Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Immunol. 2010 August ; 22(4): 475–481. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2010.06.003.

Host response to Influenza virus: protection versus immunopathology

JSM Peiris^{1,2,*}, Kenrie PY Hui¹, and Hui-Ling Yen^{1,2}

¹Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

²HKU-Pasteur Research Center, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

Summary

Host responses play crucial roles in defense against influenza but sometimes these may contribute to immuno-pathology. Potentially, this may be more important in disease caused by viruses such as avian influenza A H5N1 or the 1918 H1N1 influenza virus rather than with seasonal influenza or pandemic H1N1 2009 (pdmH1N1). Understanding pathogenesis will help develop novel therapeutic options that minimize immunopathology without impairing beneficial host defenses.

Introduction

Influenza viruses belong to the family Orthomyxoviridae and are enveloped RNA viruses with a segmented, negative-sense, single-stranded RNA genome. This review focuses on the pathogenesis of human disease caused by type A influenza viruses, the only ones that cause both epidemic and pandemic influenza. Particular attention is paid to recent data with relevant reviews being cited for earlier literature [1,2•,3].

The virus envelope antigens, haemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) are the major targets of the protective host antibody response. Influenza A is antigenically subtyped into 16 HA and 9 NA subtypes. Natural infection provides little long term serological cross-protection between different subtypes and pandemics are associated with the emergence of novel subtypes to which the population is immunologically naive. While this remains true for the immuno-dominant epitopes eliciting antibody responses after natural infection or vaccination, fusion inhibiting monoclonal antibodies that bind to conserved epitopes in the HA-2 and cross-protect against many virus subtypes have been identified [4].

The recent “swine-origin” H1N1 pandemic of 2009 arose from a subtype (H1) already endemic in humans, albeit a novel one of zoonotic origin that was markedly different antigenically. While children had no immunity to pdmH1N1 a proportion of those born in the early part of the 20th Century had neutralizing antibody and were relatively immune [5].

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author: JSM Peiris (malik@hkucc.hku.hk).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Pathogenesis in humans

Virus infection and cytopathology of the respiratory epithelium causes the respiratory symptoms of influenza while pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNF- α and interferons contribute to the systemic effects of fever and myalgia. These cytokine levels correlate with duration of hospitalization in patients more seriously ill with influenza [2••] Innate immune dysregulation and altered procoagulant activity are associated with other rare complications including acute necrotizing encephalopathy and increased risk of cardiovascular deaths [6,7]. Secondary bacterial infection was a major contributor of morbidity and mortality with seasonal influenza, past pandemics including the 1918 pandemic[3] as well as the recent pdmH1N1 2009 pandemic [8••] but did not play a major role in the severe primary viral pneumonia seen in zoonotic H5N1 disease [9] (Table).

Pandemic H1N1 2009

Clinical symptoms and viral load kinetics in the upper respiratory tract of patients with pdmH1N1 were comparable with those with seasonal influenza [10]. Patients with severe pdmH1N1 disease did not differ in viral load in the upper respiratory secretions at presentation, but viral load remained elevated for prolonged period and they had higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the plasma [11]. Whether increased cytokine levels are a reflection of severe disease or part of its pathogenesis is unclear. As with seasonal influenza, severe disease was largely associated with pregnancy or underlying cardiac or respiratory disease or immunosuppression. However obesity was a risk factor not recognized in previous pandemics or seasonal influenza [12]. In mice with diet-induced obesity, influenza virus infection was associated with increased mortality, lung pathology and dendritic cell dysfunction and impaired memory T-cell function [13].

Autopsy of decedents of pdmH1N1 showed extensive tracheitis, bronchitis and diffuse alveolar damage (DAD). Secondary bacterial infection was seen in about half of the cases. Viral antigen was found in the tracheo-bronchial epithelium, type I and II alveolar epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages [8••]. These findings were similar to those reported in the 1918 and 1957 pandemics and severe seasonal influenza [3].

Pathogenesis of zoonotic H5N1 disease

Key aspects of the pathogenesis of H5N1 disease in humans have been reviewed previously (Table)[2••]. Patients with H5N1 disease have higher and more prolonged viral load in respiratory specimens and higher plasma levels of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Direct viral cytopathology, differential tropism toward the lower respiratory tract and differentially activated host responses and contribute to the pathogenesis of H5N1 disease [2••].

Animal experimental studies

Compared to seasonal influenza, pdmH1N1 causes modestly increased disease severity in mice, macaques and ferrets with increased replication in the lower respiratory tract and elevated cytokine levels in the lung. However, such disease was not as severe as that caused by H5N1 or 1918 H1N1 viruses (summarized in Table)[14••,15,16]. Compared to infection with seasonal influenza, mice, macaques and ferrets infected with H5N1 or 1918 viruses had increased lung pathology and mortality and evidence of cytokine dysregulation (summarized in Table) [2••,17••].

