and carboxylated SPIONs were negatively charged in both buffers while amine-PEG SPIONs were neutral and positively charged in phosphate and borate buffer respectively (figure 4C). The stability of the polymer coating on the SPIONs was studied by incubating the particles in phosphate buffer pH 7 for 6 days and checking for surface charge repeatedly. The charge results were consistent over the period of analysis indicating stability of the surface. DLS measurements of the SPIONs in phosphate buffer were also performed to provide the hydrodynamic radius of the particles (table C, figure 4).

Mesoporous silicon particles (oxidized, negative charge) were dried and loaded with the amine-PEG SPIONs by capillary action and electrostatic interactions (figure 4D). The loading efficiency was quantified by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) with a resulting efficiency of 31% (equivalent to 6.4 µg per 3 × 10⁶ silicon particles).

Intracellular Trafficking of LEV and Exocytosis of SPIONs

LEV s were incubated with HMVEC cells and the intracellular location of particles was determined by TEM. At 24 and 32 hrs, the nanoparticles were predominantly associated with the silicon particles, and encapsulated in the same phagosome. In some endosomes, regions rich in SPIONs started to bud from the primary vesicle as shown in figure 5, leading to the formation of novel compartments containing multivesicular bodies. This suggests that the SPIONs are actively sorted from the original endosomes into unique vesicles that then are free to independently traffic in the cell.

After observing that second stage nanoparticles move to vesicles separated from the silicon carriers, and based on reports of exocytosis of nanoparticles from eukaryotic cells^{18, 23}, we examined the supernatant of cells incubated with LEV over 7 days for released SPIONs. The amount of iron released was quantified using ICP-OES and normalized for the iron present in the media of untreated cells. The data were expressed as percentage of iron released with respect to iron effectively delivered to cells [amount initially incubated with cells minus that removed in the media at 12 hrs (i.e. SPIONs not internalized)]. SPIONs expelled from the cells over 7 days was 38%. A TEM image showing a cell containing an empty silicon particle after 7 days of incubation with cells supports the process of release of SPIONs from the carrier silicon particle with the concurrent endosomal retention of the carrier particle (figure 6A). These results were compared with cells treated with free SPIONs in which the release of SPIONs into the media was 16% (figure 6B). The higher release of SPIONs from cells incubated with LEVs

may result from coordinated endosomal sorting and cellular release or from differences in the absolute amount of SPIONs per cell and its impact on cellular secretion.

Material and Methods

Porous Silicon Particles and their Surface modifications

Nanoporous silicon microparticles were fabricated by our group using standard photolithography and plasma etching in the Microelectronics Research Center at the University of Texas at Austin²⁴. The mean particle diameter for hemispherical particles was either 1.6 ± 2 or $3.2 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$, with a pore size of $26.3 \pm 14.6 \mu\text{m}$, and discoidal particles were $3.2 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ with average pore size of 51.3 nm. Silicon microparticles were oxidized with piranha solution, creating a negative surface charge and functional groups for further modification with 3-Aminopropyl-triethoxysilane (APTES) provides a positive surface charge. Details on surface modifications were recently published⁸.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) and Zeta Potential Analysis

Amine-PEG coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (15nm, SPIONs) were purchased from Ocean NanoTech (Springdale Arkansas). Size and charge measurements were performed using a Zeta PALS Zeta Potential Analyzer equipped with 90Plus/BI-MAS Multi Angle Particle Sizing Option (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation; Holtsville, NY). Charge measurements were taken in both phosphate buffer (pH 7) and borate buffer (pH 5). The refractive index was set at 1.4 and the dust cutoff filter was set at 50. Each final value is the average of four measurements. DLS samples were measured at 90° at 25°C. To test the stability of the surface coatings, repeated Zeta measurements were performed over 6 days in phosphate buffer.

