Published in final edited form as:

Int Congr Ser. 2007 June 1; 1300: 229–232. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2006.12.052.

Registration of cortical surfaces using sulcal landmarks for group analysis of MEG data[☆]

Anand A. Joshi^a, David W. Shattuck^b, Paul M. Thompson^b, and Richard M. Leahy^{a,*}

^a Signal and Image Processing Institute, University of Southern California, USA

^b Laboratory of Neuro Imaging, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

Abstract

We present a method to register individual cortical surfaces to a surface-based brain atlas or canonical template using labeled sulcal curves as landmark constraints. To map one cortex smoothly onto another, we minimize a thin-plate spline energy defined on the surface by solving the associated partial differential equations (PDEs). By using covariant derivatives in solving these PDEs, we compute the bending energy with respect to the intrinsic geometry of the 3D surface rather than evaluating it in the flattened metric of the 2D parameter space. This covariant approach greatly reduces the confounding effects of the surface parameterization on the resulting registration.

Keywords

Brain registration; Covariant bending energy; Thin plate splines

1. Introduction

In order to study and compare cortically-constrained MEG-based maps of neural activation across multiple subjects, their cortical surfaces need to be registered. The convoluted nature and the inter-subject variability of the surfaces make registration of cortical structures a challenging problem. We present a landmark-based technique which generalizes the well known thin-plate splines to non-euclidean surfaces using a covariant PDE approach. This covariant approach generalizes the thin-plate splines to non-euclidean surfaces and greatly reduces the confounding effects of the surface parameterization on the resulting registration.

2. Surface extraction and parameterization

We first extract a mask for the cortical surface from an MRI volume using the Brainsuite software (<http://brainsuite.usc.edu/>) [1]. The topology of the mask is corrected automatically using a graph-based approach and tessellated to produce a genus zero surface. We then use a p-harmonic functional minimization scheme [2] to map the each cortical hemisphere onto the unit square. The result is a bijective mapping between each hemisphere and the unit square in which a closed curve around the corpus callosum is constrained to map to the boundary of the unit square. This allows us to calculate partial derivatives across the boundary and explicitly model continuity between the two cortical hemispheres (Fig. 1).

[☆]This work is supported by NIBIB under Grant No: R01 EB002010 and NCRR under Grant No: P41RR013642.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 213 740 4145; fax: +1 213 740 4651., leahy@siipi.usc.edu (R.M. Leahy).

We manually delineate 23 major sulci on each of the extracted cortical hemisphere meshes. Delineation is performed in accordance with a sulcal labelling protocol with established intra- and inter-rater variability [3]. We resample these sulci into 6 tag points which are spaced equidistantly according to geodesic length. These points serve as land-marks in our registration.

3. Thin-plate spline warping in the intrinsic geometry

Having parameterized the cortical surfaces of the subject and atlas, we align the coordinate systems between the subject and atlas such that a set of hand-labeled sulci are brought into register, i.e. we find a warping field which can be applied in the subject's surface parameter space to align the subject's sulcal features with those of the atlas or target brain. The alignment uses a set of interactively labeled sulci, sampled uniformly along their lengths, as a set of point constraints. To compute a smooth warping from one coordinate system to the other we use the thin-plate spline bending energy [6] on the atlas surface as a regularizing function. Since the mapping onto the unit square will inevitably produce metric distortion relative to the original surface, we use a covariant PDE approach [4] to compute the bending energy with respect to the intrinsic geometry of the 3D surface rather than the parameter space itself. To do this, we solve the biharmonic equation using covariant derivatives to obtain a thin-plate spline warp from subject to target coordinates (Fig. 2). The thin-plate bending energy in the Euclidean space is given by:

$$E_b = \int \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 dx dy.$$

In the non-euclidean space, this generalizes to:

$$E_b = \int ((\varphi_{,11}^j)^2 + (\sqrt{2}\varphi_{,12}^j)^2 + (\varphi_{,22}^j)^2) g du dv. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathbf{x}$ denote the 3D position vector of a point on the cortical surface. Let u^1, u^2 denote the coordinates in the parameter space. The metric tensors $\{g_{ij}\}$ required in the computations of the covariant derivatives are given by

$$g_{11} = \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u} \right\|^2, g_{22} = \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v} \right\|^2, g_{12} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v} \right\rangle, g = g_{11}g_{22} - (g_{12})^2,$$