Studies in mice defective in specific genes related to innate immunity

Mice deficient in IL-1 receptor or type 1 IFN genes have poorer survival following H5N1 virus challenge [2••] and the MX1 gene contributes to increased resistance to H5N1 and 1918 H1N1 virus infection [18]. In ferrets, virus strains with increased virulence appear to induce weaker IFN responses and stronger IL-6 responses detected in nasal fluids [2••].

On the other hand, IL-17RA knock-out mice infected with influenza have improved survival, lower expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines and reduced lung inflammation despite a higher viral burden compared to wild-type mice [19••]. Mice with defects in TLR-3, CCR2 or COX 2 (but not COX1) [2••] also have improved survival and reduced disease severity when challenged with seasonal influenza viruses. Mice with defects in TNF- α receptors or passive immunotherapy with TNF- α neutralizing antibodies have reduced weight loss although survival remains unchanged following challenge with some H5N1 virus strains [2••]. Inactivated H5N1 virus has been shown to induce oxidized phospholipids which trigger an inflammatory response that leads to acute lung injury via TLR4 and the TRIF/TRAF6 signaling pathway [20]. These findings suggest that some innate immune responses contribute to immunopathology.

The role of adaptive immune response in protection and immunopathology

Cross-reactive CD4 and CTLs established by seasonal influenza A virus infection or vaccination provides protection against pandemic H1N1 or H5N1 influenza viruses by clearance of influenza virus from the lung [21–23]. Resident T cells may temper the early innate immune response. Rag-1 knockout mice or mice depleted with CD4 or CD8 T cells produced more pro-inflammatory cytokines upon poly(I:C) or LPS treatment [24•]. In addition, effector and memory CD4 T cells abolish macrophage inflammasome-mediated caspase-1 activation and subsequent IL-1 β release and thereby suppress potentially damaging inflammation [25••].

CD8 T cells—Peripheral CD8 T cells require a primary interaction with DCs which migrate to the draining lymph node and a subsequent interaction with pulmonary DCs including pulmonary plasmacytoid DCs, CD8 α^+ DCs, or TNF- α inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) producing DCs (tipDC) thereby promoting increased T cell survival and accumulation [26,27]. While CD8 T cells play an important role in viral clearance, the release of cytotoxic molecules (e.g. granzyme and perforin) and antiviral cytokines (e.g. TNF- α and IFN- γ) can contribute to lung pathology. Transfer of HA-specific CD8 T cell clones lead to progressive lethal lung injury in the absence of active viral replication in transgenic mice expressing influenza HA antigen on the alveolar epithelial cells [1]. However, if these mice are deficient in Egr-1 (epithelial early growth response-1) they do not develop such lung injury suggesting a role for ERK kinases induced Egr-1 in CD8 cell mediated immunopathology [28].

CD4 T cells—Effector CD4 T cells are divided into subsets including Th1, Th2 and the more recently described Th17. Th-17 is important against fungal infections, regulates inflammation and promotes autoimmunity [29]. Th1 and Th17 hypercytokinemia has been correlated with severe pandemic H1N1 influenza [30]. Interestingly, expression of Th17-associated cytokines in the lungs correlates with better survival of IL-10 knockout mice upon lethal challenge with influenza virus [31].

The role of IL-10 is controversial. IL-10 knockout mice showed better survival and increased viral clearance upon challenge with H1N1 (PR8) [31]; however, administration of monoclonal antibody to IL-10R to block IL-10 signaling resulted in increased and accelerated mortality as well as elevated inflammatory mediators [32•]. CD200 is highly

expressed on resting airway epithelium and its interaction with its ligand CD200R on alveolar macrophages provides inhibitory signals to maintain immune homeostasis [33••]. CD200 knockout mice showed more weight loss, lung infiltration and lung endothelium damage following influenza infection and depletion of T cells prevented this increased immunopathology although with decreased viral clearance [33,34]. These studies suggest that both CD4 and CD8 T cells contribute to influenza clearance and immunopathology. Regulation between protection and pathological effects may rely on a panel of inhibitory regulators, such as IL-10 and the CD200R–CD200 interaction.

Host genetic factors and severity of influenza

Compared to C57BL/6J mice, DBA/2J mice have 1000 to 10,000 fold lower lethal-dose 50 for influenza infection [35,36]. Five gene loci on chromosomes 2, 7, 11, 15, and 17 are associated with resistance to H5N1 virus [36]. In response to PR8 infection, both DBA/2J mice and C57BL/6J mice up-regulate IFN-responsive genes but DBA/2J mice showed a stronger expression of genes associated with inflammatory responses and prostaglandin-pathways [35]. Overall, these studies suggest that the susceptible DBA/2J mouse mounts a stronger innate immune response which may be detrimental to the host upon influenza virus infection.