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

XPS elemental analysis and FTIR were performed to qualitatively show the difference in the particle coatings. Samples for XPS were prepared by applying 20 μL of 1mg/mL amino-PEG coated (SPIONs-NH) and carboxylated (SPIONs COOH) iron oxide nanoparticles to a silicon stub and dried overnight in a dessicator. Measurements were performed on a PHI Quantera XPS over the range of 1100-0 eV. Elemental peaks were identified and analyzed with PHI's Multipak software. Samples for FTIR were prepared applying them to the diamond surface of a SMART ATR attachment on a Nicolet 6600 FTIR spectrophotometer. The samples were then dried with nitrogen and the spectra read. The room temperature detector was used to collect all data and all readings were made using a resolution of 4cm^{-1} and by averaging 16 readings in absorbance mode in order to form the final curve. Analysis of the peaks was performed using Omnic peak identification software.

Porous Silicon Nanocarrier Loading

Oxidized discoidal silicon particles (7×10^7 $3.2 \mu\text{m}$) were collected by centrifugation in IPA and dried overnight at room temperature in vacuum desiccators. 50 μg of the 15 nm amino-PEG coated SPIONs in borate buffer at 1mg/ml were added to the silicon particles for loading. The particle suspension was sonicated and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were then centrifuged at 2000 rpm (Beckman Coulter Allegra X-22 Centrifuge equipped with a 296/06 rotor) to remove the free unloaded nanoparticles, followed by 2 washes with water. Loaded silicon particles were resuspended in medium and incubated with cells. The loading efficiency was obtained by measuring iron content in the supernatant and the particle pellet using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). The instrument was a Varian Vista AX at a power of 1kW, with plasma flow set to 15 L/min, auxiliary flow

of 1.5 L/min and a nebulizer flow of 0.75 L/min, with 5 replicate readings at 15 seconds between each reading.

Cell culture

Human Microvascular Vein Endothelial Cells (HMVECs) were a kind gift from Rong Shao at the University of Texas at Massachusetts. Cells were cultured as a monolayer in EBM medium (Clonetics, Walkersville, MD USA). They were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere and detached using 0.25% mg/ml trypsin/EDTA solution (Clonetics) upon reaching 80% confluency.

TEM

HMVECs were grown to 80% confluency in a 6 well plate. In the first experiment cells were incubated at 37°C for 6 hrs with 1.6 µm and 3.2 µm hemispherical particles functionalized with either APTES or oxidation only. In the second experiment 3.2 µm discoidal particles were loaded with 15nm SPIONs, amine-PEG coated, and subsequently incubated with the cells for 4 hours. All samples were then washed with PBS and fixed with a solution of 2% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, PA) and 3% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, PA). After fixation samples were washed and treated with 0.1 % cacodylate buffered tannic acid, post fixed with 1% buffered osmium tetroxide for 30 minutes and stained with 1% uranyl acetate. Samples were then dehydrated in increasing concentration of ethanol and embedded in Poly-bed 812 medium. Samples were polymerized in a 60°C oven for 2 days. Ultrathin sections were cut in a Leica Ultracut microtome (Leica, Deerfield, IL), stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate in a Leica EM Stainer and examined in a JEM 1010 transmission electron microscope (JOEL, USA. Inc, Peabody, MA).

Nocodazole

HMVECs were grown overnight to 80% confluence on 1.5 mm glass cover slips. Cells were incubated with 3.2 µm hemispherical silicon particles for 5 hrs in either regular medium or medium containing 150 nM nocodazole (Sigma). Cells were then washed with PBS, fixed in paraformaldehyde 4% for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.1% TritonX-100 for 3 min. PBS containing 1% BSA was used as a blocking agent prior to staining. Microtubules were stained using FITC-labeled monoclonal mouse anti- α -tubulin antibody (Ab-cam) at a 1:200 dilution in PBS containing 1% BSA. Nuclei were stained using Draq5, 1:1000 dilutions in PBS containing 1% BSA. Cells were washed with PBS and mounted on glass slides using Prolong Gold as the mounting solution. Images were taken using a Leica DM6000 upright confocal microscope equipped with a 63X immersion objective.

Flow Cytometry

HMVECs were grown in a 6 well plates overnight to 80% confluence. 1.6µm APTES modified hemispherical particles were functionalized with a pH sensitive dye (pH-Rodo-NHS Ester, Invitrogen). The conjugation was performed by incubating the hemispherical particles in DMSO with the dye for two hours at room temperature, linking the carboxyl group on pH-Rodo to the amine group on the APTES modified particles. The particles were then washed two times with DMSO and two times with IPA. Cells were starved in medium serum free for 40 min at 37°C prior adding the pH-Rodo modified silicon particles (1:10 cell to particles ratio). The plates were centrifuged for 4 min at 1500 rpm to allow the particles to settle down fast before incubating them at 37°C for different amounts of time. Cells were detached using trypsin/EDTA solution and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The samples were then analyzed with a Becton Dickinson FACS Calibur equipped with a 488-nm Argon laser and CellQuest software.