The covariant derivatives in Eq. (1.1) are then given by,

$$\varphi_{,\sigma}^\beta = \frac{\partial \varphi^\beta}{\partial u^\sigma} + \varphi^\kappa \Gamma_{\kappa\sigma}^\beta \quad \text{where } \sigma, \beta, \kappa \in \{1, 2\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_{,\beta\sigma}^\xi = \frac{\partial \varphi_{,\sigma}^\xi}{\partial u^\beta} - \sigma_{,\mu}^\xi \Gamma_{\beta\sigma}^\mu + \varphi_{,\sigma}^\nu \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\xi \quad \text{where } \sigma, \beta, \xi, \mu, \kappa \in \{1, 2\}.$$

For the purpose of discretization, the square maps for each hemisphere are resampled on a regular 256×256 grid. The derivatives in $u - v$ parameters are then discretized in this space by finite differences. After discretization, the integral minimization problem reduces to a quadratic problem. We enforced the sulcal matching constraints by adding a quadratic penalty term. To illustrate the method we used one labeled brain as a target to which we registered 5 labeled surfaces using the TPS method. The results are shown in Fig. 3. Using this procedure we are then able to define a one to one correspondence between the cortical surfaces of subject and the target brain. With this correspondence we can then compute statistics across subjects from MEG activation maps to test for group effects. Standard parameteric or non-parametric tests to control for multiple hypothesis testing can then be used to control familywise error or false discovery rates [5].

References

1. Shattuck DW, Leahy RM. Brain suite: a cortical surface identification tool. *Med Image Anal* 2002;6 (2):129–142. [PubMed: 12045000]
2. Joshi AA, et al. Cortical surface parameterization by P-harmonic energy minimization. *IEEE Int Symp Biomed Imag ISBI* 2004;2004:428–431.
3. Thompson PM, et al. Mapping cortical change in Alzheimer's disease, brain development, and schizophrenia. *NeuroImage* 2004;23(1):S2–S18. [PubMed: 15501091]
4. Joshi AA, et al. A framework for registration, statistical characterization and classification of cortically constrained functional imaging data. *IPMI* 2005:186–196.
5. Pantazis D, et al. A comparison of random field theory and permutation methods for the statistical analysis of MEG data. *NeuroImage* 2005;25(2):383–394. [PubMed: 15784416]
6. Bookstein FL. Principal warps: thin-plate splines and the decomposition of deformations. *IEEE Transactions in Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* July;1989 11:567–585.

Fig. 1.

(a) A cortical surface with hand labelled sulci; (b) a flat map of the two cortical surfaces; (c) checkerboard texture mapped to the surface using the square maps.

Fig. 2.

(a) A subject cortical surface with hand labeled sulci shown on the surface and in the flat space; (b) a target cortical surface with hand labeled sulci shown on the surface and in the flat space; (c) and (d) show sulcal alignment in the parameter space before and after applying the covariant TPS deformation; (e) shows the deformation with respect to the subject brain required to align the sulci using the TPS warping procedure (f) the deformation field in left and right hemispheres shown in flat space.

Fig. 3.

Alignment of the sulcal landmarks: 5 brains are registered to a common cortical surface using their p-harmonic maps in the plane. They are approximately aligned by the p-harmonic maps justifying our small deformation linear model (thin-plate bending energy model) which is used for landmark alignment. (a) shows the sulcal alignment in the atlas space before the TPS warping; (b) shows the sulcal alignment after the TPS warping. It can be seen that the sulcal variability is reduced to a great extent after the registration.