In vitro and ex vivo human cells

Clinical observations and animal experiments indicate that severe H5N1 and 1918 H1N1 virus disease is associated with cytokine dysregulation. However, because effects of multiple cycles of viral replication and host responses are inextricably intertwined, it is not clear whether cytokine dysregulation is a consequence of the severe disease (caused by direct viral damage) or contributes to pathology in its own right. Studies with relevant primary human cells infected with defined virus dose can more clearly define intrinsic differences between viruses in inducing host responses and complements data from humans and animal models. Alveolar epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages are key target cells in primary viral pneumonia and diffuse alveolar damage [2,3,8,9]. The key differences in host responses elicited in immune cells (e.g. macrophages, DCs) and respiratory epithelial cells infected with pdmH1N1 and H5N1 viruses are summarised in Table. H5N1 viruses elicit markedly stronger pro-inflammatory responses in these cell types while seasonal and pdmH1N1 influenza viruses elicit broadly comparable host responses and show comparable viral replication competence [17,37••,38–42]. The modest differences between seasonal influenza and pdmH1N1 correlate well with their observed virulence in humans. This contrasts with the greater differences in virulence between seasonal and pdmH1N1 viruses implied by animal model data [14••,15,16].

The differences in pro-inflammatory cytokine induction between H5N1 and seasonal influenza in macrophages are mediated in part by activation of p38MAPK and IRF-3 pathways [43]. Paracrine interactions between macrophages and alveolar epithelial cells amplifies and broadens these responses and COX-2 is a key regulator of the cytokine cascade [44]. Comparison of the host transcriptome showed that the differences between H5N1 and seasonal influenza (H1N1) viruses are quantitative rather than qualitative in nature with TNF and IFN signalling and Jak-Stat pathways being those more differentially activated [45]. The in-vitro transcriptome data is comparable with that found in macaque and ferret lungs [46,47]. Analysis of recombinant viruses generated by reverse genetics shows that the viral polymerase genes rather than the HA, NA or NS1 are central to these differential host responses and they are not solely determined by viral polymerase activity [48]. Interestingly, preliminary analysis of yeast-two-hybrid screens has revealed that influenza virus polymerase subunits have multiple interactions with key cell-signalling pathways [49].

H5N1 activates NF- κ B in endothelial cells more efficiently than low pathogenic influenza viruses [50]. Using a dominant negative mutant of IkappaB kinase 2, it was shown that most H5N1-induced genes are dependent on NF- κ B activity. H5N1 viruses lead to apoptosis of neuronal cells and astrocytes (Table)[42]. The induction of apoptosis in NK cells may help the virus to evade some aspects of the innate immune response [51,52].

Therapeutic interventions

Anti-inflammatory agents such as gemfibrozil and sphingosine analog AAL-R reduce mortality in influenza-infected mice and alleviate pulmonary tissue injury, respectively [53,54]. Combination of a cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitor with mesalazine and the antiviral drug zanamivir resulted in improved survival in H5N1-infected mice [55]. A peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ agonist pioglitazone moderates the deleterious effects of TNF- α inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) producing DCs (tipDCs) in the lung airways and improved survival in influenza-infected mice [26]. Ferrets infected with H5N1 virus have strongly induced CXCL10 responses, and attenuating signalling via CXCR3, the receptor of CXCL10, reduced disease severity and delayed mortality[47].

The sympathetic nervous system increases pro-inflammatory cytokine release and exacerbates the pathogenesis of H1N1 (PR8) infection. Chemical sympathectomy reduced lung pathology, cellular infiltrates and cytokine induction in this experimental model and α -adrenergic agonists improve survival following a lethal virus challenge [56].

Protease activated receptors (PAR) are activated by extracellular proteases found in the lung. Activation of PAR₂ inhibits influenza virus replication via an IFN- γ independent pathway and PAR₂ agonists increase survival of influenza H1N1 infected mice and is associated with reduced neutrophil infiltrates, reduced RANTES and increased IFN- γ [57].

Conclusion

Mammalian innate and adaptive immune responses are complex, interconnected and crucial for host defense against infectious disease. However, in some situations, some of these responses may lead to deleterious consequences. It is sobering to note that while antiviral therapy remains the mainstay of treatment for H5N1 disease, oseltamivir treatment, even when commenced within 4 days of onset, was associated with mortality rates in excess of 50% in Indonesia [58]. This highlights the need for alternative and adjunctive therapeutic options that target host-responses. The challenge therefore is to selectively down-modulate harmful host responses without affecting beneficial ones which may permit viral replication to continue unchecked. Interventions that affect host cellular pathways that are crucial for viral replication as well as down-modulating targeted innate host responses post particular attraction. For example, inhibition of the Raf/MEK/ERK kinase cascade and activation of NF- κ B leads to impaired virus replication as well as to dampening down of the host pro-inflammatory cytokine responses and they have potential therapeutic roles in influenza [59••]. Crucially, unlike antiviral drugs, strategies that target the host will preclude the emergence of drug resistant viruses.