GFP-NPC1 Transfection

Transfections were performed using an Amaxa Electroporator and the AMAXA HUVEC Nucleofactor kit (Lonza). Cells were removed with trypsin and counted. 1×10^6 HMVECs were aliquoted, centrifuged and resuspended in 100 μ L of Nucleofactor solution, at room temperature. The 100 μ L cell suspension was combined with 5 μ g DNA (GFP-NPC1 construct was a kind gift from Dr. J. Suh at Rice University). The cell/DNA solution was transferred into certified a cuvette, paying attention not to trap air bubbles. The cuvette was inserted in the holder and the appropriate Nucleofactor program for HMVEC was applied. The cuvette was then taken out and 500 μ L of a pre-warmed medium was added into it. The cell were immediately plated into a 24well glass bottom dish and allowed to adhere overnight.

Three different types of particles were employed in the experiment: 3.2 μ m 594-dylight (Pierce) conjugated hemispherical, 3.2 μ m and 1.6 μ m APTES modified hemispherical. Particles were incubated with the transfected cells for 2 hrs, then washed with phosphate buffer and fixed with paraformaldehyde 4%. Images were taken with a 1 $\times$ 81 Olympus Microscope with a 40X objective.

Live Imaging

HMVECs were plated at 25,000 cells per well in 24 well plates with glass bottoms (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, MA) and allowed to adhere and grow overnight. Silicon particles were introduced after 24 hrs and the cells were visualized on a phase contrast microscope equipped with a humidified 37°C incubator with 5% atmospheric CO₂ (1 $\times$ 81 Olympus Microscope). A 20x objective was used and 5 photographs of different focal planes were taken at 5 minute intervals for 19 hrs. Both 1.6 μ m and 3.2 μ m oxidized or APTES modified hemispherical silicon particles were used. Spherical silica beads included three sizes, 1 μ m, 2.5 μ m and 3 μ m. Three independent experiments were performed.

Particle Tracking

Microparticle migration was analyzed by tracking their x and y movements using Slide Book software. The coordinates of the particles were transformed into time-averaged mean square displacement (MSD),

$$\langle \Delta r^2(\tau) \rangle = \langle [x(t+\tau) - x(t)]^2 + [y(t+\tau) - y(t)]^2 \rangle,$$

from which the rate of intracellular trafficking was obtained. Calculation of mean square displacements and curve fittings were performed with Excel.

Quantification of Nanoparticle Exocytosis

HMVECs were grown overnight to 80% confluency on a 6 well plate in 1ml of medium. The cells were then incubated at 37°C with 3×10^6 loaded discoidal particles per well or with 5 μ g of SPIONs. Supernatant was removed after 12 hrs and fresh medium was added to all the samples. This time point was considered our time zero and the amount of iron present in the removed supernatant, not yet internalized, was subtracted from the calculated amount of iron added to cells. Medium and trypsinized cells were collected after 1, 3, 5 and 7 days of incubation. Cells were split after 3 days and measurements from both medium and cell pellets were recombined for the following analysis. Collected samples were centrifuged at 4200 RPM for 30 minutes and the supernatant was removed. Samples were dissolved by addition of 10 μ L of 10–12N hydrochloric acid then moved to a thermomixer set to 60°C and 1300 RPM for 2 hrs. After dissolution, a 1% Spectrosol solution (CFA-C, Lot No. 111808, Spectrasol Inc.)

brought to pH 8.5 with concentrated nitric acid was used to bring sample volume to 5mL, and 50µL of a 100 mg/L solution of yttrium was added to each sample and standard. Samples were analyzed for iron and silicon using axial Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) with iron standard concentrations of 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, and 1000 mg/L, silicon standard concentrations of 50, 100, 250 and 500 mg/L, one blank sample of 1% Spectrosol solution, and one quality control standard containing 125 mg/L iron and 125 mg/L silicon. The instrument used for ICP-OES is a Varian Vista AX at a power of 1kW, with plasma flow set to 15 L/min, auxiliary flow of 1.5 L/min and a nebulizer flow of 0.75 L/min, with 5 replicate readings at 15 seconds between each reading.