Acknowledgments

Research funding is acknowledged from the National Institutes of Health (NIAID Contract HHSN2662007005C) and Area of Excellence Scheme of the University Grants Committee (Grant AoE/M-12/06) (JSMP, KPYH, HY) and from Research Fund for the Control of Infectious Diseases of the Hong Kong Government 21009976-65315-20700-440-0 (HY).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. La, Gruta NL.; Kedzierska, K.; Stambas, J.; Doherty, PC. A question of self-preservation: immunopathology in influenza virus infection. *Immunol Cell Biol* 2007;85:85–92. [PubMed: 17213831]
2. Peiris JS, Cheung CY, Leung CY, Nicholls JM. Innate immune responses to influenza A H5N1: friend or foe? *Trends Immunol* 2009;30:574–584. [PubMed: 19864182] *Review of the beneficial and immunopathological aspects of innate immune responses for H5N1 influenza as well as for seasonal influenza.*
3. Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. The pathology of influenza virus infections. *Annu Rev Pathol* 2008;3:499–522. [PubMed: 18039138]
4. Ekiert DC, Bhabha G, Elsliger MA, Friesen RH, Jongeneelen M, Throsby M, Goudsmit J, Wilson IA. Antibody recognition of a highly conserved influenza virus epitope. *Science* 2009;324:246–251. [PubMed: 19251591]
5. Hancock K, Veguilla V, Lu X, Zhong W, Butler EN, Sun H, Liu F, Dong L, DeVos JR, Gargiullo PM, et al. Cross-reactive antibody responses to the 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza virus. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1945–1952. [PubMed: 19745214]
6. Mizuguchi M, Yamanouchi H, Ichiyama T, Shiomi M. Acute encephalopathy associated with influenza and other viral infections. *Acta Neurol Scand Suppl* 2007;186:45–56. [PubMed: 17784537]
7. Warren-Gash C, Smeeth L, Hayward AC. Influenza as a trigger for acute myocardial infarction or death from cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2009;9:601–610. [PubMed: 19778762]
8. Gill JR, Sheng ZM, Ely SF, Guinee DG, Beasley MB, Suh J, Deshpande C, Mollura DJ, Morens DM, Bray M, et al. Pulmonary pathologic findings of fatal 2009 pandemic influenza A/H1N1 viral infections. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2010;134:235–243. [PubMed: 20121613] *Describes the pathology of fatal pandemic H1N1 patients.*
9. Abdel-Ghaffar AN, Chotpitayasunondh T, Gao Z, Hayden FG, Nguyen DH, de Jong MD, Naghdaliyev A, Peiris JS, Shindo N, et al. Writing Committee of the Second World Health Organization Consultation on Clinical Aspects of Human Infection with Avian Influenza AV. Update on avian influenza A (H5N1) virus infection in humans. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:261–273. [PubMed: 18199865]
10. Cowling BJ, Chan KH, Fang VJ, Lau LLH, So THC, Fung ROP, Ma ESK, Kwong ASK, Chan CW, Tsui WWS, et al. Epidemiology of pandemic and seasonal influenza A in 2009 within households. *New Eng J Med*. 2010 in press.
11. To KK, Hung IF, Li IW, Lee KL, Koo CK, Yan WW, Liu R, Ho KY, Chu KH, Watt CL, et al. Delayed clearance of viral load and marked cytokine activation in severe cases of pandemic H1N1 2009 influenza virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:850–859. [PubMed: 20136415]
12. Vaillant L, La Ruche G, Tarantola A, Barboza P. epidemic intelligence team at In VS: Epidemiology of fatal cases associated with pandemic H1N1 influenza 2009. *Euro Surveill* 2009;14
13. Karlsson EA, Sheridan PA, Beck MA. Diet-induced obesity impairs the T cell memory response to influenza virus infection. *J Immunol* 2010;184:3127–3133. [PubMed: 20173021]
14. Itoh Y, Shinya K, Kiso M, Watanabe T, Sakoda Y, Hatta M, Muramoto Y, Tamura D, Sakai-Tagawa Y, Noda T, et al. In vitro and in vivo characterization of new swine-origin H1N1 influenza viruses. *Nature* 2009;460:1021–1025. [PubMed: 19672242] *Reports on the experimental infection of macaques, ferrets, mice and pigs with pandemic H1N1 virus*

15. Munster VJ, de Wit E, van den Brand JM, Herfst S, Schrauwen EJ, Bestebroer TM, van de Vijver D, Boucher CA, Koopmans M, Rimmelzwaan GF, et al. Pathogenesis and transmission of swine-origin 2009 A(H1N1) influenza virus in ferrets. *Science* 2009;325:481–483. [PubMed: 19574348]
16. Maines TR, Jayaraman A, Belser JA, Wadford DA, Pappas C, Zeng H, Gustin KM, Pearce MB, Viswanathan K, Shriver ZH, et al. Transmission and pathogenesis of swine-origin 2009 A(H1N1) influenza viruses in ferrets and mice. *Science* 2009;325:484–487. [PubMed: 19574347]
17. Perrone LA, Plowden JK, Garcia-Sastre A, Katz JM, Tumpey TM. H5N1 and 1918 pandemic influenza virus infection results in early and excessive infiltration of macrophages and neutrophils in the lungs of mice. *PLoS Pathog* 2008;4:e1000115. [PubMed: 18670648] *Experimental mouse infection comparing H5N1, 1918 H1N1 and seasonal influenza, and also in vitro host responses and virus replication in macrophages and dendritic cells.*
18. Tumpey TM, Szretter KJ, Van Hoeven N, Katz JM, Kochs G, Haller O, Garcia-Sastre A, Staeheli P. The Mx1 gene protects mice against the pandemic 1918 and highly lethal human H5N1 influenza viruses. *J Virol* 2007;81:10818–10821. [PubMed: 17652381]
19. Crowe CR, Chen K, Pociask DA, Alcorn JF, Krivich C, Enelow RI, Ross TM, Witztum JL, Kolls JK. Critical role of IL-17RA in immunopathology of influenza infection. *J Immunol* 2009;183:5301–5310. [PubMed: 19783685] *Demonstrates that IL-17 knock-out mice have improved survival and less pathology suggesting that some innate immune responses may be a deleterious in influenza infection.*
20. Imai Y, Kuba K, Neely GG, Yaghubian-Malhami R, Perkmann T, van Loo G, Ermolaeva M, Veldhuizen R, Leung YH, Wang H, et al. Identification of oxidative stress and Toll-like receptor 4 signaling as a key pathway of acute lung injury. *Cell* 2008;133:235–249. [PubMed: 18423196]
21. Ge X, Tan V, Bollyky PL, Standifer NE, James EA, Kwok WW. Assessment of seasonal influenza A virus-specific CD4 T-cell responses to 2009 pandemic H1N1 swine-origin influenza A virus. *J. Virol* 2010;84:3312–3319. [PubMed: 20071564]
22. Kreijtz JH, de MG, van Baalen CA, Fouchier RA, Osterhaus AD, Rimmelzwaan GF. Cross-recognition of avian H5N1 influenza virus by human cytotoxic T-lymphocyte populations directed to human influenza A virus. *J. Virol* 2008;82:5161–5166. [PubMed: 18353950]
23. Tu W, Mao H, Zheng J, Liu Y, Chiu SS, Qin G, Chan PL, Lam KT, Guan J, Zhang L, et al. Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes Established by Seasonal Human Influenza Cross-react against 2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza Virus. *J Virol*. 2010
24. Kim KD, Zhao J, Auh S, Yang X, Du P, Tang H, Fu YX. Adaptive immune cells temper initial innate responses. *Nat. Med* 2007;13:1248–1252. [PubMed: 17891146] *Shows that naïve CD4 and CD8 T cells can temper the poly (I:C) or LPS triggered TLR response in CD11b⁺ and CD11c⁺ cells by reducing the TNF- α production at the initial phase of infection.*
25. Guarda G, Dostert C, Staehli F, Cabalzar K, Castillo R, Tardivel A, Schneider P, Tschopp J. T cells dampen innate immune responses through inhibition of NLRP1 and NLRP3 inflammasomes. *Nature* 2009;460:269–273. [PubMed: 19494813] *Demonstrates CD4 memory T cells may inhibit NLRP1 and NLRP3 inflammasome mediated caspase-1 activation and the subsequent release of IL-1 β in bone marrow derived macrophages by direct cell-to-cell interaction.*
26. Aldridge JR Jr, Moseley CE, Boltz DA, Negovetich NJ, Reynolds C, Franks J, Brown SA, Doherty PC, Webster RG, Thomas PG. TNF/iNOS-producing dendritic cells are the necessary evil of lethal influenza virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:5306–5311. [PubMed: 19279209]
27. McGill J, Van RN, Legge KL. Protective influenza-specific CD8 T cell responses require interactions with dendritic cells in the lungs. *J. Exp. Med* 2008;205:1635–1646. [PubMed: 18591411]
28. Ramana CV, Cheng GS, Kumar A, Kwon HJ, Enelow RI. Role of alveolar epithelial early growth response-1 (Egr-1) in CD8+ T cell-mediated lung injury. *Mol. Immunol* 2009;47:623–631. [PubMed: 19786304]
29. Weaver CT, Harrington LE, Mangan PR, Gavrieli M, Murphy KM. Th17: an effector CD4 T cell lineage with regulatory T cell ties. *Immunity* 2006;24:677–688. [PubMed: 16782025]
30. Bermejo-Martin JF, Ortiz de LR, Pumarola T, Rello J, Almansa R, Ramirez P, Martin-Loeches I, Varillas D, Gallegos MC, Seron C, et al. Th1 and Th17 hypercytokinemia as early host response signature in severe pandemic influenza. *Crit Care* 2009;13:R201. [PubMed: 20003352]