Conclusions

In summary we have elucidated the cellular trafficking of porous silicon carriers and LEVs consisting of silicon carriers loaded with amine-PEG functionalized SPIONs in endothelial cells. We have shown that the silicon microparticle, regardless of size and surface functionalization, were internalized through phagocytosis resulting in entrapment in phagosomes. The phagosomes were able to mature and traffic using an active transport process that is consistent with microtubule-based movement toward the perinuclear region. Trafficking of silicon carriers was characterized in terms of rate of intracellular migration, and no impact of microparticle charge or size was observed. Following cellular uptake of the LEV, SPIONs encapsulated within the pores of the silicon particles were released from the silicon particle and sorted into MVBs. The MVBs formed unique vesicles that appear to be candidates for cellular secretion. TEM images showing extracellular vesicles containing SPIONs have been reported in macrophages that were incubated with the LEV²⁵. Secretion of cell-derived vectors containing nanoparticles can potentially not only allow for the delivery of therapeutic or contrast agents to targeted cells, but also further redirect select payloads to neighboring cells.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Kenneth Dunner Jr. at MD Anderson Cancer Center (High Resolution Image Facility) for sample processing and analysis by transmission electron microscopy, to Jared K. Burks for confocal imaging performed at the Flow Cytometry and Image Facility (UT-MDACC) and Glen Snyder at Rice University for ICP analysis. A special thanks to our colleagues, Jeff Schmulen and Jean R. Fakhoury, at the University of Texas at Austin for silicon particle fabrication. This research was supported by the Department of Defense grants DODW81XWH-07-1-0596 and DODW81XWH-09-1-0212; NASA NNJ06HE06A; NIH RO1CA128797, U54CA143837, MH58920, and RC2GM092599; MDACC Institutional Core Grant #CA-016672; The State of Texas Emerging Technology Fund.

References

1. Ferrari M. Trends in Biotechnology. 2009 In Press, Corrected Proof.
2. Ferrari M. Nat Rev Cancer 2005;5:161–171. [PubMed: 15738981]
3. Torchilin VP. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2006;58:1532–1555. [PubMed: 17092599]
4. Tasciotti E, Liu X, Bhavane R, Plant K, Leonard AD, Price BK, Cheng MMC, Decuzzi P, Tour JM, Robertson F, Ferrari M. Nat Nano 2008;3:151–157.
5. Decuzzi P, Ferrari M. Biomaterials 2008;29:377–384. [PubMed: 17936897]
6. Decuzzi P, Ferrari M. Biomaterials 31:173–179. [PubMed: 19783034]
7. Sawant RM, Hurley JP, Salmaso S, Kale A, Tolcheva E, Levchenko TS, Torchilin VP. Bioconjug Chem 2006;17:943–949. [PubMed: 16848401]
8. Serda RE, Ferrati S, Godin B, Tasciotti E, Liu X, Ferrari M. Nanoscale. 2009 In press.