31. McKinstry KK, Strutt TM, Buck A, Curtis JD, Dibble JP, Huston G, Tighe M, Hamada H, Sell S, Dutton RW, et al. IL-10 deficiency unleashes an influenza-specific Th17 response and enhances survival against high-dose challenge. *J.Immunol* 2009;182:7353–7363. [PubMed: 19494257]
32. Sun J, Madan R, Karp CL, Braciale TJ. Effector T cells control lung inflammation during acute influenza virus infection by producing IL-10. *Nat.Med* 2009;15:277–284. [PubMed: 19234462]
Shows that effector T cells infiltrating the lungs after influenza infection secrete IL-10 along with proinflammatory cytokines. Blocking the IL-10R with monoclonal antibody in influenza infected mice leads to increased and accelerated lethality without affecting viral clearance.
33. Snelgrove RJ, Goulding J, Didierlaurent AM, Lyonga D, Vekaria S, Edwards L, Gwyer E, Sedgwick JD, Barclay AN, Russell T. A critical function for CD200 in lung immune homeostasis and the severity of influenza infection. *Nat.Immunol* 2008;9:1074–1083. [PubMed: 18660812]
Interaction between CD200R in alveolar macrophages and CD200 presented on lung epithelial cells contribute to maintaining immune homeostasis in uninfected lungs. Influenza infection in mice deficient in CD200 leads to increased weight loss and mortality.
34. Rygiel TP, Rijkers ES, de RT, Stolte EH, van dV, Rimmelzwaan GF, Boon L, van Loon AM, Coenjaerts FE, Hoek RM, et al. Lack of CD200 enhances pathological T cell responses during influenza infection. *J.Immunol* 2009;183:1990–1996. [PubMed: 19587022]
35. Alberts R, Srivastava B, Wu H, Viegas N, Geffers R, Klawonn F, Novoselova N, do Valle TZ, Panthier JJ, Schughart K. Gene expression changes in the host response between resistant and susceptible inbred mouse strains after influenza A infection. *Microbes.Infect* 2010;12:309–318. [PubMed: 20114087]
36. Boon AC, deBeauchamp J, Hollmann A, Luke J, Kotb M, Rowe S, Finkelstein D, Neale G, Lu L, Williams RW, et al. Host genetic variation affects resistance to infection with a highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza A virus in mice. *J.Virol* 2009;83:10417–10426. [PubMed: 19706712]
37. Chan MC, Chan RW, Yu WC, Ho CC, Yuen KM, Fong JH, Tang LL, Lai WW, Lo AC, Chui WH, et al. Tropism and innate host responses of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza virus in ex vivo and in vitro cultures of human conjunctiva and respiratory tract. *Am J Pathol* 2010;176:1828–1840. [PubMed: 20110407]
Uses ex vivo and in vitro cultures of the human upper, mid and lower respiratory tract to compare viral replication kinetics and innate immune responses of the pandemic H1N1 virus. Shows that pandemic H1N1 virus is similar to seasonal viruses in the pro-inflammatory cytokine profile it induces.
38. Osterlund P, Pirhonen J, Ikonen N, Ronkko E, Strengell M, Makela SM, Broman M, Hamming OJ, Hartmann R, Ziegler T, et al. Pandemic H1N1 2009 influenza A virus induces weak cytokine responses in human macrophages and dendritic cells and is highly sensitive to the antiviral actions of interferons. *J Virol* 2010;84:1414–1422. [PubMed: 19939920]
39. Woo PC, Tung ET, Chan KH, Lau CC, Lau SK, Yuen KY. Cytokine profiles induced by the novel swine-origin influenza A/H1N1 virus: implications for treatment strategies. *J Infect Dis* 2010;201:346–353. [PubMed: 20030555]
40. Sandbulte MR, Boon AC, Webby RJ, Riberdy JM. Analysis of cytokine secretion from human plasmacytoid dendritic cells infected with H5N1 or low-pathogenicity influenza viruses. *Virology* 2008;381:22–28. [PubMed: 18801551]
41. Chan MC, Chan RW, Yu WC, Ho CC, Chui WH, Lo CK, Yuen KM, Guan YI, Nicholls JM, Peiris JS. Influenza H5N1 virus infection of polarized human alveolar epithelial cells and lung microvascular endothelial cells. *Respir Res* 2009;10:102. [PubMed: 19874627]
42. Ng YP, Lee SM, Cheung TK, Nicholls JM, Peiris JS, Ip NY. Avian influenza H5N1 virus induces cytopathy and proinflammatory cytokine responses in human astrocytic and neuronal cell lines. *Neuroscience*. 2010
43. Hui KP, Lee SM, Cheung CY, Ng IH, Poon LL, Guan Y, Ip NY, Lau AS, Peiris JS. Induction of proinflammatory cytokines in primary human macrophages by influenza A virus (H5N1) is selectively regulated by IFN regulatory factor 3 and p38 MAPK. *J Immunol* 2009;182:1088–1098. [PubMed: 19124752]
44. Lee SM, Cheung CY, Nicholls JM, Hui KP, Leung CY, Uiprasertkul M, Tipoe GL, Lau YL, Poon LL, Ip NY, et al. Hyperinduction of Cyclooxygenase-2-Mediated Proinflammatory Cascade: A Mechanism for the Pathogenesis of Avian Influenza H5N1 Infection. *J Infect Dis* 2008;198:525–535. [PubMed: 18613795]

45. Lee SM, Gardy JL, Cheung CY, Cheung TK, Hui KP, Ip NY, Guan Y, Hancock RE, Peiris JS. Systems-level comparison of host-responses elicited by avian H5N1 and seasonal H1N1 influenza viruses in primary human macrophages. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e8072. [PubMed: 20011590]
46. Baskin CR, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, Tumpey TM, Sabourin PJ, Long JP, Garcia-Sastre A, Tolnay AE, Albrecht R, Pyles JA, Olson PH, et al. Early and sustained innate immune response defines pathology and death in nonhuman primates infected by highly pathogenic influenza virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:3455–3460. [PubMed: 19218453]
47. Cameron CM, Cameron MJ, Bermejo-Martin JF, Ran L, Xu L, Turner PV, Ran R, Danesh A, Fang Y, Chan PK, et al. Gene expression analysis of host innate immune responses during Lethal H5N1 infection in ferrets. *J Virol* 2008;82:11308–11317. [PubMed: 18684821]
48. Mok KP, Wong CH, Cheung CY, Chan MC, Lee SM, Nicholls JM, Guan Y, Peiris JS. Viral genetic determinants of H5N1 influenza viruses that contribute to cytokine dysregulation. *J Infect Dis* 2009;200:1104–1112. [PubMed: 19694514]
49. Shapira SD, Gat-Viks I, Shum BO, Dricot A, de Grace MM, Wu L, Gupta PB, Hao T, Silver SJ, Root DE, et al. A physical and regulatory map of host-influenza interactions reveals pathways in H1N1 infection. *Cell* 2009;139:1255–1267. [PubMed: 20064372]
50. Schmolke M, Viemann D, Roth J, Ludwig S. Essential impact of NF-kappaB signaling on the H5N1 influenza A virus-induced transcriptome. *J Immunol* 2009;183:5180–5189. [PubMed: 19786538]
51. Mao H, Tu W, Qin G, Law HK, Sia SF, Chan PL, Liu Y, Lam KT, Zheng J, Peiris M, et al. Influenza virus directly infects human natural killer cells and induces cell apoptosis. *J Virol* 2009;83:9215–9222. [PubMed: 19587043]
52. Mao H, Tu W, Liu Y, Qin G, Zheng J, Chan PL, Lam KT, Peiris JS, Lau YL. Inhibition of human natural killer cell activity by influenza virions and hemagglutinin. *J Virol* 2010;84:4148–4157. [PubMed: 20164232]
53. Alleva LM, Cai C, Clark IA. Using Complementary and Alternative Medicines to Target the Host Response during Severe Influenza. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2009
54. Marsolais D, Hahm B, Walsh KB, Edelmann KH, McGavern D, Hatta Y, Kawaoka Y, Rosen H, Oldstone MB. A critical role for the sphingosine analog AAL-R in dampening the cytokine response during influenza virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:1560–1565. [PubMed: 19164548]
55. Zheng BJ, Chan KW, Lin YP, Zhao GY, Chan C, Zhang HJ, Chen HL, Wong SS, Lau SK, Woo PC, et al. Delayed antiviral plus immunomodulator treatment still reduces mortality in mice infected by high inoculum of influenza A/H5N1 virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105:8091–8096. [PubMed: 18523003]
56. Grebe KM, Takeda K, Hickman HD, Bailey AL, Embry AC, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW. Cutting edge: Sympathetic nervous system increases proinflammatory cytokines and exacerbates influenza A virus pathogenesis. *J Immunol* 2010;184:540–544. [PubMed: 20018617]
57. Khoufache K, LeBouder F, Morello E, Laurent F, Riffault S, Andrade-Gordon P, Boullier S, Rousset P, Vergnolle N, Riteau B. Protective role for protease-activated receptor-2 against influenza virus pathogenesis via an IFN-gamma-dependent pathway. *J Immunol* 2009;182:7795–7802. [PubMed: 19494303]
58. Kandun IN, Tresnaningsih E, Purba WH, Lee V, Samaan G, Harun S, Soni E, Septiawati C, Setiawati T, Sariwati E, et al. Factors associated with case fatality of human H5N1 virus infections in Indonesia: a case series. *Lancet* 2008;372:744–749. [PubMed: 18706688]
59. Ludwig S. Targeting cell signalling pathways to fight the flu: towards a paradigm change in anti-influenza therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;64:1–4. [PubMed: 19420020] *Inhibitors of key signaling pathways can block virus replication as well as dampen down host inflammatory responses.*
60. Belser JA, Wadford DA, Pappas C, Gustin KM, Maines TR, Pearce MB, Zeng H, Swayne DE, Pantin-Jackwood M, Katz JM, et al. Pathogenesis of pandemic influenza A (H1N1) and triple-reassortant swine influenza A (H1) viruses in mice. *J Virol* 2010;84:4194–4203. [PubMed: 20181710]