9. Serda RE, Gu J, Bhavane RC, Liu X, Chiappini C, Decuzzi P, Ferrari M. *Biomaterials* 2009;30:2440–2448. [PubMed: 19215978]
10. Serda RE, Gu J, Burks JK, Ferrari K, Ferrari C, Ferrari M. *Cytometry* 2009;75A:752–760. [PubMed: 19610127]
11. Muro KMS, Muzykantov V. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2004;2:281–299. [PubMed: 15320826]
12. Clague MJ. *Biochem J* 1998;27–282.
13. Huynh KK, Eskelinen EL, Scott C, Malevanets A, Saftig P, Grinstein S. *EMBO J* 2007;26:313–324. [PubMed: 17245426]
14. Blocker A, Severin FF, Habermann A, Hyman AA, Griffiths G, Burkhardt JK. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 1996;271:3803–3811. [PubMed: 8631997]
15. Blocker A, Griffiths G, Olivo JC, Hyman AA, Severin FF. *J Cell Sci* 1998;111:303–312. [PubMed: 9427679]
16. Harrison RE, Bucci C, Vieira OV, Schroer TA, Grinstein S. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003;23:6494–6506. [PubMed: 12944476]
17. Suh J, Wirtz D, Hanes J. *PNAS* 2003;100:3878–3882. [PubMed: 12644705]
18. Wilhelm C, Lavialle F, Pechoux C, Tatischeff I, Gazeau F. *Small* 2007;4:577–582. [PubMed: 18383444]
19. Duan H, Nie S. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:3333–3338. [PubMed: 17319667]
20. Panyam J, Zhou W, Prabha S, Sahoo S, Labhasetwar V. *FASEB J* 2002;16:1217–1226. [PubMed: 12153989]
21. Fang N, Chan V, Mao H, Leong K. *Biomacromolecules* 2001;2:1161–1168. [PubMed: 11777388]
22. Bowman K, Leong KW. *Int J Nanomedicine* 2006;1:117–128. [PubMed: 17722528]
23. Panyam J, Labhasetwar V. *Pharmaceutical Research* 2003;20:212–220. [PubMed: 12636159]
24. Ciro C, Ennio T, Jean RF, Daniel F, Lee P, Young-Chung W, Lianfeng F, Xuewu L, Mauro F. *ChemPhysChem* 9999:NA.
25. Serda R, Mack AVdV, Ferrati AS, Godin B, Chiappini C, Liu X, Bean A, Ferrari M. Submitted. 2010

Fig 1.

Perinuclear trafficking of hemispherical silicon particles **A)** TEM micrographs of APTES-modified silicon particles 6hrs after introduction to HMVEC cells (top: 3.2 μ m; bottom: 1.6 μ m particles). **B)** Confocal images of particles-treated control or nocodazole (150 nM)-treated HMVECs visualized with DRAQ5 (nuclei) and FITC-conjugated α -tubulin antibody, 2 hrs after particles addition. The localization of particles with respect to the nucleus was quantified by dividing portions of cells in quadrants, having defined distances from the nucleus.

Fig 2. Colocalization of silicon particles with NPC1 protein and acidic vesicles. **A)** HMVECs were incubated with 3.2 μ m discoidal silicon particles conjugated with a pH sensitive dye (pH-Rodo). Mean fluorescence was monitored over 16 hrs by flow cytometry. **B–C)** Confocal images of GFP-NPC1 transfected HMVECs incubated 4 hours with DyLight 594-labelled **B)** or un-labelled **C)** silicon particles. The images are reported in separated and merged channels.

Fig 3.

Effect of size and shape on the rate of particle migration. **A)** Manual tracking from the point of uptake to perinuclear region was done by confocal imaging of cells every 5 min (bar 10 μ m). **B)** 1) An example of MSD curve fittings (top) and 2), and box charts showing the calculated rates of migration toward the perinuclear region for different types of particles [oxidized and APTES are modified hemispherical silicon particles (two sizes), and beads are spherical silica particles (three sizes)]. Population were not significantly different based on ANOVA test with $P=0.05$.

Fig 4. particles characterization and loading FTIR spectra **A)** and XPS elemental analysis **B)** of carboxylated (IONP-COOH) and amine-PEG (IONP-NH) SPIONs (IO=Iron Oxide). **C)** Zeta potential and dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements for SPIONs and silicon particles in phosphate and borate buffers. **D)** TEM (left) and SEM (right) micrographs showing 3.2 μm discoidal particles loaded with amino-PEG SPIONs.

Fig 5.

TEM micrographs of silicon particles loaded with amine-PEG SPIONs 24 hrs after internalization by HMVECs showing endosomal sorting of SPIONs into multi-vesicular bodies (MVB) and the process of MVB budding into separate membrane bound compartments.

Fig 6. Endosomal sorting and secretion of SPIONs **A)** TEM image showing a HMVEC cell containing a membrane-bound silicon particles with the majority of SPIONs released 7 days after LEV introduction. **B)** SPIONs secretion from cells over 7 days following treatment with free or silicon particles-delivered SPIONs (GP are silicon particles, %NH is amine-PEG coated SPIONs)