61. Tumpey TM, Basler CF, Aguilar PV, Zeng H, Solorzano A, Swayne DE, Cox NJ, Katz JM, Taubenberger JK, Palese P, et al. Characterization of the reconstructed 1918 Spanish influenza pandemic virus. *Science* 2005;310:77–80. [PubMed: 16210530]

Table 1

Comparison of pathogenesis of pandemic H1N1, avian H5N1 and 1918 H1N1 with seasonal influenza

	Pandemic H1N1 2009	H5N1	1918 H1N1
Human clinical and autopsy data			
Viral load in upper respiratory tract	Viral load comparable to seasonal flu but severe cases have slower clearance of virus [10,11].	Higher and more prolonged viral load cf. seasonal flu [2••].	NK
Pro-inflammatory cytokines in serum / plasma	Higher in patients with severe disease [11].	Higher in H5N1 vs. seasonal influenza (IP-10, MIG, MCP-1, IL-8, IL-10, IL-6, IFN-gamma) and patients with fatal outcome have higher levels than survivors [2••].	NK
Pathology of fatal disease	Primary viral pneumonia and diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) or secondary bacterial superinfection [8••].	Primary viral pneumonia and DAD. Secondary bacterial infection not common [2••,9].	Diffuse alveolar damage due to primary viral pneumonia or secondary to bacterial superinfection [3].
Extra-respiratory dissemination of virus	Rare	Occurs. But lung pathology remains major cause of death [2••,9].	NK
Experimental animal infections studies			
Macaques	Higher viral titres and more lung pathology cf seasonal flu. Higher and more prolonged elevation of MCP-1, MIP-1 α , IL-6 and IL-18 [14••].	Severity of pathology H5N1>1918> seasonal flu. Targets type 2 pneumocytes. Stronger and more prolonged innate inflammatory responses (type 1 IFN, IL-1, IL-6) cf. seasonal flu [2••].	Severe respiratory disease with fatal outcome associated with a dysregulated innate immune response. Prolonged activation of IL-6, CCL11(eotaxin-1), CXCL6 (GCP-2) genes but weaker type 1 interferon response [2••] Seasonal influenza virus with 1918 HA and NA has increased pathogenicity and increased innate immune response cf seasonal flu [2••].
Ferrets	More virus replication in alveoli, more lung pathology but less severe than H5N1 or 1918 [14–16].	More innate immune and interferon signalling, IP-10, T & B cell signalling pathways down-regulated in H5N1 cf. seasonal H3N2 [2••].	Severe disease in ferrets [18].
Mice	Replicate more efficiently in lung and modestly more lung pathology and weight loss cf seasonal flu but not as severe as H5N1 or 1918 H1N1 [14••]. Lung cytokine induction modest and lower than induced by H5N1, 1918 or a previous triple reassortant H1N1 virus (A/Ohio/2/07) that infected humans [60].	Increased macrophage and polymorph infiltration and increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, interferon- γ , MCP-1, MIP-1 α) in mouse lung [17••].	Severe disease in mice associated with high replication efficiency and activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and apoptotic pathways[2••]. Increased macrophage and polymorph infiltration and increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, interferon- γ , MCP-1, MIP-1 α) in mouse lung [17••].
Infection of primary human cells in-vitro			
Macrophages	Viral replication and innate host responses comparable to seasonal influenza [38,39].	Stronger pro-inflammatory cytokine responses (TNF- α , interferon- α and β , IP-10, MCP-1, RANTES, MIP-1 α and β) cf seasonal flu [17••].	No evidence of increased induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines [17••].
Alveolar epithelial cells	Viral replication and innate host responses comparable to seasonal influenza [37••].	Stronger pro-inflammatory cytokine responses (interferon- β , IP-10, RANTES, IL-6) cf seasonal flu [2••,41].	Enhanced replication competence in human primary broncho-epithelial cells [61].

	Pandemic H1N1 2009	H5N1	1918 H1N1
Bronchial epithelial cells	Marginally increased replication in differentiated cells; Enhanced viral replication at 33°C and comparable cytokine induction to seasonal influenza [37••].		
Dendritic cells	Viral replication and innate host responses comparable to seasonal influenza [38].	Stronger IFN- α responses cf seasonal flu in pDCs, differences in TNF- α less pronounced, comparable IP-10 induction [40] and enhanced replication competence [17••].	Comparable viral replication cf low pathogenic virus [17••].
Endothelial cells		More efficient replication in microvascular endothelial cells [41].	
Neuronal cells		Comparable replication to seasonal influenza but higher TNF- α , IL-6 production [42].	
Conjunctiva cells	Productive replication unlike seasonal influenza [37••].	Productive replication unlike seasonal influenza [37••].	